



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

Contents

Big News On Joanna Nova’s Website..... 2

We Absolutely Cannot Have ... Rational Conversation..... 2

The Scandal Of Fiddled Global Warming Data ..... 3

Updating China War By James Reed ..... 3

The China War Continues By James Reed ..... 3

Improved Communication The Answer? Really? ..... 4

Did China Really Give The West Gunpowder? ..... 4

Global Warming Data Faked To Fit Climate Change Fictions ..... 5

The New Jewish Prophet? ..... 7

South Africa: Logical Conclusion Of Multicultural Society..... 8

Watch Out! They Will Be Coming For Your Guns Again By John Steele ..... 8

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

**There Is No Such Thing As A Conspiracy:** We know that there is no such thing as a government conspiracy, because the IRS was not targeting conservative groups – and they found lots of WMDs in Iraq. Watergate did not happen, and that Gulf of Tonkin attack was totally real.

-- Steven Goddard/Real Science, 24 June 2014

<https://stevengoddard.wordpress.com/author/stevengoddard/>

**Global Warming:** “The Greenhouse Effect, that is, the warming of the Earth's surface through the blanketing influence of certain gases in the atmosphere which reduce the radiation into space at infra-red wavelengths from the surface and may re-radiate them downwards, is, and always has been, a natural phenomenon without which the planet could not be habitable. Of late, however, the term has been massively used to suggest that this phenomenon is something artificial, caused by human activities, notably the burning of coal, oil and wood with release of carbon dioxide into the air; and, moreover, that this 'threatens the planet.'...

I find a coloured poster-diagram occupying a two-page spread in the *Daily Telegraph's* 'Young' Edition (20 Oct. 1990) particularly helpful, since it is an enlarged version taken from that in the *Friends of the Earth Yearbook*... Also the big red words, GLOBAL WARMING, which, it says: “Is the greatest environmental threat facing the planet, but we can prevent the worst effects if we act quickly and cut emissions of so-called 'greenhouse' gases...”

What is noticeable about this is that it is all vaguely alarmist and antagonistic to something or other and completely isolated from any idea of balance of

nature or of anything positive which the children could do... (The children) get no realisation that carbon dioxide and methane are an essential part of a vast circulation of which the human contribution makes but a small fraction. How big a fraction? – *that* is the real question. But since our children (and most of their parents) have been deprived by exclusive decimal teaching of the power of thinking habitually in fractions, *which are ratios and essential to all biological thinking*, we have become helplessly vulnerable to this kind of unbalanced propaganda...”

-- Geoffrey Dobbs, *The Local World* March 1991

<http://www.alor.org/Race,%20Culture%20and%20Nation/The%20Local%20World%20Part%20VII.htm>

BASIC FUND

Thank you one and all. The Fund has now reached the figure of \$43,531.51; please keep the momentum going. I believe that Eric Butler would be proud of what the hardworking League folk are now accomplishing as a result of modern technology. More and more people and

groups are using our material and spreading the word about Social Credit – it really is the answer to the present world’s economic/financial stalemate. Also, there are so many issues that are ‘breaking open’ it’s hard to know which ones to report on. Whoever thought

they would see the day when mainline newspapers would be ‘forced’ to report on ‘politically sensitive’ issues and leading scientists would be forced to ‘recant’ on the scam of ‘global warming’?

# BIG NEWS ON JOANNA NOVA'S WEBSITE

## Part I: Historic development — New Solar climate model coming

Behind the scenes a major advance has been quietly churning. It is something I have barely even hinted at. (Oh how I wanted to!) You may have noticed my other half Dr David Evans has been quiet — it's not because he's moved out of the climate debate, instead a strange combination of factors has pulled him full time into climate research. Things have been very busy here. He's discovered something extraordinary, and like all real science, it's been a roller-coaster where the theory appeared to collapse, and we nearly gave up, but then a new insight would turn out to be more valuable than the version that went before. Other times it all seemed so obvious in hindsight we wondered why no one had done this before. But the answer is that there is a very unusual combination of factors at work — how many people have

Ivy League experience in Fourier maths, and electrical circuits and have worked as a professional modeler, software developer, and have an interest in the finer details and theory of the climate debate? Who of the people with this background would also be prepared to spend months working unpaid to investigate a non-CO2 climate [...]

### And now for the politics:

Unbelievable — Palmer to axe the tax, but vote for Carbon trading scheme? This is not what PUP voters thought they were voting for... but the Big-Bankers will be happy.

Really? Clive Palmer holds the balance of power in the new Australian Senate, due to start on July 1. He's the coal magnate who made it clear he would get rid of the carbon tax. Now he's palling up with Al Gore, and saying he'll vote the tax down

but only if we add a clause for an emissions trading scheme that is conditional on China, the US, the EU, Japan and Korea joining in too. Is this a meaningless dead-duck promise that is unlikely to happen, or is this the long softening up for the UN convention in Paris next year, when weak schemes (like China's, where lots of permits are free) are used as leverage to call in the sub-clauses? I don't think Gore would be flying out here if there was no chance this legislation would matter. At the very least he will use it to lean on other countries, as evidence that "Australia wants in". At the very least this is about keeping the illusion of momentum going. What is going on behind the scenes for this extraordinary turn-around? [...]

Go to... <http://joannenova.com.au/?s=BIG+NEWS+PART+1> and read the exciting news about the New Solar climate model...

---

## WE ABSOLUTELY CANNOT HAVE ... RATIONAL CONVERSATION

"I'm convinced that fear over climate change (the ultimate public goods "problem") is the last and best hope for those lustful to rule the world by force. Some people just want to run the world..." In "The Rage of the Climate Central Planners", Jeffrey Tucker describes something we've all experienced. That moment where the social atmosphere turns suddenly poisonous. Climate Rage! Name calling is a tool to stop debate. It works to keep the wandering minds in the square. But the flipside is that sooner or later the smallest crack, the tiniest doubt, elicits a bizarre over-the-top response and the mismatch reveals the game. How many passionate skeptics are created in the moment a fence-sitter realizes that those who say they love the environment will risk friendships and burn relationships in order NOT to discuss it? For surely there is only one possible interpretation of Climate Rage.

- "They really can't allow a debate, because they will certainly and absolutely and rightly lose."  
"When that is certain, the only way forward is to rage."

**Jeffrey Tucker:** The conversation with a good friend — brilliant man but a head full of confidence in the planning state — was going well. We've agreed on so much... Then the other day that changed. For the

first time ever, the topic of climate change and policy response came up....

I'm convinced that fear over climate change (the ultimate public goods "problem") is the last and best hope for those lustful to rule the world by force. Some people just want to run the world... I just hinted at it vaguely. It was enough. He began to shake. He turned white and began to pace. He called me a denialist. He was horrified to discover that his good friend turns out to be some kind of extremist weirdo who disparages science. He began to accuse me of believing in things I never said, of failing to read the science (though later admitting that he hadn't read the science).

I stood there stunned that I could have so quickly and inadvertently changed the whole dynamic of our conversation and even friendship — all for having suggested that something seemed a bit out of whack with mainstream opinion on this topic. This is not the first time this has happened. In fact, I should have come to expect it by now. Every time this subject comes up with anyone who favours government action on climate change, the result has been the same. We seem to be unable to have a rational conversation. It's like an article of faith for them, and I'm suddenly the dangerous heretic who believes the world is flat.

Now, in light of this, I read Paul Krugman this morning. He writes in his column: "Read or watch any extended debate over climate policy and you'll be struck by the venom, the sheer rage, of the denialists." The denialists? My whole experience has been the opposite. By denialists, I'm assuming he means people who doubt the merit of his grand central plan for the world economy. Among them, I've found a vast range of views, an open mindedness, and curiosity about the full range of opinion, and, quite often, an attitude that seems to me — if anything — to be far too quick to defer to all main conventions of this debate.

If you want tolerance and humility, and a willingness to defer to the evidence and gradual process of scientific discovery, you will find it among those who have no desire to manage the world from the top down.

What can we say about those who want to empower a global coterie of elites to make the decision about what technologies we can use and how much under the guise of controlling something so gigantically amorphous and difficult to measure, detect, and precisely manage as earth's surface temperature?

This is a level of chutzpah that surpasses the wildest fantasies of any socialist planner.

Source: <http://tucker.liberty.me/2014/06/19/the-rage-of-the-climate-central-planners/>

# THE SCANDAL OF FIDDLED GLOBAL WARMING DATA

Christopher Booker, *The Telegraph*, UK - 21 Jun 2014

The US has actually been cooling since the Thirties, the hottest decade on record



A scene from 'The Day After Tomorrow': in reality, officially approved scientists fudge the data

When future generations try to understand how the world got carried away around the end of the 20th century by the panic over global warming, few things will amaze them more than the part played in stoking up the scare by the fiddling of official temperature data. There was already much evidence of this seven years ago, when I was writing my

history of the scare, "The Real Global Warming Disaster". But now another damning example has been uncovered by Steven Goddard's US blog Real Science, showing how shamelessly manipulated has been one of the world's most influential climate records, the graph of US surface temperature records published by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Goddard shows how, in recent years, NOAA's US Historical Climatology Network (USHCN) has been "adjusting" its record by replacing real temperatures with data "fabricated" by computer models. The effect of this has been to downgrade earlier temperatures and to exaggerate those from recent decades, to give the impression that the Earth has been warming up much more than is justified by the actual data. In several posts headed "Data tampering at USHCN/GISS", Goddard compares the currently published temperature graphs with those

based only on temperatures measured at the time. These show that the US has actually been cooling since the Thirties, the hottest decade on record; whereas the latest graph, nearly half of it based on "fabricated" data, shows it to have been warming at a rate equivalent to more than 3 degrees centigrade per century. When I first began examining the global-warming scare, I found nothing more puzzling than the way officially approved scientists kept on being shown to have finagled their data, as in that ludicrous "hockey stick" graph, pretending to prove that the world had suddenly become much hotter than at any time in 1,000 years. Any theory needing to rely so consistently on fudging the evidence, I concluded, must be looked on not as science at all, but as simply a rather alarming case study in the aberrations of group psychology.

**IPCC report: impact of global warming by region**

Watch video <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/environment/10916086/The-scandal-of-fiddled-global-warming-data.html>

---

## THE CHINA WAR CONTINUES By James Reed

What's new in Asia war? First up China 'slapped' the US over its alleged "hypocrisy and double standards" in having a federal grand jury indict five members of unit 61398 of the Chinese Communist People's Liberation Army on commercial spying charges. Apparently hacking into secure computer systems is bad, but employing countless numbers of Chinese in industries, science and research and development, which allows

the reverse engineering of almost anything, is not. The Obama administration said that it will not tolerate anything which undermines "the integrity of fair competition". If that was so then they should be taking even more severe action with China which is, to their credit, highly protectionist of their nation's industry and culture and sees "free trade" as the joke which it is. It is interesting to see Professor Alan

Dupont ("China's Maritime Power Trip" *The WE-Australian* 24-25 May, 2014 p.16) say: "It is abundantly clear that China is intent on challenging the status quo in Asia through the coercive use of its formidable economic and military power". China's rise is being viewed throughout Asia as "more dangerous than benign". I hate to say that I told the world so, but I did.

---

## UPDATING CHINA WAR By James Reed

My uncle told me that during World War II those at home had an attitude something like "How's the war going?" – like it was a great cricket match. Well, at the end game of the West, such is my attitude to "China War". [War it will be, for wars in the past have started for less.] China is claiming most of the South China Sea to allegedly re-establish a vision of a "Greater China" of the Ming (1368-1644) and Qing (1644-1912) dynasties. China is motivated by the "Great Humiliation"

imposed by various powers from the Mongols to the British and Japanese. Re-establishing the Middle Kingdom allegedly makes up for the shame of the "Great Humiliation". (*The Australian* 14 May 2014, p.28) China has put an oil rig in the South China Sea in waters claimed by Vietnam. This led to thousands of protesters rioting in Vietnam at Chinese factories leading to 20 people killed. China claimed that the Vietnamese government was supporting

the anti-China protesters, and has threatened to retaliate. China evacuated 3,000 of its citizens from Vietnam. A state-run Chinese newspaper supported the use of violence against Vietnam and raised the possibility of war. *The WE Australian* 17-18 May 2014 p.11) IN the coming context of Asia war, how rational has been Australia's policy of Asianisation? Is this just another part of the Great Liberal Death-Wish?

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

## IMPROVED COMMUNICATION THE ANSWER? REALLY?

**“Improved communication between experts and the public key in winning battle to curb climate change, claims expert”**

Why does the following recommendation of Professor Chris Rapley remind me of the legend of King Canute, the Viking leader and 11th Century King of England? **BBC News:** “Was King Canute so deluded to really assume he had the powers to turn back the tide? While the histories of the time are unreliable, it seems probable that King Canute was not a madman who thought he could control nature.

The first written account of the Canute episode was in by chronicler Henry of Huntingdon, who lived within 60 years of the death of Canute (1035 AD).

According to a story the king had his chair carried down to the shore and ordered the waves not to break upon his land.

When his orders were ignored, he pronounced: "Let all the world know that the power of kings is empty and worthless and there is no King worthy of the name save Him by whose will heaven and earth and sea obey eternal laws," (Historia Anglorum, ed D E Greenway).

The account shows Canute setting out to demonstrate that the tide would come in regardless, says Professor Simon Keynes of the department of Anglo-Saxon, Norse and Celtic at the University of Cambridge". (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/magazine-13524677>)

I guess according to Professor Chris Rapley's line of reasoning, King Canute didn't 'still the waves' because he also failed to communicate (sell) his message to the waves.

**See books listed on page 6 for more information on the 'false religion of climate change'.**



*Professor Chris Rapley believes scientists should rely less on scientific fact and more on narrative techniques to make their points*

*The Independent* 26 June 2014: Scientists need to become more humble and to completely re-think the way they communicate if the battle to curb climate change is to be won, one of the world's leading climate experts has warned. Lamenting what he calls a “mismatch” between the state of climate science and the needs of society, Professor Chris Rapley called on his colleagues across the world to throw off the shackles of tradition and engage in a radically different approach to their discipline. Climate scientists need to be more critical about their own research, more open to the work and views of other people and to communicate much more clearly with the public, said Professor Rapley, of the University College London. At the same time, they need to defend their work more robustly against climate sceptics and, in a recommendation many may find surprising, rely less on scientific fact and more on narrative techniques such as personal anecdotes, emotion and rhetoric

to make their points, he added. Professor Rapley led a big research project into climate science communication with his UCL colleagues and a number of other universities, including Oxford and King's College, London, which published its findings today. “My experiences have convinced me that our training and development has left us insufficiently prepared to contribute as effectively as we should both to public policy, and to communicating our results and conclusions to society more generally,” Professor Rapley said.

“We are especially ill-equipped to deal with controversy in the media and to respond to public attacks on our motivations and behaviours,” he added, advocating the creation of a professional body for climate scientists that, among other things, would train scientists to engage more effectively with climate sceptics.

Professor Rapley admitted that he was also guilty of biased thinking, as he urged scientists to be more critical of their own work and to be less willing to dismiss research that contradicted their thinking. “As a scientist I have not previously invested appropriate effort into evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of my primary research instrument - my mind,” he said... Other contributors to the report include the European Academies Science Advisory Council, the University of the West of England, the Smithsonian Institution, US National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, the Royal Society of Arts and the Institute of Psychoanalysis.

## DID CHINA REALLY GIVE THE WEST GUNPOWDER?

**By Brian Simpson and John Steele**

Those sympathetic to Asianisation sometimes like to mention the vast cultural/technological achievements of China. Usually the invention of gunpowder is on the list. But did China invent gunpowder, an idea then taken by the “low IQ” West, or at least as the racial realists and Asianists see it?

A paper by Nicholas Cheronis, “Chemical Warfare in the Middle Ages”: Kallinikos’ “Prepared Fire”, *Journal of Chemical Education*, August 1937, points out that “Greek fire” had


been used by the Greeks and Romans to destroy ships. A form of it was used by the armies of the Byzantine empire in battles against the Arabs in 670, 678 and 717 to defend Constantinople from their assault. This defeat, Cheronis says “saved the Eastern Empire, as well as western civilisation from Islam”.

Greek fire may have been made of pitch, sulphur and other chemicals. However the fire used by the Byzantine Empire appears to have been shot

from crude types of guns. Cheronis argues that it is likely that saltpetre, the main constituent of gunpowder, was added to other combustible substances. The propellant properties of saltpetre, when mixed with sulphur and charcoal were discovered in the Middle Ages. The inventor was Kallinikos who was alive around 670. Consequently “the discovery of the first type of gunpowder and the precursor of modern guns” should be assigned to “Kallinikos and the Byzantines”.

# GLOBAL WARMING DATA FAKED TO FIT CLIMATE CHANGE FICTIONS

Source: Mike Adams, *Natural News* Monday, June 23, 2014. In what might be the largest scientific fraud ever uncovered, NASA and the NOAA have been caught red-handed altering historical temperature data to produce a "climate change narrative" that defies reality. This finding, originally documented on the Real Science website, is detailed here: <http://stevengoddard.wordpress.com/2014/06/23/noaanasa-dramatically-altered-us-temperatures-after-the-year-2000/>




We now know that historical temperature data for the continental United States were deliberately altered by NASA and NOAA scientists in a politically-motivated attempt to rewrite history and claim global warming is causing U.S. temperatures to trend upward. The data actually show that we are in a cooling trend, not a warming trend (see charts below).

This story is starting to break worldwide right now across the media, with *The Telegraph* now reporting (1) "NOAA's US Historical Climatology Network (USHCN) has been 'adjusting' its record by replacing real temperatures with data 'fabricated' by computer models."

Because the actual historical temperature record doesn't fit the frenzied, doomsday narrative of global warming being fronted today on the political stage, the data were simply altered using "computer models" and then published as fact.

## Here's the proof of the climate change fraud

Here's the chart of U.S. temperatures published by NASA in 1999. It shows the highest temperatures actually occurred in the 1930's, followed by a cooling trend ramping downward to the year 2000:




The authenticity of this chart is not in question. It is published by James Hansen on NASA's website. (2) On that page, Hansen even wrote, "Empirical evidence does not

lend much support to the notion that climate is headed precipitately toward more extreme heat and drought."

After the Obama administration took office, however, and started pushing the global warming narrative for political purposes, NASA was directed to alter its historical data in order to reverse the cooling trend and show a warming trend instead. This was accomplished using climate-modeling computers that simply fabricated the data the researchers wished to see instead of what was actually happening in the real world.

Using the exact same data found in the chart shown above (with a few years of additional data after 2000), NASA managed to misleadingly distort the chart to depict the appearance of global warming:



The authenticity of this chart is also not in question. It can be found right now on NASA's servers. (4)

This new, altered chart shows that historical data -- especially the severe heat and droughts experienced in the 1930's -- are now systematically suppressed to make them appear cooler than they really were. At the same time, temperature data from the 1970's to 2010 are strongly exaggerated to make them appear warmer than they really were. This is a clear case of scientific fraud being carried out on a grand scale in order to deceive the entire world about global warming.


## EPA data also confirm the global warming hoax

What's even more interesting is that even the EPA's "Heat Wave Index" data further support the notion that the U.S. was far hotter in the 1930's than it is today.

The following chart, published on the EPA.gov website (4), clearly shows modern-day heat waves are far smaller and less severe than those of the 1930's. In fact, the seemingly

(Continued on page 6)

"extreme" heat waves of the last few years were no worse than those of the early 1900's or 1950's.



... If you think this same government is telling you the truth about global warming, you probably need to have your head examined..."


Sources for this article include:

- (1) <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/environment...>
- (2) <http://www.giss.nasa.gov/research/briefs/han...>
- (3) [http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/graphs\\_v3/...](http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/graphs_v3/...)
- (4) <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/science/ind...>
- (5) <http://stevengoddard.wordpress.com/2014/06/2...>

The following relevant and excellent books on 'climate science' are available from Heritage Book Services and [www.veritasbooks.com.au](http://www.veritasbooks.com.au).

Address details for ordering are on page 8.

### Heaven and Earth, Global Warming: the Missing Science (I.Plimer) \$21.82 plus postage.



Climate science lacks scientific discipline. Studies of the Earth's atmosphere tell us nothing about future climate. An understanding of climate requires an amalgamation of astronomy, solar physics, geology, geochronology, geochemistry, sedimentology,\* tectonics, paleontology paleoecology glaciology, climatology, meteorology, oceanology ecology, archaeology and

history. This is what is attempted in this book. At times, primary scientific evidence is manipulated and simplified by computer models. The extensive reliance by global warmers on computer models impresses those with little scientific training. However, the significant manipulation of the source data and the lack of use of many known variables create uncertain outputs. Furthermore, scientific data yet to be discovered cannot be used in a model. It is very easy for the modeller to produce the predestined outcome before the model can be run.

### Green Hoax by David Thompson

\$2.18 plus postage




Environmentalists "cry wolf," The scientists are divided, The green movement is hijacked. It is evidently a feature of the human psyche that we need a "cause" into which we can pour the passions of the spirit in the culture that has lost its spiritual\* direction, the causes are many and varied. In the 80's it is still global annihilation, but in the form of environmental catastrophe. Children are taught that life on earth is in its terminal stages, with Mother Earth choking and burning in a cess-pool of our own making.

### A Conspiracy Called Conservation


D. Jensen \$3.64 plus postage.

There are forces, vexatious, malicious, vindictive and covetous, at work in our community which are hell-bent on destroying this country's prosperity, productivity and initiative, breaking up the family, perverting our citizens, corrupting our children\* and white-anting our nation, making Australia a sitting duck for future subjugation. One of the notable virtues of the Anglo-Saxon-Celt races is tolerance, but it also makes them vulnerable to those who would exploit that tolerance. Conservationists, environmentalists, socialists, do-gooders and so-called Christians are attempting to create a GUILT-COMPLEX amongst them and national parks are just one of the many schemes to bring all land under state ownership.



### The Climate Caper by Garth W. Paltridge

\$13.63 plus postage.



This is very much a book for the general reader, who should not be in the least deterred by the very simple equation and couple of graphs in the physics chapter. The author knows what he is talking about. He has been an eminent scientist for more\* than 40 years. He was a Chief Research Scientist with the CSIRO Division of Atmospheric Research, and was

involved with the World Meteorological Organization's bureaucracy that set up the World Climate Program in the 1970's. He was working with a National Climate Program Office in the US at the time of the emergence of the UN's notorious climate panel, the IPCC, in the late 1980's. For 12 years before his retirement in 2002, he directed the Institute for Antarctic Studies at the University of Tasmania, and was Chief Executive Officer of the Antarctic Co-operative Research Centre, studying the role of Antarctica and the Southern Ocean in climate change. He is a Fellow of the Australian Academy of Science.

# THE NEW JEWISH PROPHET?

Marc H. Ellis on Gilad Atzmon - 25 June 2014

**Introduction by Gilad Atzmon:** I learned this week that Professor Marc H. Ellis - regarded by many as the contemporary leading Jewish theologian, came to the conclusion that yours truly, is the new Jewish prophet.

Here are some quotes I found in the final chapter of "Future of the Prophetic," Ellis' new epic treatise.



**The Prophet:** "Like the ancient prophets Atzmon exposes Jews. At the same time, Atzmon believes that the Bible, from which the prophets spring, is bogus.... Atzmon provides no hiding place for Jews anywhere." ("Future of the Prophetic", Marc H. Ellis pg' 332)

"Atzmon is extreme but, in his extremity, he is much like the biblical prophets." (pg'332)

"Atzmon performs the prophetic for our time. Like the biblical prophets he does not acknowledge, Atzmon believes we are at the end of Jewish history, a history, like the prophets, he no longer believe exists or, if it does, is not worthy of being carried forward. Irony of ironies, he witnesses to the continuity of both" (pg' 334)

"Like Moses, though with a twist, Atzmon is constrained from entering the land...

Atzmon refuses to return until the Promised Land is liberated from the modern-day Israelites. In short, Atzmon's homeland is occupied by Jews" (pg' 336)

"Instead of King of the Jews. Perhaps Atzmon should be recognized as the prophet of old, at least in his self description and his outreach, this is the way he appears" (pg' 332)

"Although largely silent on the prophets, Atzmon's prophetic voice wants nothing to do with his biblical patrimony. It seems to him contrivance that leads to what Israel has become-and perhaps, more or less, always was. (pg' 329)

**On Jewish Diaspora, Tribal Symptoms and Detractors:**

"In an accusatory manner, Atzmon cites Diaspora Jews for constructing their identity through the Holocaust and the State of Israel. Since most Diaspora Jews were born after the Holocaust and do not live in Israel, Atzmon views them as voyeurs. (pg' 329)

"From the Jewish establishment Board of Deputies to the marginal Jewish Trotskyites -'the Jews for this and that'- Atzmon sees it all as a giant scam." (331)

"Atzmon views the accusation of being an anti-Semite as a last ditch attempt by Jewish groups of different viewpoints to insulate themselves against the deconstruction of Jewish identity. However, while protecting against the deconstruction of Jewish identity another projection comes to the fore." (pg' 331)

For Atzmon Jewish identity lacks foundation. Therefore, ganging up on him becomes an agreed-upon foundation to deal with a group anxiety that there is a little basis of Jewish identity at all. Why else would such diverse groups come together to denounce a fellow Jew\* with such vehemence? Perhaps, as well, Jewish group-think regarding Atzmon represent an anxiety that Atzmon himself voices and embodies: that the only foundation of Jewishness is injustice. " (331)

"He (Atzmon) baits his opponents and leaves them without a place to turn. Nor does he leave himself room to turn. Unlike the biblical prophets, Atzmon's call to repentance leads nowhere - unless exile is always somewhere else" (pg' 334)

Addressing the question why Atzmon doesn't simply drift away and leaves the Jews alone Ellis writes: "In fact, Atzmon takes pleasure in the ire of Jews he antagonizes with his writing. He delights in calling attention to the restrictive Jewishness of Jews across the board. "(Pg, 330)

On the Jewish appeasement of Palestinians a la Ali Abunimah & Co, Ellis writes:

"Atzmon's self-identification has led Jewish and Palestinian groups to publically disassociate from him. The lead has been taken by Palestinians themselves who struggle to separate Jews and Zionism. For these Palestinians, Atzmon muddies the waters since their dispute with Israel as a Jewish State is not with Jews themselves. By disassociating themselves from Atzmon, Palestinians want to set the record straight about their own views on Israel, Zionism and Jews" (pg' 330)

**Final words by Gilad Atzmon:** I have never regarded myself as a prophet, let alone a Hebrew prophet. I am just a person who thinks loudly, often enough, too loudly. I can see where Ellis is coming from and why he sees a prophet in me. Yet, I need to emphasise, that for many years I haven't

spoken 'to Jews.' Instead I talk about Jews as I see them, or more precisely, about Jewishness, Jewish culture and ID politics. Ellis, no doubt a unique spiritual and critical Jew, is correct to see in me something that is foreign to his vision of Diaspora Jews. I was raised in Israel, I was brought up to see myself as the body and the soul of the revival of the biblical Israelite. In alignment with my peers, we believed ourselves to be the remote sons and daughters of King David. This view was further strengthened by the Israel in which I was raised; a Spartan society driven by Prussian military culture. We were taught to believe in 'truthfulness', 'authenticity' and 'justice'. We were patriotic because we blindly adhered to the Zionist 'homecoming' ethos. Bizarrely, it was the Israeli rather than the Jew in me who protested once I realised that my existence on the land involved the dispossession of an entire nation. *It was the Israeli rather than the Jew in me, who was outraged to find out that my ancestors were probably Khazarians and North African Berbers rather than biblical Israelites.*

I was even more furious as an Israeli, when I found out that my relatives weren't reduced to soap by the Nazis. It was again the Israeli in me who asked what kind of people fabricate sickening stories accusing others of turning their family into soap. It was the Israeli in me that made me question why [is] the historicity of the Holocaust as a sealed zone protected by draconian Holocaust denial laws.

I also believe that it was the Israeli rather than the Jew in Shlomo Sand, Gideon Levy, Israel Shamir and Israel Shahak that made us into outspoken critics of Israel, the Jewish people, Judaism and Jewish ID. Unlike the Diaspora Jewish left, a controlled opposition front dedicated to the concealment of the Jewishness of the Jewish State, Israel has managed to produce the most profound critics of Jewish related matters. It follows that it is actually the 'Negation of the Galut (Diaspora)' embedded in Israeli patriotic ideology that fixed the Israeli intellect in a bitter battle against the 'Jew'. It is that unique resilient attitude which Ellis interprets as Hebraic prophetic spirit. (Italics added...ed)

Source: <http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/the-new-jewish-prophet.html>

**Well worth watching is Paul Eison in Conversation with Gilad Atzmon Parts 1 and 2. Atzmon comments:** "A very interesting informal and rather personal exchange between Eisen and Atzmon touching the most sensitive topics. I learned a lot from this exchange and I am sure that the followers of this site will be fascinated". (Over one hour for each video). (Also see books advertised on page 8)

<http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/paul-eison-in-conversation-with-gilad-atzmon.html>

# SOUTH AFRICA: LOGICAL CONCLUSION OF MULTICULTURAL SOCIETY

From Jon van Helm in Johannesburg.

Being white and living in modern South Africa, with no chance of leaving the country, I am come to be able to empathise with how prisoners in gaols must feel if they can see the world outside the prison at any time. I imagine though, like me, all that they can see is bars, walls and inward facing barbed wire. The country goes from scandal after scandal and corruption is the norm. Nothing changes because probably everything is beyond the point of no return.

Many of us know of white families suffering from the murder of loved ones. About 50 murders take place in South Africa each day and 20 of these are of whites killed by blacks, according to the website Genocidewatch. As has been pointed out by Francis Begbie "A Blind Eye to Murder of Whites in South Africa" (*Occidental Observer.com* 22 May 2014), the police have now stopped keeping statistics of crimes based on race – mainly, I believe because it puts the regime in such a bad light. It is widely thought though that since black rule began in 1994 over 70,000 whites have been murdered, and whites are only a minority making up only nine per cent of the population. White murders are frequently hate crimes. At the murder scene walls have been covered with writing in the victim's blood such as with the commonly cited phrase "Kill the Boer". Farmhouse killings usually do not involve robbery – just rape, torture and murder in the most brutal fashion. The liberal media and the politically correct crowd howl down claims of "racism" even though the slightest perceived slight to them demands immediate attention.

Nevertheless, despite attempts by the power elites to censor the truth, reports of the plight of victims of crime in South Africa still gets out to the world. The Solidarity Research Institute has said that farm attacks are a national crisis that deserves priority attention. The government though refuses to declare farm murders a priority crime because it denies that there is any pattern involved. Let me assure you, there is a pattern and if it was black farmers being massacred by whites, the liberal world would be climbing up walls using their finger nails and screaming. White people across the world, learn the lesson of South Africa: we are perishing today, but tomorrow it will be your turn unless you awake.

## WATCH OUT! THEY WILL BE COMING FOR YOUR GUNS AGAIN by John Steele

Julia Bishop, Minister for Foreign Affairs ("Why We're Gunning for the Illegal Trade in Weapons" *The Australian* 2 June 2014 p.7), points out that Australia (has by now) ratified a UN treaty "to establish common standards for the international transfer of conventional arms". This is known as the Arms Trade Treaty. It was the John Howard government, the government that disarmed Australia, being one of the seven co-authors of the original General Assembly Resolution calling for an Arms Trade Treaty in 2006.

While it "sounds good" to regulate illicit trafficking in arms, many US patriots have seen the Arms Trade Treaty as a way of regulating and disarming nationals. I, for one, am naturally suspicious about all UN treaties especially those championed by gun control nations. Americans see this treaty as a way around the Second Amendment. While there is not much hope left for Australia's guns, Americans may not be so blind and trusting.

Facts are Facts, Truth about Khazars (B.F.Friedman)  
\$6.54 plus postage



"Judaism finds its expression in the Talmud, which is not a remote suggestion and a faint echo thereof, but in which it has become incarnate, in which it has taken form, passing from a state of abstraction into the domain of real things. THE STUDY OF JUDAISM IS THAT OF THE TALMUD, AS THE STUDY OF THE TALMUD IS THAT OF JUDAISM ... THEY ARE TWO INSEPARABLE THINGS, OR BETTER, THEY ARE ONE AND THE SAME ...

### *The Wandering Who? - A Study of Jewish Identity Politics*

By Gilad Atzmon \$15 posted from UK

Gilad Atzmon doesn't know what a favour he has done for us all. In his book "The Wandering Who?" he offers an outline of the strategies and tactics used by Jewish leaders to control the Jewish communities and their 'social conversations'. Whilst writing of the tactics used by such Zionists as Theodor Herzl to counteract the "imminent threat of Jewish integration and assimilation" amongst the people of the lands in which they live, it becomes as clear as day it is the same or similar dialectical tactics that are used throughout the world of politics and 'social conversations'.

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: [www.alor.org/](http://www.alor.org/)

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*'

— Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to

'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,

2nd Floor, 145 Russell Street,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749; Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

**South Australia**

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/>

<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>