



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance—

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol. 49, No.01

11th JANUARY 2013

IN THIS ISSUE

- ◆ Thought For the Week: Escape from Utopia
- ◆ State Premiers Should Not Treat Sovereignty So Lightly
- ◆ The Choice Is Before Us, Either We Accept the Gift of Life – Or...
- ◆ Correspondence from American Monetary Institute (Ami)
- ◆ Wallace Klinck Responds to Ami's Stephen Zarlenga
- ◆ Prince Charles' Plea: Look at What We're Doing to the Earth
- ◆ Mollison, "Financial Structures Divorced From Resources"
- ◆ You Don't Have To Be A 'Money Man' To See The Truth Of It:
- ◆ What Is Your Definition Of Treason Gentle Reader?
- ◆ Freedom Is The Cause, Not Of A Day, But Of A Lifetime

Dear Subscriber,

2013 promises to be an interesting year and it was kicked off with the Families Minister, Jenny Macklin, claiming she could live on the Newstart Allowance of \$246 a week — or about \$35 a day — while defending cuts to single parents' benefits.

The Shepparton News (Friday January 4th 2013 p.7) reported the Minister earns about \$850 a day.

The Treasurer, Wayne Swan, admitted it would be tough to survive on \$35 a day, which was why the government offered low-income families a range of "generous" payments.

Newstart was indexed annually against inflation and was boosted by additional benefits such as the School Kids Bonus and Family Tax Benefits A and B, he said.

Do you think your representative could live on the Newstart Allowance?

Parliamentary business carried over from 2012; Attorney-General Nicola Roxon announced that the current FIVE Acts covering anti-discrimination will be combined into ONE federal anti-discrimination law.

The proposed law is called the

'Human Rights and Ant-Discrimination Bill 2012'

Submissions were due by 21 December 2012 and the reporting date is 18 February 2013. We must watch the Senate on that date.

Legal and Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee will be reporting on Recognition of Local Government in the Australian Constitution about this time and on **26 February 2013** General Business—Notices of Motion *Notice given 28 November 2012* *1077 **Senator Madigan:** To move—that the following bill be introduced: A Bill for an Act to enable the citizens of Australia to initiate the holding of a referendum in relation to altering the Constitution, and for related purposes. ***Citizen Initiated Referendum Bill 2013.***

UN Agenda 21 should also be high on your 'watch list' of priorities.
National Director.

TARGETS FOR 2013

SUPPORT THE LEAGUE'S BASIC FUND.

PLEASE REMEMBER THAT IT IS AN ELECTION YEAR!

WRITE LETTERS TO THE PRESS ... Every letter published is that much less space for the enemy.

QUESTION your Representatives —

Where do they stand on the issues raised above and politely insist on answers.

Oppose recognition of Local Government in the Australian Constitution.

Expose UN Agenda 21 for what it is — a threat to private property ownership.

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

Escape from Utopia: In the structure of an organised society designed to serve the individuals composing it, the process by which such apparently irreconcilable ideas as freedom and control, initiative and discipline, independence and organisation, aristocracy and democracy, can invariably be reconciled - as distinct from compromise - involves three sets of ideas which have already emerged into human consciousness, and are in fact applied in a haphazard manner in certain directions, albeit with considerable confusion, overlapping and frustration.

The extraction of these three ideas from the welter of muddle, conflict, love, hatred, enthusiasm and despair, which is our present portion, and their synthesis into the potent liberating principle of reconciliation, constitute the major contribution of Social Credit to the order in progress of humanity. The first consists in recognising the fundamental importance of individual responsibility for function in any organisation or association of individuals, from a nation to a cycling club or a teashop. The second is the paramount

necessity of distinguishing between policy and administration.

The third is the theory of the limited objective.

Most successful business undertakings recognise and apply the first idea, which is a categorical denial of the practicability of the concept of "collective responsibility". But the prevailing confusion in regard to policy and administration can be verified readily by examining the definitions of "policy" in half-a-dozen standard dictionaries, bearing in mind that policy and administration are two fundamentally different things.

Policy is concerned solely with what to do, and is primary. Administration is concerned with how to do it, and is secondary. The interests of policy are with ends, goals, aims - with the results that are desired. Administration has to devise and apply technique - ways, means and methods of achieving the results determined by policy.

The objective of Social Credit, then, is to secure decentralisation of policy coupled with centralisation of technique to the extent required for any agreed objective.

It is worthy of note that the theory of the limited objective, which is a recognition at once of the changeability of human desires and of the wisdom which "lies in masterful administration of the unforeseen," is already recognised in "flexible" constitutions such as our own, (British) and repudiated in "rigid" constitutions such as that of the United States.

It is the function of democracy to decide policy, and its privilege to change policy, and to reject personnel. It is the function of an aristocracy or hierarchy of experts to administer, to devise ways and means of executing, policy.

Thus by a process of trial and error, by learning from mistakes, can mankind progress with order and without rancour.

Social Credit, like all practical science, is a continual experiment. It seeks to ascertain with ever-increasing accuracy what are the desires of individuals, and how best they can be fulfilled. It repudiates all fixed ideas of what is good for people, and seeks to give them the fullest facilities for deciding and obtaining what they want.

W. L. Bardsley "The Fig Tree" June 1936

STATE PREMIERS SHOULD NOT TREAT SOVEREIGNTY SO LIGHTLY

From Philip Benwell, National Chair Australian Monarchist League December 2012.

At the Council of Australian Governments which met in Canberra on Friday 7/12/12 yesterday, five State premiers agreed (the State of Queensland excepting) to cede the sovereignty of their States to the Federal parliament to enable Canberra to itself vote on proposed changes to the Act of Settlement and the rule of primogeniture, thus defeating the principle on which the federation of this country is established.

The Founding Fathers ensured that the six States retained their sovereign independence within a federated structure. Each State operates under its own constitution. The prime minister is wrong to say there is only one Crown in Australia. Whilst it is the same Crown of the United Kingdom, it is as separately divided between each of the States as the Crowns of Canada and Papua New Guinea are.

Do we not have six State governors, each separately appointed by The Queen and each representing the Crown in their respective States? Our State governors are not responsible to

Canberra but individually to The Queen.

Neither the State premiers nor their governments have any right whatsoever to cede one iota of the sovereign right of the people within their State to Canberra. Only their respective parliaments, or preferably their people at referendum, can do that. The ceding of sovereignty by States to Canberra in this manner is potentially unconstitutional and could well lead to a challenge in the High Court.

Furthermore, change to the Act of Settlement has severe consequences for the Crown and should not be treated so lightly. The rule of primogeniture represents a thousand years of common law and yet our premiers, except that of Queensland, appear willing to dismiss it without due process. It is time that our State politicians took their role seriously and stood up for the sovereignty of the States they represent.

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: www.alor.org/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES

As well as the publication of journals - Cheques/Money Orders made out to 'ALOR Journals' - for the dissemination of information, the League publishes and distributes a wide range of educational books, videos and cassette tapes. These are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania

Heritage Bookshop,
2nd Floor, 145 Russell Street, Melbourne, 3000
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).
Phone: (03) 9650 9749; Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.
Phone: (08) 8396 1245; Fax: (08) 8396 1245.

All Other States:

To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:

<http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/>
<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>

THE CHOICE IS BEFORE US, EITHER WE ACCEPT THE GIFT OF LIFE – OR...

By Betty Luks

I have before me a number of news articles collected over the Christmas/ New Year season. They range from the disgust felt by George Monbiot for the “pathological consumption” that has now “become normalized” in the

western world, correspondence from the American Monetary Institute with a response by Canadian social creditor Wallace Klinck, an article on Prince Charles’ plea to look at what we are doing to our earth, to a summary of

“What is Social Credit” by Wallace Klinck. All articles relate to Consumerism, Economics and the *real* world in which we all live.

In “The Gift of Death”, George Monbiot, in the *Guardian* 10/12/2012 wrote:

Pathological consumption has become so normalised that we scarcely notice it. There’s nothing they need, nothing they don’t own already, nothing they even want. So you buy them a solar-powered waving queen; a belly button brush; a silver-plated ice cream tub holder; a “hilarious” inflatable zimmer frame; a confection of plastic and electronics called Terry the Swearing Turtle; or – and somehow I find this significant – a Scratch Off World wall map.

They seem amusing on the first day of Christmas, daft on the second, embarrassing on the third. By the twelfth they’re in landfill. For thirty

seconds of dubious entertainment, or a hedonic stimulus that lasts no longer than a nicotine hit, we commission the use of materials whose impacts will ramify for generations.

Researching her film *The Story of Stuff*, Annie Leonard discovered that of the materials flowing through the consumer economy, only 1% remain in use six months after sale. Even the goods we might have expected to hold onto are soon condemned to destruction through either planned obsolescence (breaking quickly) or perceived obsolescence (becoming unfashionable).

But many of the products we buy, especially for Christmas, cannot become obsolescent. The term implies a loss of utility, but they had no utility in the first place. An electronic drum-machine t-shirt; a Darth Vader talking piggy bank; an ear-shaped i-phone case; an individual beer can chiller; an electronic wine breather; a sonic screwdriver remote control; bacon toothpaste; a dancing dog: no one is expected to use them, or even look at them, after Christmas Day. They are designed to elicit thanks, perhaps a snigger or two, and then be thrown away.

The fatuity of the products is matched by the profundity of the impacts:

Rare materials, complex electronics, the energy needed for manufacture and transport are extracted and refined and combined into compounds of utter pointlessness. When you take account of the fossil fuels whose use we commission in other countries, manufacturing and consumption are responsible for more than half of our

carbon dioxide production. We are screwing the planet to make solar-powered bath thermometers and desktop crazy golfers.

People in eastern Congo are massacred to facilitate smart phone upgrades of ever diminishing marginal utility. Forests are felled to make

“personalised heart-shaped wooden cheese board sets”. Rivers are poisoned to manufacture talking fish. This is pathological consumption: a world-consuming epidemic of collective madness, rendered so normal by advertising and the media that we scarcely notice what has happened to us...”

Read more: <http://www.monbiot.com/2012/12/10/the-gift-of-death/>

George Monbiot would do well to study what is known as “The A+B Theorem” where he would discover *the* key to industrialized nations’ pathological production and consumption, resulting in the destruction of the environment.

The A+B Theorem: www.alor.org/Political%20Democracy/The%20A+B%20Theorem.htm

Important reading..... “Revolution from Above” by Dr. Kerry Bolton:

A self-appointed elite that Huxley called the 'World Controllers' and Carroll Quigley described as 'an international network' has for generations been intent on establishing a 'World State' (Huxley) or what D. Rockefeller himself calls a 'World Order', and what President

George W. Bush and others, such as Rothschild employee Linnett, call the 'New World Order'.

In more common parlance it is called 'globalisation,' but it is seldom understood in its wider ramifications, as set forth here, especially by the Left, whose activists support aspects of the same globalisation process:

multiculturalism, feminism, marijuana liberalisation, abortion rights, open borders, and feel-good causes in the name of 'democracy' and 'human rights', the results of which are further control by global plutocracy. Price \$25.00 + postage from all League Book Services.

CORRESPONDENCE FROM AMERICAN MONETARY INSTITUTE (AMI)

"The year 2012, saw a very important development for the advancement of AMI's monetary reform work! You know that HR2990, was introduced into the U.S. House of Representatives on September 21st, 2011 by Congressman Dennis Kucinich of Cleveland, Ohio, co-sponsored by Congressman John Conyers of Detroit Michigan... You can read the act, with videos, at <http://www.monetary.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/HR-2990.pdf> .

Then a major development occurred in August, 2012:

Dr. Michael Kumhof and Dr. Jaromir Benes, of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) wrote an IMF Working Paper titled "The Chicago Plan Revisited". Dr. Kumhof is Deputy Division Chief of the Modeling research Dept. of the IMF. *His paper is sweeping the economic world like a storm; with talks already sponsored at The Bank of England, various Universities here and in Europe, and at the Federal Reserve System itself.* (emphasis added...ed)

You can read the paper at

<http://www.monetary.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/08/ChicagoPlanRevisited.pdf>

Dr. Kumhof realized that certain adjustments to the Chicago Plan were necessary, to assure its workability, and he made them.

The importance of this is that HR 2990 is also based on the Chicago Plan, and its' two main elements: nationalization of the Federal Reserve, and ending what's known as fractional reserve banking, come directly out of the Chicago Plan. In crafting HR 2990, several years ago, we also realized that adjustments were necessary. The adjustments we devised, had the effect of not using any debt for money at all, and we figured out how to convert all such debt into real money at the inception of the plan. In this way we devised a simplified form of the Chicago Plan, and Congressman

Kucinich introduced it into the Congress. Any questions? Send them in!

Dr. Kumhof is the first, and only economist to do his duty toward my book, *The Lost Science of Money*. He did this in a magnificent two sentence review of my book:

"The historical debate concerning the nature and control of money is the subject of Zarlenga (2002), a masterful work that traces this debate back to ancient Mesopotamia, Greece and Rome..., he shows that private issuance of money has repeatedly led to major societal problems throughout recorded history..." (P. 13, *The Chicago Plan Revisited*)..."

WALLACE KLINCK RESPONDS TO AMI'S STEPHEN ZARLENGA

Dear Stephen Zarlenga,

I have read HR2990 and am appalled. It's repeated and dominant policy appears to be the creation of employment, i.e., of "jobs". A near-perfect recipe for fascism by all appearances. The objective of full-employment as a state policy is the policy of totalitarianism of whatever stripe--not to mention being entirely irrational from a standpoint of reason as applied to enhanced industrial efficiency and immoral from an ethical or spiritual and theological standpoint:

"Toil not, your Heavenly Father knows you have need of these things. If God should feed the beasts of the field, the fish of the sea and the fowl of the air, how much more will he feed you--ye of

little Faith." "Works are as filthy rags."

What is the significance of the multiplication by Jesus of loaves and fishes and his Unconditional distribution of same to his flock? What is mechanical advantage and the unearned increment of association all about? Just another means to further enslave mankind? Are all the advances and efforts of mankind toward the elimination of human effort as a factor of production to be sabotaged by an artificial and deliberate central governmental policy of creating more work.

What cultural barbarism! What utter moral bankruptcy! What total ingratitude toward the beneficent God Who gave us Life--and the potential for Life Abundant!!

"Over time, whoever controls the money system controls the nation", you say:

How utterly true--and place the sole issue of money, the ability to monetize and activate the real credit of the nation, with the State - and the Almighty State will certainly control the nation making it a vast commu-fascist Work-State from which there will be no escape. An official State policy of full-employment is probably the most dangerous of all government policies. In my estimation legislation such as that contained in HR2990 is grand folly and submission to incarnate evil.

For God's sake--and the sake of humanity--read something intelligent for a change:

[http://www.scribd.com/doc/112942854/Introduction-to-Social-Credit-by-Dr-Bryan-W-Monahan and/or](http://www.scribd.com/doc/112942854/Introduction-to-Social-Credit-by-Dr-Bryan-W-Monahan-and/or)

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/114593844/Money-in-Industry>

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

PRINCE CHARLES' PLEA: LOOK AT WHAT WE'RE DOING TO THE EARTH

When one reads Prince Charles' book "Harmony" the reader becomes aware of the Prince's affinity with Creation and his deep understanding of much of the natural world and forces within it. His concern for the care of the Earth is deeply felt and reaches back into his youth. What I have never read or heard of is his interest or study of the destructive influences, and control, of the Money Power in relation to the Earth. I can quite understand him not entering into that field as he is the son and heir of a Constitutional Monarch and the Monarch's role within that institution is plainly spelled out.

From the rice farms of India to the prairies of America's corn belt, from the temples of Ancient Egypt to the laboratories of industrial designers, Harmony spans the globe to identify the different ways that contemporary life has abandoned the hard-earned practices of our history, a shift that has spurred a host of social problems.

Harmony offers solutions for change, creating a new vision for our world, one that incorporates the traditional wisdom of our past with the modern science of our present to avert catastrophe. In the end, Harmony paints a holistic portrait of what we as a species have lost in the modern age, while outlining the steps we can take to regain the harmony of our ancestors.

I sometimes wonder how aware is Prince Charles of the forces that were at play

behind the public execution of his ancestor and namesake Charles I. Having said that, I believe he has striven for the quality of life for all, within the limits of his powers, of persuasion, and example, within the hierarchical structure that places such limits on what he can and cannot do.

For the first time, the Prince of Wales shares his views on how our most pressing modern challenges are rooted in mankind's disharmony with nature, presenting a compelling case that the solution lies in our ability to regain a balance with the world around us. For decades, Prince Charles has been studying a wide array of disciplines to understand every aspect of man's impact on the natural world, and in that time he has examined everything from architecture to organic farming to sustainable economics. Now, for the first time, he speaks out about his years of research, presenting a fascinating look at how modern industrialisation has led us to a state of disharmony with nature, created climate change, and pushed us to the brink of disaster.

Mankind must go 'green' or die:

Yes, mankind must 'go green or die' as has insisted many a social creditor. The difference being social creditors also include the role that money (Mammon) has played in the destruction of communities *and* the earth – the historical truth of which is summed up in the New Testament assertion

"The love of money is the root of all kinds of evil". I paraphrase it thus: "The love of money, i.e., the preference for money in terms of personal advancement, above all other considerations, is the root of all kinds of evil".

Whether we look at what is happening in the European Union ('the system' is considered much more important than the lives of the people), or America's "Fiscal Cliff". In every case the financial system is "above all other considerations" in the minds of bankers, politicians and big business, and is leading to all kinds of evil for, and among, the people.

One recent example of the truth of that statement:

A young couple, after many years of trying now have a delightful baby girl, for which the government in this country will pay a baby bonus for the new born. The young husband is on half-time work and they are short of money so they will be pleased to receive the bonus. But there is a catch, the government will only pay the bonus if they have the little one vaccinated with all the various vaccinations Big Pharma produces and the orthodox medical profession prescribes.

The young couple, being health conscious and wanting to do what they consider is best for their little one, studied the arguments for and against vaccinations, and have chosen not to vaccinate. See their dilemma? What would you do if the baby was yours?

Further reading: "Health Wars" by Philip Day, who wrote: Truth is only any good if you act upon it. Both orthodox and alternative paradigms have much to bring to the table. We have made some great advances in medicine: infant survivability at birth, A & E trauma medicine, surgical techniques, pain management, prosthesis. Yet two realms remain unqualified failures: disease and mental health. And while the following chapters may outrage and shock, and while one may form the conclusion at the final page that the measures described in this book 'make all the sense in the world', how many will actually act to improve their own circumstances? In the end it's up to us. Price: \$30.00 + postage

"Europe The Shattering of Illusions" by Vaclav Klaus.

We are proud to promote the new book by Vaclav Klaus, President of the Czech Republic.

Some readers may be familiar with the name of this senior European politician who, from within the system, has been able to continue speaking out for those all-important values and concepts to which his colleagues have become virtually oblivious - such as liberty, democracy - and the wishes of the people - politicians claim to represent.

Some of you may have read his "Blue Planet in Green Shackles" - a book on politics and the economy of climate (warming, cooling, or change - take your pick!) by one who attends the Summits all over the world, including Brussels, and stands very firmly against that hoax.

What we loved about Klaus' reading of "Europe," was his old fashioned idea of nationalism and patriotism against Brussels' efforts to integrate Europe into one federated state, which it is not and could never become. At best economic/trade exchange should be continued that benefits all states, but it stops here.

Here is Vaclav Klaus at his best: "It is not possible to ignore the fact that efforts at 'integration' on the part of political elites in the largest countries of the world are increasingly shifting from social engineering projects designed for individual

states and continents to projects with a truly intercontinental or even a global dimension.

In that sense, current economic problems in many places around the world provide a catalyst and accelerator for very problematic developments. We see that a group of the economically most powerful and politically most influential states of the world seek to become the informal precursors to a global government. A government clearly detached from the democratic legitimacy previously given by citizens, a government which, whatever its formal framework, will de facto run our lives without any participation or influence on our part.

In this structure, the phenomenon of citizenship will disappear quickly. Democracy without the citizen is an oxymoron and the frivolous concept of world citizenship is nothing but a manipulation, a mystification confusing the issues. History knows countless examples of nations without a state, but there can be no state without a nation. There can be no state without its citizens. There can be no state without its political people, changing or correcting its present and future, through the democratic process - through the elected representatives. It is to world domination that the prevailing political, economic and media elites aspire: a world without democratic political legitimacy constantly reaffirmed by the citizens. This is where we see the very concrete and practical contours of the new, very different world to come." Price (HC) \$27.50 + postage

MOLLISON, "FINANCIAL STRUCTURES DIVORCED FROM RESOURCES"

I discovered permaculture legend Bill Mollison in a round about way, but am pleased to have done so. In a 1983 Youtube video he warned: We "must do more than just grow your own vegetables". Noting that "Financial Structures (are) Totally Divorced From Resources" he insisted "We need to examine the roots of the problems of world hunger and resource depletion

and make big changes in the prevailing financial system. Hunger is rising, absolute hunger is rising, food's badly distributed, not distributed at all often. The waste of food, the whole deal (is) a shocking situation, it's just inhuman. It's what nobody would intend, and somehow what we've arrived at, and we arrived at it by the erection of financial structures, totally divorced

from resources... We can run away to the bush, build a mud hut and grow ducks in the garden, it's not gonna do it. The coals will still be burnt, the land will still be eroded, and the forests will still be cleared for newsprint if we run away to the bush. So, there's no escape, we've just gotta stop running away, stay where we are and start to face up and fight."

<http://www.nextworldtv.com/videos/permaculture/occupy-permaculture-with-bill-mollison.html>

See more here: <http://www.occupy-wallstreet.com/permaculture/>

YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE A 'MONEY MAN' TO SEE THE TRUTH OF IT

Any number of 'social credit' discussions take place via the internet and it is obvious some people find it difficult to grasp Douglas' approach to Economics, Finance, etc.; they appear to be 'blinded' by the abstract financial system. During the break my holiday reading took me to Owen Barfield's 1966 "Romanticism Comes of Age". I first discovered Barfield through his association with fellow Inklings C.S. Lewis and J.R.R. Tolkien. Although Barfield met with Douglas over the years, his interests took him in another direction. (Have you ever read his "History in English Words"? - it takes you into the realms of human thought and consciousness, modern man's abstract thinking which was 'disconnecting'* him from the real world, and his discovery that language contains within it a record of the evolution of human consciousness.) Be that as it may, Barfield approached Douglas' analysis of the lack of purchasing power within the industrialized English nation from another angle and I quote:

Economics,

Thinking in Physical Terms of Rate and Flow

The economic life is today the real bond of the civilised world. The world is held together not by political or religious harmony, but by economic interdependence; and here again there is the same antithesis. Economic theory is bound hand and foot by the static, abstract character of modern thought. On the one hand, everything to do with industry and the possibility of substituting human labour by machinery has reached an unexampled pitch of perfection. But when it is a question of distributing this potential wealth, when it is

demanding of us, therefore, that we think in terms of flow and rate of flow, we cannot even begin to rise to it. The result is that our 'labour-saving' machinery produces, not leisure, but its ghastly caricature, un-employment,* while nearly every civilised and half-civilized nation of the world sits helplessly watching the steady growth within itself of a malignant tumour of social discontent. And this increasingly rancorous discontent is fed above all things by a cramping penury, a shortage of the means of livelihood, which arises, not out of the realities of nature, but out of abstract, in-elastic thoughts about money!

It is a startling thing to go back to poetic writers such as Ruskin or Shelley and to find them forestalling already, out of the living thinking that was in them as artists, the most advanced and intelligent criticism that is being directed today upon the financial mechanism of distribution in our industrial civilization. It is startling, but it is not very consoling. For what effect did their intuitive foreknowledge have on the problems upon which it was directed? About as much as Cassandra's. It is no longer enough that an occasional artist here and there should see his parcel of truth and speak it out, while the actual direction taken by civilisation continues to be wholly determined by a *soi-disant* (e.g., as claimed by and for yourself often without justification) scientific method of knowledge. Science must itself become an art, and art a science; either they must mingle, or Western civilisation, as we know it, must perish, to make room for one that may have spirit enough to learn how to know God's earth as He actually made it.

* Do watch the videos "Social Dynamics" on the League's website or send for a full definition DVD, \$12.00 + postage.

Prince Charles' book "Harmony" deals with modern man's disconnection from nature. Price \$40.00 + \$10.00 postage.

"Social Credit: Asterisks" by Anthony Cooney.

A century ago C.H. Douglas revealed to the world that banks create money out of nothing. He challenged the monopoly of credit and those who control it. Increasing and unrepayable world debt has rekindled interest in Douglas' works, his practical proposals and glimpse of reality. Price \$5.00 plus postage

"Hilaire Belloc 1870-1953" by Anthony Cooney.

"If we do not restore the Institution of Property we cannot escape restoring the Institution of Slavery". An introduction to this celebrated thinker and writer. He challenged the State on social and economic issues by contending that the dignity of man as a rational being require both freedom and security. Price \$5.00 plus postage.

WHAT IS YOUR DEFINITION OF TREASON GENTLE READER?

By Betty Luks

Had a form letter from the local federal 'representative' recently. I am sure most readers have had something similar from their federal 'representative' – federal elections are due this year of 2013. The letter was full of praise for what his party had done towards the "national plan" for the Murray-Darling Basin now passed into law by the federal Parliament – only time will tell whether The Plan will be used to control us further through the allocations of that precious basic need (water) or to set us free.

What he failed to acknowledge was any concern he *might* have had for the federal government's policy and agenda on foreign ownership, e.g., the sale of Cubbie Station to the Chinese. The issue is most certainly related to the Murray-Darling Basin and our water needs.

Dr. Ann Kent, currently a visiting fellow at the Australian National University asked in the *Sydney Morning Herald*, 25/11/2012, "What is the secret of Cubbie?" and insists: "Australia must stand up and protect its national interests just as strongly as China does its own. There is something odd about Australia. Our politicians expend huge resources and even more hot air wrangling over how to exclude a pitifully small number of legitimate Asian and Middle Eastern refugees from our shores, while they allow, almost without a murmur, the purchase of Cubbie Station, the largest landholding in the country, comprising a number of properties the size of the

ACT, by a consortium headed by a Chinese enterprise, Shandong Ruyi.

Recently reconfigured as a "private enterprise" from a state-owned enterprise, Shandong Ruyi still has to obtain permission from the Chinese government before it can proceed, even after the Australian government has approved the purchase. This is a quite unusual requirement for the normal "private enterprise". *Not only does this purchase involve vast tracts of land but Cubbie Station boasts Australia's biggest water licence, a huge parcel of water that is also critical to the healthy functioning of the Murray-Darling river system.*

Even with the very broadest interpretation of sovereignty, this purchase by a foreign corporation backed by an authoritarian state lacking a rule of law, represents a threat to Australia's national interests that would have been more apparent had the application to purchase Cubbie been made in the environment of severe drought we were experiencing up to a few years ago, rather than during the recent unusual couple of years of relative lushness..."

Line up Ann Kemp's observations, the local 'representative's' self-congratulations on the Basin Plan against Senator Barnaby Joyce's misgivings:

"ALP generosity stops short of Murray Darling Basin residents. If you live overseas and spruik the climate change line then the generosity of our government knows no bounds. If you

live in the Murray Darling Basin affected by a government decision which has a detriment to your own economic livelihood, then you discover a government that is parsimonious.

The Labor Party is prepared to send \$3 billion to other countries to adapt to climate change but only willing to give \$100 million to its own people to adapt to the economic changes their policy has brought in. Regional Australia Minister Simon Crean, was reported in today's Weekly Times as saying communities will be able to adjust and \$100 million would be sufficient for 167 councils in the Basin.

The best way to limit socio-economic detriment to Murray Darling Basin communities is to limit water buybacks. The Coalition has committed to a 1500GL buyback cap when in Government.

If the ALP is serious about the livelihoods of the Murray Darling Basin's 2.2 million people, it would cap water buybacks.

The next anomaly is that the Labor Party is standing behind a policy that ultimately spends approximately \$12 billion in bringing back the Murray Darling back to health. But it will remove funding for the Sustainable Rivers Audit that actually judges whether this is happening.

The Sustainable Rivers Audit is a well respected study that determines the biota of a river whether its health is better or worse than other rivers in its area".

Read more: <http://www.smh.com.au/opinion/what-is-the-secret-of-cubbie-20121024-285of.html#ixzz2CtgKBk00>

Australians are either going to learn to control their so-called 'representatives' by insisting they are in parliament to represent the will of their own people (the electorate) or they are going to taste slavery as do so many of the Chinese people themselves.

"Clifford Hugh Douglas" by Anthony Cooney.

Heralded as the Einstein of economics, Douglas gave a glimpse of reality to the world. He warned that debt, heavy taxation and inflation was inevitable under centralised financial policies which are in need of correction. Price \$4.50 plus postage.

"One Sword at Least: G.K. Chesterton" by Anthony Cooney.

DISTRIBUTISM, LIBERTY, PROPERTY. A glimpse at the genius of Chesterton, author of over 100 books, poet, journalist, editor, controversialist, biographer, publisher, playwright, debater, traveller, lecturer, illustrator and prophet. Readers are challenged to discover Chesterton for themselves. Price \$6.00 plus postage.

FREEDOM IS THE CAUSE, NOT OF A DAY, BUT OF A LIFETIME

An address by Canadian Doug Christie to Canadian Association for Free Expression.

Toronto, December 2, 2012. Victoria-based lawyer and long-time free speech activist Douglas H. Christie addressed the Christmas gathering of the Canadian Association for Free Expression and posed some politically incorrect questions for his packed audience.

Challenging Canada's Trudeau-era fetish with group rights and special privileges for certain groups, Mr. Christie asked: "Why can't there be 'anti-Semitism?' Anti-Christianity is rampant. There's a double standard. You can slam Christianity, but not Judaism or Islam."

Under Canadian law, he noted, "you can expose an individual to hatred, contempt or ridicule as long as there is some basis in fact and this is your honestly held opinion".

He noted that some years ago he was called "a perverted monster" for defending Ernst Zundel by a Vancouver talk radio host. "A jury said it was defamatory but fair comment", he explained.

"Opinions should be allowed," free speech is the one thing you must give your worst enemy, if you wish to keep it for yourself".

"Truth will offend," the Battling Barrister also noted. "Why should it be wrong for anti-homosexual activist Bill Whatcott to say homosexuality is evil?" There's low tolerance for dissent in Canada, he added. "If you disagree, why not debate him. If we're not free to debate, what are our brains for?" he asked. "A fearless national discourse changes potential violence into understanding."

In the case of the complaints under Saskatchewan's Human Rights Act against Mr. Whatcott, "the complaint of 'discrimination' does not refer to the denial of a service but anything that might take away the self-esteem of some privileged group, in this case homosexuals," he explained. "But what if

there are negative aspects to homosexual practices? Instead of a spirited debate, so-called human rights legislation encourages offended homosexuals to say: 'You've offended me. So, I'm taking you to the human rights commission to try to get you punished and silenced.'"

Part of the assault on free speech, Mr. Christie explained, is "a result of massive immigration from non-traditional societies. This has produced 'diversity' where people don't have a common understanding". But we're not allowed to discuss differences honestly. Instead, we'll go to court and academics and 'experts' at great expense will talk about 'human rights,' but what they practise is really tyranny," he said. "We've delegated to the courts the power to make determinations of morality. We're seeing an ethical transformation imposed by government. In Ontario, Catholic schools are being forced, in the name of tolerance, to teach that homosexuality is a legitimate 'lifestyle' to be respected and protected, even though his notion is totally contrary to Catholic belief".

Barbara Hall, Chief Commissioner of the Ontario Human Rights Commission, says "context" is everything. A priest or imam may refuse to "marry" two homosexuals, but a marriage commissioner may not, even though homosexual "marriage" violates his faith. "He belongs to the state," Mr. Christie concluded.

The repressive power of the state and of human rights regimes suggests, said Mr. Christie, "the lesson that any sensible person should keep his head down, be

afraid, drink his beer, because it's all too complicated". But, this is not a proper fate for free men and women,

Turning to recent developments in the Marc Lemire, Internet free speech case -- the constitutional challenge to the constitutionality of Sec. 13, (Internet censorship) of the Canadian Human Rights Act, Judge Richard Mosley upheld the constitutionality of Sec. 13 but not the fines and penalties. The judge took the case under questionable circumstances: "It is clear to my mind that if you justified this legislation (Sec. 13's extension to include the Internet) to Parliament and you've expressed an opinion, that raises the concern of reasonable apprehension of bias".

Mr. Justice Mosley "is a judge of the Federal Court, Trial Division. However, in 2001, as a senior lawyer for the Department of Justice, he was a critical player in drafting Bill C-36," an anti-terrorism bill which, among other measures, turned over control of the Internet to the Canadian Human Rights Commission. "Now he's adjudicating the very legislation he assisted in drafting" and which he assured the media was constitutional. Indeed, Sec. 13 cases may be about to resume, thanks to Judge Mosley", he added.

In a rousing conclusion, Mr. Christie said: "The State wants the power to take what you have and control your life. Don't think that government is your friend, no matter what your political party is. The cause of freedom is not the cause of a day but of a lifetime. Am I going to live in fear and silence and censor myself? Never!"

HERITAGE BOOKSHOP SERVICES AND VERITAS ONLINE

Please note: Prices now quoted for books do not include postage and handling charges, and will be subject to change. Postage and Handling charges for Book Orders will be as follows: + 20% on orders less than \$50.00 : + 12.5% on orders \$51.00 to \$100.00 : Orders over \$100.00 to be negotiated : Minimum order \$2.00. Cheques/Money Orders made out to 'Heritage Bookshop'.

Books by Michael Lane: "Human Ecology": and "Social Credit" \$7.00 plus postage: "Herald of Social Credit" \$9.00 plus postage.