



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



IN THIS ISSUE

- 2 Competing Nationalisms in Ukraine...
- 2 Pilpusil Logic? Left–Right Terms Used To Validate Dialectics?
- 3 Letter to the Press
- 3 Time for Referendum on Section 18c of the Racial Discrimination Act
- 3 Agenda 21: The Pyramid of Power
- 4 What Are Some Of The Facts Behind Russia And Ukraine Tension?
- 5 The TPP's Corporate-Friendly European Counterpart – The TTIP
- 5 Immigration and the Planned Destruction of Australia
- 6 Understanding the Crisis in Ukraine
- 7 Rethinking the China Cargo Cult
- 7 China Is Buying the World
- 7 Ancient Genetics and Political Correctness
- 7 The Swiss Show How It *Should* Be Done On Anti-Immigration Vote
- 8 Heritage Book Services and VERITAS online

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

Dedication: To all men of good will, of all racial origins and of all religious faiths.

Knowledge is a collection of facts. Wisdom is the use of knowledge. Without facts there is no knowledge. Without knowledge there is no wisdom. Facts prevent what nothing can cure. Facts are Man's best defence mechanism. Without them men stumble and fail. Without them nations decline and fall. Wisdom wins wars before they start. Knowledge aborts national hostilities. Wisdom obviates racial antipathies. Knowledge effaces religious animosities. Emancipation from bigotry prefaces peace. Intolerance takes all and gives nothing. Peace rewards reciprocal respect and regard. To *all Men of Good-Will, "Pax Vobiscum!"**

The rise and fall of the Kazaar Kingdom between the 1st century B.C. and the 13th century A.D. is the "key" to the solution of the 20th (now 21st) century international problems inimical to the nation's security.

-- Benjamin H. Freedman in "Facts are Facts" 1955

* *Pax Vobiscum: Pax vobis, or pax vobiscum, are salutations in the Catholic Mass and the Lutheran Divine Service and mean "Peace be with You".*

The Swede Fredrik Hagsbergs' speech to the Ukrainian Revolution

He calls for a genuine freedom for his people and those of Ukraine.

Targets for the Week

Prime Minister Abbott wants to recognise aboriginals in the Australian Constitution; this must be resisted!

Recognition will not improve the lot of aborigines but will introduce divisive racist argument into the Constitution. 'Aboriginal recognition' will open a 'Pandora's Box' of unimaginable proportions.

Unfortunately opposition to recognition will be portrayed as 'racist' when in fact it is recognition that is 'racist'. Tampering with the Constitution in this way could well open the way for other changes like moves for a republic. The Constitution is inclusive and does not discriminate by race or creed and has served the Australian Nation well for over 100 years. This issue should be taken up with new arrivals who will be eligible to vote in a referendum, reminding them that this was their home of choice because of the freedom enjoyed under the present Constitution and any proposed changes will erode their new-found freedom.

Other ways must be found to realistically help aboriginal people and any other disadvantaged people.

Victorians are reminded of the 'Beaconsfield Lunch' (meeting, Bourke St.) on the 22nd of March 2014.

Notice was included in last week's 'On Target'.

COMPETING NATIONALISMS IN UKRAINE...

Kevin McDonald, Occidental Observer, 27 February 2014.

What is clear is that Ukraine is another example of age-old competing European nationalisms, for time being forced to co-exist side-by-side in a multicultural, multiethnic state.

The EU solution to all of this is to obliterate all borders, at least within Europe—a solution that is diametrically opposed to what nationalists of all stripes want. (Ever on the lookout for White people with strong ethnic/racial identities, Richard Cohen of the *Washington Post* wants the U.S. to use its power to oppose all these rising European nationalisms [in Catalonia, Scotland, Belgium, the Northern League in Italy, etc.; he has no problem with Israeli and non-European nationalisms].) The worst part is that the EU combines its freedom of movement within Europe policy with massive non-

European immigration, resulting in the same sorts of tensions within European societies that competing nationalisms engender —ethnic and religious strife.

Indeed, the EU solution to the nationalism problem is the worst of all possible worlds, resulting not only in high levels of tension and strife that we already see within European societies, but in the long run in the obliteration of all traditional European national cultures. This is because EU policy favours highly fertile and aggressive, unassimilable religious and ethnic groups that will eventually make native Europeans a relatively powerless minority in areas they have controlled for thousands of years.

It is very difficult to see how a Ukrainian nationalist could be optimistic

about the long-term effects of allying Ukraine with the EU. The very clear signs of discontent with the EU precisely because of the obliteration of national cultures should be a clear sign to the anti-Russian Ukrainian nationalists that the EU is not the answer.

A better solution would be to break up states like Ukraine with large ethnic divisions into ethnically homogeneous societies, but to also develop a consensus that the territorial wars fuelled by nationalism should be a thing of the European past. Europeans must find unity in the face of the massive invasions of non-Europeans that have occurred throughout the West. That may be a tall order, but the way of the EU with its present policies is suicide for all European peoples.

Source: <http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2014/02/competing-nationalisms-in-the-ukraine/>

PILPUSIL LOGIC? LEFT–RIGHT TERMS USED TO VALIDATE DIALECTICS?

I do not like the use of the terms “Right” and “Left”. The terms tend to validate a Dialectic of Conflict, whereas C.H. Douglas in Social Credit philosophy sought a realistic integration of means and ends in the interests of balance. Human beings associate constructively to achieve beneficial ends for all participants and surely intelligent co-operation is more fruitful than association characterised by inherent conflict. As concerns the definition of “socialism” I think that it is fair and accurate to say that Social Credit involves the increasing “socialisation” of credit. But this is a very different socialisation than the usual concept of making individuals subservient to an abstraction called the “group”—exemplified or personified by the “State”.

It involves, rather, the increased sharing of the *unearned increment of association* which derives increasingly from non-labour factors. There is a vast difference between a sharing society of this sort and one which is based upon confiscation and compulsion. Social Credit stands for the decentralisation of power *through the socialisation of effective demand* unlike the centralisation of power which accompanies “socialism” based upon labour relationships and State administration. Labels mean nothing unless they correspond with the actual contents of the bottle.

Socialist policy is founded essentially upon the labour theory of value and conforms

to a rigid ideology that elevates the primacy of labour. That is, it is conducive to the Work State which is the logical and practical end of the slogan “From each according to his ability.”

Social Credit declares: “To each according to his ability AND the added Beneficence of God and Abundance of Nature which latter, through our growing efficiency in using scientifically the laws of nature, becomes enormously greater than the results achieved by mere human labour”. To even imagine that human labour is the creator of all wealth reveals an indescribable arrogant conceit amounting to outright blasphemy. In secular terms it is plainly insane.

Social Credit policy would confer upon the individual economic independence. This is what the puritanical elements in society -- those who would exercise the will-to-power over their fellows through forced obedience within the group context -- fear and hate most of all. At base this is what underlies the typical hostility and antipathy towards Social Credit of that Puritanism which is the motivating inspiration behind socialism, communism, fascism and all movements which accept and promote power exercised over the individual, whether by an individual or through a collectivity. Essentially, we are referring here to exploitation of the “mob mentality”. Douglas said that genuine democracy would maximize the ability and choice of the individual to contract out of association. This implies the

desirability of limited government and is compatible with the importance accorded to the individual by Christian philosophy.

Major C. H. Douglas on the purpose of production and economics in general

For your interest and consideration as an issue of highest priority with regard to “policy-making. The true desiderata of economic activity as described by Major C. H. Douglas:

“The practical object of the whole of the economic and industrial system is to deliver, not “more,” but the right quantity of the right goods to the whole of the people, with the minimum of discomfort to all concerned, the people themselves, i.e., individuals, being the judge both as to quantity and rightness.

After that object has been attained, the productive organisation may legitimately be an outlet for creative activity. At no time is it a legitimate object of the general productive process to “provide employment” for the purpose of distributing wages — to make things which the public do not need, and the makers do not enjoy making, in order that some canon of obsolete theological morality, or the premises of an effete financial system, may thereby be satisfied. Still less is it a legitimate tool of the will-to-govern.”

Wallace Klinck, Canada

Further reading: C.H. Douglas, “These Present Discontents and The Labour Party and Social Credit” (London: Cecil Palmer, 1922), 12.

LETTER TO THE PRESS

Letter to the Editor,

The Australian:

Queensland academic James Allan, like IPA spokesman Simon Breheny, believes that there should be no legal sanctions against speech on race which offends, insults, humiliates, intimidates or incites hatred. In short, he believes in free speech on ethnic issues. Yet Spencer Zifcak, a supporter of political censorship ('Debate unites unlikely bedfellows', 28/2), calls Allan a 'free speech extremist'. What next? Are we to legislate against 'truth extremists'?

-- Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Victoria

TIME FOR REFERENDUM ON SECTION 18C OF THE RACIAL DISCRIMINATION ACT

Australia's "peak Jewish organisation", the Australia/Israel and Jewish Affairs Council, has backed Australia's race discrimination commissioner for the federal government not to repeal section 18C, the section responsible for Andrew Bolt's offence, of the Racial Discrimination Act. (*The Australian* 4 March 2014, p.6) The commissioner believes that doing so may "unleash a darker, even violent, side of our humanity". Along this line of thought the Act is necessary to "preserve multicultural harmony", and protect the "vulnerable".

This multicultural view contrasts with the

position of civil libertarians who have argued in many articles published in *The Australian* that section 18C is an "unnecessary curb on free speech". Here is a political stand-off as hard as it gets. So put it to a Referendum: in fact have a vote on a clause parallel to the American First Amendment. If the people vote against this then ban free speech: the people have spoken. But at least let's decide this issue democratically for a change. If there is to be a vote on Aboriginal recognition, then let us first recognise (or reject) the right to free speech!

AGENDA 21: THE PYRAMID OF POWER by James Reed

With all that is going on in the micro-battles for Freedom, it is vital to look up from the battle field to get a view of the "big picture". One such "big picture" view is offered by Ann Bressington in the ALOR National Seminar of 2013 and available from the League on DVD. Just to put you into the picture, Agenda 21 clearly shows the scam behind the UN push for "sustainable development" that began at the UN Conference on Environment and Development held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 and continued with the global warming scam perpetuated in the various reports and recommendations of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Agenda 21 was, on the face of it, concerned with the environmental crisis and the need for humanity to live within its means. There are high and noble thoughts in the 300-page, 40-chapter document but nowhere is there any attempt to control the real destroyers of the planet – the globalists themselves and financial capital.

There is no "Agenda 21" for community self-reliance and local banking. On the contrary, the blame for environmental degradation is placed firmly on the shoulders of individuals in the West. It is them and their property which allegedly needs regulation.

As detailed in an excellent exposition of the agenda behind Agenda 21, Henry Lamb's "Sustainable Development or Sustainable Freedom" (2010) shows that across the West sustainable development has not only been about grants, workshops and "visioning" processes at the local level, but also about directly

overturning individual private property rights because the eco-globalists believe that private land ownership leads to environmental degradation – when of course, the opposite is true as lack of property rights leads to the famous "tragedy of the commons" problem.

The public control by globalist entities of land is the basis of Agenda 21. This is to be done, in the first instance by zoning and land-use planning and then by direct intervention, such as acquisition and compensated expropriation. Legal controls of various forms can be used. Those failing to conform will be fined and if necessary, have their properties confiscated and sold.

In the United States, Agenda 21-inspired laws have seen citizens hauled off to gaol for failing to water their lawns! One person mentioned in "Sustainable Development of Sustainable Freedom" was fined for failure of lawn watering and in a dispute with an official that ended in a shouting match, was charged with "third-degree terroristic threatening". National Security laws and Sustainable Development laws mutually support each other. There are also a multitude of international codes, such as for building, fire, energy conservation, plumbing and zoning that Agenda 21 has also given us, along with a multitude of "inspired" environmental legislation regulating even the type of plants on one's property.

"Weeds" have been redefined in some Acts to basically include non-Aboriginal plants and trees (e.g., willows and olives) and these weeds must be removed so that the "native" vegetation can flourish. The cost of creating this politically correct landscape is of course borne by the

individual land holder and not the State. No matter that such land clearing conflicts with other sacred ideals, such as reducing one's "carbon footprint".

Agenda 21 is part of the globalist policy of global government. In the economic sphere we already have the march of 'free trade' and open migration to break down national identity; global governance compliments this as seen with the various free trade agreements, such as the TransPacific Partnership. If governments control ecological resources such as water, first on pretence of protecting water resources, it is easy in the next step to give or sell those assets off to transnational corporations or Capitalism's great friend, the Chinese government. It is important to join up the dots and see all of these developments as part of the drive to global domination, and the "pyramid of power" as Major C.H. Douglas entitled an essay in *The English Review* of 1919. The goal is nothing short of "a claim for the complete subjection of the individual to an objective which is externally imposed on him; which is not necessary or desirable that he should understand in full; and in the forging of a social, industrial and political organisation which will concentrate control of policy while making effective revolt completely impossible and leaving its originator in possession of supreme power".

That is what we must oppose for the sake of all we hold dear.

p.s. Voters, think very carefully about your vote, and record a responsible vote in the coming State elections.

WHAT ARE SOME OF THE FACTS BEHIND RUSSIA AND UKRAINE TENSION?

The BBC News (4 March 2014) tells me "The Russian military says it has test-fired an intercontinental ballistic missile, as tension continues over Ukraine's Crimea region. The planned test comes after the US accused Russia of deploying troops in Crimea in an "act of aggression".

Russian President Vladimir Putin denied doing so, but said he had not ruled out military action to protect Russians and Russian speakers in Ukraine. US Secretary of State John Kerry accused Russia of seeking to invade. The US is keen to label Russia's action an "invasion" but as yet no one has been shot by the troops, and it is still time for a war of words - on friend as well as foe, as it happens."

Speaking during a visit to the Ukrainian capital Kiev, where he held talks with the

new government, Mr Kerry said there was no indication at all that Russian citizens or Russian-speakers were in any danger in post-uprising Ukraine. "It is clear that Russia has been working hard to create a pretext for being able to invade further," he said.

In one hint of progress on Tuesday, Ukraine's new Prime Minister Arseniy Yatsenyuk said consultations had taken place between Russian and Ukrainian ministers. He described them as "quite sluggish" but "first steps". So we await

further developments. But in the meantime the following reports should prove of great interest to our readers. Roi Tov, a former Christian Israeli military officer tells his story in such books as "The Cross of Bethlehem". As Benjamin Freedman explained in his book "Facts are Facts" most modern-day Jewry hails from Eastern Europe – not the Middle East. In the light of this knowledge, the following report from Roi Tov's website makes more sense.

ZION SPLITS UKRAINE <http://www.roitov.com/articles/ukraine.htm>

"Spasiva bolshoy," "thank you very much," the woman from Odessa had taught me to say. In Tel Aviv, that was almost trivial; denizens of the former Soviet Union almost match the number of Palestinians. Being from Odessa, she would speak with us, mere Middle Easterners. Her friends from Moskva and Saint Petersburg (wasn't it Stalingrad?) were too good to acknowledge our existence. Once I made the error of inviting a fellow student, heir to Communist aristocracy, to a plebeian hummus. "That's swine food," was the answer in a tone that even a proletarian plebeian could recognize as a purposeful insult. "Spasiva bolshoy, are you from Leningrad?" I answered to the now blushing beast.

People from Odessa abounded in Tel Aviv. Not being Russians, they had a similar problem. Russian was their language and culture, but they were not part of Mother Russia. They were Ukrainians, and spoke Russian with the odd accent of Nikita Khrushchev. "He sounded like a British farmer reading French poetry," I was told by a Russian speaker.

Stalingradians and Leningradians spoke with Ukrainians only in emergencies: "Don't try the hummus, it is swine food!" Unable to accept the Middle East, Russians, Ukrainians and even Moldovans created a society within a society. Many thrived creating bridges between Soviets and Israelis. "Sliding-scale Translations; Long Words at Discount on Shabbat," they painfully wrote on pieces of paper with badly shaped Hebrew letters. Former Communists were lousy marketers and hated computers. The bridges crossed borders. Since the collapse of the Soviet Union, Israel

created extensive relations with some of the former Soviet republics. Azerbaijan is probably the most surprising one, but Russia is steadily becoming more important.

Delegations moved back and forth between the Middle East and the Sovietists. Translators become frequent flyers. Journalists toured and reported. Office of Interests were opened; travel agencies conquered hole-in-the-wall shops near them. People start living well from these businesses.

In other words, articles about Sovietistan in the Hebrew media were written by Israeli-Russian journalists. One will seldom find such an article quoting a Western source. Israel has people everywhere there. In the case that no Israelis were in Stalinistan, then the uncle of one of them was there for sure.

On February 2014, the war between Russia and Europe over the control of Ukraine reached a boiling point. The pro-Russian president escaped and now there is turmoil. On February 28, Russian forces appeared in the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, a 1954 gift from Russia to Ukraine, in the days when both were united under the USSR.

The Hebrew media followed the events closely. I vaguely followed the Hebrew media on the issue; Ukraine is not a core issue of the website. Thus, it was not until the conquest of Crimea by a Russia attempting to revive its 19th Century empire that I noticed an oddity.

Instead of the usual "our correspondent in Stalinlenistan reports exclusively", this time most articles quoted a wide range of Western sources. It was an attempt to avoid drawing unnecessary attention. What is happening to Israeli and Jewish organizations in Ukraine? Are

they pro-Russia or pro-Europe?

"Have you read the latest on Iran? Don't ask about Ukraine! The BBC had said everything that was needed to be said" was the attitude. In other words, "We are involved, do not spoil the party."

In the shifting geopolitics of this decade, Israel found itself struggling against sanctions imposed by the European Union on products from the West Bank.* Europe is the main market of Israeli exports. The annexation of Ukraine by the EU would mean a further weakening of the Israeli position.

Not for the first time, Israel and Russia found themselves on the same side of a conflict. One of the oddest signs was the emphasis that was given to the claim that the forces in the Crimea did not belong to the Russian Army but were mercenaries of unclear allegiances.

Did they mean that they were like the Syrian Free Army composed by Western *et al.* paid mercenaries? This was not explained, but it looks like a clear attempt to buy time for Russian authorities. "I can't comment now, I must check whom these mercenaries are being paid by". Israel had collaborated with Russia in the Sochi Winter Games by providing the War Room controlling the theatre. Were the technologies passed by Israel used in the Crimea? Incredibly, Hebrew media were silent on these issues.

Unidentified soldier in Crimea, March 1, 2014 Lonely Planet Ukraine


This was a theatre-changing event. I do not mean Ukraine; Hebrew media always places hints about what cannot be openly said. The media unofficial rules had been changed. Hebrew language allows placing hints aimed at friends easily. Many of its structures do not exist in Indo

(Continued on page 5)

-European languages; foreigners will never understand. Yok! This time the articles dryly quoted the BBC and the *New York Times* with the thick accent of a British farmer trying to speak Russian.

Hints can be manipulative; yet, exposing the possibility often cancels the effect and makes the next attempt to manipulate harder and more unlikely. In this occasion, a graphic hint of a troubling plan was provided. *Yediot Ahrnot* is the largest paid Hebrew newspaper. On February 28, one of its reports included an unusual map. Next day, it was added to another article. This is a variation of what in literature is called "alliteration", creating emphasis by repeating the starting letter of consecutive words.

Below is the map. It has already divided Ukraine into European and Russian republics. Is that the plan being so carefully hidden? "Stop! Don't bother me now. I must check whom these mercenaries are."



"Sviatoslav, prince of Kiev, commanded the next attack, which destroyed the Khazar state in 965." -- From Wikipedia



Trade routes of the Black Sea region, 8th-11th centuries

THE TPP's CORPORATE-FRIENDLY EUROPEAN COUNTERPART – THE TTIP

While the United States Trade Representative has been finishing up the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), an agreement that covers Pacific Rim economies, it has also been working on the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), a new trade pact with the European Union. Both agreements are favourable to corporations, but while increasing awareness of the TPP has generated public backlash, work on the TTIP has gone more under the radar. On December 16, negotiators met in Washington for the third round of TTIP talks, against a fragile backdrop of both a mini-rebellion in Congress against fast-tracking the TPP, and continuing revelations of U.S. spying habits worldwide.

Advocates of the TTIP argue that the goal of the agreement is what they call "regulatory harmonization," echoing similar calls from the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. The idea is to standardize U.S. and European regulations on a variety of matters including finance, the environment, labour, and online data

protection. Critics worry the final agreement could result in the most lax, corporate-friendly regulations for all countries involved. One potential provision is the EU's desire for a "Regulatory Cooperation Council" that would be tasked with evaluating existing regulations and coordinating future rules. Another potential provision is the so-called "investor-state dispute settlement," which would allow corporations to sue governments without being bound by domestic laws.

The agreement remains largely a secret, and the USTR's Dan Mullaney has expressed the belief that negotiators need private space to negotiate in the national interest. A session of "stakeholder presentations" were held at George Washington University to provide negotiators with outside feedback, but on both sides of the Atlantic the stakeholders were overwhelmingly representative of business interests. The TTIP's future is still uncertain, and will likely depend on the actions of Congress.

Source: Cole Stangler, "The Next Corporate-Friendly Trade Pact," In *These Times*, December 30, 2013. Student Researcher: Noah Tenney (Sonoma State University)

Faculty Evaluator: Peter Phillips (Sonoma State University)

<http://inthesetimes.com/article/16044/>

[ttpip_the_next_corporate_friendly_trade_deal.](http://www.projectcensored.org/transatlantic-trade-investment-partnership-tpps-corporate-friendly-european-counterpart/)

<http://www.projectcensored.org/transatlantic-trade-investment-partnership-tpps-corporate-friendly-european-counterpart/>

IMMIGRATION AND THE PLANNED DESTRUCTION OF AUSTRALIA by Peter Ewer

Australia, population-wise is bursting at the seams. Population projections from the Australian Bureau of Statistics, based on differing assumptions about migration levels, future fertility and mortality see Australia reaching a population of between 34.3 and 41.9 million in 2050 and 42.3 and 69.5 million in 2100. The major driving force of this increase is our present immigration programme with net international migration running at an insane 280,000 per annum. Add to this skilled migration, family reunion and the international students who are all potential migrants (with untold millions via chain migration of families) and the implications are clear, I believe.

Whites will cease to be a majority by about 2030. Australia will be majority Asian by about 2050, mostly Chinese. By that time all we will know of Traditional culture will be gone – maybe even the name of the country. But I expect that the onslaught of a doubling of Melbourne's population to 8.5 million by 2060 will kill that city. The collapse of the "Australian" environment in great waves of pollution will finish off this land leading to an environment not unlike a Mad Max movie. Surely this, not economics, should be our number one concern. How could even alternative economics survive this Camp of the Saints scenario?

UNDERSTANDING THE CRISIS IN UKRAINE


From website of Christopher Bollyn March 4, 2014 (<http://www.bollyn.com/14573/>).

'I think,' said Sidonia, 'that there is no error so vulgar as to believe that revolutions are occasioned by economical causes.'

- *Coningsby* by Benjamin Disraeli (1844)



This political cartoon suggests that the crisis in the Ukraine, which has been fomented by the Neo-Con Kagan family and their Zionist masters, will fail in the Ukraine. Americans should ask why is the United States government pursuing such a destructive and reckless foreign policy, which is clearly detrimental to our national interest?



Sen. John McCain, the leading supporter of the Zionist war agenda in the U.S. Congress, talks to Arseniy Yatsenyuk (left), the new provisional prime minister, who happens to be Jewish, and Oleh Tyahnybok (centre) of the "neo-Nazi" Svoboda party, in the Ukraine in December 2013. Isn't it odd that a Ukrainian Jew and a neo-Nazi should be working so closely together?

Christopher Bollyn writes: The crisis in the Ukraine is a very serious matter that pits the United States against Russia. While we don't know how this crisis will end, we certainly know how it started and who has guided it to this dangerous point. In order to grasp what is really going on in Kiev, we need to understand who is pulling the strings in the so-called EuroMaidan protests and who has been appointed to head the unelected

provisional government of the Ukraine. I happen to have been reading Douglas Reed's excellent historical analysis of Zionism, "*The Controversy of Zion*", during the time when the world witnessed a regime change in the Ukraine, a regime change which has been forced on the people of the Ukraine by violent protestors in Kiev, the capital. "*The Controversy of Zion*" is an excellent historical analysis of Zionism. This book explains the roots and diabolical and destructive goals of Zionism. It is highly recommended reading. In the chapter entitled "The Warnings of Disraeli", I found a most apt quotation for the situation in the Ukraine in a speech Benjamin Disraeli, the first Jewish prime minister of Britain, gave in the House of Commons in 1852:

"The influence of the Jews may be traced in the last outbreak of the destructive principle in Europe. An insurrection takes place against tradition and aristocracy, against religion and property... the natural equality of men and the abrogation of property are proclaimed by the secret societies which form provisional governments, and men of the Jewish race are found at the head of every one of them."

After violent protestors seized government buildings, President Viktor Yanukovich fled the capital due to fear for his life and his family. This allowed the people guiding the protests in the street to push through appointments for a provisional government, which is headed by Arseniy Yatsenyuk.

As Ben Judah wrote in his 2009 article for ISN Zurich, "Ukraine: The Rise of Yatsenyuk": Yatsenyuk has two major issues that will come to a head before the elections. Firstly he is Jewish, which according to political analyst Taras Kuzio, could pose a major problem...

Yatsenyuk's Judaism is not his only identity related political problem. Members of his family were prominent in the Ukrainian nationalist movement, which will doubtless cost him votes in the country's Russian-speaking east. What is most disturbing about the regime change that brought Yatsenyuk to power is that he seems to have been put in that position through the actions of Victoria Nuland, an official of the U.S. State Department who is married to Robert Kagan. The Kagan family, Lithuanian Jews

of Khazar ancestry, seems to have a permanent fiefdom at the State Department. [Kagan and Kahn are Khazar names that derive from a title of imperial rank in the Mongolian and Turkic languages equal to the status of emperor and someone who rules a khaganate.] Robert, Fred, and their father, Donald Kagan, were the founders of the *Project for a New American Century*, which aggressively pushed for war against Iraq during the Clinton and Bush administrations. Rather than being allowed to start new wars, the Kagans should be prosecuted for their roles in the conspiracy to wage a war of aggression against Iraq. (See: "Russia Under Attack": <http://www.bollyn.com/14573/#sthash.N4v1U04k.dpuf>)

Paul Craig Roberts latest article "Russia Under Attack" is a well-informed piece about the Neo-con war against Russia and their dangerous strategy to Balkanize the states of the former Soviet Union, such as the Ukraine. The following is an extract from the beginning of the article: In a number of my articles I have explained that the Soviet Union served as a constraint on US power. The Soviet collapse unleashed the neoconservative drive for US world hegemony. Russia under Putin, China, and Iran are the only constraints on the neoconservative agenda.

Russia's nuclear missiles and military technology make Russia the strongest military obstacle to US hegemony. To neutralize Russia, Washington broke the Reagan-Gorbachev agreements and expanded NATO into former constituent parts of the Soviet Empire and now intends to bring former constituent parts of Russia herself--Georgia and Ukraine--into NATO. Washington withdrew from the treaty that banned anti-ballistic missiles and has established anti-ballistic missile bases on Russia's frontier. Washington changed its nuclear war doctrine to permit nuclear first strike. All of this is aimed at degrading Russia's deterrent, thereby reducing the ability of Russia to resist Washington's will. The Russian government (and also the government of Ukraine) foolishly permitted large numbers of US funded NGOs to operate as Washington's agents under cover of "human rights

(Continued on page 7)

(Continued from page 6)

organizations,” “building democracy,” etc. The “pussy riot” event was an operation designed to put Putin and Russia in a bad light. (The women were useful dupes.) The Western media attacks on the Sochi Olympics are part of the ridiculing and demonizing of Putin and Russia. Washington is determined that Putin and Russia will not be permitted any

appearance of success in any area, whether diplomacy, sports, or human rights.

The American media is a Ministry of Propaganda for the government and the corporations and helps Washington paint Russia in bad colours. Stephen F. Cohen accurately describes US media coverage of Russia as a “tsunami of shamefully unprofessional and politically inflammatory articles.”

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article37635.htm>
Read further here.... <http://www.bollyn.com/14573/>

Important books for further reading:

- ◆ “The Controversy of Zion” by Douglas Reed
- ◆ “Facts are Facts” by Benjamin H. Freedman
- ◆ “Tragedy and Hope” by Carroll Quigley
- ◆ Kevin McDonald’s books

RETHINKING THE CHINA CARGO CULT by James Reed

At last a bit of clear thinking on Asian and China trade. John Lee (“No Need to Take a Softly, Softly Stand on China” *The Australian* 13 February 2014, p.10) rightly points out that trade is short term; once the transaction is completed the relationship ends. Exporters do not inherently care who they sell to, and importers are not inherently interested in who they buy from.

This simple point takes us far, I believe. There is not justification for the Asianisation of Australia merely for our “economic future”. If our resources are of value, then if China or other Asian countries want them, trade will occur. Likewise if you have money, you can buy, say a bottle of drink, from anyone, without having to sell your soul to win him/her over. Capitalism, after all was supposed to be beyond race.

Hence the Asianisation policy, as I see it, cannot be justified by mere economic criteria and must therefore be a matter of ideology and psycho-political warfare.

CHINA IS BUYING THE WORLD by Brian Simpson

Peter Nolan in “Is China Buying the World?” (Polity Press, 2012) puts the case contrary to the view held by the majority of writers at this site, China is not, at least not yet, buying and owning the world. His case is based on a great roll of facts, such as that China has no firms in the top 100 list and only nine firms in the top 400; that Chinese firms appear as only wholesalers in the West and that its labour-intensive manufacturing can be replicated in other countries such as Brazil. All this is true but these facts are quite consistent with the “China will rule the world thesis” of Martin Jacques in “When

China Rules the World: The End of the Western World and the Birth of a New Global Order” (Penguin, 2012). The real issue in this debate relates to the decline of the West thesis. This is determined by default simply by staying afloat as the West sinks. This is determined not by standard economic arguments but by consideration of the state of health of civilisations. The evidence that the West is facing a terminal illness is strong however much simplistic one-issue folk may deny this. The West could prove too weak to endure a fist fight with China.

In 2008 the US National Intelligence Council recognised that US power was in decline as China’s was rising. Standard economic indicators confirm this; China is projected to be a world leader in military technology by 2030 and will not have liberal qualms about using its might. Consequently those who see an emerging “China threat” are right. The difficulty is that our current economic pathway serves only to feed the dragon and prepare future generations for their “wake in fright”.

ANCIENT GENETICS AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS by Brian Simpson

M. Meyer (et. al.), “A Mitochondrial Genome Sequence from a Hominin from Sima de los Huesos”, *Nature* (December 2013), reports on the oldest human DNA ever extracted. The 400,000 year-old bones found in Spain showed evidence of Denisovan DNA, a group from Asia. The researchers advanced various hypotheses to explain this including the logical one that the Denisovans did reach Europe. University of Adelaide evolutionist Alan Cooper is quoted in *The Australian* (5

December 2014 p.3) as saying that “It looks like frequent hybridisation has been a major part of our background”, and racial supremacists beware: “It shows that we are all mongrels. Ironically, the Africans seem to be the purest bunch around. They don’t have all these other groups mixed in with them”. There is a big logical jump here from mixed groups to racial superiority. We simply don’t know what capacities such as with respect to intelligence, these groups had

relative to the Africans. Further “hybridisation” and “purity” are of course highly relative over such long time frames and thus not relevant to contemporary race issues. Cromagnon man had a larger cranial capacity than contemporary humans and was probably more intelligent. The Neanderthals were physically superior to modern humans. Evolution thus shows the opposite of Cooper’s evolutionary liberalism.

THE SWISS SHOW HOW IT *SHOULD* BE DONE ON ANTI-IMMIGRATION VOTE by James Reed

Good on the Swiss! The citizen’s initiated referendum system delivered a good kick to the Swiss capitalist groups when a referendum on scaling back migration from the EU passed. Business groups screamed that turning the immigration

tap down from “flood” would seriously affect growth, but that’s what they always say. In a population of eight million 80,000 EU citizens a year lob in Switzerland. The EU bureaucrats are planning severe


consequences for any nation in the EU standing up against the present immigration invasion. Switzerland is wisely outside the EU, so there is a need now for a EU country to stand up to the globalist tyrants.

HERITAGE BOOKSHOP SERVICES AND VERITAS ONLINE


Please note: Prices now quoted for books do not include postage and handling charges, and will be subject to change.

Cheques/Money Orders made out to 'Heritage Bookshop'.

The Thirteenth Tribe Arthur Koestler \$15.00 plus postage



This book traces the history of the ancient Khazar Empire, a major but almost forgotten power in Eastern Europe, which in the Dark Ages converted to Judaism. Khazaria was finally wiped out by the forces of Ghengis Khan, but evidence indicates that the Khazars themselves migrated to Poland and formed the cradle of Western Jewry.



"The Controversy of Zion" by Douglas Reed



Douglas Reed traces the 'thread of world problems' from c.4000BC to the present day.

An excellent presentation!

JEREMY'S CDS ARE ESSENTIAL VIEWING:

- ◆ How can the Whole World be In Debt?
- ◆ Debt for Equity Swaps
- ◆ Building the World State Through Financial Debt


@ \$5.45 Each



New book Available from Melbourne Book Shop

WOLVES IN AUSTRALIA

Compiled by Dr Amy McGrath



Dr McGrath has provided readers with another great book exposing the 'Wolves in Australia'. A quote from the front cover from Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn is timely when he warned: *"Be afraid, very afraid of communism's devouring nature."* This book exposes the Communists in Australia and their fellow travellers 'the Fabian Socialists.

The 'communists are NOT under the bed, they are in the bed!'

Secure your copy today

\$25 posted

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: www.alor.org/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: As well as the publication of journals – Cheques/Money Orders made out to 'ALOR Journals' – for the dissemination of information, the League publishes and distributes a wide range of educational books, videos and DVDs. These are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop,
2nd Floor, 145 Russell Street,
Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749; Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 83961245;

Fax: (08) 8396 1245.

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE:

<http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/>

<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.