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DO YOU ever stop to wonder why one of the richest countries in the world has to sell its assets to pay interest on borrowed money? Or why there are so many out of work and suffering?

Investigations show that an important element in the cause of present social and economic problems is UNCONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT. The people of Australia, along with other constitutional democracies, have been misled into believing we live in a democratic system when, in fact, democracy has been infiltrated and subverted by a social disease called PARTY government.

The party system government is NOT part of a monarchical system! NOT part of a republican system! It is anti-democratic and is only rational if in service to elitism!

The party system has spread world-wide within systems of constitutional government; it is beyond challenge that party system government does not, and cannot, obey either the letter or the ideals of democracy and is, in fact a system of disguised dictatorship which, in its early stages, sells itself as paternalistic.

Some people will argue that parties are necessary; that this is good workable democracy. There are good reasons to reject that argument and among those reasons are growing social injustice; increase of bureaucratic power; increase of dictatorial law; larger divisions between the ‘haves’ and ‘have-nots’ and increasing environmental pollution. These deteriorations are given added emphasis by an obvious and growing administrative inefficiency and the increasing mess of lies and deceits to keep the system in power.

However, over and above all argument is the unarguable fact that the party system is anti-democratic and a disease within ALL legitimate constitutional governments. We have been misled into electing “party chosen” representatives (meaning representatives who represent parties) when democratic constitutional government requires that people choose and elect people to represent individual electorates. That small change has resulted in the complete transfer of democratic authority to behind the scenes manipulators.

Kevin Rudd, Wayne Swan and Julia Gillard

THE PARTY SYSTEM IS A SYSTEM IDEAL FOR DECEIT, MANIPULATION AND CORRUPTION

As a form of government it is naturally divisive, inefficient and structurally incapable of democratic operation.

How could a system so obviously anti-social become accepted and established? That, could only happen with the planning and backing of the powerful people it serves. We can deduce that it was planned as it is because service of behind the scenes masters is its only area of efficiency. It may be judged by the ancient law of “cui bono” – who gains?

Students of social order look at bad government and despair that there is no way to fight it.

They say the system has become too powerful – too all-pervasive of society. It controls the mass media in a vice-like grip where scientifically managed controversy is substituted for freedom of speech – the truth cannot be made known. It controls the money supply so that the majority are made so subject to poverty and bureaucratic regulations that they have no time or energy to spare for either understanding or resistance! It has bypassed our moral response to injustice by false teaching and indoctrination! It has manipulated our ability to fight back by using the growing violence caused by social frustration to excuse the removal of weapons.

THE FLIGHT OF THE WORLD WILL BE THE HOPE OF THE WORLD

The very suffering and despair of so many means there is a growing hunger for understanding. It is deception that leads us to believe we need the mass media to spread information; if a virus can spread through a community by personal contact so also can information! Hope can replace the energy to resist! Weapons of war are a handy and simple response to tyranny but we have such overwhelming numbers, legality and moral right, as can make weapons unnecessary.

If people can put aside their social and intellectual indoctrination for long enough to look honestly at the word democracy and consider the ideal it implies, then we will have made a small nick in the fabric of lies and deceits, a nick that could rapidly widen to liberate all of humankind.

If people can just get a glimpse of the life true democracy offers, then a truly new world will be born. A new world that is far removed from the “New World Order of Globalism”. A new world that is far in advance of the sterile parrots of the “politically correct” as is the genius of the independently free mind.

The fabric of slavery is like a thin plastic that seams impossible to tear until a small nick is made, it then falls apart.

YES! Government good or bad, is a result of the choices we make. It IS within our ability to save civilization if we but care to do so!

It is worth considering that all constitutional government, no matter what its title or constitution, is no better or worse than its people allow it to be. We need to have the desire to achieve the God-given social justice that is our human right so long as we accept our human responsibility and use our human ability.
Within 20 years of our Constitution being set in place, the party system was adopted—our democratic processes and gained control of parliament. By their system of divide and rule we (the people) were misled about our role in our system of government and a system was established that is now close to destroying our nation.

2. Within 30 years the party system had destroyed the purpose of our Commonwealth Bank. This bank had been set up to help manage the economy, eliminate the need for foreign loans and supply cheap finance to farm and industry. We were sold out to foreign money.

3. Within 50 years the parties progressively removed from our education all needed information about how our democracy was designed to work. Today, not one in a million of the general public has a clear understanding of the protections our Constitution provides or the way democracy should operate.

4. Next they persuaded the Monarch and the Australian people that Australia wanted an Australian-born, ‘political party chosen’, Governor General. Can we guess the advantage it gave the party system to have a Governor General who might look kindly on the creeping abuses of parliamentary authority.

5. Our Constitution established a legal contract between the people and the monarchy, but the working of this contract required that the people CHOOSE their own representatives! By allowing the party system to take over the parliament we broke that contract and gave the party system the right to advise the monarchy and thereby to take the power of the people themselves.

6. The level of lying, cheating and corruption and management today does not need listing. They have bribed the community and created a false sense of prosperity by allowing the accumulation of huge overseas debt; to service this debt they now sell our assets. They have created a selfish generation that has squandered its birthright and delivered Australia into the hands of international bankers. They have wrecked our system of justice and made education a farce. They lied to us about the need for a Bill of Rights because the one we already have restricts the powers of parliament.

THE STEALTHY REPUBLICANS

Our Constitution can be lawfully changed only by a public referendum so, to legally delete democracy, they need our legal consent.

We hear a lot about becoming a republic by painless deletion of Monarchical symbols, but what happens then?

Perhaps we should look more closely; it is easy to ‘leap into the dark’ but where do we land?

In a small book Dawning of a Republic, one will find the stamp of the Republican Party. This book was part of the Republican Party campaign in the election of ’84. It represened approved Republicanism. ... As we might expect there is nothing unusual in the idea as expressed in Dawning of a Republic, at least not so far as “party system” “world government” is concerned. The following quote is taken from Dawning of a Republic:

“It seems to appear as though our founding fathers had the spirit to make this a unified, independent country, but the forces of evil represented by the old power structure (the white Anglo-Saxon Protestant-WASP-influence) made sure that any change would be slight.” [It goes on] ... “Only the most ignorant, absent-minded, cold-hearted, irresponsible Australian will persist with the myth of the Monarchy” ... [Intemperate arrogant language is common but we notice that they admit that the ideals of our Constitution were good; quote continues.] “These detractors are unfit to be called Australian citizens” ... [And note this:] ... “The realists and patriots amongst us are well and truly aware of the trend toward a republic. Every day, in some small way, various changes to the system are made.” End Quote.

So we see the contemptuous attitude these have for the great majority of Australians; also (as they openly admit) the sly and secretive methods of gradualism used to manipulate public opinion and frustrate all democratic processes.

A large part of that small book is taken up by a proposed new constitution one sentence which reads as follows, Quote:-

"Australia's national principle is that of an indivisible, secular, democratic republic with government of the people, for the people." End Quote.

Fine words; if only we had not already noted that their idea of democracy was to make secretive gradual changes and use harassment as the preferred means of achieving their ends.

So how, actually would we be ruled? Who will create and administer the new republican laws? More importantly: who will see that the fine words of a new constitution are kept to when we know that the party system now ruling (and begging our approval for change) does not abide by the constitution we have?

The form of the constitution is only important if the people understand it and insist that it is kept to.

How can we believe that they really mean to achieve democracy of the people by the people when they bring their system in by stealth, mental harassment and subversion? How can we believe that it is to our benefit when THEY DO NOT DARE expose their program openly and honestly for our approval? How can we believe that they are dedicated to democracy when they deceive us about democracy THAT IS CONSTITUTIONALLY OURS RIGHT NOW?

Anyone concerned for human freedom and the future of our country can easily find that some of the small secretive changes (as they admit to) are not, by any means, small (in fact some, such as the treacherous "Australia Act", if they were to be democratic and constitutional, would need public...
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So what will be the effect of referendum approval to the proposed 'small change' of changing the name of the head of state from monarchy to president - from Queen to a party elected or appointed, "Head of State"?

First we lose the force of the Coronation Oath whereby our Monarch swears a legally binding oath to govern in accord with the laws and will of the people. The very oath that makes it possible for Australia (if we care to take up our Constitutional option) to be governed by the people, for the people.

Yes, our first loss would be our constitutional right to democratically govern ourselves! We would lose all of our common law system that has been established over centuries by the people for the people and which can only rightly be changed by referendum of the people.

We must realize this; there is no right to democracy written into our Constitution OTHER THAN through our attachment to our Monarchy. It is the Statute law of England that gives us our common law democratic protections.

The parties have already brought in law to divorce us from the Monarchy but to proceed in safety they need our legal consent. If we give that without insisting our common law protections are set into our Constitution, and with ADEQUATE provisions for enforcement, we become an instant dictatorship and colony of a world government!

WILL WE BE GIVEN OUR FULL COMMON LAW PROTECTION?

IF TREACHERY WAS NOT THE PLAN then why would they not tell us the true story clearly and in headlines all over the country? Why are critical points kept secret? If they planned to retain our common law protections then why would they conspire to have us sign them away?

What they are actually talking about is a referendum to LEGALLY END all future right to referendum - deletion of the powers of monarchy will mean deletion of all public power over the law-making of our nation.

Confirmation of this is spelled out in Item 4 of the constitution proposed in Dawning of a Republic. In this republican ideal it is written; quote:-

"All previous Colonial Validity Acts and the Statute of Westminster Adoption Act and any such acts which gave Constitutional or legal authority to the States and the Federal Government shall be repealed and all new authority shall be deemed to derive immediately and directly from the Australian Republic Constitution Act. Upon the Proclamation of this act the revised State Constitution Acts will also come into force." E.A.

The writer must have been very insecure (or constitutionally immature) because there was really no need to spell it out. Neither party, nor the mass media, make that mistake today.

Those seeking totalitarian power want it without challenge; they do not give warning.

All of our present common law protections are attached to our Monarchy.

It may come as a surprise to some to find that the dictionary meaning of "democracy" is, to all practical purposes, identical to the meaning of "republic". Both represent government of the people by the people. It is also self-evident that both are made impotent and are destroyed when the people's right to choose its representatives is taken over by party system government.

WHERE IS THE CREDIBILITY?

So you see there are serious problems of credibility attached to the plan to create a new republic in Australia. The republicans claim, that old world forces (those that give us the right to true democracy) also sabotaged that democracy and prevented us reaping the benefits of a unified independent country is clear trickery. If it was true then why the push for a republic? Why not expose the corruption and show us how to use the democracy we already have? Why not just expose the sabotage and inform people of the spirit and letter of our present democratic constitution - the best the world has known! We already have what they pretend they will give us.

On examination it shows that any new proposals fail to give us any mechanisms that would protect us from party government. There is nothing at all to match the protections we already have if we knew how to use what our present constitution offers.

Who is holding the blindfold over the eyes of the people? Well, the republicans admit being involved. So, do they work undercover for that hidden hand of the British Imperialism which they claim is undermining our rights, or is this a separate political grab for power?

We have been a free country since Federation. The Coronation Oath, with our Australian Constitution, gave us our freedom; our ties only offered
What has the Party System done for Australia?

PROTECTION for that freedom.

But the party system HAS signed hundreds of foreign agreements without asking public approval – doubtfully legal and certainly not democratic – these bind us in service to foreign, secretive and well-disguised plans.

THE ART OF BRAINWASHING

Brainwashing is undoubtedly at the core of our communal inability to throw off our political restraints. It is the most effective of all enemy weapons. People find it difficult to accept that their ideas and attitudes are imposed on them just as surely as the image is stamped on the coins in their pocket.

We send our children to pre-school because of a community-imposed belief that mothers are happier and more fulfilled by working in an office, shop or factory than in caring for their children.

And that belief is encouraged by enforced financial necessity.

Children learn at pre-school to bond to their peer-group and soon they are putting the peer-group educated beliefs and attitudes in opposition and rebellion to their parents.

As they grow older their peer-bonding attaches to ‘their’ sports team (football, rugby sports clubs etc.), to fashions and to sexual attitudes.

After this they are soon attached to ‘their’ party (political) or ideological fantasy.

As Bertrand Russell said: “The impact of science on society”... education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school, they will be incapable... of acting otherwise than their schoolmasters would have wished.”

Within a thin shell of ideas imposed by education and mass media people have little idea of where their thoughts and actions originate. We are in grave danger of becoming hatched into little cages as battery hens for life.

If we cannot find the will to challenge the ideas and ideals imposed on us – look to the evidence and accept our own free reason – if we cannot, or will not, see that many of the ideas, attitudes and morals promoted as new and modern just mirror the ancient and primitive urges of undisciplined and self-centred animal life, then humanity in man will die.

And signs of dying are all around us in acts of savagery and contempt for life. Our masters use the growing violence as excuse for imposing further laws and blaming weapons for the inhuman actions of those who own them – the extent of crime and violence is escalating.

The problem is not so much that answers are not known as that they hidden among a confusion of ideas and beliefs in a way that makes finding them almost as difficult as original research.

The Australian Constitution gave us the nearest to perfect system of democracy if the people play their part in it. It is the people who hold the rights to protection and freedom. It is the responsibility of each and every Australian to exercise those rights in a responsible manner.

Each generation has to be instructed so that it can use and defend its culture and heritage.

TRUTH is the very essence of Social order:

References

A History of the
"White Australia Policy"
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND TO THE POLICY

By Charles A. Needham

AUSTRALIA'S Immigration policy was shaped, largely, by the origin and course of its colonisation. From the first the colonists who came to Australia were, with few exceptions, men of one race. The British Isles were the source, not only of the convicts, but also of the free settlers. No great influx of people from other countries occurred when the foundations of the nation were being laid.

There was little intercourse with neighbouring countries of the near north except for an occasional attempt by pastoralists to recruit indentured labour there.

This meant that there was no break in the culture and traditions that were brought from the British Isles. There were changes because the men who came to Australia, whether as convicts or as settlers, were to a degree, rebels against the social and economic order of the old country. A spirit of independence and opposition to class distinctions and economic inequalities manifested itself from the beginning. A strong Trade Union movement grew up which, through its association with the Labor Party, had considerable political influence.

ASIAN MIGRANTS

The first migration from Asia came in the early 1850's with the discovery of gold. Large numbers of Chinese came into the country and there were soon racial troubles on the gold fields. Another cause of friction was the fact that the rush to the gold fields left the pastoralists short of labour. To solve this problem they sought to bring in indentured labour from Asia. This met with strong opposition from the Trade Unions who saw it as a direct threat to the security and standard of living of Australian workers.

At this time self-government had come to the states of Australia. Measures were soon introduced into parliament to restrict the entry of Chinese. There was public alarm at the gold field riots and also at the numbers of Chinese who were coming in to the country. In a period of seven years 60,000 Chinese came to a few centres of Victoria and New South Wales.

PUBLIC REACTION

The feeling of the people at the time was reflected in the report of the Governor to the Colonial Office:

"The rapidity with which they came, the alarming ratio which their numbers soon bore to the adult population and the total dissimilarity of the newcomers from the rest of the community aroused what seemed to be justifiable fears concerning the safety of the British nationality in these colonies."

More pressure for action came when an industrial dispute broke out over wages paid to Chinese seamen who were being employed by the Australian Navigation Co.

The Chinese were paid 2 pounds and 15 shillings per month instead of the wage of 8 pounds paid to Europeans. The economic argument against Asians came to the fore.

Restrictive legislation was, therefore, introduced in the various State Parliaments. When, in 1901, Federation came to Australia and the first Federal Parliament was elected, Immigration was one of the major issues.

IMMIGRATION DEBATES IN THE FIRST PARLIAMENT

The attitude to immigration which had gradually taken shape over the fifty years before Federation was now to be formulated into a policy that had the backing of all political parties although there were individual dissenters among the parliamentarians. The national attitude had been pretty accurately formulated by Sir Henry Parkes when he said:

"(It is) a question of the first magnitude to cement society together by the same principles of faith and jurisprudence, the same influence of language and religion and the same national habits of life."

During the debate on the Immigration Restriction and The Pacific Island Labourers Acts the only outspoken opponent was Bruce Smith, a Freetrader from Parkes, New South Wales. The main dispute was whether the policy should be carried out by means of a device like the diction test, or by specific exclusion of non-whites.

The reasons advanced for the Bill during the debate were generally the economic and social ones but their were examples of extreme racial prejudice. The bill that was finally passed used the diction test as the device to be invoked when it was desired to exclude a person on racial grounds.

Australian Immigration laws were not materially altered in the various amendments that came in subsequent parliaments. Australian nationalism was strengthened by the participation of Australian troops in the First World War. The depression years strengthened the economic arguments against more liberal Immigration laws. Fear of Japan, that was so widespread in the thirties, made the exclusion of Asians a matter of national security. It was not until after the Second World War that the climate of public opinion underwent a marked change and Australia entered into an era that was to see the introduction of a vast Immigration scheme.

PRESERVE NATIONAL WAY OF LIFE

It is evident from a study of the history of Immigration Acts in Australia
that the motives that inspired them was the desire to preserve the national way of life; to avoid a situation that could result in racial tension; and the determination to protect the standard of living. White Australia was seen as a natural consequence of such a policy, but the men who framed it did not believe that they could be accused of any prejudice against coloured races.

**POST-WAR CHANGES**

A change in Australia’s traditional attitude to Immigration came after the Second World War. It was realised that a vast country with a small population could not be defended now that Britain could no longer make a decisive contribution to Australia’s defence. Added to this was the fact that the world had suddenly shrunk with the revolution in air transportation and communications. Nations were now, because of the international forum of the United Nations, more sensitive to world opinion and more conscious of their international obligations.

**POLICY LIBERALISED**

Australia’s Immigration policy was liberalised. Great efforts were made to attract new settlers from Europe. British preference was still desired but since sufficient number was not available from Britain, migrants from all countries in Europe were welcomed. The change in attitude towards Asians did not result in a radical change in the policy towards them. However, Australia realised that it had a duty to inhumanity and a self-interest to help to solve the problem of under-developed countries. Aid programmes were initiated and the entry of large numbers of Asians for educational purposes was permitted. Intermarriage between Australian soldiers and Asians and the fact that so many Army personnel had had contact with Asians during the war brought about a change in the public attitude which was slightly more favourable towards them. This change was reflected in the Immigration Act which dropped the diction test and liberalized policy towards Asians. The change was small but in the long run was to prove significant to the Australian way of life in the years to follow.

The individual’s right to migrate must sometimes yield to the common good, and must be modified by a nation’s legitimate right to ensure that the common welfare of its citizens does not suffer unduly through his entry. Estimation’s of a country’s capacity to absorb immigrants without undue disruption of its economic and cultural life is a matter which, should be open for debate, and the citizens of the nation given the right of reply through a referendum.

The Australian Bishop’s on Immigration issued the following statement on September 1st, 1957.

“One of the most notable turning points in Australian history was reached when the nation made its post-war decision to launch a vigorous programme of planned migration.”

The gratifying success of the first decade of our migration project was largely due to the fact that the scheme was wisely planned and directed from the beginning. The Australian scheme avoided most of the dreadful evils which beset the flood of free migration from Europe to the Americas during the last century. In that tide of wholesale migration crowds of impoverished peasants and workers from Europe became the victims of heartless racketeers, who swindled them of their scanty savings and pitilessly exploited their labour. Long hours of toil for a miserable pay was the lot even of countless little children, and the lamentable economic position of many migrant workers left them easy victims to lives of degradation.

“Not many of these evils could recur in the improved social conditions of our time. Nevertheless, it is greatly to the credit of the Australian Government that it refused to allow a disorganised tide of humanity to reach our shores and fend for itself. From the outset it established a system of planned selection, assistance and reception of migrants, that took into careful consideration the economic balance of the nation and at the same time, safeguarded the human dignity and social freedom of the migrants themselves.”

“. . . Like the great majority of our fellow citizens, we feel proud of the success which has already crowned the nation’s immigration scheme. It is admired and praised in other countries outside Australia, and it has been proclaimed the best scheme of migration in the world today.”

“. . . In the matter of integration we must recognise a vast difference between the present tide of immigration and all the other population influxes of our early history. By far the greater number of the first Australian settlers shared a common heritage of language and tradition. But the migrants of today are of varied nationalities, and of cultures hitherto unknown in Australia. It is the first determination of the Australian people to prevent their own language and the traditions of their own country from being submerged in any future tide of foreign cultures.”

The Australian Catholic Truth Record 20th October, 1962 (No 1394).

**APOSTOLIC DELEGATE SUPPORTS “WHITE AUSTRALIA” POLICY**

It is significant that Churchmen, who expressed caution against altering Australia’s immigration policy, received very little publicity regarding their views. A classic example of this suppression was provided late in 1961 when the Church of Rome’s Apostolic Delegate to Australia, New Zealand and Oceania, the most Rev. Maximillian de...
Former P.M. Gough Whitlam

Fustenberg, D.D., said it was "wise" for Australia to retain its present immigration policy. His Excellency was addressing a laymen's dinner in Ballarat, Victoria, on November 20th. The February, 1962, issue of Light, Ballarat Diocesan journal, reported the Apostolic Delegate's views on Australia's immigration policy as follows:

Australia, he said, seemed to have a truly great destiny as a leader in the Asian nations. He had been in Japan for some ten and a half years and he knew that that nation, like all the Asian countries, was looking to Australia for help, inspiration and leadership.

If he could speak on the delicate question of the White Australia Policy, His Excellency observed, he would say that Australians were wise to retain it. He knew from observation that it was not regarded in Asian countries as a "colour bar" nor did it give offence. On the contrary, Australia was often cited as an example of tolerance and sympathetic understanding. It had won many Asian friendships by its sponsoring of numerous students at Australian schools and universities. This was a policy to be fostered and developed; but he felt that abandoning at this time the traditional White Australia Policy would bring many troubles inside and outside the country.

Apart from an obscure reference to His Excellency's remarks in The Age, Melbourne, there was no other reference outside Ballarat, in either Church or the secular press, to this defence of Australia's immigration policy.

The White Australia Policy was dismantled under Gough Whitlam's Labor government.

Editor's Note:
Because Australia is geographically close to Asia does not make it an Asian country. Australia is a European nation, and Australians have every moral right to protect their heritage. Australia has no racial problems similar to those which curse America, Britain and other countries. Australians can take a proper pride in their European traditions without being offensive in any way to non-Europeans, who also have their traditions. One of the arguments that was put forward, that the "White Australia" policy implies that Australians consider themselves superior to non-Europeans is quite false. The central truth is that there are racial DIFFERENCES. This truth should not be obscured by misleading information alleging racial superiority or inferiority. Christians accept the great commandment, "Love one another". But the mere stating of the law does not reveal how the law can be best applied. There are numerous ways in which Australian Christians can apply the law of love towards non-Europeans without breaking down their own Christian Heritage, culture and traditions.

Anti-Chinese feelings on the goldfields sometimes led to violent riots.

S o I sit and write and ponder, while the house is deaf and dumb, Seeing visions 'over yonder' of the war I know must come.

In the corner — not a vision — but a sign for coming days
Stand a box of ammunition and a rifle in green baize.
And in this, the living present, let the word go through the land,
Every tradesman, clerk and peasant should have these two things at hand.
No — no ranting song is needed, and no meeting, flag or fuss —
In the future, still unheeded, shall the spirit come to us!
Without feathers, drum or riot on the day that is to be,
We shall march down, very quiet, to our stations by the sea.
While the bitter parties stifle every voice that warns of war,
Every man should own a rifle and have cartridges in store!

HENRY LAWSON
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REVOLUTIONARY ROAD, a recent film adaptation of the depressing 1961 novel by Richard Yates, depicts the story of a disintegrating suburban family in the 1950's. It is arguably the cinematic equivalent of Betty Friedan's 1963 bestseller, The Feminine Mystique, which convinced millions of suburban housewives that their lives were meaningless. The movie underscores the fact that just as Christianity and private property are under attack, the Left will not cease its relentless assault on the traditional family.

FRAGILE NUCLEUS

The family is the pillar of all civilisations. Remove the family and a society is left without its most important bulwark against tyranny and disintegration. The family cannot be legislated or bamboozled out of existence without dire consequences. It serves, not only as a viable social unit, but also as a moral and social incubator for the next generation. However, blessed a family has been with children, money and success, its existence exists within a fragile nucleus that depends heavily on the support of many other institutions, including the state, churches and media.

Throughout the second half of the twentieth century, the structure of the family has changed radically. Due to the movement for unfettered individualism, the family has been coming apart at the seams. The divorce rate is astronomical, while the birth rate in many countries is below replacement rate of 2.0 children per family. Couples are cohabitating without benefit of marriage, and the practice receives societal acceptance. Contraception and adultery have become a common thread in the social fabric and many regard fornication as a recreational activity rather than a sinful act. The public school system's graphic sexual education programs have initiated children into pre-marital and homosexual behaviour at an early and tender age. Planned Parenthood has led the push to increase sex education in the public schools, even at the elementary levels, which can foster a childlike curiosity that often is satisfied by morally dangerous experimentation. Sex education is arguably responsible for countless unwanted pregnancies, abortions, school dropouts, and poverty. It has unleashed an epidemic of sexually transmitted disease that has caused sterility amongst thousands of young women.

The decline of traditional marriage has extracted a large price on our children. Family stability has been consistently found to be the deciding factor in a wide range of behaviours that directly influence academic performance, including emotional and psychological distress, social misbehaviour, substance abuse, sexual activity and teen pregnancy. Reports have found that children from broken homes had higher rates of depression, anxiety and low self-esteem, especially teenagers. Preschoolers from broken homes were much more likely to suffer from physical and emotional illnesses than children from stable homes.

There is also a serious external threat to the American family. According to Eagle Forum, the United Nations is still trying to implement its Convention on the Rights of the Child, which was signed in 1995 by President Bill Clinton but rejected by the U.S. Senate. This UN Treaty purports to give the child the right to express his or her own views freely in all matters, to receive information of all kinds through media of the child's choice, to freedom from religion, or to be protected from interference with his or her privacy and to have the right to rest and leisure.

In other words the UN is undermining parental rights, promoting abortion, contraception, atheism and pornography to American youth. Expect this treaty to resurface under President Barack Obama. (See Phyllis Schlafly Report-June 2002).

THE MARITAL EQUATION

While divorce has ended millions of marriages, it is more a fatal symptom of trouble in paradise than a cause of family decline. American culture has done everything in its power to undermine faithful marital relationships. It has removed virtually all of the social taboos of society that used to protect marriage from harmful influences, especially those regarding human sexuality. Over the past 30 years American literature, films and music have ridiculed the virtues that a lasting marriage needs.

Too many couples go into marriage for all the wrong reasons. They have succumbed to the romantic notions that Broadway, Hollywood and Motown have held up as the epitome of human relations. They tend to worship more at the altar of consumerism and sensual pleasures than Church. The modern family is turning more and more away from the traditional Christian family structure. Like the family in the movie Revolutionary Road, God seems to be missing from an increasing number of American families.

A BATTLEFIELD OF LUST AND LOVE

The mother and father are vital to the family structure. As Anne Coulter points out in her recent book, Guilty, American society now lionizes the single mother. Many women do not deliberately choose to be single mothers. Many are widowed or have rogue husbands who abandon their families. Coulter faults the single women who choose to have children out of wedlock. Deliberately depriving her child of a real father, buttressed by the legal and moral safeguards of marriage and the family, is the epitome of selfishness and irresponsibility. Nadya Suleman, an unmarried Californian woman, through in vitro fertilization produced 14 children, including octuplets and is a prime example of an ego without boundaries. Contrast her with the case of professional basketball player Candace...
Parker who proudly announced that she and her husband were going to have a baby and she was giving up her athletic career. Twisted feminists and some fans reacted swiftly with condemnation for her selfishness in putting her maternal and her husband were going to have a baby and she was giving up her athletic ambitions ahead of her team's fortunes on the basketball court.

On another level for over 50 years the Playboy Philosophy, a sterile intellectualisation of women as the plaything of men, has spread its dangerous tentacles into all walks of American society. Playboy Magazine and other sleazy publications have served as the entry drug that often leads to a deeper and darker world of hardcore pornographic imagery, including sadism, varied sexual fantasies, child pornography and the criminal abuse of children, even babies and toddlers.

Because of the internet, pornography has increased rapidly as millions of men and some women have sought sensual pleasures on the thousands of raw websites that have spawned an addiction rate of huge proportions. By its very nature, this form of self-induced sexual slavery undermines the moral bond of the human family. Ted Bundy, one of the most notorious serial killers in recent times, admitted to Dr. James Dobson before his execution in 1989 that he had been addicted to pornography most of his life. Concerning pornography Pope John Paul II lamented that the heart has become a battlefield between love and lust.

**A BIG TENT**

The militant homosexual movement has had a deleterious effect on the American family. It has bluntly rejected the traditional definition of marriage as a union of one man and one woman. Since the 1980's, every imaginable attempt has been made to make homosexuality normal. Their movement sees the deconstruction of traditional marriage as an important step in getting full societal acceptance. To advance their goals, Hollywood is always ready to play its role. The 2008 movie, *Milk* depicting the life and legend of San Francisco's slain homosexual activist, Harvey Milk, is a powerful portrayal of Milk's Alinskyan ability to organize his community against the traditional moral establishment. Fearing a political backlash, government officials cowered before the homosexuals' new found political and economic power to pave the way for the final acceptance of the homosexual lifestyle through a redefinition of traditional marriage.

The legalization which started in Vermont, found acceptance in Hawaii, and became constitutional in Massachusetts, is on the horizon for the Obama administration.

The Catholic Church opposes homosexual relationships because they are intrinsically disordered and an abuse of human nature. Pope John Paul II instructed Catholic officials around the world to oppose the legalization of homosexual marriage. In November 2008 Pope Benedict XVI announced support of California's Proposition 8, which outlawed homosexual marriage. Just as mankind wants to protect the rainforests, the Pope argued that the Church should also protect man from the destruction of himself. A sort of ecology of man is needed, he told the Vatican Curia.

In 2006 a throng of self-described lesbian, homosexual, bisexual, and transgendered protesters and their liberal allies among academia and the professions released a manifesto entitled *Beyond Same-Sex Marriage*: Their statement called for the recognition of committed, loving households in which there is more than one conjugal partner. They want a big tent definition of marriage so there is virtually no distinction between living under the same roof. This is precisely what former Pennsylvania Senator Rick Santorum warned against when the Supreme Court overturned the sodomy laws of Georgia in the 2003 case, *Lawrence vs. Texas*. With that decision, Santorum argued... you have the right to bigamy...incest...and adultery.

**NO FAMILY TIES**

The decline of the family has not been a Darwinian accident. Marxism has worked toward a complete destruction of the most basic institutions of Western Civilization for over 150 years. It has promised that in their earthly paradise the institution of marriage would quickly disappear. Communists blame marriage for the chains that bound men to a bourgeois morality that obstructed true earthly happiness.

In the "Communist Manifesto", 1848, Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels wrote that bourgeois family will vanish as a matter of course. As Fr. John Hardon, S.J. surmised, the Communists desire to introduce, in substitution for a hypocritically concealed, an openly legalized, community of women, something akin to what Secretary of State Hillary Clinton meant by it takes a village to raise a child. Marxists have historically feared the family because it is a rival centre of power. Since Communism is totalitarian and tolerates no rivals, strong family ties make it harder to impose a dictatorship. Alienated family members become what C.S. Lewis called Men Without Chests, that is, people who will be more susceptible to throwing off the moral chains that bind their passions and build...
their character, making them ripe for communist enslavement.

William Z. Foster was General Secretary of the American Communist Party. In his 1932 book, Toward Soviet America, he described the so-called freedom of the American woman as a myth. Either she is a gilded butterfly bourgeois parasite or she is an oppressed slave. Foster also advanced the idea that everything a woman did in her home was worthless, and personally unsatisfying. His inflammatory rhetoric paved the way for Betty Friedan’s successful undermining of suburban marriage in the 1960’s.

Friedan, the former Bettye Naomi Goldstein, was not the simple housewife frustrated in her need of a satisfying career outside her oppressive suburban surroundings. She had been a Stalinist propagandist since her student days at Smith College in the late 1930’s. Later she served as a dedicated disciple of cultural Marxist Herbert Marcuse, a fact conveniently absent from resumes and later her obituaries. Inspired by the revolutionary theories of Marx and Engels, Lenin and Gramsci, her women’s liberation movement has left a tattered legacy of confusion and broken marriages that have inflicted much damage on Western society.

DEEP COMPLIANCE

The dedication of communists and feminists, like Foster and Friedan, could not have been so successful without the able assistance of the entertainment and news media. To sell the deconstruction of the family to the public, the cultural Marxists needed the compliance of the media. In the 1950’s the cordial TV environment of the Nelsons, the Andersons, and the Cleavers were standard viewing fare for many American families. Every week these cheerful and optimistic parents and their model children handled the mundane problems of life with honesty and righteous integrity.

Since then, especially in movies like Revolutionary Road, and plays such as Who’s Afraid of Virginia Woolf, adultery, pre-marital sex and the absence of a moral and spiritual image of marriage are depicted without hesitation or reservation. Gramsciian women, like the lonely libertines of TV’s Desperate Housewives, have set the new secular standard for twisted reality.

Father Benedict Groeschel has been a leading critic of the media’s iconoclastic view of the family. In his keynote address at his Franciscan’s Conference Future Depends on Love, Father Groeschel longed for a return to the days when movies inspired virtue and moral regeneration and often depicted the lives of Saints and Catholic priests as heroes.

He complained that the media holds man as the centre of reality when it is really God who is at the centre. In Pope John Paul II’s address The Media and the Family: A Risk and a Richness for the 2004 World Communications Day, the Pope warned that the media had the capacity to do grave harm to families by presenting an incoherent or even deformed view of life, the family, religion and morality.

AN INTIMATE PARTNER

Most people, except for the ideologically driven, understand the family’s decisive role in the moral, spiritual and intellectual nurturing of each human being. In his 2005 book It Takes a Family: Conservatism and the Common Good, former Senator Rick Santorum argues that any attack on marriage undermines the future of American society.

Drawing freely from anthropology and history, Santorum points out that every known society has some form of marriage. And it’s always about bringing together a male and a female into a union where the interests of children under the care of their own mother and father are protected.

As a practicing Catholic, Santorum grounds his ideas in natural law. He correctly asserts that liberals believe that the traditional family is neither natural nor vital. According to Santorum, the liberal elite promotes a Spencerian ideology of No-Fault Freedom which insists that personal choice, grounded in an assertion of personal autonomy, is the highest good.

Santorum also argues that married people must be animated by the sense that they are stewards of the cultural inheritance of Western Civilization so they can combat the Marxist notion that marriage will inevitably disappear from the American landscape. Radio host Michael Medved’s 2008 book, The 10 Big Lies About America, also treats this myth. He sees a revival of traditional marriage as Americans realise the importance of permanence and stability in their lives.

The Pontifical council for the Family convened the Sixth World Meeting of Families in Mexico City January 13-18, 2009, with the theme The Family as Educator in Human and Christian Values.

Over 50,000 attendees heard Pope Benedict XVI stress the necessity “to develop a family culture and policies that are driven in an organized manner by the families themselves. The family should be able to count on deserved cultural, legal, social and medical protection”. He added: “Living…in filial obedience to God with fidelity and in generously accepting children, caring for the weakest and ready to forgive, becomes a living Gospel that all can read”.

William A. Borst, PhD. is the author of “Liberalism: Fatal Consequences” and the “Scorpion and the Frog: A Natural Conspiracy”. Both are available from the author at PO Box 16271; St. Louis, MO 63105. USA.
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THE LITTLE TOWN OF CREWSWICK, a mining centre eleven miles north of Ballarat in Victoria, has produced more than its quota of famous men.

Sir Alexander Peacock, three times State Premier and a member of fourteen ministries, was born there.

So were the Lindsay brothers, Norman, Lionel, Percy and Daryl, who have contributed much to shape the course of Australian cultural life.

John Curtin was another Creswick son, born there on January 8th, 1885.

Life for John, his brother and two sisters, was hard in the days of their childhood, for his father, a sergeant of police, was poorly paid and crippled with rheumatism.

His education, obtained in country State schools, and finished at St. Ambrose's, Brunswick, came to a sudden halt when he was fourteen. His father was now completely paralysed, and he and his brother had to shoulder the task and responsibilities of supporting the family.

With little background, and no specific trade, young John had to take what work was offering, and began as a printer's "devil" in a newspaper office run by the Lindsay brothers. The hours were long, the remuneration small, but John still found time to study in his own precious time.

To earn more money he tried other jobs, becoming first a club page boy and then a pottery worker. His first permanent position was that of secretary to the Victorian branch of the Timber Workers' Union.

Curtin's interest in trade unionism had developed from an ambition of his, which was never frustrated by his own difficult family circumstances, to help his fellow-men.

Perhaps, rather, it was his own difficulties that fostered this ambition. In his private studies, therefore, he did not confine himself to literature for which he had a great love, but to economics as well, and the study of the trade union movement. It was this interest that resulted in his selection for his first trade union position.

But Curtin's concentration on providing for his family and bettering his own limited education had their effect both on his health and on his disposition. By the time he was thirty he had developed astigmatism in one eye, and had the reputation of being an unsociable fellow, for he had no time to develop social graces. Nevertheless, the ordinary man in the street, the bus-driver, the waitress the railways porter, was always to find John Curtin an easy man to talk to, and looked in vain for the aloofness of which he was accused.

CURTIN IS ATTRACTED TO THE SOCIALIST WING OF THE LABOR PARTY

Influenced, while not out of his 'teens, by the writing and oratory of the English socialist Tom Mann, Curtin was himself greatly attracted toward the socialist wing of the Labor Party.

In appearance at this time he was of medium build, with an unusual head, with steady, intelligent eyes, in spite of the defect in one of them. His nose was aquiline and large. There was little, however, about him which would impress the casual observer with the force and power he exhibited before a political audience.

In 1917 John Curtin applied for the position of editor of the small weekly Western Australian edition of The Worker, a Labor paper. He was selected from a number of candidates who sought the post, and was soon on his way to the West.

But John Curtin's appearance was a great disappointment to at least one of the directors of the People's Printing and Publishing Company, who produced the paper. Alan Chester tells the story of his disbelief that this really could be Curtin, in his biography of the politician's life. The man concerned "leaned across to Alexander McCallum (a leading figure in Western Australian Labor politics) and whispered: "Are you sure this is Curtin?"

"What did you think you were getting?" McCallum asked amusedly. "A Circus performer?"

Then turning to Curtin, "Everything is ready for your job, Jack. Read this." He handed him a cutting and the young man scanned it quickly. It was headed 'Our New Editor.'

When Curtin looked up he was frowning.

"Inaccuracies shouldn't bother politicians."

"Inaccuracies shouldn't bother politicians."

"Shouldn't they?" murmured the new editor, icily. "In the first place I am not a politician, and in the second place inaccuracies in newspapers are ---"

"An Inaccuracies in the first place," finished McCallum, and laughed with the irresistible joy of his overpowering personality.

John Curtin arrived in Perth in February; two months later, on April 21st, he married Elsie Needham, a Tasmanian girl, whom he affectionately called "Nippy." He was too busy at this period to afford time off for the accepted holiday allowed for such an event, and the couple were able to take only a one-day honeymoon, but it was sufficient for them to plan the home that was to be theirs at Cottesloe, near the sea.

CURTIN DELVES INTO POLITICS

For several years Curtin applied himself assiduously to journalism. Twice he put up for Parliament, but was unsuccessful. Unlike so many of Australia's greatest politicians, he was not to enter the legislature in his early years. His selection as Australian delegate to the International Labor conference held in Geneva in 1924, however brought his name more definitely before the public.
The fact that he was chosen was a great personal triumph for him.

The conference itself was a great disappointment to Curtin on the grounds that the two great powers, America and Russia, were not included. He could see no hope for the survival of an International Labor group without them, and it seemed so much a waste of time and effort.

For the next few years Curtin turned his attention to the question of Australian defence, in view of the general unrest of the world. He realised at once that a country’s sound economic position was its surest defence, and devoted his energies to a further study of the subject. One friend of his said, "Curtin reads books on economics as avidly as I read Edgar Wallace." Not that Curtin, too, in his less strenuous moments, could not also enjoy a good detective yarn, while he had a great liking for Westerns. Although this deep study of economic defence was not to be called into use in the twenties, it was to become a valuable source of information to be drawn on in the critical years which followed 1939.

Undaunted by previous defeats, Curtin again put up for Federal Parliament in 1928. He contested the Fremantle seat and was elected by 20,600 votes to 18,900. Jim Scullin, also a Labor journalist, and a man who had influenced Curtin’s thinking greatly, became Prime Minister. It was generally considered that he would include Curtin in the ministry, but this did not happen, and the latter took his seat as a private member.

This first term in Parliament was fated to be of short duration for Curtin. Australia was in the middle of the great depression when governments came and went as the general public, unknowing of the underlying economic causes of the terrible hardships they suffered, felt always that a change of Government would bring about a return to happier days. At the next election Scullin was voted out, and Curtin lost his seat.

Financially and personally this was a great blow to Curtin, who had worked so hard and long with the one end in view, of being a member of the Federal House of Representatives. His defeat left him without a job, as, of course, he had had to give up the editorship of The Worker in 1928. It was not a good time to be without a job, with the queues of people drawing Government relief lengthening daily. The Labor Party in Western Australia suggested that he should go back to Victoria, where he had his family and friends.

"Fremantle giveth, and Fremantle taketh away," he said, "and I am waiting to see if Fremantle giveth again."

This political set-back had its effect on Curtin, and he developed a reserve that few outside his immediate circle could penetrate.

Once more Curtin turned his attention to journalism, and by sheer dint of hard work, and refusing to accept rebuffs, he managed to earn enough to keep his family, which now consisted of a son and daughter, as well as his wife, in comparative comfort in their seaside home at Cottesloe.

Their demands from life were not great, and the four of them lived austerely, but happily, content to count as pleasures the little enjoyments which were close to them. With his wife, Curtin was always content, for they shared a love of simple things and had no desire to entertain lavishly.

In 1934 Fremantle decided to "give" once more, and John Curtin was again returned to the Federal Parliament, to hold the Fremantle seat until the time of his death.

In the meetings of Caucus, Curtin soon revealed himself as a party man with a future. His speeches were marked by their logic, force and sincerity. A year after the election Scullin resigned the leadership of the party, and Curtin was persuaded to stand as his successor.

In the ballot which followed he defeated F.M. Forde, the other contestant, by just one vote.

Curtin Elected as the Leader of the Labor Party

In many ways the Labor Party had suffered more than any other by the years of depression, and it needed a strong, far-sighted man at its head more now than perhaps it had ever done before. Curtin proved to be the right choice, and by 1937 he had worked wonders with the party’s reconstruction. Labor was once more definitely on its feet. But Curtin was not content to rest here; the question of defence was still of paramount importance to him, and the early rumblings of international upheaval disturbed him.

An illustration of how clearly he realised from which direction a threat to Australia would come, is given in the following conversation which he had with his daughter about this time. They were sitting together on the lawn of their house at Cottesloe looking out over the Indian Ocean past the island of Rottnest.

"I was thinking," he remarked, "what we would do, what our reactions would be, if we saw the Jap fleet coming in past the island now."

"Do you think they ever will?" asked his daughter.

"I've stopped wondering if they ever will," said Curtin. "The only question to be answered now is, when?"

Before the next election Curtin made much of the need of preparedness for defence in his speeches. He stressed the importance of every person and every industry being mobilised to this end, so that the Commonwealth could be self-sufficient in any emergency.

"No longer," he said, "is that doctrine..."
of self-sufficiency merely an industrial
ambition; it is now the supreme national
necessity.”

When questioned as to when he
expected the attack on Australia to
come, he replied, “Not when we are
good and ready, but when they are good
and ready.”

And then war did break out, and in
1939 Australia found herself ranged
alongside other members of the British
Commonwealth of Nations in a fight
against the Axis nations.

Curtin was then Leader of the
Opposition, but he gave his full
support to the Prime Minister, Robert
Menzies. As a member of the Advisory
War Council, he warned of Japanese
aggression, although Japan at this time
was not a combatant.

War Council, he warned of Japanese
aggression, although Japan at this time
was not a combatant. With the fall of the
Menzies Government, Arthur Fadden (later
Sir Arthur) formed a ministry, but in
October, 1941, just two months before
Japan struck at Pearl Harbour, Curtin
moved a vote of no confidence in the
government and, with the support of
several Independent members, the
vote was carried. So Curtin himself
was approached and asked to form a
ministry, and thus began his term as
Prime Minister of Australia.

Curtin was the man the country needed.

Curtin takes up residence

Even when the Curtins took up
residency at the Lodge, Canberra, their
normal quiet manner of living was barely
altered. They did little entertaining, and
carried on as much as they would have
done in their own home in Cottesloe.
Curtin himself remained as simple in his
approach to people as he had always, and
took to himself no special privileges. He
would wait in queues just like anyone
else.

When soon after the commencement
of his term in office, Japan entered the
war as an aggressor, Curtin realised
just how isolated Australia was. He
knew Great Britain was involved in a
battle of life and death and could not be
called upon for help, and so he turned
to America as the natural partner in the
Pacific zone of warfare.

As a wartime Prime Minister, John
Curtin was the man the country needed.
He saw clearly what lay ahead, and
what was required to be done. He was
willing to make personal sacrifices and
so he called on the people of the
Commonwealth to do so also.

But any Prime Minister in a time of
war is surrounded by as many critics
as he has supporters. Whenever there
is a reverse there are always those who
will lay the blame for it on government
policy. And in this Curtin was no
exception, especially after the fall of
Singapore struck terror into the hearts
of Australians, for Singapore had been
considered impregnable.

To Curtin fell the task, not only of
answering these critics, but also of trying
to lift the gloom which had settled over
the continent with this disaster.

To America he said in a broadcast
which went all over the world, “Australia
is the last bastion between the west coast
of America and the Japanese. If Australia
goes, the America’s are wide open . . . I
give you the pledge of my country: There
will always be an Australian government
and there will always be an Australian
people. We are too strong in our heart,
our spirit is too high, the justice of our
cause throbs too deeply in our being,
for that high purpose to be overcome.”

These were the words of optimism and
inspiration, coming not only from the
heart of Curtin, but from the hearts of
the people he represented.

Party politics were put in the
background and both sides in Parliament
bent themselves, under Curtin’s
direction, towards winning the war. And
at the elections of 1943 the government
had an overwhelming majority in both
Houses.

With the firm entrenchment of the
Japanese in New Guinea, Curtin once
more appealed to the United States,
pointing out the urgency of the time
factor. “Now time is fighting on the side
of Japan,” he said. “Too much is not
good enough when it is too late.”

Common planning for
Carrying on the war

In 1944, accompanied by his wife
and General Sir Thomas Blamey, then
Commander-in-Chief of the A.M.F.,
Curtin visited the United States.
Confidences between President Roosevelt
and the Australian Prime Minister were
both cordial and productive, and the
visit did much to consolidate
common planning for the carrying on of the
war.

In the same year Curtin visited
England to confer with Winston
Churchill, and other leaders of state, at the
Empire Prime Ministers’ Conference.

On his return to Australia, the
Prime Minister had to go into hospital,
where he remained for several weeks
recuperating from the effects of strain,
which had been detrimental to his high
blood pressure and neuritis. As soon as
he felt he was sufficiently recovered, he
returned to Canberra in time to spend his
sixtieth birthday resting at the Lodge.

In spite of advice that he needed a
longer period of convalescence, Curtin
took up his duties again at the end of
January, 1945, but two months later he
was once more in hospital suffering from
throat and chest infection. Congestion
of the lungs kept him there until May,
when he left with strict orders to take a
prolonged rest. But Curtin could not rest
with his country at war.

The following month the congestion
recurred again, and Curtin was confined to
bed.

Just before midnight on July 4th, his
wife drank a cup of tea with him. When
they had finished the refreshment, he
said, “Go on Mrs. Curtin, it’s best that
you go off to bed now.”

Mrs Curtin obeyed his request, but
she could not sleep. Returning to her
husband’s bed-side a little while later
she found he had sunk into slumber,
slumber from which he was not to
awake. So it was that on July 5th, 1945,
the people heard the words of Acting
Prime Minister Forde coming over the
air, “The life of the Prime Minister came
to an end peacefully and without pain in
his sleep at 4 a.m. today.”

So passed John Curtin, “Plain John”
Curtin, good husband, devoted father,
student, philosopher and great Labor leader.

Compiled by Eve Bennetts
OUR NATIONAL FLAG

Dear Editor,

Further to my letter published in “Heritage” Vol.33 Issue 126, advising that I was seeking written confirmation that the Australian Greens supported changing our flag. I now have Senator Brown’s Electoral Officer, John Dodd’s letter of 4th, February, 2009 referring to Senator Brown’s support of a new national flag, and referring to his statement on this matter in Hansard 7th, November, 2000.

I also received a copy of a letter re the ALP Secretariat, Canberra – its National Secretary Karl Bitar – of 23rd, March, 2009 stating among other items: “The logo using the Australian Flag has not been used since 1995 in any ALP material.”

At the ALP Convention 1994:

Amendment 1. Moved: D. Melham (Banks FEC) Seconded: A. Symonds (Coogee SEC)

Conference recognises that many Party members have strong views about the Union Jack on the Party’s logo on all Party material as a demonstration of the Party’s commitment to a Republic by 2001.

Further, conference requests the National Executive to also consider a redesign of the Party’s logo to remove the Union Jack from the logo. Amendment 1 was put and carried unanimously. Confirmed by ALP National Secretary Tim Gartrell, 5th August, 2004.

Herewith is a copy of a letter from the Labor National Secretariat, in answer to a concerned citizen’s request for information regarding the Australian Labor Party’s Logo.

23rd, March 2009.

Dear Sir/Madam, (Name supplied, withheld by request).

Thank you for your letter regarding the ALP logo.

The Australian Labor Party has updated its logo twice since the early 1990s when the Australian flag (including the union jack) formed the logo used in federal election campaigns.

Since that time a logo making use of the Southern Cross and red flag has been used, before the transition to the current ALP logo which is based on a red square design.

The logo using the Australian Flag has not been used since 1995 in any ALP material.

I have included some material which demonstrates the new logos use in the 2007 election campaign for your information, as well as a copy of the Labor History book produced by the National Secretariat.

I hope that this answers your question.

Yours sincerely

Karl Bitar
National Secretary

Supporters of our flag may wish to respond concerning Senator Bob Brown’s support of changing our flag; this can be done by writing to Senator Bob Brown: Box 404, Hobart Tasmania, 7001 or email senator.bob.brown@aph.gov.au.

Supporters wishing to respond to the Australian Labor Party Logo change, write to:- National Secretary, Labor National Secretariat, 5/9 Sydney Avenue, Barton, ACT 2600 – PO Box 6222, Kingston, ACT 2604.

PHILIP L. GIBSON
PO Box 584, Woollahra, NSW 1350

STRIKE A BLOW

Most would agree that this country is in a mess...there is no need here to spell out the ever-increasing litany of problems. It is axiomatic that if this trend is to be halted and eventually reversed, widespread knowledge of the causes is essential.

This, in a nutshell, is what The Australian Heritage Society and “Heritage” journal is all about. If we allow our priceless heritage, (Our Christian worldview and the lessons of our long history) be lost, then there is nothing left worth saving.

This, undoubtedly, is a mind-boggling, difficult and daunting task; one far beyond even the most powerful person. At the other end of the scale there is practically no-one who cannot do something. As a “Heritage” subscriber you have a wonderful opportunity to “strike a blow”. Simply pass on your “Heritage” journal.

Given the wide variety of articles, not to mention the scope of literature advertised, it should not be hard to find someone who would be interested in something in each issue.

Better to light the smallest candle than simply curse the dark.

ROBERT DEWAR
Samson, WA.
IN 2008 the wreck of our famous World War II Light Cruiser H.M.A.S. Sydney was at last found and photographed on the bed of the Indian Ocean off our Western Australian Coast.

“Sydney” our second cruiser to bear that name had been sunk with all hands, in battle by the disguised German Merchant Raider “Kormoran” on Thursday 19th November, 1941.

A great deal of mystery still remains today on what actually happened on that afternoon 67 years ago to Captain Burnett and H.M.A.S. Sydney?

Never before had a naval cruiser been sunk by a merchant raider, “Sydney” survivors and certainly bodies were expected to be found. A crew of 645 men just cannot disappear.

Or can they?

The notable Australian – New Zealand actor Russell Crowe played the leading role in the 2003, 20th Century Fox film “Master and Commander”. The film is a fictional Napoleonic era epic, in which, a smaller Royal Navy ship overcomes its more powerful French opponent by means of deception.

Perhaps the “Kormoran” used a similar ruse to get the better of our “Sydney”? One has to ask, was the Japanese submarine “1-58” also involved in this battle-action two weeks before Pearl Harbour. She may have been re-supplied by “Kormoran” at the time?

At the start of World War II in 1939, the German Surface Navy was too small to openly challenge the British Royal Navy, then the largest and best navy in the world.

However to isolate Britain from overseas supplies so desperately needed, and to starve the little island into submission, Germany held two useful cards in her hands.

One was the U-boat, well remembered for its attacks on the Atlantic convoys. However the range of the U-boat was limited.

The other ace card held by the Germans, were the armed Merchant Raiders. These were converted Merchant ships, able to disguise themselves so as to impersonate Allied merchant ships. Their hidden armament could be brought into action in only six seconds. It was fairly similar in fire-power to that carried by a light cruiser, and at close range they were deadly.

DISGUISED AND DEADLY

These raiders, by erecting false funnels, canvas, paint et cetera, would imitate the appearance of an allied or neutral merchant vessel, fly its flag in order to approach and close in on an unsuspecting Allied ship.

Then by law the German merchant raider was required to lower its allied or neutral flag, and hoist the German Ensign before taking any hostile action.

German raiders would open fire suddenly, by day or night on the unsuspecting allied ships. This had the advantage, that if the Officer, whose duty it was to destroy the secret code books by throwing them overboard was killed or wounded, the German boarding party in most cases would search and capture these valuable documents. Most of the German raiders would first signal the Allied ship to stop, and not use radio transmission.

To combat these raiders, Allied ships were requested to radio a series of the letter “Q” and their position if attacked. Then ideally, if an Allied warship was in the area it could race to the besieged ship, and sink the attacking raider. When attacked a few merchant ships obeyed the enemy’s radio silence order,
but many ships bravely tried to transmit their position. The German raiders’ radio would pick up these transmissions and they would open fire, effectively silencing these radio signals. The crew of the captured ship would usually be taken on to the raider as prisoners-of-war, then transported back to Germany aboard a captured Allied ship, manned by a prize crew from the German raider.

From 1940 to 1943, Germany dispatched nine of these “Hilfskreuzer”s or Auxiliary cruisers to roam the world’s oceans, sinking or capturing Allied merchant ships. The smallest of these converted merchant ships was “Komet”, a mere 3,287 tons, the largest being “Kormoran” at 8,736 tons.

The German raider “Atlantis” cruised the seven seas for 20 months, disposing of 22 valuable Allied merchant ships, until the R.N. Cruiser “Devonshire” found her in the South Atlantic, and after a fierce battle, sunk her and sent her down to Davy Jones on the sea bed. This total was only three ships less than the famous German light cruiser “Emden”, which sank or captured 25 Allied ships, before, suddenly meeting her under the heavier guns of our first cruiser H.M.A.S. “Sydney” in World War 1.

The nine German merchant raiders in World War 11, sunk or captured between them at least 125 of our ships, over ¾ million tons of shipping.

THE RAIDER “KORMORAN”

The raider “Kormoran” with her hidden armament of six heavy 15cm guns, numerous smaller guns and automatic weapons, torpedo tubes, including underwater torpedo tubes and a seaplane, sailed from Goterhafen for the worlds oceans on the 3rd, December, 1940, under the command of Captain Theodor Detmers. Foiling the British blockade “Kormoran” slipped into the Atlantic via the Denmark Strait, which runs between Greenland and Iceland.

Frequently changing her disguise to look like the ships that use different routes, “Kormoran” began her isolated life at sea. Over a period of eleven months, she would come to sink or capture eleven Allied merchant ships in the Atlantic and Indian oceans.

Before closing in on the Western Australian coast to lay minefields, the “Kormoran” met at sea, the supply ship “Kulmerland”, which had sailed from Goterhafen in Berlin in September, 1940, Germany and Japan were already assisting each other as belligerents. The “Kulmerland” gave the “Kormoran” fuel oil and food, and took on board “Kormoran”s prisoners-of-war that she had accumulated from the Allied ships that she had sunk.

H.M.A.S. “SYDNEY”

Our H.M.A.S “Sydney” was a Leander Class Light Cruiser built in England for our Navy, and launched at Wallsend-on-Tyne in September, 1934. She had a displacement of 6,830 tons, and a speed of over 32 knots. Her main armament was eight, 6 inch (15cm) guns in four twin fore and aft turrets.

H.M.A.S. “Sydney”s early war service in the Mediterranean Sea was action packed. She played a leading role in the sinking of the fast Italian cruiser “Bartolomeo Colleoni”. With her outstanding war record, “Sydney” was idolised by all Australians.

When “Sydney” went down, Pearl Harbour and Japan’s entry into the war was only just two weeks away. In her last weeks “Sydney” was engaged in a regular “milk run”, escorting troopships carrying our Australian 8th Division to reinforce Malaya and Singapore.

Japan, who was preparing to invade Malaya, would have been worried indeed, with the knowledge of Australian reinforcements sailing towards Malaya and Singapore at this point in time.

Some historians suggest that “Kormoran” assisted by a Japanese submarine was attempting to attack these troopships. The 45,647 ton “Aquitania” was an excellent target.

As the only witnesses of the sinking of the “Sydney”, were the crew of the “Kormoran”, then at the time our enemies, we cannot be sure their story is completely factual. However the official historical records accept the “Kormoran”s surviving crew’s account of the events.

In brief it is this; “Sydney”, sailing alone and returning to the Port of Fremantle, sees the “Kormoran” and closes in on her to check her credentials. The “Kormoran” was then disguised as the Dutch ship “Straat Malakka”.

Every Allied merchant ship possessed a secret four letter code for identification. Whilst the Germans may have known these codes from other captured ships, Captain Detmers said: “He did not know the “Straat Malakka”s” identification...
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German Raider "Widder" disguised as the "Ulyses"

German Raider "Thor" disguised as "Santa Cruz"

German Raider "Komet" showing two of her hidden gunports open and exposed

letters. He said: "They tangled their signal flags, playing for time, until the "Sydney" was a sitting-duck about 1200 yards away and broadside on".

Detmers claimed "Sydney" was completely deceived. Only her heavy six inch gun turrets were manned and pointing at "Kormoran" and stewards in white coats were leaning over her rail watching.

Then the "Kormoran" de-camouflaged and hit "Sydney" with everything it had, including torpedos'. Only "Sydney's" aft turrets were able to briefly return fire, damaging the "Kormoran" so that she herself later sank.

POSSIBLE SCENARIOS

There are other possible scenarios of what may have happened. "Sydney" meets and identifies the "Kormoran" as an enemy raider. "Kormoran" surrenders either without engaging in attacking, or after attacking. "Sydney", accepting the surrender moves in close to "Kormoran", only to be hit by a torpedo fired from the "Kormoran" and being re-supplied.

In Russell Crowe’s film, "Master and Commander", the smaller British warship disguises herself as a harmless whaling ship, deceiving the more powerful French ship which sailed along side her, where the better trained British sailors were then able to capture her.

Did Detmer’s employ a similar ruse?

"Sydney" sailing south, spy’s the disguised "Kormoran" listing, (fuel tanks pumped to one side), smoke billowing out of her, and sending the letter “Q” on her radio, indicating that she had been attacked by an enemy raider. With barely three hours of daylight left, “Sydney” falling for the deception would come in fast to lend assistance, determine damage and the route she had taken, only to be sunk by the “Kormoran” at close range.

There were 318 survivors from the "Kormoran". These survivors escaped in seven lifeboats and rafts. Two lifeboats reached the coast of Western Australia just north of the coastal town of Carnarvon. The remaining survivors were rescued at sea by the ships "Aquitania", “Trocus”, “Centaur” (later to become a hospital ship, and sunk by a Japanese submarine near Brisbane), “Koolinda” and “Yandra”.

Strangely there was no sign of a "Sydney" survivor or body to be found. Nearly eleven weeks later a dead sailor on a raft washed ashore at Christmas Island, was possibly a sailor from the "Sydney”.

WHAT IS TRUTH?

There appears to be much suppression of truth regarding the “Sydney’s” end. Our side were reading the Japanese codes and were aware of the coming Pearl Harbour attack. To preserve this secret knowledge, we could not do anything that would indicate to the Japanese we were reading their secret codes.

The U.S.A. on her part needed the Japanese to make the first attack. So as to give America a reason to enter the war. We also needed America in the war to save us from defeat by Japan.

On the other side of the coin with war several weeks away with Japan, any Japanese submarine which may have been involved in the sinking of “Sydney” could not be seen to exist.

The following may be true and may help in determining on what happened on that fateful day.

It appears "Q" signals were picked up in Western Australia. They could have come from “Kormoran”? “Sydney” may have used her radio? Though the authorities seem to deny this. "Sydney” was aware beforehand that a raider was in the area.

There is also the hearsay claim of an Australian soldier, sworn to secrecy, that he participated in the burying of dead "Sydney” sailors in a mass grave on the Western Australian coast.

Author’s Note: The H.M.A.S. "Sydney” mystery has pervaded my adult life.

Two books which seem truth seeking are:-

“Who Sank the Sydney” by Michael Montgomery - Cassell Australia Ltd., 1981. Michael Montgomery’s father was “Sydney’s” navigating officer. Michael was 4 months old at the time.

“Somewhere Below” by John Samuels - Halstead Press, Sydney. 2007. These two books discuss some of the issues mentioned in this article, as well as many other thought-provoking issues.

Alan Barton -2009

Currency note printed by the Japanese for use in occupied areas in the Pacific (J. Hehir)
Is Swine Flu Hype a Political Manoeuvre?  
Is it Designed to Scare the Public Into Forced Vaccines?  
OTHERS SEE SOMETHING EVEN MORE SINISTER IN UNIQUE FLU STRAIN  
BY RALPH FORBES

A n international businessman who performs work for the Department of Health and Human Services told American Free Press that fear mongering by Washington and the World Health organisation about swine flu is an attempt to scare people into allowing a global control of the healthcare system.

From 300,000 to 500,000 cases of flu are reported in the United States each year and 30,000 to 40,000 die, he pointed out. At the moment of this interview, May 11, 100 cases of the flu variant called “swine” had been reported. Yet, all of the major newspapers and news broadcasts breathlessly report on the “swine flu pandemic” to the virtual exclusion of other developments throughout the world.

Health and Human Service Secretary Kathleen Sebelius and Janet Napolitano, Department of Homeland Security flack, take the absurd position that the United States is under a dire threat of a swine flu epidemic but Mexicans, legal and illegal, should continue to be welcomed as they cross the border into this country.

The swine flu outbreak originated in Mexico City, with 2,000 cases so far. But the public hysteria continues, with some wise dissent, “I am outraged..." an evil political manoeuvre...blatant advertising efforts to panic the people into taking swine flu shots...those who were responsible should be held morally accountable to the American public," said Rep. Ron Paul (R-Tex.), M.D.

The swine flu pandemic has knocked the bad news of the economy and the torture scandals off the front pages. Talking heads repeat the official line - "We have no real answers" - of the latest swine flu scare, but the real news is what the establishment news media fails to tell you - or quickly kills if it leaks out.

WHERE DID SWINE FLU EMANATE FROM?

"How did it start in Mexico, where did it emanate from? We've been able to find that out in all previous pandemics. The question now is to get at the heart of how this started - did it start from the occasion of viruses coming together or did it come out of a laboratory? All those questions have to be answered" - Ret. Army General Russell Honore on CNN.

Why was the initial epicentre of this flu attack in sunny Mexico, long after the seasonal influence was over? How did at least four different varieties of swine, avian and human flu viruses - from four different parts of the world, North America, Asia and Europe - all travel to Mexico and unnaturally reassert their genetic sequences into a mysterious recombinant chimera?

"This strain of swine influenza that has been cultured in a laboratory is something that has not been seen anywhere actually in the United States and the world, so this is actually a new strain of influenza that’s been identified," said Dr. John Carlo, Dallas County Medical Director.

This interview revealing “Cultured in a lab” was buried in the Memory Hole under a tidal wave of “it’s a mystery” experts, disinformation and planted nonsense. “Cultured in a Lab” solves a lot of mysteries.

Why does the H5N1 virus subtype - in nature a benign, commonplace virus which most birds carry with no harm to themselves or humans - suddenly become a virulent killer? Many say this mis-named “Spanish Flu” (really the “Kansas Flu”) was an artificially created variant of H1N1. It killed some 50 million to 100 million people worldwide from 1918 to 1919.

This Kansas Killer was extinct in the wild - until Jeffrey Taubenberger of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology dug up pathological specimens so that germ warfare specialists could experiment, using recombinant DNA techniques to insert the lethal genome into countless mixes of viruses.

Why does this so-called “swine virus” primarily kill young, healthy adults - much like the deadly “Spanish Flu” of 1918, with the unnatural phenomenon known as cytokine storm? Natural influenza strains produce the worst symptoms in young children, elderly adults, and others with weaker immune systems.

Why has Baxter International Inc. been chosen to head up efforts to produce a vaccine for the Mexican swine flu? In December 2008 Baxter International Inc. was caught shipping live avian flu viruses mixed with vaccine material to medical distributors in 18 countries, including Germany, Austria, Slovenia and the Czech Republic.

Why are Bilderbergers, such as former Secretary of Defence Donald Rumsfeld, George Schultz, Lodewijk J.R. de Vink, et al., “lucky” enough to invest in Roche, the manufacturers of the Tamiflu vaccine, which the media is touting as the way to save your life if infected with the mystery virus?

Why has there been an epidemic of bizarre deaths among some 80 of the top microbiologists - including David Kelly, who was “suicided” for blowing the whistle on the lies used by neo-cons to start the war against Iraq - since the recent surge in weaponising the deadly “Spanish Flu genome? [End]
Open Borders, Globalism Make Pandemics Possible

THE FREE Trade-Related Ideology sometimes called “economic man” may be a contributing cause of the swine flu outbreak being touted as a potential pandemic.

“Economic man” is the idea that people, animals and raw materials are inter-changeable resources: A man is a pig is a wrench is a lump of coal is an ear of corn. Importing Chinese goods to undercut American goods and importing illegal labour to undercut American labour come from the same one-world template.

American manufacturing and labour must be depleted to the lowest common denominator. Then, the struggling working classes of all nations, with equal-opportunity poverty, are to be pressed into indentured labour for the idle super-rich who regulate the world and zone it into retail regions (the U.S.) and production hubs (China), but do not want to regulate themselves.

“Personnel” departments were transformed, seemingly overnight, into “human resources” departments at every kind of employer in the nation. That was a hint of what was coming.

In agriculture, as in any activity, this corrosive philosophy only requires that “the end justifies the means.” Animal husbandry and good stewardship, as practiced by American Amish for instance, are out. Thus it does not matter how livestock is treated, how food is produced or how healthy it is; all that matters is that large amounts are produced for the lowest possible cost, and huge, undeserved profits are guaranteed for top executives; ergo, labour must be absolutely as cheap as “inhumanely possible,” and livestock, say pigs, for example, must be raised in crowded, unsanitary conditions on economic man’s “factory farm,” where unclean meat and disease are virtual guarantees for animals and people.

Huffington Post writer David Kirby notes: “Industry calls these massive compounds ‘confined animal feeding operations,’ or CAFOs (KAY-fohs), though most people know them simply as ‘factory farms’. You have seen them before while flying: long white buildings lined up in tightly packed rows of three, four or more.

Within each confinement, thousands of pigs are restricted to indoor pens and grain-fed for market, while breeding sows are kept in small metal crates where they spend most of their lives pregnant or nursing piglets.”

“In the last several years,” Kirby continues, “US. Hog conglomerates have opened giant swine CAFOs south of the border, including dozens around Mexico and Puebla. Smithfield foods also reportedly operates a huge swine facility in the state of Veracruz. Many of these CAFOs raise tens of thousands of pigs at a time. Cheaper labour costs and a desire to enter the Latin American market are drawing more industrialised agriculture to Mexico all the time, wiping out smaller, traditional farms, which now account for only a small portion of swine production in Mexico.”

So, while traditional Mexican farms bite the same dust already bitten by too many traditional American farms, we will slowly be whipped into a panic with cryptic remarks about a possible flu pandemic from President Obama, DHS (Department of Homeland Security) officials, World Health Organisations wonks and others. News anchors will recite their teleprompter fed statements courtesy of their corporate bosses, and we’ll be tempted to overreact – even while being told that we should “remain calm.”

But while totally freaking out is a bad idea, we should not remain too calm. In fact, now is the perfect time to
“panic,” as long as we channel our anger and concern into smashing the free trade system into oblivion. Americans must, in measured steps, band together into a commonwealth and return to the land and cottage industries as we grow vastly more of our own food close to home, make everything we can make of our own — from furniture, to glass products, to metal products, to clothing, et cetera. Then we must hotly demand protection of our remaining larger industries with tariffs.

Economic activity will only work if localities within sovereign states practice self-sufficiency from the ground up, instead of relying on this synthetic fraud that big media and big government call “the economy”, which is a top-down global plantation designed to harness the masses into servitude. The real economy, apart from the fraudulent version that we fuss over, is what we ourselves build, not what is bestowed on us by elites and their sacred “Dow Jones Industrial Index” and other abstractions that mean nothing to the common man.

Years ago when I was working the local news beat, Michigan state officials talked of the emerald ash borer, an invasive insect that destroyed disturbing amounts of ash trees in Michigan and many other areas. Thousands of acres of valuable timber were decimated by an insect that came to America in Chinese/Asian shipping crates — another fine import along with more recent items: tainted dog food, hazardous toys, potentially deadly human foodstuffs, and dangerous medicines, among other Chinese trash.

If the problem were not the swine flu virus, it would be Japanese beetles, the snakehead fish, the emerald ash borer or some other direct or indirect species of free-trade fallout bringing harm to our country and other nations. The proper response to swine flu besides exercising common sense like avoiding risky travel, eating locally raised/grown food and washing one’s hands often is a wholesale rejection of man’s ruinous “global economy.”

With the Bilderberger snobs getting ready to tell each other how great they are once again, the timing is perfect for the most resounding rejection of free trade and related practices in history. Remember, the free traders who run the system are the most protected people on Earth, with their guarded villas and sealed-off meetings, along with their congressional helpers who pass laws to barricade them from “the whims of the market” and squash potential competitors (such as laws passed by Congress to shield big drug companies from lawsuits).

So let no more be said about economic “protectionism” for the middle class being a bad idea. Just as we must protect ourselves from a flue virus or invading armies, we must protect ourselves and rebuild the original American economy that is of our making — from the predatory economics inherent in the North American Free Trade Agreement and its protected, plutocratic beneficiaries. Knowledge is the best vaccine, followed by resolute action.

Mark Anderson is a long time newsman now working as a corresponding editor for American Free Press. Together he and his wife Angie provide many photographs of the events they cover for AFP.
Statistics Reaffirm Autism-Vaccine Link

BY JACK PHILLIPS

Which of these is more important to American politicians – drug company profits or kids?

Judging from the recent action of the government of the state of New Jersey, drug company profits win hands down.

Late last year New Jersey parents were ordered to have their children vaccinated with flu shots before December 31, 2008, if they wanted them to attend school or day care establishments.

These flu shots contain 25 micrograms of mercury in the form of thimerosal. This contains 50% mercury and breaks down in the body to form ethyl mercury, a very toxic compound.

According to a recent article in the Journal of the American Association of Physicians and Surgeons, children who receive three flu shots are 27 times more likely to develop autism than those who do not.

Unfortunately, the reality is, the Center for Disease Control would, and without compunction.

They promoted multiple vaccinations of young children, with vaccines containing 12.5 micrograms of mercury per shot, even before their blood brain barriers and digestive systems were fully operational. Moreover they persisted for more than 15 years after Haley first brought it to their attention.

As a result of about 20 years of multiple vaccinations of our children, we have experienced an epidemic of autism, a new American disease first reported as just four cases by a physician at Johns Hopkins University. Devastated families, overloaded schools and billions of dollars of medical expense have resulted from this ill-conceived program. Autism and autism spectrum disease preferentially affect males. The female hormones are protective against these diseases. The ratio of males to females with autism is about 4:1.

A whole generation of our young men has suffered brain damage which is showing up in our universities. At Harvard some classes, which used to contain mostly young men, now have a majority of young women. At the University of Kentucky, points have to be added to test scores of young men to get them into law and medical schools.

According to a prominent scientific journal, children who receive three flu shots are 27 times more likely to develop autism than those who do not.

According to this prominent scientific journal, children who receive three flu shots are 27 times more likely to develop autism than those who do not.

Dead servicemen do not receive a 21-gun salute.

A 21-gun salute is made using artillery pieces and is traditionally reserved for the visits of reigning monarchs or some heads of state.

Servicemen and women who die are honored at their funerals instead with the “three volley salute”, which is all members of a rifle party simultaneously firing a single shot, three times in succession.

The number of servicemen in the rifle party is always an odd number – in many cases seven servicemen, with each firing three shots, which causes the confusion with a 21-gun salute.

The tradition of the three-volley salute began in European conflicts when, after a pause in the fighting for the battlefield to be cleared of dead and wounded, three shots were fired in the air to signal that the battle could start again.

Source: Michael Auffrey
UK to try out Identity Cards

LONDON: Britain is to ahead with controversial plans to issue identity cards.
People in Manchester, in north­west England, will be the first asked to volunteer to apply for the cards which the Government hopes will fight terrorism, organised crime and fraud.
Anyone living in the area who is over 16 and holds a valid British passport will be eligible and be able to apply for the cards by having their fingerprints and photographs taken at pharmacies and post offices.
However, by the end of this year the cards will be compulsory for all new staff at the city’s airport.
The cards which will cost between 30 pounds ($61) and 60 pounds each, have come under fire from opposition MP’s worried about the estimated 5.3 billion pounds cost of the scheme while Britain is in recession.
Home Secretary Jacqui Smith said that Manchester would lead the way in the national rollout of the cards.

Advance praise for LOyDrs OF Finance
THE BANKERS WHO BROKE THE WORLD
BY LIAQUAT AHAMED

In this fascinating, rare book, Liaquat Ahamed offers us the best of his talents as economist, historian, biographer, and financier.
Lords of Finance is a brisk, original, incisive, and entertaining account of a crucial time in the world’s economic history that continues to affect us all today. Anyone who wants to understand the origins of the economic world we live in would do well to read this book.
Lords of Finance is a potent reminder that the decisions of a few central bankers can have terrible human consequences, when their gambles fail.
With penetrating insight for today, this vital history of the world economic collapse of the 1920s presents unforgettable portraits of the four men whose personal and professional actions as heads of their respective central banks changed the course of the 20th century. In Lords of Finance, we meet the neurotic Montagu Norman of the Bank of England; the xenophobic Emile Moreau of the Banque de France; the arrogant Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank; and Benjamin Strong of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, a deeply troubled man.
After World War One, these central bankers attempted to reconstruct and control the world of international finance. Despite all their differences, they almost succeeded. But beneath the veneer of boomtown prosperity, cracks began to appear in the financial systems . . .
"What a story it is! It stars four quirky, mysterious, and headstrong central bankers, masters of the universe in their era. Unlucky and unwise as they were powerful, they presided over a global economic debacle that led to a World War. Liquat Ahamed weaves a spellbinding, richly human, cinematic narrative about a pivotal episode in the last century that resonates with the headlines of today."

Hardcover-Dust jacket-554 pages - Price: $60.00 posted.
Order From: The Australian Heritage Society OR your State Bookshop, Mailing Services.
Anzac Nurses Finally Get Their Recognition
Nurses saw the worst side of the Gallipoli campaign – all for the pay of a private.

BY TIFFANY FOX

They wore the coveted “A” badge on their sleeve as proudly as any Australian soldier who served at Gallipoli but the efforts of more than 150 young Australian nurses who endured appalling conditions to tend to the wounded are one of the least known chapters of the Anzac legend.

As the traditional Anzac Day celebrations wound down around the world in 2009, the contribution of the nurses and the memories of hundreds of soldiers who were buried on the Greek island of Lemnos, 100 km from Gallipoli, were commemorated at a ceremony in Greece in the last week of April, 2009.

Led by Matron Grace Wilson and working by lantern light, the nurses endured sickness, primitive conditions and the threat of being bombed as they tended to thousands of Allied soldiers suffering anything from dysentery and diarrhoea to fatal wounds.

The Island was the staging post for Anzac troops and in August 1915 was established as a hospital base to treat sick or wounded soldiers who could be returned to the front line. Badly injured soldiers were usually shipped to Alexandria, in Egypt, or Britain.

When they arrived at Lemnos, the nurses were confronted by a bare patch of ground with no shelter and limited equipment and supplies.

It was several days before the hospital site was pegged out and tents erected and within the first few hours of the tent hospital opening more than 200 wounded soldiers were admitted. Four days later, the number of patients increased to more than 800 and at its peak, the nurses were tending to almost 1000 patients at any one time.

In her diary, Matron Wilson wrote that the nurses tore up their clothes for bandages and used their personal supplies of soap on the convoys of the injured. Conditions were so poor that many of the nurses also came down with dysentery.

“Convoy arrived, about 400, no equipment whatever. Just laid the men on the ground and gave them a drink.

Tents were erected over them as quickly as possible,” she wrote on August 11. “All we can do is feed them and dress their wounds. It is just too awful, one could never describe the scenes, could only wish all I knew to be killed outright.”

Australian War Memorial senior historian Peter Burness said the nurses at Lemnos were awarded the highly coveted “A” badge that marked them as Anzacs but their role in the Anzac legend was often forgotten. While they were afforded the respect due to an officer, the nurses were paid just six shillings (60c) a day, the same as an infantry private.

“Nurses only ever saw the worst side of the war, the injuries and sickness, they did not even see the excitement that many men thought they would see,” he said. “They were living under canvas, the hospital was under canvas, and it was quite an ordeal for them. Any soldier who served in World War I would want to see the nurses receive due recognition.”

The Lemnos service has been held in the weeks after Anzac Day for the past 10 years to commemorate all the Anzacs who served and those buried on the island.
Known as Ann Dunham and Stanley Ann Dunham was an American anthropologist, left-wing social activist, and the mother of American President Barack Obama.

She was born in Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, to Stanley and Madelyn Dunham. Her father (who gave his only child his name) was a furniture salesman in downtown Seattle, Washington, and her mother worked for a bank. After a year living in Seattle, her family moved to Mercer Island, Washington, in 1956 so that 13-year old Ann could attend the Mercer Island High School that had just opened.

At the school she was on the debate team and graduated in 1960. Her family moved to Hawaii at Manoa, where she studied anthropology. When Ann Dunham arrived in Hawaii, she was a full fledged radical leftist and practitioner of “Critical Theory”. She also began to engage in miscegenation (inter-racial relationships) as part of her attack on society. Susan Blake, one of her friends has stated she never dated crew-cut white boys. She had a world view, even as a young girl. It was embracing the different, rather than that ethnocentric thing of shunning the different. That was where her mind took her.

In Hawaii she met Barack Obama, Sr. from Kenya in her Russian language class. Barack Obama, Jr. was born August 4, 1961.

Barack Obama, Sr. left Ann and their son in 1963 to attend Harvard in Boston.

Press reports claim Ann Dunham and Barack Obama, Sr. were divorced around this time: however, no evidence has yet been presented to show that they were ever married. The senior Obama obtained a masters degree in economics at Harvard and returned to Kenya in 1965 where he obtained a position in the Kenyan government.

He was killed in an automobile accident in 1982. Two years later, when her son was five, Dunham married Lolo Soetoro, an Indonesian oil manager and practicing Muslim whom she met at the university. In 1967 they moved to Jakarta, Indonesia. While in Indonesia Ann got a job at the American Embassy teaching English.

Barack’s half-sister, Maya Soetoro was born in Indonesia. Ann, Obama and his sister Maya moved back to Hawaii. Ann Dunham soon returned to Indonesia with Maya but divorced Soetoro in the late 1970s.

Dunham travelled around the world, pursuing a career in rural development that took her to Ghana, India, Thailand, Indonesia, Nepal and Bangladesh. In 1986 Ann Dunham worked on a developmental project in Pakistan. Later that year Ann and her daughter travelled the Silk Road in China. In 1992 she earned a Ph.D. in anthropology from the University of Hawaii. Her dissertation, “Peasant Blacksmithing in Indonesia: Surviving and Thriving Against All odds,” was 1067 pages long. She worked for the Ford Foundation and promoted Micro lending.

Obama’s Mother- His Portrayal

During Obama’s campaign for the 2008 presidential election he portrayed his mother as a conservative girl from Kansas; however in reality she was a radical leftist and cultural Marxist.

She lived in the Seattle area; spending her teenage years in Seattle coffee shops with other young radical leftists.

Obama claims his mother’s family were conservative Methodist or Baptists from Kansas. However, his mother’s parents were members of a left-wing Unitarian church near Seattle. The church located in Bellevue, Washington was nicknamed “the little red church,” because of its communist leanings.

The school Ann attended, Mercer Island High School, was a hotbed of pro-Marxist radical teachers. John Stenhouse, board member, told the House Un-American Activities Subcommittee that he had been a member of the Communist Party USA and this school has a number of Marxists on its staff. Two teachers at this school, Val Foubert and Jim Wichterman, both Frankfurt School style Marxists, taught a “critical theory” curriculum to students which included: rejection of societal norms, attacks on Christianity, the traditional family, and assigned readings by Karl Marx. The hallway between Foubert’s and Wichterman classrooms was sometimes called “anarchy alley.”

Dunham has been described by her friends as “a fellow traveller…” meaning a communist sympathiser.

In an interview, Barack Obama referred to his mother as “the dominant figure in my formative years…The values she taught me continue to be my touchstone when it comes to how I go about the world of politics.”

Before she died Ann Dunham wanted to adopt a mixed-race Korean baby fathered by a Black American stationed in South Korea.


Source: Midnight Messenger
www.Midnightemissary.com
www.gollygeez..blogspot.com
BUTTER Much Better Than Margarine for Your Overall Health

BY PAT SHANANN

THERE seems to be a lot of questions about which “healthy” spreads should be used to replace butter. One says, “I can’t believe it’s not butter”. Well, I can. Since the word is finally spreading about the harmful nature of trans fat, margarine has officially been declared as a substance to be avoided. In its place has rushed countless other butter alternatives which do not contain hydrogenated fats. But now that refined vegetable oils, additives and preservatives are coming under fire, it leaves the question what in the world can we spread on our toast? The answer is simple and natural: go back to butter.

Of course, most people balk at the suggestion of eating real butter. After all, won’t butter cause heart disease and other frightening health conditions? Although the claim that butter is harmful has been a popular one since World War II, it’s an assumption with no foundation. In fact, statistics show the rate of heart disease has increased as butter consumption has decreased.

My doctor believed it. Fifteen years ago, he told me I might as well start smoking as to eat margarine. He was an AMA-educated M.D. who had “gone over the wall” a couple of decades earlier and had begun to use natural remedies and therapies.

Butter is filled with essential vitamins and anti-oxidants in their most natural and absorbable state. Butter is actually a better source of vitamin A than carrots, especially for people who have trouble converting the beta-carotene in carrots into vitamin A. You can also find vitamin E and selenium in butter. These along with Vitamin A actually protect the heart from free-radical damage, which is a factor in weakened arteries. On the other hand, fabricated spreads are filled with rancid and refined vegetable oils that cause free-radical damage.

The vitamin A in butter is a vital nutrient which strongly impacts growth in children. Deficiencies can affect the development of teeth, bones and vision. Low-fat diets are often recommended for children even though these diets have been linked to a failure to thrive as published in Pediatrics in March 1994. Low-fat diets which remove butter from children’s lives may be cutting out their only source of absorbable vitamin A.

Another common misconception propagated by modern industry is that the fat in butter is bad for us. Butter is comprised of mostly short and medium chain fatty acids. These fatty acids can protect against cancer and boost immunity. They are also antifungal. Short and medium chain fatty acids are also more easily broken down for energy, which means it’s actually less likely that the fat in butter will be stored in the body.

The essential nutrients found in butter are not commonly found in other foods that are considered palatable in society, which makes butter all the more necessary to our health.

So many of our foods are manufactured fabrications that can’t possibly offer the same health benefits as their natural counterparts.

It’s time to start choosing the real thing. It’s time to bring butter back to the table. [End]
The Federal Reserve has responded to the people’s increased concerns over our monetary policy by presenting new initiatives aimed at enhancing the Fed’s transparency and accountability. As someone who has called for more openness from the Fed for over 30 years, I was pleased to see the Fed acknowledge the legitimacy of this need.

The Federal Reserve controls the flow of money and credit in our economy because Congress has abdicated its responsibility over the nation’s currency. This process therefore occurs centrally, and almost completely outside the system of checks and balances. Because of legal tender laws, people are left with no real choice, except to build their lives and futures around this monopoly currency, vulnerable to powerful central bankers. The Founding Fathers intended that only gold and silver to be used as currency. However, inch-by-inch over the decades, this country has backed away from this important restraint. Our money today has no link whatsoever to gold or silver. For many reasons, this is extremely dangerous, and has a lot to do with the boom and bust cycles that have resulted in the crisis in which we find ourselves today.

The Fed is now pledging to reveal to the public more about its economic predictions, and calls this greater transparency. This is little more than window-dressing at best, utterly useless at worst. Many analysts, especially those familiar with the Austrian school of economics, saw the current economic crisis coming years ago when the Federal Reserve was still telling the American people their policies were good as gold. So while it might be nice to know what fantasy-infused outlook the Fed has on the economy, I am much more interested in what they are doing as a result of their faulty, haphazard interpretation of data. For instance, what arrangements do they have with other foreign central banks? What the Fed does on that front could very well affect or undermine foreign policy, or even contribute to starting a war.

We also need to know the source and destination of funds provided through the Fed’s emergency funding facilities. Information such as this will provide a more accurate and complete picture of the true cost of these endless bailouts and spending packages, and could very likely affect the decisions being made in Congress. But with so much of the Fed’s business cloaked in secrecy, these latest initiatives will not even scratch the surface of the Fed’s opaque operations. People are demanding answers and explanations for our economic malaise, and we should settle for nothing less than the whole truth on monetary policy.

The first step is to pass legislation I will soon introduce requiring an audit of the Federal Reserve so we can at least get an accurate picture of what is happening with our money. If this audit reveals what I suspect, and Congress has finally had enough, they can also pass my legislation to abolish the Federal Reserve and put control of the economy’s lifeblood, the currency, back where it constitutionally belongs. If Congress refuses to do these two things, the very least they could do is repeal legal tender laws and allow people to choose a different currency in which to operate. If the Fed refuses to open its books to an audit, and Congress refuses to demand this, the people should not be subject to the whims of this secretive and incompetent organization.

---

I remember the milk from the billy,  
With all the rich cream on the top,  
When dinner came hot from the oven,  
Not from the fridge in the shop.

Our clothes were all boiled in a copper  
With plenty of rich foamy suds,  
And the ironing lasted forever,  
With mum pressing everyone’s duds.

Kids used their imagination,  
And didn’t need money for kicks,  
And we’d walk to the tram or the station  
To go to the Sunday flicks.

I remember the shop on the corner  
Where a penny’s worth of lollies was sold,  
Were we really so much more contented?  
Or is it that I am getting old.

ANON
WHEN I SURVEY THE WONDROUS CROSS

When I survey the wondrous Cross
On which the Prince of Glory died,
My richest gain I count but loss,
And pour contempt on all my pride.

Forbid it, Lord, that I should boast,
Save in the death of Christ, my God;
All the vain things that charm me most,
I sacrifice them to his blood.

See from his head, his hands, his feet,
Sorrow and love flow mingled down;
Did e'er such love and sorrow meet,
Or thorns compose so rich a crown?

His dying crimson, like a robe,
Spreads o'er his body on the tree;
Then am I dead to all the globe
And all the globe is dead to me.

Were the whole realm of nature mine,
That were a present far too small;
Love so amazing, so divine,
Demands my soul, my life, my all.

Isaac Watts (1674-1748)

WHEN some 250 years after a writer’s death the world is still singing his hymns, which include such gems as “O God our help in ages past”, then there is no doubt that the creator of these verses is one of the most acclaimed of hymn-writers.

In all, Isaac Watts wrote about 700 hymns, earning himself the title “Father of English Hymnody”. Many of his hymns are still firm favourites today, and each Christmas we delight in singing his carol “Joy to the World”.

Isaac Watts was born at Southampton in 1674. He was the son of an Elder in the Congregational Church and at grammar school proved to be a gifted pupil. Sadly he fell foul of the law which prevented those who were not Anglicans from studying at Oxford or Cambridge, so he trained at one of the dissenting academies and in 1702 was appointed minister at Mark Lane Chapel in London.

After about ten years his poor health meant he could no longer continue his ministry and the last 36 years of his life were spent as a semi-invalid and guest of Sir Thomas and Lady Abney, which afforded him the opportunity to pen his prodigious output on fine hymns.

Even as a small boy Watts had a great interest in versifying. Once, during family prayers, he began to laugh. His father asked him why. He replied that he had heard a noise and opened his eyes to see a mouse climbing a rope in the corner and had immediately thought:

“A little mouse for want of stairs
Ran up a rope to say his prayers”

His father considered this irreverent and proceeded to administer corporal punishment, in the midst of which Isaac called out:

“Father, Father, mercy take
And I will no more verses make!”

There is a story about Watts which claims that at the age of 16 he complained to his father that the hymns sung in his local church were “dull and profitless”. His father replied, “Then write something better”, and the young Isaac took up the challenge to the lasting joy of us all.

Is “When I survey the Wondrous Cross” the greatest hymn ever written? Charles Wesley, certainly thought so and praised Watts in generous terms. And it was so regarded by the great Victorian essayist and poet Matthew Arnold, who, apparently, heard it sung at a Presbyterian church in Liverpool on the last Sunday of his life and, so the story goes, was overheard repeating the third verse shortly before his sudden death a few days later.

At the time it was written, “When I Survey” broke new ground in that it was the first attempt to get away from objective, doctrinal hymns and introduce a subjective personal faith, and it is probably the first hymn to include the personal pronoun “I”.

Some churches make a habit of omitting the fourth verse because it seems too gory, but it is unlikely that Isaac Watts would have approved of any shrinking from the horrors of Christ’s crucifixion. In some hymn-books the word “present” in the fifth verse is changed to an “offering” which emphasises the personal sacrifice which Christ’s death on the Cross demands of each and every one of us.

This great hymn is often used at Communion services, but it is at Easter that its message is most poignant and appropriate.

GERRY HANSON
Source: This England - Spring 2009
We WANT OUR COUNTRY BACK!

WE WANT our country back, from the corrupt, discredited, lawless so-called European government in Brussels, and from the only slightly less deranged and rudderless government in London.

Is our government even British any more?

They conspire between themselves to make us increasingly dependent on the state, and are forgetful of the benefits of true freedom and our birthrights. The EU’s aim is clear: obstruct and ultimately remove the right of British people to their true sovereign independence as a nation.

None of the promises made to Europeans, let alone the British, so that they would accept the loss of sovereignty, identity and culture, have been kept.

Stripped bare of the rhetoric, the deal on offer was this — peace and plenty for us in exchange for political submission to them. Their promises fade with every day that passes. Yet they have nailed our submission.

I have a dream ... the restoration of our supreme right to govern ourselves and a country confident to make decisions in our own best interests as a responsible member of the international community.

We must put clear blue water between us and the EU. We live on a group of islands off the coast of Europe. The Europeans are our neighbours. They ought to be our friends, but they cannot be our masters. We are proud of our ancient heritage and unwilling to permit the destruction of our nation and our identity. We must restore mastery over our own house and expel the tyranny over us.

Britain is magnificent — glorious, eccentric, irresistible — and it’s ours. Our way of life is based on freedom, decency, honesty and a commitment to the rule of law. British people and our own best interests must come first. The Bill of Rights (1689) specifically forbids handing over power to foreigners. Previous generations took these commitments seriously. They knew what was required to “defend the rights, freedoms and customs” of the UK. Indeed any “attempt to overthrow the constitution” was regarded as treason.

Why — in the name of sanity — did the British agree voluntarily to hand over control of their country as part of a political deal which has left the control of our vital services and law-making in the hands of foreigners? Lies seduced us. Promises were broken.

Why — in the name of sanity — did the British allow themselves to become less wealthy, less free, less democratic and less at ease with themselves at the hands of unelected citizens of other countries? Most Brussels bureaucrats have little or no experience of the British and couldn’t be trusted to run an ice-cream van. Yet here they are controlling 27 countries all at once.

Margaret Thatcher said after she no longer had the chance to make a difference: “Europe is always blind, cowardly, ungrateful and incorrigible — a continent without hope ... Freedom is when the politicians are frightened of the people. Tyranny is when the people are frightened of the politicians.

The British parliament has a duty of care to preserve and protect the rights and freedoms of the people who elected it. Our rights were legally recognised several times over the last 800 years in contracts between our Monarch and the British people. Our parliament cannot lawfully ignore them. Yet, in recent times, it has done so with abandon.

Over the last 250 years, British scientists and engineers have been responsible for almost four out of five major inventions, discoveries and new technologies. We may not have invented the printing press, but we were the first to develop a free press, the first to understand its importance in a democratic society and the first to seek to protect it.

Britons invented the computer, the jet engine, the hovercraft, the steam engine and railways, the telephone ... and television. We invented mail services, and discovered nuclear physics.

We spawned Shakespeare and Dr. Johnson, Gladstone and Churchill, Gainsborough, Constable and Newton — to name but a tiny few in the glittering and lengthy catalogue of great Britons who have contributed so much to the world’s cultural riches.

Over centuries, the British were amongst the first to understand that negotiation was better than war, that free and lawful trade was better than pillage, that the cobbler was worth his salt. And we were certainly the first to recognise that every man had the right to a free and fair trial before his peers.

We are sovereign in our own country. Our parliament answers to us. Our most fundamental right is to hold those who govern us to account. Every five years we can throw the rascals out and elect a new parliament with the authority to repeal anything passed into law by its predecessors.

That process ensures that we, the people, retain the power to protect our own best interests. It means that all new laws must ultimately pass the test of being acceptable to the common man. And that, in turn, helps to ensure that the British people continue to live in a free society over which they retain control.

That’s why I say: “We want our country back!”

Footnote:
This article is an edited excerpt from a new 20-page booklet by Ashley Mote M.E.P. entitled “We Want Our Country Back”. It is available free via his website, www.ashleymote.co.uk
Or by writing to him c/o P.O. Box 216, Alton, Hampshire, GU34 4WY, United Kingdom.
He is the South East of England’s only independent member of the European Parliament.
**Australian Women Granted the Vote**

South Australia became the first Australian colony to grant white women over 21 years of age the right to be elected to Parliament and to vote in Parliamentary elections, when the Adult Suffrage Bill was passed on the 18th December, 1894. This was an achievement in a country which traditionally followed the lead given by Britain, where it was to be another thirty years before adult women were given the vote.

This result in South Australia was due to a battle begun by the author and reformer Catherine Spence with the publication of her book, *Plea for Pure Democracy*.

In July 1886 the Adelaide Trades and Labor Council promised to support the right of women to vote (known as “suffrage”), but conservative politicians were still opposed. What convinced MP’s to change their mind was the realisation that women’s votes could be used to counteract the influence of rural voters – which suited the Labor Party, for one.

In other colonies suffrage groups were hard at work. In Victoria, Harriet Dugdale formed a Woman’s Suffrage Society in 1884.

In New South Wales the campaign was started by Louisa Lawson, mother of the poet Henry Lawson, who in May 1888 founded Dawn, a monthly “journal for the household, edited, and printed and published by women”. The following year Louisa set up what was known as the “Dawn Club” in Sydney, which campaigned for women’s suffrage and women’s liberation.

In 1891 Rose Scott and six others formed the Womanhood Suffrage League in New South Wales, which gradually took over the suffrage battle there.

Further support for the suffrage struggle came from the Woman’s Christian Temperance Union, which was formed in Sydney in 1882 and rapidly expanded to the other Australian colonies.

In Queensland, Emmie Miller and her Women’s Equal Franchise League led the struggle, with the support of the Labor Party.

By 1901 only Western Australia (where women won the vote in 1899), and South Australia allowed all white Australian adults over twenty-one years of age to vote. However, this ensured that white Australian women could vote in federal elections early in 1902, since the Federal Convention of 1897 guaranteed a federal vote to all persons entitled to elect the Lower House in their State.

With the federal battle won, it was only a matter of time before the remaining States would give women the vote.

New South Wales was first in 1902, followed by Tasmania (1903), Queensland (1905), and Victoria in 1908. [End!]

---

**ROYAL RED TAPE**

Queen cool on Monarchy Reform

London: The Queen sounded a note of caution recently over Gordon Brown’s revolutionary plans to bring equality to the monarchy.

Buckingham Palace said no changes to the laws and traditions of the Crown could be made until every country where the Queen is head of state had given its approval.

That means years of delay while 15 legislatures – including those of Australia, New Zealand and Canada – weigh up the Prime Minister’s proposals.

Behind the Queen’s insistence on cast-iron support from the Commonwealth, is thought, to be her deep concern about the role of Christianity in Britain and the unforeseen impact of any reforms.

Mr Brown aims to remove the 308-year-old legal barriers that prevent a Catholic or anyone married to a Catholic from becoming King.

He also plans to sweep away primogeniture, the ancient principle that says a man must take precedence over a woman in line to the throne.

The Prime Minister has accepted that Commonwealth countries must have a say on the future of the monarchy.

But he said: “I think in the 21st century people do expect discrimination to be removed and they do expect us to be looking at these issues.”

A spokesman for Buckingham Palace, however, said: “This entire issue for us depends on obtaining agreement from each of the 15 realms of which the Queen is head of state. If an agreement were to be reached, then we would assess the situation. But not until then.”

While Buckingham Palace was neutral on Mr Brown’s reforms, the Queen is known to be concerned over their impact on Christian belief and practice in Britain.

She is a serious Anglican and is aware of the possibility Christianity may be sidelined if the historic constitutional links between the Church of England and the head of state were severed.

Source: World on Sunday
INSTITUTIONs, no matter how excellent they may be, are of little permanent use to a people who do not understand the value of them. The right of the people of this Commonwealth to expand or contract financial credit in accordance with their needs, by means of the Commonwealth Bank, was something that Australians should have safeguarded with the same jealousy as they safeguard the right to vote.

Inch by inch Australian's have allowed themselves to be disinherited by the banks. We have allowed the banks to take control of all money supply. In actual fact we have become (willing) slaves to a massive debt system, manipulated by nothing more than figures created out of nothing. It is the people who own the physical wealth of the nation, NOT the banks. It is through the endeavours of the people that this young nation has grown up so strongly and quickly. It is through our initiative, hard-toil, blood sweat and tears, faith and hope that our beloved Australia has become what it is ...a Great Nation!

We have been plunged into a global recession, through 'greedy' bankers and betrayal by governments. This need not be! Australia has every commodity and resource needed to sustain the people. There is no shortage of food, clothing, housing, transport or any other

commodity, but these are denied to the people and why? Because there is a shortage of purchasing power to buy these goods and services. And who controls this purchasing power? The Banks!

Once, long ago, the Australian people owned their own bank and it was called The Commonwealth Bank of Australia, 'the peoples' bank'.

This is the story of The Commonwealth Bank, a story which many Australian's have never been told; NOW! Here is the chance to read and understand that story.

64 pages - Softcover - Price $13.00 posted.
Order From: The Australian Heritage Society. PO Box 163, Childlow, WA 6556.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY:

Yes, Please Forward ( ) Copy/copies of "The Story of the Commonwealth Bank"

I Enclose my □ Cheque: □ Money Order: Amount: $..............................c..............................

Name: .................................................................................................................................

Address: ..............................................................................................................................

.................................................................................................................................Postcode
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BUSINESS and energy journalist JAMES R. NORMAN takes an unconventional look at why the price has soared more than 10-fold in less than 10 years, and why it could continue to $200.00c per barrel.

No, the earth's crust is not running out of hydrocarbons any time soon. Rather, oil and other commodity prices are being used as economic bludgeons, again. The historical record is now clear that the US national security establishment, with Saudi help, manipulated oil prices dramatically lower in the 1980s in what proved to be a spectacularly successful and bloodless way to wreck the Soviet Union. Now with the help of Russia, the Saudis, the oil majors and the futures market, high oil prices may be used to pose a serious threat to the People's Republic of China. Don't like economic war? Consider the alternative.

Jim Norman has brilliantly detailed a powerful and frightening explanation for why oil prices keep rising to new highs. His outstanding analysis of politics and markets may have unveiled the missing link between supply and demand fundamentals and the relentless surge in oil prices.

He has used his extensive knowledge of the industry and many years of reporting experience to establish a strong link between oil price cycles and past geopolitical events. The Oil Card proves that oil and politics are closely linked.

This book is a must-read for consumers and investors alike. After reading The Oil Card, you will never feel the same about 'free' markets again. Once you start reading you won't be able to put it down!

Softcover: 244 pages - Price: $40.00 posted.
Order From: The Australian Heritage Society OR your State Bookshop, Mailing Services.

STRESS

A lecturer when explaining stress management to an audience, raised a glass of water and asked 'How heavy is this glass of water?'

Answers called out ranged from 20g to 500g.

The lecturer replied, 'The absolute weight doesn't matter. It depends on how long you try to hold it.
If I hold it for a minute, that's not a problem.
If I hold it for an hour, I'll have an ache in my right arm.
If I hold it for a day, you'll have to call an ambulance.
In each case, it's the same weight, but the longer I hold it, the heavier it becomes.'

He continued, 'And that's the way it is with stress management. If we carry our burdens all the time, sooner or later, As the burden becomes increasingly heavy, We won't be able to carry on.'

'As with the glass of water, You have to put it down for a while and rest before holding it again. When we're refreshed, we can carry on with the burden.'

'So, before you return home tonight, put the burden of work down. Don't carry it home. You can pick it up tomorrow.
Whenever burdens you're carrying now, Let them down for a moment if you can.'

'So, my friend. Put down anything that may be a burden to you right now. Don't pick it up again until after you've rested a while.

Here are some great ways of dealing with the burdens of life:

* Accept that some days you're the pigeon, And some days you're the statue.
* Always keep your words soft and sweet, Just in case you have to eat them.
* Always wear stuff that will make you look good If you die in the middle of it.
* If you can't be kind, at least have the decency to be vague.
* If you lend someone $20 and never see that person again, It was probably worth it.

'Nobody cares if you can't dance well. Just get up and dance.'

* When everything's coming your way, You're in the wrong lane.' Birthdays are good for you. The more you have, the longer you live.
* You may be only one person in the world, But you may also be the world to one person.
* Some mistakes are too much fun to only make once.
* We could learn a lot from crayons... Some are sharp, some are pretty and some are dull. Some have weird names, and all are different colors, but they all have to live in the same box.

'A truly happy person is one who can enjoy the scenery on a detour.
WHEN the sun rises from the west and sets in the east, the Middle East conflicts between Israel and Arabs may be settled.

It is unfortunate to lump all Jews together. Many Jews accepted and lived according to the decree of God to live in the diaspora. They prospered as the nation they live in prospered.

For centuries, the Jews lived among the Arabs with due respect. When they were driven from Western Europe, in the middle centuries, they found refuge in Muslim countries. Some of them still live there.

Had the Jews wanted to return to Palestine and live with the Arabs based on equality, respect and harmony, the current bloodshed and destruction would not happen.

Unfortunately, world Zionism wanted to come from the back door to drive the Arabs from their homes, confiscate their land and replace them with immigrant Jews.

Sixty-one years of crimes against Arabs could melt mountains.

The Zionist intention is not to establish a Jewish home for the Jews and live with them in peace and harmony, but to fulfill the dream of Greater Israel from the Nile to the Euphrates and beyond.

Their building of settlements in the West Bank is an indication that they plan to get rid of every Arab from Palestine - and then move forward.

The Holy Land has been a battlefield for centuries. The latest were the Crusades. Christians ruled it for nearly 200 years around the 10th century, then Muslims and finally the British. All came and went. So will Israel.

Israel has been persecuting the Palestinians for sixty one years, and has the ticket for departure like it or not.

One thing hardly anyone realizes is that when God's wrath descended on the children of Israel, He implemented two things: one is that He will motivate others to confound them to the day of judgement; two, they are under the fear of being confounded. Also, according to the Quran, they have to be supported by God. God's support is gone and without the support of the West, Israel is too. However, Israel has walked over a cliff, with the demolishing of Gaza and the Holocaust of the Palestinians. Furthermore, the West, the sponsor of Israel, is getting its own financial problems and their civilization is on the downturn.

The West has built a monster that it cannot control. Either it has to kill or be killed. Israel now has the power to reach any Western capital with nuclear missiles. Moreover, Zionists have been planted in every government and will divert any attempt to solve the problems.

Unless God intercedes to save humanity, the writing is on the wall.

My father used to tell us, “You could get away with things (wrongdoing) but once you are discovered, that would be the end of you.” Israel has crossed the line. Her departure tickets are ready. She can save herself by agreeing to live with Arabs and all goyim - on the same footing.

Is God telling us something? From Holy Scripture comes the guidance for humanity to live in peace, harmony and brotherhood. Israel has defied the will of God. For its defiance, the account will be settled.

Ahmed Jamil is a journalist and analyst based in Lackawanna, N.Y.

Source: AFP - June 1, 2009

Essential Reading: "The Golem": Israel's Nuclear Hell Bomb and the Road to Global Armageddon - The Case for Dismantling Our Planet's Most Dangerous Arsenal of Atomic Weapons of Mass Destruction. In this landmark work, the author, Michael Collins Piper, pulls no punches in asserting that Israel's nuclear hell bomb - which Piper has dubbed "The Golem" - is pushing civilization toward Armageddon, that the perpetration of this uncontrolled weapons programme has left the world held hostage:

Order your copy today from: The Australian Heritage Society - PO Box 163 - Childlow, WA. 6556

PRINCE PHILIP THE LONGEST SERVING CONSORT

His Royal Highness, the Duke of Edinburgh is now the longest-serving consort having overtaken the 57 years and 70 days of Queen Charlotte wife to George Ill.

Whilst Prince Philip is often seen as being something of a, sometimes embarrassing, appendage, particularly with his - not always appreciated - sense of humour, he is the one person who has provided stability and support to the Queen throughout the entirety of her reign, leading Her Majesty to say, at their Golden Wedding banquet in 1997, that he was: "quite simply, my strength and stay all these years".

John Masefield OM, Poet Laureate from 1937 until his death in 1967, wrote in his: 'On the Coming Marriage of Her Royal Highness The Princess Elizabeth to Prince Philip':

"What is the Crown but something set above the jangle and the jargon and the hate of strivers after power in the State, A symbol, like a banner, for mens' love?
When hope is dim and luck is out of joint, When enemies within, without assail, Where a Crown shines, the courage cannot fail, There a land's spirit finds a rallying-point."

We wish His Royal Highness every blessing.

Philip Benwell MBE
National Chairman
The Australian Monarchist League
www.monarchist league.org.au
The struggle is now a global struggle and must be soon resolved one way or the other. For its resolution in favour of Freedom it is necessary that people have at least a minimal awareness of the real nature of the struggle, for with knowledge properly applied, comes hope, that and the promotion of individual initiatives is the intention of this Booklet.


If we do not restore the Institution of Property we cannot escape restoring the Institution of Slavery

A century ago C. H. Douglas revealed to the world that banks create money out of nothing. He challenged the monopoly of credit and those who control it. Increasing and unrepayable world debt has rekindled interest in Douglas' works, his practical proposals and glimpse of reality.

DISTRIBUTIONISM LIBERTY PROPERTY

A glimpse at the genius of Chesterton, author of over 100 books, poet, journalist, editor, controversialist, biographer, publisher, playwright, debater, traveller, lecturer, illustrator and prophet. Readers are challenged to discover Chesterton for themselves.

If the octopus has its tentacles everywhere, all you have to do is bite!

Prices include postage and handling within Australia. Order direct from: The Australian Heritage Society PO Box 163 Chidlow WA 6556. Tel/Fax 08 9574 6042
The manipulation of money and credit creation affects every country in the world, in peace or conflict. It is little understood by accountants and economists. Credit creation is not a popular topic in the world of finance. After reading The Oil Card, you will never feel the same about "free" markets again. The author exposes the bad science, bias, and conflict of interest that has characterized the oil and gas industry for the last 30 years. Once you start reading you won't be able to put it down.