# ON TARGET

*A WEEKLY COMMENTARY*

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

*Print Post Publication Number 100000815*

*The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance —*

**Vol. 50. No. 25** **27th June, 2014**


## Contents



## THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

**WAIT… WHAT'S WRONG WITH THIS PICTURE?**
**By Richard miller**


Maurice Newman is chairman of Abbott's Business Advisory Council. In his article "Busting the 'No Worries' Myth" (*The Australian*, 27 May 2014, p.12) he tells us that "Progressive policies have failed us" because Australian households are in a precarious state: "nearly half of all families receive in welfare what they pay in taxes yet they still can't make ends meet". And then there are two million people unemployed living on welfare. "Clearly, big government is limiting our growth potential and job creation".

Glad you mentioned that Maurice. Did you happen to flip to page 1 of the same day of *The Australian* carrying your article "Public Purse to Fund Jobs Growth"? A government report has shown that 45 percent of jobs created to 2018 will be in taxpayer funded areas such as health, education, welfare and public administration. All of which is completely contrary to Abbott's stated "smaller" government direction. If Newman thinks that we have "big government" now, well he hasn't seen anything yet!

## BASIC FUND and TARGETS FOR THE WEEK

It is pleasing to report the Basic Fund is now $43.351.51 and our sincere thanks to contributors who have given generously enabling this amount to be reached. The target amount is not far off but will still need a push to be achieved. Thanks to all loyal supporters.

The Target for this week is on page 3. Please copy this page and give it to your local church leaders. You may need to have a copy of the books first so that you know what you are promoting. They are some of the best exposés of Social Credit available today. Also refer to the 'Thought for the Week' above. *nd*

# IN THE MIDDLE EAST A WORD CAN MEAN A LOT By Graham Cooke

*Online Opinion* **17 June 2014** **http://representativepress.blogspot.com.au/2014/06/apologize-to-israel-for-saying-west.html**

"From the 1968 US Presidential campaign to the current rise of Asia, I have been fascinated by the unpredictable currents of history, some caused by multiple events complex almost beyond comprehension, others resulting from a single moment and an assassin's bullet. In trying to make sense of this I am reminded of a quote from President John F. Kennedy: 'The only unchangeable certainty is that nothing is certain or unchangeable'."

The fact the Australian Government is surprised at the international reaction to what Prime Minister Tony Abbott now refers to as a "terminological clarification", over the word 'disputed' rather than 'occupied' regarding the status of East Jerusalem, reveals an appalling lack of knowledge of the sensitivities around the terms when used in the context of lands captured by Israel during the 1967 Six Day War.

Even worse, this appears to have emerged from some domestic spat between the Attorney General, George Brandis, and the Greens which Mr Abbott, half a world away on his overseas tour, jumped into with both feet. Foreign Minister Julie Bishop, who appears to have been a spectator to the imbroglio, has been left with the repair job, blaming the media (as usual) for its "overreaction".

If the media is overreacting it is certainly not alone. Israeli lobbyists have been virtually dancing jigs over the Prime Minister's announcement while Arab countries throughout the Middle East are now giving serious consideration to what kind of sanctions they can apply against Canberra.

An American diplomat and close friend, recently in charge of a well-funded program to strengthen Palestinian institutions in preparation for statehood, has written to me expressing incredulity at Mr Abbott's comments. He now tries to say that nothing has changed and Australia continues to support United Nations Resolutions 242 and 338 aimed at finding a solution to the Israeli-Palestinian confrontation. However 242 specifically refers to territories 'occupied' by Israel in 1967 while 338 simply refers back to 242 on the question of occupation.

Mr Abbott cannot have it two ways. The very fact he has highlighted that Australia regards East Jerusalem as 'disputed' rather than 'occupied', puts Australia firmly in Israel's camp. It cannot, can ever, be simply a question of semantics.

To explain further, I will quote the words of Robert Fisk, a multiple award-winning journalist who has covered the Middle East, first for *The Times* and subsequently the *Independent* newspapers for 38 years. Writing in his book The Great War for Civilisation, Fisk recalls that in 2001, George W. Bush's Secretary of State, Colin Powell, issued instructions to US Embassies in the region that they were no longer to refer to occupied Palestinian territories as 'occupied' and should henceforth refer to them as 'disputed' – a ruling that was followed by most American publications and some British.

After Fisk continued to use the term 'occupied' he was asked to contribute to a BBC World Service program along with an Israeli Government spokesman. "The moment I referred to the Israeli-occupied territories an Israeli voice boomed back: 'But Mr Fisk the territories are not occupied by Israel!' I waited for a second. Aha, I countered, so you mean that the soldiers who stopped me on the road to Ramallah and Jenin last week were Swiss! Or were they Burmese?"

**But as he continues, this is no laughing matter.** "An occupied territory might generate violent resistance which could demand international legitimacy. But violence over a 'dispute' – a real estate problem, something which might be settled in the courts – was obviously illegitimate, criminal, and mindless; indeed, it could be portrayed as the product of that well-worn libel 'mindless violence'."

There would be no problem with 'dispute' if there was a mechanism for the 'dispute' to be resolved; if the case could be brought before some impartial authority for judgement. But of course there is none, or at least not one that Israel will recognise. The International Court of Justice exists for disputes between states, and as Jerusalem will quickly point out, Palestine is not a state therefore the court cannot have jurisdiction.

So we are on the slippery slope. Palestine is not a state and its lands are subject only to a dispute. How long therefore before Israel claims the dispute is an internal matter, to be settled on its terms?

While in the Middle East in 2012 (by which time US diplomats had either abandoned or ignored Powell's dictum) I met a number of high-ranking Palestinian officials, one, who on a strict condition of anonymity, set out what he believed to be a basis for settlement. The official said the more isolated Israeli settlements on the West Bank would have to go, but others might be consolidated and incorporated into Israel providing an equal amount of territory could be swopped elsewhere, presumably in parts where Israeli Arabs were in the majority.

On Jerusalem he suggested that Israel and a future Palestinian state simply share a capital – "just because it has never been done before is no reason why it shouldn't be tried here". If these two things were agreed he believed other outstanding issues, such as rights of return, could be negotiated.

"But what happens?" he asked. "[Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin] Netanyahu keeps building more and more settlements on our land; the Israeli Army occupies large parts of the West Bank and launches raids into the rest when it feels like it. When we hit back and a settler is killed they call it a massacre and we are called terrorists; when the Israeli army bulldozes a house or shoots innocent women and children it is called a regrettable incident during an incursion. So we keep on throwing rocks. What else can we do?"

This is why the Australian Prime Minister needs to choose his words more carefully if he insists on playing a role in Middle East politics.

# THE UTTER PERVERSITY OF GDP by Chris Knight

I recall my Economics 101 lecturer telling us in an introductory lecture on GDP – the Gross Domestic Product – that this would be increased if every husband and every wife paid each other for sex. He thought that he was being very clever, but to me it constituted a *reductio ad absurdum* of orthodox economics.

But I was too hasty. Now it seems that Britain, Ireland and Italy, according to the *Wall Street Journal*, are including prostitution and other illicit activities in their National Accounts. Britain, by stooping this low, may add as much as US$ 9.6 billion by adding prostitution and US$ 7.4 billion from illicit drugs. All this comes from an EU dictate to broaden the GDP measure. In turn the EU directive comes direct from the godless UN 2008 "best practices" directive. I must say, that nothing surprises me anymore, especially where the UN is concerned.

# THE BATTLE LINES HAVE BEEN DRAWN

**Someone once said "The final battle will be between Christianity and the money power" and to this end, Pope Francis has fired a telling shot! It is up to us to use the Pope's words to promote Oliver Heydorn's two wonderful and very timely books to spread the message of *'Social Credit Economics'*.**

**This page is designed to be copied and should be placed in the hands of every clergyman or woman in your community. They should be further challenged by asking "can they do better to protect their 'flocks' against the ravages of a satanic financial system as outlined by Pope Francis than by supporting 'Social Credit' economic proposals?"**

## World economic system is 'madness', puts money ahead of people, Pope Francis says

Pope Francis has launched a sweeping attack on the world's economic system, saying it discards the young, puts money ahead of people and survives on the profits of war.

The 77-year-old leader of the world's 1.2 billion Roman Catholics said some countries had a youth unemployment rate of more than 50 per cent, with many millions in Europe seeking work in vain.

"It's madness," the Pope said in an interview with the Barcelona-based Vanguardia daily's Vatican correspondent Henrique Cymerman.

"We discard a whole generation to maintain an economic system that no longer endures, a system that to survive has to make war, as the big empires have always done," he said.

"But since we cannot wage the third world war, we make regional wars.

"And what does that mean? That we make and sell arms. And with that the balance sheets of the idolatrous economies - the big world economies that sacrifice man at the feet of the idol of money - are obviously cleaned up."

**Photo: Pope Francis says the global economy puts money ahead of people and discards the young and old.**

Pope Francis says there is enough food to feed all the world's hungry.

"When you see photographs of malnourished children you put your head in your hands, you cannot understand it," he said.

"I think we are in a global economic system that is not good."

The Pope says the people's needs should be at the heart of the economic system.

"But we have placed money in the centre, the god of money. We have fallen into the sin of idolatry, the idolatry of money. The economy moves by the desire to have more and paradoxically it feeds a disposable culture," he said.

The pontiff said the young were discarded when "the birth rate is limited" and the old were discarded when they no longer were considered productive.

"By discarding children and the old, we discard the future of a people because the young will pull us strongly forward and the old will give us wisdom," he said.

First posted Fri 13 Jun 2014,
Updated Sat 14 Jun 2014, 1:36pm AEST

**Source: - http://www.abc.net.au/news/2014-06-13/pope-francis-says-global-economy-discards-young/5523226**




Readers need to purchase Oliver Heydorn's latest books to get a firmer grasp of the absolutely fundamental issues involved – all relating to your Freedoms!

**Social Credit Economics , Oliver Heydorn Ph.D. $35.00 posted from UK**

**The Economics of Social Credit and Catholic Social Teaching, Oliver Heydorn Ph.D. $15.00 posted from UK.**

Order both books from **Heritage Bookshop, PO Box 27, Happy Valley 5159**

Or **http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/** and pay online.

Or **Heritage Book Shop, PO Box 1052, Melbourne, Vic 3001**




"Social Credit is a Gift from God: debt finance is an instrument of satanic forces"

# OPEN LETTER TO AUSTRALIA'S MINISTER FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Online Opinion: From occupied East Jerusalem, with love, by Joharah Baker Monday, 16 June 2014

**An open letter to Foreign Minister Julie Bishop.**

Your excellence, I am a Palestinian woman; a wife, a mother who juggles the responsibilities of home and career. I live deep within the ancient walls of Jerusalem's Old City, in the heart of this beautiful but tortured place. And I have a bone to pick with you.

Last week your government's Attorney General, George Brandis took it upon himself to refute what international law and UN resolutions have clearly confirmed, that East Jerusalem is an occupied city. You, Minister Bishop, concurred, maintaining that, "Occupied East Jerusalem is a term freighted with pejorative implications, which is neither appropriate nor useful" and deciding that 'the description of areas which are subject to negotiations in the course of the peace process by reference to historical events is unhelpful."

Allow me to explain what is unhelpful: being denied the right to travel freely between cities, to have permanent residency in my own home, to be deprived of my national identity, to be denied fair legal recourse and to live in a free and independent homeland. That is what it means to live under Israeli occupation, and I assure you, Ms. Bishop, East Jerusalem is occupied, par excellence.

Barring the fact that the entire international community including Israel's most dedicated ally, the United States, recognizes East Jerusalem as occupied territory, captured along with the West Bank and Gaza Strip in 1967, let me offer you a humble snapshot of life under Israeli occupation. My neighbourhood, tucked away deep within the Old City is a microcosm of life in East Jerusalem and provides the perfect example of how Israel's occupation imposes itself on each and every aspect of our lives.

Only yesterday morning, our 17-year old neighbour was dragged out of his home, handcuffed by Israeli police. His house searched and his family harassed before he was carted off for interrogation and possible detention. Mohammed is in his last year of high school and will lose the year if he does not sit for his matriculation exam. But this is not Israel's concern. He will stand before an Israeli military court as an adult, not a child, because Israeli military orders deem Palestinian children as young as 12 a security threat.

According to DCI-Palestine statistics there were at least 31 cases of child detention in East Jerusalem in 2012. "Of those, 97 percent experienced physical abuse and 90 percent were subjected to intimidation and humiliation," the organization said.

On the western side of Jerusalem, just across the invisible but very palpable seam-line that separates East from West, I assure you Minister Bishop, this would never happen. You see, West Jerusalem is not occupied and Jerusalem is not united, like Israel would have you believe. Its eastern sector is mostly Palestinian-populated [pocked of course with the tens of thousands of Jewish settlements and enclaves illegally established on this occupied land]. And the difference is as stark as day and night.

Minister Bishop, international laws and resolutions are put in place for a reason. I am sure you respect that. East Jerusalem has always been considered an occupied city according to international law and Israel is obliged to withdraw from all territories it occupied during the June 1967 War.

It is irrelevant that Israel unilaterally annexed the eastern sector of the city after that war, declaring it the unified capital of Israel. Nobody recognizes this. Not the United States and I hope not Australia. The fact remains that there is nothing unified about it.

I have lived in this city for over 16 years, have borne two children in it and for all practical purposes, consider it my home. You will be surprised to know that Israel has yet to recognize my right to live here. Like tens of thousands of other Palestinians living in Jerusalem, I have not been given permanent residence and therefore have no rights in it. I cannot drive, I cannot [officially] work, I am not eligible for national insurance and health insurance is riddled with complications. I must produce irrevocable evidence that I live in Jerusalem each year at the Israeli interior ministry just to be given a one-year permit to stay in the city. If I were Jewish, I would be automatically granted citizenship by means of Israel's Return Law. Instead I am a statistic, an invisible Palestinian. Surely you can recognize that these are not the policies of a democratic country that treats all of its residents equally.

The bottom line is this, Minister Bishop. What is "unhelpful" is not calling East Jerusalem occupied, but endorsing an occupation that is illegal, illegitimate and immoral by all standards. Australia needs to be part of the solution, not the problem and statements such as the ones coming out of its government have served the contrary.

Do not be on the wrong side of history. If you are truly a proponent of peace, you will take a stance. My children and those children whose homes have been demolished, who have been beaten, arrested and harassed by Israel's military machine, deserve to live freely. Not only does the world have a responsibility to call East Jerusalem occupied, it has an even bigger moral responsibility to end the occupation that has destroyed so much of its grandeur. Hasn't Israel acted with impunity long enough?

Joharah Baker is a resident of East Jerusalem.

**Gilad Atzmon thinks they have…Teaching Hasbara a Lesson - Watch the discussion**
**http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/teaching-hasbara-a-lesson.html 16 June 2014**

***"ON TARGET"*** is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription $45.00 p.a.

# THE JEWISH PLAN FOR THE MIDDLE EAST AND BEYOND

By Gilad Atzmon


Surely, what's happening now in Iraq and Syria must serve as a final wakeup call that we have been led into a horrific situation in the Middle East by a powerful Lobby driven by the interests of one tribe and one tribe alone.

Back in 1982, Oded Yinon an Israeli journalist formerly attached to the Israeli Foreign Ministry published a document titled 'A Strategy for Israel in the Nineteen Eighties'. This Israeli commentator suggested that for Israel to maintain its regional superiority, it must fragment its surrounding Arab states into smaller units. The document, later labelled as 'Yinon Plan', implied that Arabs and Muslims killing each other in endless sectarian wars was, in effect, Israel's insurance policy.

Of course, regardless of the Yinon Plan's prophesies one might still argue that this has nothing to do with Jewish lobbying, politics or institutions but is just one more Israeli strategic proposal except that it is impossible to ignore that the Neocon school of thought that pushed the English-speaking Empire into Iraq was largely a Jewish Diaspora, Zionist clan. It's also no secret that the 2nd Gulf War was fought to serve Israeli interests - breaking into sectarian units [of] what then seemed to be the last pocket of Arab resistance to Israel….

**Continue here… http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/the-jewish-plan-for-the-middle-east-and-beyond.html**

# REMEMBERING THE SECRET, RACIST, CAPITALIST LIVES OF THE MARXISTS

## By Peter Ewer.

Leftism, founded in the brain of Jewish "thinker" Karl Marx (1818-1883), today defends every politically correct cause under the sun. But there is some dirt under the Leftist's carpet: Jim Goad in "Was Karl Marx a Dirty, Filthy, Rotten Bigot?" (Takimag.com 2 June2014) drags up this rather inconvenient truth. Marxism, after all, has led to the death of at least 100 million people, so it must be doing something wrong.

As Goad points out Marx said some very nasty things about Blacks and Jews. This is seen in Marx's correspondence to Engels, especially in his attack of Ferdinand Lassalle where he uses the "N" word as freely as Leftists today use the "F" word, say in discussing people that they hate like Tony Abbott. Then there is Marx's essay "On the Jewish Question" (1884) which you have to read to believe. Why not go to http://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1884/jewish-question/and hear the words straight from the horse's mouth. Well, at least read them.

The Left will say that all of that was written a long time ago. Marx, if he was scribbling away today would be oh-so-politically-correct, probably teaching at some leading US university. No doubt all true. But what about other communist 'greats' – how well did they live the Marxist ideal? Mao comes immediately to mind, but cigar-chomping Fidel Castro may be an even better example. The Cuban leader told the world that he lived humbly in a fisherman's cabin, but according to a new book written by Juan Reinaldo Sanchez, Castro's former bodyguard, Castro lived like a king. While Cuba suffered a continuing economic collapse after the breakup of the Soviet Union, Castro, when he was not entertaining Irish republican terrorists, and indeed other terrorists, was womanising on his private island villa, or sailing in his luxury yacht. According to the forthcoming book "Fidel Castro's Hidden Life" he worked with Columbian drug cartels to ship cocaine to the United States. One can only guess how much money the communist pseudo-revolutionary got from this drug pushing.

# BISHOP RICHARD WILLIAMSON'S VISA TO AUSTRALIA CANCELLED

To the Editor of *The Australian*, 15th June 2014.

It is a disgrace that, as a result apparently of representations by Jewish agencies, the government has cancelled Bishop Richard Williamson's visa to visit Australia. Just like the refusals of previous governments to allow David Irving to enter Australia, this decision is fundamentally unjust and an affront to the principle of free speech. The bishop undoubtedly has dissident views on the subjects of Christian theology and the history of Nazi Germany, but that should not mean that he is barred from speaking publicly in our land and that Australians who wish to meet him and hear from him are denied that access. Perhaps it is not only section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act that needs to be revised but also the law relating to visa applications.

- - Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Victoria

# AN UNEXAMINED PREMISE IN THE RACIAL VILIFICATION ARGUMENT By Ian Wilson LL.B.

In an article by academic Anthony Dillon ("Don't Confuse the Right to Discuss Race Matters Openly with Racial Hatred", *The Australian* 2 June 2014, p.10) it is stated: "I have previously written for *The Australian* on Aboriginal matters in a manner that some do not like. Many Aborigines and non-Aboriginal people claim that my words are offensive, hurtful, dangerous. However, as someone who has Aboriginal ancestry, it is unlikely that anyone is going to sue me."

This is interesting because it is always assumed that the "self-hater" cannot be prosecuted, or the "self-offender". But there is no legal reason for this. Nothing in the Racial Discrimination Act excludes a person from racial hatred merely because of their race. Person X of race R vilifying, intimidating or offending anyone also of race R, is open to prosecution. For some reason, just because this event is unlikely or a bad political move, it has come to be taken as a legal, fact. It is not.

Thus, if the race hate laws are here to stay, Anglo Saxon scribblers who express race hate about Anglo Saxons, are open to being pursued by this law.

# A *PLAGUE* NOT A *PARTY*: HOW *WORKERS' PARTY* BETRAYED ITS OWN

**"Hating the Workers: Ed Miliband and his Shadow Cabinet", *The Occidental Observer*, 15/6/14.**

"The clue's in the name: the Labour party was founded to fight alongside the trade unions on behalf of the British working-class. You can see the roots of the alliance forming when a mining company in Scotland tried to import foreign workers at the beginning of the twentieth century. One of Labour's greatest future heroes spoke up for the men whose wages were being undercut:

Trade Unions were openly hostile, claiming that the newcomers' lack of English made them a danger at work; the Glasgow Trades Council declared the Lithuanians in Glengarnock as "an evil" and wrote to the TUC [Trades Union Congress] demanding immigration controls to keep them out. Even a figure such as Keir Hardie, founding father of the Labour Party, led a fierce, xenophobic campaign against the Lithuanians. Hardie, as a leader of Ayrshire miners, wrote an article for the journal, *The Miner*, in which he stated that: "For the second time in their history Messrs. Merry and Cunninghame have introduced a number of Russian Poles [as the Lithuanians were described] to Glengarnock Ironworks. What object they have in doing so is beyond human ken unless it is, as stated by a speaker at Irvine, to teach men how to live on garlic and oil, or introduce the Black Death, so as to get rid of the surplus labourers." (Lithuanians in Lanarkshire, BBC History, February 2004)

Keir Hardie wasn't being "xenophobic." He was doing exactly what a Lithuanian socialist would have done if the situation had been reversed: standing up for the workers he was elected to serve. By the time Tony Blair became Labour leader in 1994, all that old-fashioned socialist nonsense had been discarded. Now the Labour party champions the downtrodden bosses against the oppressive workers. Labour doesn't seek to defend its traditional supporters; it seeks to harm them, as a Labour peer openly admitted in 2011: Labour let in 2.2 million migrants during its 13 years in power – more than twice the population of Birmingham. Lord Glasman, 49, had already told BBC Radio 4 recently [in 2011]: 'What you have with immigration is the idea that people should travel all over the world in search of higher -paying jobs, often to undercut existing workforces, and somehow in the Labour Party we got into a position that that was a good thing. Now obviously it undermines solidarity, it undermines relationships, and in the scale that it's been going on in England, it can undermine the possibility of politics entirely'.

The academic, who directs the faith and citizenship programme at London Metropolitan University, criticised Labour for being 'hostile to the English working class'. He said: 'In many ways [Labour] viewed working-class voters as an obstacle to progress. Their commitment to various civil rights, anti-racism, meant that often working-class voters… were seen as racist, resistant to change, homophobic and generally reactionary. So in many ways you had a terrible situation where a Labour government was hostile to the English working class.' (Miliband ally attacks Labour migration 'lies' over 2.2m they let in Britain, 16th April 2011)

Now, having spat in their faces, Labour wants to persuade its working-class supporters that it won't do the same again. It will, of course: the hostility described by Lord Glasman has never gone away. Working-class Whites would be very foolish to vote for the party again. All the same, it is entirely possible that Britain's next prime minister will be Ed Miliband, current Labour leader. If an adolescent narcissist like Tony Blair can win three elections, an adenoidal reptile like Miliband can win one. But even in Miliband's own constituency in Yorkshire, the discontent is obvious: In last year's local elections, UKIP contested four wards in Doncaster. This year it was 21. Farage's party won just one of those, but came second in 17 and third in 3. And UKIP lost a couple of those wards by just tens of votes. In the European elections, Farage's party topped the poll in Doncaster, with 24,240 votes to Labour's 23,743…

There are clearly anxieties here, as elsewhere in the country, that are not being addressed. "There are places in Doncaster when you can't hear an English voice," claimed Johnnie Ray, 66, a former coal miner supping ale in the Trades and Labour club, as he waited for a country and western act to begin. Asked if Miliband is saying enough to assure him, Ray spits out: "I don't even know what he is saying."

The potency of the issue isn't lost on Miliband. Labour lost a million voters between 2005 and 2010. Research by Professor Geoffrey Evans and Dr Kat Chzen at Oxford University suggests that those people may have gone elsewhere not because of the economy or Gordon Brown's unpopularity, but because of immigration. "I talked to Ed Miliband about this in his office," said Evans. "He's certainly aware of it. But he wants to be 'decent', he told me. It's a tricky one. If you want to pick up Lib Dem votes, you don't want to be banging on about immigration too much either. And they are so culpable for immigration that it becomes a bit implausible. It's hard to think what Labour should do." (Ed Miliband appears out of step on immigration, even in his constituency, 31st May 2014)

Labour will simply have to lie, make big promises they have no intention of keeping and hope that people are foolish enough to believe them. I'm worried that they will be believed, but I hope that Labour's intentions are becoming too obvious. The party wants to turn British Whites into a minority in their own nation. But then so does the Conservative party. The mainstream parties in the U.K., just like the Republicans and Democrats in the U.S., have two reasons for existence: to serve the interests of big business and to replace liberal democracy with cultural Marxism… **A Rootless International Elite Loyal Only to Itself…**

The Miliband brothers are part of a rootless international elite loyal only to itself and to the hybrid of predatory capitalism and cultural Marxism that now governs all Western nations. While bankers' profits keeping on rising, the Whites who built those nations see their wages stagnate and their cities flooded by non-Whites from the Third World. And parties formed to champion the White working-class, like Labour in the U.K. and the Democrats in the U.S., are fully in favour of both the bankers and the non-Whites. It's a sick situation, and the disease starts at the top. The British working-class need to recognize Labour for what it has now become: a plague, not a party. Continue reading….

http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2014/06/a-plague-for-the-proletariat-how-the-workers-party-betrayed-its-own/

**An Earlier Report on the Miliband Family:** 25 October 2013 http://www.alor.org/Volume49/Vol49No42.htm

**A Socialist Voice from the Past?** http://alor.org/Volume50/Vo50No4.htm

"An Editor's Progress" by A.R. Orage *New Age* Supplement No3 – November 22, 1934:

"Like every intellectual in those days – I refer to the earliest years of the twentieth

*(Continued on page 7)*

*(Continued from page 6)*

century – I began as some sort of a Socialist. Socialism was not then either the popular or unpopular vogue it has since become; but it was much more of a cult, with affiliations in directions now quite disowned – with theosophy, arts and crafts, vegetarianism, the "simple life," and almost, as one might say, the musical glasses. Morris had shed a medieval glamour over it with his stained-glass "News from Nowhere." Edward Carpenter had put it into sandals. Cunningham Grahame had mounted it on an Arab steed to which he was always saying a romantic farewell. Keir Hardie had clothed it in a cloth cap and a red tie. And Bernard Shaw, on behalf of the Fabian Society, had hung it with innumerable jingling epigrammatic bells – and cap. My brand of Socialism was, therefore, a blend or, let us say, an anthology of all these, to which from my personal predilections and experience I added a good practical knowledge of the working classes, a professional interest in economics which led me to master Marx's "Das Kapital," and an idealism fed at the source – namely, Plato…

The whole movement of ideas, called Socialism, including, of course, the then burning question of parliamentary Labour representation, was in the melting-pot; and my little handful of colleagues and I had no intention of prematurely running ourselves into anybody else's mould. The Socialists of those days were, in practice, individualists to a man…

We had no objection to the trade-unions as such. On the contrary, our slogan that "the trade-unions are the hope of the world" was evidence that we attached even an exaggerated value to them – for reasons that will appear…

Nor, of course, had we any general, but only a particular, criticism in those days to make of the Socialist groups. But one distinction between Labour politics and Socialism seemed to us to be decisive – that whereas Socialism explicitly claimed to be nationally representative, the political Labour Party was avowedly based on a single class – that of the wage-earners or proletariat. To both sections, it appeared to us, the political Labour Party was making a false appeal."

# IRAQ, AMERICA AND THE LOBBY - Gilad Atzmon

15 June, 2014.

It is amusing to witness the energy and effort mainstream news outlets are investing in diverting attention from the fact that the current mess in Iraq is the direct outcome of Jewish political domination of the West for the last two decades. When America and Britain launched the criminal second Gulf War, it was the Zionist Neocons, a bunch of politically influential Jews who urged the 'liberation' of the Iraqi people. Members of the same breed of tribal exponents have rallied for intervention in Iran, Libya and most recently in Syria.

But Zio-cons were not the only Jewish players in this iniquitous game; they were opposed by a Jewish progressive front largely funded by George Soros and his Open Society Institute. These so called 'good Jews' had a different strategy for the Middle East, they planned to ruin the Muslims through the use of Identity Politics by funding Gay, Lesbian, Feminist and Queer groups in the region. Why do these Jews intervene in Arab and Muslim life? What motivates George Soros and Paul Wolfowitz to 'revolutionize' or 'liberate' people living thousands of miles away from Manhattan or Washington? Why did Lord Goldsmith give a green light to the war? What drove *Jewish Chronicle* writer David Aaronovitch to campaign for a series of interventionist criminal wars? What pushed Lord 'cash machine' Levy to the forefront of Blair's fundraisers?

In 2007, American political science professors James Petras, John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt reached the conclusion that American foreign policy was dominated by Israel's lobby. Surely, Israel's Lobby is just a polite, politically correct name for the Jewish Lobby. But what motivates the Jewish lobby to destroy Iraq, Libya and Syria? Is it their commitment to the Jewish State? It didn't take long for influential Jews to learn that buying a Western politician is much cheaper than buying a tank. When you buy an American or British politician, he often comes with the tank and an army of young soldiers willing to die for Zion. But George Soros, as a highly sophisticated light Zionist merchant, employed a cheaper method to advance the interests of the tribe in the region and beyond. Instead of buying professional politicians, he invested his money in marginal actors and ID politics. He made the NGO into an effective system of neutralizing potential leaders. He supports 'very good causes' that are also extremely good for the Jews.

But here there is a problem - these present day Jewish merchants and stockbrokers, who run AIAPC, LFI, CFI, CRIF and the Open Society Institute, excel at selling commodities and stocks. They show talent in inventing false needs that quickly translate into mammon and a lot of it. But they are useless or uninterested in advancing America and Britain's national interests. They are devoted to the interests of a single tribe, though they often don't agree amongst themselves what those interests are.

Although probably unintentionally, the Jewish Lobby often fails to bolster Israel's long-term interests. The destruction of Iraq, for instance, has made Iran into a regional super power. The failure of the Jewish Lobby to foresee its own role in strengthening Iran is evident. Moreover, it has become clear in the last few days that Iran is uniquely positioned to rescue America from the total mess it was pushed into by the Lobby. Clearly, this is no good news for Israel and the Lobby.

If America and Britain want to remain major powers, each must identify corrosive factors within its own politics, media and finance. They must scrutinize the motives of the Jewish lobby and recognize its dangers. It is time to develop the necessary antidote to deal with this acute political poison.

**Source: http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/iraq-america-and-the-lobby.html**




Gilad Atzmon doesn't know what a favour he has done for us all. In his book "The Wandering Who?" he offers an outline of the strategies and tactics used by Jewish leaders to control the Jewish communities and their 'social conversations'. Whilst writing of the tactics used by such Zionists as Theodor Herzl to counteract the "imminent threat of Jewish integration and assimilation" amongst the people of the lands in which they live, it becomes as clear as day it is the same or similar dialectical tactics that are used throughout the world of politics and 'social conversations'.

***The Wandering Who? - A Study of Jewish Identity Politics by Gilad Atzmon $15 posted from UK***

## AUSSIES NEED NOT APPLY: DISPOSSESSING THE AUSTRALIAN WORKER

By Peter West.

Let's join up the dots. There are openly discriminating advertisements – discriminating against non-Asians – where the employers seek only Asians for the jobs. (*The WE Australian* 7-8 June 2014, p.2) That of course are the jobs written in English, who knows what the ads in Chinese calligraphy say.

Then there is the eternal "labour shortage". The latest trick by our anti-Australian Australian government is the easing of skilled migrant rules so that thousands of foreign chefs, bricklayers and tilers no longer have to be sponsored by employers to obtain permanent visas. What's that – chefs! – We need thousands of chefs?! For Pete's sake (no relative) wasn't an abundance of chefs what the post 1947 creation of a multiracial/multicultural society supposed to give us? You know, taste sensations via migration.

An article in *The WE Australian* (7-8 June 2014, p.1) says that the government agency recommending the changes recognised that including chefs could result in "exploitation of the training system for permanent residency". That, apparently, doesn't matter.

Then there is the case of the free trade with China, where China is clamouring to flood Australia with workers. (*The Australian* 2 June 2014, p.1) The Leftist playwright Berthold Brecht best summed up this state of affairs: "Would it not be easier… for the government to dissolve the people and elect another? That is exactly what is being done.

## CANADIANS FIGHTING BACK by Brian Simpson

Keen for some "good news"? Not much here, but in Canada Professor Ricardo Duchesne has started the website of the organisation, the Council of European Canadians. The site is not afraid to take an ethno-racial view of issues, including finance. The mission of the organisation is "dedicated to the promotion and defence of the ethnic interests of European Canadians.

The establishment "is determined to destroy European Canada through fanatical immigration, race-mixing campaigns, imposition of a diversity curriculum, affirmative action in favour of non-Europeans, and promotion of white guilt."

Do any bells ring for Australians? Isn't this what we should be doing? And – why aren't we?

***OUR POLICY***

- To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy, and maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of Nations.
- To defend the free Society and its institutions — private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.
- To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.
- To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private.
- To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.
- To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil and environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.
- To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

**LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS**: **www.alor.org/**

**THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —**

When ordering journals, ***'On Target'*** **and** ***'New Times Survey'*** – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to— **'ALOR Journals'** .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to — '**Heritage Bookshop Services'**

For donations to the League please make payments to '**Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'**

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,

2nd Floor, 145 Russell Street,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749; Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

**South Australia**

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

**VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/**

**http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php**