



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



Contents

21st Anniversary Of The Maastricht Treason Charges 2

Illegal NATO And Aggressive EU, Not Russia, The Aggressors In Ukraine 3

Mapping A New World Order?..... 4

The Immigration Invasion By Chris Knight 6

NATO At Heart Of New Cold War Says Former Ambassador..... 7

Another Viewpoint..... 8

The Plague Years Are Here By Chris Knight..... 8

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK

“Hitler can be beaten; Russian Communism, with its soulless materialism and ant-heap idealism, can be beaten; American Financial Domination can be beaten; but they can be beaten only by the preservation of our National British Culture and by the expression of those ideas of independence which are peculiarly ours.

Introduction: The military conflict raging today (WWII) has much more deeply seated causes than those which

appear on the surface. The military conflict is only one part of a much bigger conflict which is taking place on a world front. It is the final clash between two fundamentally different philosophies, and the importance of understanding the real nature of these two opposing forces cannot be over-estimated...”

- - Eric D. Butler in “The War Behind the War: The Real Nature of the Opposing Forces” 1940s

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND TARGET FOR THE WEEK

A special message to West Australian supporters. Oliver Heydorn author of Social Credit Economics will be in Perth area on the 7th, 8th and 9th of October. Contact Neil Gilmour on 0407 775 836 for further details.

The Basic Fund has now closed at \$55,089.01 and we thank everyone who has made a financial contribution to carry the Social Credit legacy forward. Without your generous support we would be very limited in our efforts to bring the Social Credit message to so many people in an increasingly troubled world. It is a ‘battle for men's minds’ and we must win if we are to avoid living as slaves for the nebulous masters of the planned ‘world slave state’.

Prime Minister, Tony Abbott has announced the referendum to ‘recognise’ Aboriginal People in The Australian Constitution may not take place until after the next Federal election. It is obvious the people pushing for ‘recognition’ do not think the Australian people have been conditioned enough for the question put to pass; in other words, Australians have not been brainwashed enough

and the ‘change-agents’ need more time.

This referendum should not be about Aboriginal/ Indigenous recognition but **The Constitution itself!**

The Constitution is an ‘instrument’ belonging to the Australian people and not a plaything for politicians, indeed, it is the tool to keep the ‘grubby hands’ of politicians in check. November 11th is Remembrance Day and this year the 39th Anniversary of dismissal of the Labor Government led by Gough Whitlam. It was the provisions of The Australian Constitution and the ‘reserve powers’ of the Governor General which allowed the Australian people to dismiss the arrogant Whitlam. Now is the time to write letters to the press defending The Constitution and asking voters to resist change to this most important document.

Please give this target your best shot! ND

21st ANNIVERSARY OF THE MAASTRICHT TREASON CHARGES

by Rodney Atkinson.

Promoting the democratic sovereignty of nation states and free trade in Europe and around the world.

“Free - Not subject as a slave is to his master; not subject to foreign dominion”.

“Nation - A distinct people organized as a separate political state”.

Oxford English Dictionary

The Scottish National Referendum will have been held by the time this edition of On Target is in the hands of our readers, but the following article by Rodney Atkinson should give Australians food for thought along with the proposal for an Aboriginal Constitutional Recognition referendum.



1993 Treason charges predicted today's crises in mass immigration, the Scottish Referendum, the Euro, Parliament's powers, EU taxes and regulation, citizenship and voting rights.

On 9th September 1993 Rodney Atkinson and the late Norris McWhirter laid before the magistrates court in Hexham, Northumberland under the process known as “Misprision of Treason” 7 counts of treason against the British Constitution and people by two Ministers who had signed the Treaty of Maastricht in 1992. Some weeks later in Scotland Norris McWhirter laid a further case. The Crown Prosecution Service took 4 months to consider the charges but, headed by the political post of Attorney General (who acted in contravention of the legal principle of non judex in re sua) the CPS refused to address the specific charges and declared that the treason at the signing in 1992 was made legal by the passing of the European Communities (Amendments) Act 1993. The treason of 1992 had been legalised!

But treason was committed in 1992 and that act remains a crime since British law recognises it was the law at the time.

The Scottish Referendum

The de facto overturning of the 1706 Union with Scotland Act by the 1992 Treason and the surrender of UK self-Government by Ministers on a daily basis since 1972 mean the contract with the Scots was broken. The occupiers of Scotland including those who are not Scots at all now vote to destroy the United Kingdom.

The 1993 Treason cases showed that 500m people could move to and vote in any EU country's national elections. The Government denied this. But it is happening in the Scottish National referendum.

So the aim of the treason committed at Maastricht by British politicians was the destruction of the United Kingdom – now within 10 days of realisation.

The Crown Prerogative is the power of Her Majesty's Government to act on the authority of the Queen and without

the authority of Parliament. It is impossible for the Government of the day to undermine the constitution when signing treaties with other countries under Crown Prerogative powers.

So no Treaty which contradicted the Act of Settlement, the Coronation Oath Act, the Union with Scotland Act, the Treason Acts, Constitutional Case Law (R v Thistlewood 1820) and Magna Carta and confirmed the permanent superior power of European Union Law could justify the use of undemocratic Crown Prerogative Powers.

The end results of our treason cases were statements from the Crown Prosecution Service in England and the Lord Advocate in Scotland. They refused to address the specific charges at all and both countries declared that the treason at the signing in 1992 was made legal by the passing of the European Communities (Amendments) Act 1993.

The treason of 1992 had been legalised! But treason was committed in 1992 and that act remains a crime since British law recognises it was the law at the time. And since the 1993 Act could not overturn the British Constitution that Act was nul and void.

The Cases therefore remain unanswered – because they were unanswerable. But they remain a marker even today since they can be picked up and used by a British people slowly awakening to the deceitful and covert destruction of their democratic sovereignty on the altar of the European State.

Case 1

It is an offence under Section 1 of the Treason Act 1795 “within the realm or without...to devise...constraint of the person of our sovereign...his heirs or successors.”

Article 8 of the Treaty of Maastricht which Her Majesty the Queen becomes a citizen of the European Union and therefore “subject to the duties imposed thereby”, subject to being arraigned in her own courts and being taxed and thereby effectively deposed as the sovereign and placed in a position of suzerainty under the power of the “European Union”.

Case 2

Whereas it is an offence under section 1 of the Treason Act 1795 to engage in actions “tending to the overthrow of the laws, government and happy constitution” Article 8 of the Maastricht Treaty says “every person holding the nationality of a member state shall be a citizen of the Union” with the right to move and reside freely and vote within the territory” and “the question whether an individual possesses the nationality of a member state shall be settled solely by each member state.”

So the British people and Parliament have no right to determine the numbers or identity of non British nationals to whom other European states can give residence rights and voting rights in the United Kingdom.

Case 3

Whereas it is an offence under the Act of Settlement (1700) for any “person born out of the Kingdoms of England, Scotland or Ireland or the Dominions thereunto...shall be capable to be...a Member of either House of Parliament”

And whereas according to R v Thistlewood 1820 “to destroy the constitution of the country” is an act of treason.

(Continued on page 3)

(Continued from page 2)

according to Article 8b of which "Every citizen of the Union residing in a member state of which he is not a national shall have the right to vote and stand as a candidate in the Member State in which he resides."

Case 4

And whereas, according to the Act of Settlement 1700 S4 "The laws of England are the birthright of the people"
And whereas according to R v Thistlewood 1820 to "destroy the Constitution" is an act of treason according to Article 8. The British people, without their consent have been made the citizens of the European Union with duties towards the same and the British people can be taxed directly by that European Union without further process in the Westminster Parliament and according to Article 171 of which the British State can be forced to pay a monetary penalty to the European Union.

Case 5

Whereas, in accordance with the Coronation Oath Act, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II swore at Her Coronation in 1953 that she would govern Her subjects "according to their laws".
And whereas it is an offence under Section 1 of the Treason Act 1795 "within the realm or without...to devise...constraint of the person of our sovereign...his heirs or successors"
The Treaty extended the powers of the European Commission, the European Court of Justice and the European Parliament in the new "European Union" to make and enforce in the United Kingdom laws which do not originate in the Westminster Parliament. And that this loss of democratic rights was without the express consent of the British people.

Case 6

Whereas it was established in 1932 that "No Parliament may bind its successors" (Vauxhall Estates v Liverpool Corporation IKB 733)
And whereas according to R v Thistlewood 1820 to destroy the constitution is an act of treason.
According to Article Q the Maastricht Treaty "is concluded for an unlimited period" and from which there was no right of nor mechanism for secession.

Case 7

Whereas it is established by a statute in force, the Magna Carta (Chapter 29) that: "No freeman may be...disseised...of his

liberties or free customs...nor will we not pass upon him but by the law of the land."

The "Treaty of European Union"...etc. which disseized all free men of their liberties and free customs under the law of this land by subjugating their Government to the extension of the powers of the European Commission, Court and Parliament (in which latter the United Kingdom members form a minority of 87 of 567 voting members).

Under Article 192 of the integrated treaty our free men are open to be taxed without further process of the United Kingdom Parliament.

Under Article 8 of the Treaty free men are required to become citizens of the European Union "subject to the duties imposed thereby."

Case 8 (IN SCOTLAND)

Whereas it is an offence per S1 of the Treason Act 1795: "to enter into measures tending to the overthrow of the laws, government and happy constitution of the United Kingdom" and whereas to destroy the constitution per R v Thistlewood 1820 is an act of treason.

This treaty is contrary to and inconsistent with the Union of Scotland Act 1706 whereby the people of the United Kingdom be represented by the one and the same Parliament and none other and per Article XVIII that no alteration be made in laws which diminish the rights of Scots.

Under the treaty, the rule of a Parliament other than that of the Parliament of the United Kingdom is established whereunder, subjects within Scotland become subject to laws made in an assembly in which their representatives form a minority seven fold more slender than in the parliament of the United Kingdom established by the Act of Union.

Therefore the said Rt Hon Douglas Hurd and the said Rt Hon. the Hon Francis Maude are guilty of treason.

Source: www.freenations.net

Do watch the video by Rodney Atkinson filmed partly at Runnymede, which is now on the freenations website. If you have trouble downloading the video, ask Doug Holmes for help. Phone 08 8396 1245.

ILLEGAL NATO AND AGGRESSIVE EU, NOT RUSSIA, THE AGGRESSORS IN UKRAINE

writes Rodney Atkinson

We reproduce below an article by James Bissett the former Canadian Ambassador to Yugoslavia who describes the transformation of NATO from a defensive military force forswearing all aggression to an expansionist military threat to Russia and its former satellites. The absurd propaganda campaign against Russia is now leading Europe to a war which Brussels, Berlin and Washington have provoked by their long standing meddling in Ukraine (a part of Russia for over 220 years). German political foundations, the

CIA and the expansionist Brussels empire have for 20 years sought to take over Ukraine – despite their repeated assurances to Russia that former soviet satellites would be allowed free determination and NATO would not threaten Russia. The violent and bloody overthrow of a democratically elected Ukraine Government and an election which excluded most Russian speakers in the East of Ukraine in which an immensely wealthy oligarch came to power, combined with the encirclement of Russia by NATO bases and the recognition by

Germany of blatantly fascist movements in Ukraine all show where the provocation for this war came from.

The might of NATO and the stifling suppression of nations by the European Union are a powerful threat, recognised in the West as much as in the East. It is a characteristic of bankrupt and declining economies like the USA and the European Union that they seek external aggression to bolster support at home. The Ukrainian fiasco will have the opposite effect."

MAPPING A NEW WORLD ORDER?

The World After World War III? By Mahdi Darius Nazemroaya

Source: <http://www.strategic-culture.org/news/2014/09/10/redrawing-map-russia-federation-partition-russia-after-world-war-iii.html>

The end goal of the US and NATO is to divide (balkanize) and pacify (finlandize) the world's biggest country, the Russian Federation, and to even establish a blanket of perpetual disorder (somalization) over its vast territory or, at a minimum, over a portion of Russia and the post-Soviet space, similarly to what is being done to the Middle East and North Africa. The future Russia or the many future Russias, a plurality of



weakened and divided states, that Washington and its NATO allies see is/are demographically in decline, de-industrialized, poor, without any defensive capabilities, and hinterlands that will be exploited for their resources.

The Plans of the Empire of Chaos for Russia

Breaking the Soviet Union has not been enough for Washington and NATO. The ultimate goal of the US is to prevent any alternatives from emerging in Europe and Eurasia to Euro-Atlantic integration. This is why the destruction of Russia is one of its strategic objectives.

Washington's goals were alive and at work during the fighting in Chechnya. They were also seen in the crisis that erupted with EuroMaidan in Ukraine. In fact, the first step of the divorce between Ukraine and Russia was a catalyst for the dissolution of the entire Soviet Union and any attempts at reorganizing it.

The Polish-American intellectual Zbigniew Brzezinski, who was US President Jimmy Carter's national security advisor and an architect behind the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, has actually advocated for the destruction of Russia through gradual disintegration and devolution. He has stipulated that «a more decentralized Russia would be less susceptible to imperial mobilization». [1] In other words, if the US divides Russia up, Moscow would not be able to challenge Washington. In this context, he states the following: «A loosely confederated Russia—composed of a European Russia, a Siberian Republic, and a Far Eastern Republic—would find it easier to cultivate closer economic regulations with Europe, with the new states of Central Asia, and

with [East Asia], which would thereby accelerate Russia's own development». [2]

These views are not merely constrained to some academic's ivory tower or to detached think-tanks. They have the backing of governments and have even cultivated adherents. One reflection of them is below.

US State-Owned Media Forecasts the Balkanization of Russia

Dmytro Sinchenko published an article on September 8, 2014 about dividing Russia. His article titled «Waiting for World War III: How the World Will Change». [3]

Sinchenko was involved in EuroMaidan and his organization, the Ukrainian Initiative «Statesmen Movement» (Всеукраїнської ініціативи «Рух державотворців»), advocates for an ethnic nationalism, the territorial expansion of Ukraine at the expense of most of the bordering countries, reinvigorating the pro-US Georgia-Ukraine-Azerbaijan-Moldova (GUAM) Organization for Democracy and Economic Development, joining NATO, and launching an offensive to defeat Russia as part of its foreign policy goals. [4] As a note, the inclusion of the word democracy in GUAM should not fool anyone; GUAM, as the inclusion of the Republic of Azerbaijan proves, has nothing to do with democracy, but with counter-balancing Russia in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

Sinchenko's article starts by talking about the history of the «Axis of Evil» phrase that the US has used to vilify its enemies. It talks about how George W. Bush Jr. coined the phrase in 2002 by grouping

Iraq, Iran, and North Korea together, how John Bolton expanded the Axis of Evil to include Cuba, Libya, and Syria, how Condoleezza Rice include Belarus, Zimbabwe, and Myanmar (Burma), and then finally he proposes that Russia be added to the list as the world's main pariah state. He even argues that the Kremlin is involved in all the conflicts in the Balkans, Caucasus, Middle East, North Africa, Ukraine, and Southeast Asia. He goes on to accuse Russia of planning to

invade the Baltic States, the Caucasus, Moldova, Finland, Poland, and, even more ridiculously, two of its own close military and political allies, Belarus and Kazakhstan. As the article's title implies, he even claims that Moscow is intentionally pushing for a third world war.

This fiction is not something that has been reported in the US-aligned corporate networks, but is something that has been published directly by US government-owned media. The forecast was published by the Ukrainian service of Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, which has been a US propaganda tool in Europe and the Middle East that has helped topple governments.

Chillingly, the article tries to sanitize the possibilities of a new world war. Disgustingly ignoring the use of nuclear weapons and the massive destruction that would erupt for Ukraine and the world, the article misleadingly paints a cozy image of a world that will be corrected by a major global war. Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty and the author are essentially saying that «war is good for you» to the Ukrainian people and that some type of utopian paradise will emerge after a war with Russia. The article also fits very nicely into the contours of Brzezinski's forecast for Russia, Ukraine, and the Eurasian landmass. It forecasts the division of Russia whereas Ukraine is a part of an expanded European Union, which includes Georgia, Armenia, the Republic of Azerbaijan, Belarus, Israel, Lebanon, and Denmark's North American dependency of Greenland, and also

(Continued on page 5)

controls a confederation of states in the Caucasus and the Mediterranean Sea—the latter could be the Union of the Mediterranean, which would encompass Turkey, Syria, Egypt, Libya, Tunisia, Algeria, Morocco, and the Moroccan-occupied Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic or Western Sahara. Ukraine is presented as an integral component of the European Union. In this regard, Ukraine appears to be situated in a US-aligned Franco-German-Polish-Ukrainian corridor and Paris-Berlin-Warsaw-Kiev axis that Brzezinski advocated for creating in 1997, which Washington would use to challenge the Russian Federation and its allies in the CIS. [5]

Redrawing Eurasia: Washington's Maps of a Divided Russia

With the division of the Russian Federation, Radio Free Europe's/Radio Liberty's article claims that any bipolar rivalry between Moscow and Washington would end after World War III. In a stark contradiction, it claims that only when Russia is destroyed will there be a genuine multipolar world, but also implies that the US will be the most dominant global power even though Washington and the European Union will be weakened from the anticipated major war with the Russians.

Accompanying the article are also two maps that outline the redrawn Eurasian space and the shape of the world after the destruction of Russia. Moreover, neither the author nor his two maps recognize the boundary change in the Crimean Peninsula and depict it as a part of Ukraine and not the Russian Federation. From west to east, the following changes are made to Russia's geography:

- The Russian oblast of Kaliningrad will be annexed by Lithuania, Poland, or Germany. One way or another it will become a part of an enlarged European Union.
- East Karelia (Russian Karelia) and what is currently the federal subject of the Republic of Karelia inside Russia's Northwestern Federal District, along with the Federal City of St. Petersburg, Leningrad Oblast, Novgorod Oblast, the northern two-thirds of Pskov Oblast, and Murmansk Oblast are split from Russia to form a Finnish-aligned country. This area could even be absorbed by Finland to create a Greater Finland. Although the oblast of Archangel (Arkhangelsk) is listed as a part of this partitioned area in the article, it is not included in the map

(probably due to a mistake in the map).

- The southern administrative districts of Sebezhsy, Pustoshkinsky, Nevelsky, and Usvyatsky in Pskov Oblast from the Northwestern Federal District and the westernmost administrative districts of Demidovsky, Desnogorsk, Dukhovshchinsky, Kardymovsky, Khislavichsky, Krasninsky, Monastyrshchinsky, Pochinkovsky, Roslavsky, Rudnyansky, Shumyachsky, Smolensky, Velizhsky, Yartsevsky, and Yershichsky, as well as the cities of Smolensk and Roslavl, in Smolensk Oblast from the Central Federal District are joined to Belarus. The Smolensk Oblast's Dorogobuzhsky, Kholm-Zhirkovsky, Safonovsky, Ugransky, and Yelninsky districts appear to be portioned further in the map as the new border between Belarus and the proposed amputated Russia.

- The North Caucasian Federal District of Russia, which is comprised of the Republic of Ingushetia, the Kabardino-Balkar Republic, the Karachay-Cherkess Republic, the Republic of North Ossetia–Alania, Stavropol Krai, and Chechnya, is separated from Russia as a European Union-influenced Caucasian confederation

- The South Federal District of Russia, which is constituted by the Republic of Adygea, Astrakhan Oblast, Volgograd Oblast, Republic of Kalmykia, Krasnodar Krai, and Rostov Oblast, is completely annexed by Ukraine; this leads to a shared border between Ukraine and Kazakhstan and cuts Russia off from the energy-rich Caspian Sea and a direct southern frontier with Iran.

- Ukraine also annexes the oblasts of Belgorod, Bryansk, Kursk, and Voronezh from Russia's most heavily populated federal district and area, the Central Federal District.

- Siberia and the Russian Far East, specifically the Siberian Federal District and the Far Eastern Federal District, are torn off from Russia.

- The text states that all of the territory in Siberia and most of the territory in the Russian Far East, which are comprised of the Altai Republic, Altai Krai, Amur Oblast, the Republic of Buryatia, Chukotka, the Jewish Autonomous Oblast, Irkutsk Oblast, Kamchatka Krai, Kemerovo Oblast, Khabarovsk Krai, the Republic of Khakassia, Krasnoyarsk Krai, Magadan Oblast, Novosibirsk Oblast, Omsk Oblast, Primorsky Krai, Sakha Republic, Tomsk Oblast, the Tuva Republic, and Zabaykalsky Krai either turn into several Chinese-dominated independent states

or, alongside Mongolia, become new territories of the People's Republic of China. The map categorically draws Siberia, most the Russian Far East, and Mongolia as Chinese territory. The exception to this is Sakhalin Oblast.

- Russia loses Sakhalin Island (called Saharin and Karafuto in Japanese) and the Kurile Islands, which constitute Sakhalin Oblast. These islands are annexed by Japan.

On his own webpage, Sinchenko posted his Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty article days earlier, on September 2, 2014. The same maps, which are accredited to Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, are also present. [6] There, however, is an additional picture on Sinchenko's personal webpage that is worth noting; this is a picture of Russia being cheerfully carved out for consumption as a large meal by all the bordering countries. [7]

Mapping a New World Order: The World After World War III?

The second map is of a post-World War III globe that is divided into several supranational states. Japan is the only exception. The second map and its supranational states can be described as follows:

- As mentioned earlier, the European Union is expanded and has control over its peripheries in the Caucasus, Southwest Asia, and North Africa. This is the realization of NATO's Mediterranean Dialogue and Partnership for Peace at the political and military levels and the European Union's Eastern Partnership and Euro-Mediterranean Partnership (the Union of the Mediterranean) at the political and economic levels.

- The United States forms a North American-based supranational entity that includes Canada, Mexico, Guatemala, Belize, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama, Columbia, Venezuela, Ecuador, the Guianas (Guyana, Suriname, and French Guiana), and the entire Caribbean.

- All the countries that are not swallowed by the US in South America will form their own supranational entity in a lesser South America, which will be dominated by Brazil.

- Some type of Southwest Asian bloc or supranational entity will be formed out of Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Bahrain, Qatar, the United Arab Emirates, Oman, and Yemen.

- Some type of a supranational entity will be formed in the Indian sub-continent or South Asia out of India, Sri Lanka (Ceylon), Nepal, Bhutan, Bangladesh, Myanmar

(Continued from page 5)

(Burma), and Thailand.

- There will be a supranational entity in Australasia and Oceania that will include the Philippines, Malaysia, Singapore, Brunei, Indonesia, East Timor, Papua New Guinea, New Zealand, and the islands of the Pacific. This entity will include Australia and be dominated by Canberra.
- Aside from North Africa, which will be controlled by the European Union, the rest of Africa will unify under the leadership of South Africa.
- An East Asian supranational entity will include most of the Russian Federation, Indo-China, China, the Korean Peninsula, Mongolia, and post-Soviet Central Asia. This entity will be dominated by the Chinese and dominated from Beijing. Although Radio Free Europe's article and two post-World War III maps can be dismissed as fanciful notions, some important questions have to be asked.

Firstly, where did the author pick up these ideas? Were they transmitted through any workshops supported by the US and the European Union indirectly?

Secondly, what informs the author's visions of a post-World War III political landscape?

The author has essentially catered to Brzezinski's outline of a divided Russia. The text and the maps have even included the areas of North Africa, the Middle East, and the Caucasus that the European Union views as a secondary periphery or layer to itself. These areas are even shaded with a lighter blue than the darker blue used to identify the European Union.

Even if Radio Free Europe is dismissed; no one should lose sight of the fact that Japan still lays claim to Sakhalin Oblast and the US, European Union, Turkey, and Saudi Arabia have been supporting separatist movements in both the Federal Southern District and the North Caucasian District of the Russian Federation.

Ukrainianism: The Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty article radiates with traces of Ukrainianism, which is worth briefly mentioning. Nations are constructed, because they are all dynamic communities that, in one way or another, are constructed and kept together by the collective of individuals that make societies. In this regard they can be called imagined communities.

There are machinations at play to deconstruct and reconstruct nations and groups in the post-Soviet space and Middle East. This can be called the manipulation of tribalism in sociological and anthropological jargon or, in political jargon, the playing out of the Great Game. In this context, Ukrainianism has particularly been supportive of anti-government elements and anti-Russian nationalist feelings in Ukraine for more than one hundred years, firstly under the Austrians and Germans, later through the Poles and British, and now under the US and NATO.

Ukrainianism is an ideology that seeks to reify and enforce a new collective imagining or false historic memory among the Ukrainian people about them always being a separate nation and people, in both ethnic and civic terms, from the Russian people. Ukrainianism is a political projection that seeks to deny the historic unity of the Eastern Slavs and the geographic roots and historic context behind the distinction between Ukrainians and Russians. In other words, Ukrainianism seeks to de-contextual and to forget the process that has led to the distinction of Ukrainians from Russians.

Russia has always arisen from the ashes. History can testify to this. Come what may, Russia will be standing. Whenever all the diverse people of Russia are united under one banner for their homeland, they have shattered empires.

They have survived catastrophic wars and invasions and have outlived their enemies. Maps and borders may change, but Russia will remain.

Award-winning author, sociologist and geopolitical analyst, Mahdi Darius Nazemroza is the author of *The Globalization of NATO* (Clarity Press) and a forthcoming book *The War on Libya and the Re-Colonization of Africa*. He is Research Associate at the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), a contributor at the Strategic Cultural Foundation (SCF), Moscow, and a member of the Scientific Committee of Geopolitica, Italy.

NOTES

[1] Zbigniew Brzezinski, *The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geo-strategic Imperatives* (NYC: Basic Books, 1997), p.202.

[2] Ibid.

[3] Дмитро Сінченко [Dmytro Sinchenko], «В очікуванні Третьої світової війни. Як зміниться світ,» [«Waiting for World War III: How the World Will Change»], Радіо Вільна Європа/Радіо Свобода [Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty], September 8, 2014.

[4] Всеукраїнської ініціативи «Рух державотворців,» [Ukrainian Initiative «Statesmen Movement»] «Стратегія зовнішньої політики,» [Foreign Policy Strategy] Рух Державотворців: втілимо мрії в життя [Statesman Movement: Chasing Dreams/Visions]. Accessed September 9, 2014: .

[5] Brzezinski, *The Grand Chessboard*, op. cit., pp.85-86

[6] Дмитро Сінченко [Dmytro Sinchenko], «В очікуванні Третьої світової війни. Як зміниться світ,» [«Waiting for World War III: How the World Will Change»], Дмитро Сінченко (Блог) [Dmytro Sinchenko {blog}], September 2, 2014, Accessed September 3, 2014: .

[7] Ibid.

THE IMMIGRATION INVASION by Chris Knight

Australians are weak, if not outrightly cowardly when it comes to the immigration question, but in the United States things seem to be getting a little better in terms of public opposition. Concern about the masses

of central American immigrants illegally crossing the US border led recently to angry crowds of Americans in the Southern Californian town of Murrieta stopping buses of illegal's/ asylum seekers. The buses were re-

routed to processing centres in the San Diego and El Centro areas. The crowds shouted: "This is an invasion" and "Why Isn't the National Guard stopping them from coming in?" "Impeach Obama!" and "Deport!

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

Please check On Target, number 36, page 8, for details of Oliver's itinerary.

The contact for West Australians is Neil Gilmour 0407 775 836

NATO AT HEART OF NEW COLD WAR SAYS FORMER AMBASSADOR

By James Bissett — Defence Watch Guest Writer — September 9, 2014

<http://ottawacitizen.com/news/national/defence-watch/nato-at-the-heart-of-a-new-cold-war-says-former-ambassador->

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) was meant to be a purely defensive organization. When the Brussels Treaty of 1948 established the European Defence Alliance of five European countries, it was Canada's Minister of Foreign Affairs, Louis St. Laurent, who proposed the alliance be expanded to include the United States and Canada. One year later, in April 1949, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) was born. The primary purpose of the new organization was to defend member states from any attack from the Soviet Union and to act in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations.

NATO was born in the aftermath of the Second World War. Its founders were painfully aware that having reached the mid-point of the 20th century there had already been two world wars and the dropping of the atom bomb on civilian cities. They were determined that war and violence should not become the norm in resolving disputes and it was in this spirit that Article I of the treaty was conceived.

Article I of the Treaty made this abundantly clear. It read:

"The parties undertake, as set out forth in the Charter of the United Nations, to settle any international dispute in which they may be involved, by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security and justice are not endangered... and to refrain from the threat or use of force in any manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations."

For fifty years NATO was successful in deterring aggression against the West. A combination of conventional forces and the nuclear bomb created a mutual understanding that armed conflict between the two opposing powers was not an option. Critically important, however, was Article I itself because it was a guarantee to the Soviet Union that it would never be attacked by NATO forces. Article I acted as a safety blanket for the Soviets.

Ironically, the fall of the Soviet empire did not foretell the beginning of a new age of

peace and security in Europe. On the contrary, the empire's demise caused a crisis in NATO. After the Warsaw Pact armies had returned home what was the justification of maintaining such an expensive and powerful military force in Europe. NATO's response was – business as usual – a continuation of the Cold War. As the respected former United States Ambassador to Moscow, George F Kennan wrote in 1987... *"Were the Soviet Union, to sink tomorrow under the waters of the ocean, the American military industrial complex would have to remain substantially unchanged until some other adversary could be invented. Anything else would be an unacceptable shock to the American economy."* Until his death Kennan continued to deplore NATO's hostile encirclement of Russia.

In fact, NATO didn't have to find another adversary it just pretended nothing had changed and acted accordingly. NATO's behaviour towards Russia speaks for itself; a record marked by duplicity, double standards and hypocrisy. One of its first acts was to convert the Alliance from a purely defensive organization to one that could intervene militarily to resolve international disputes by force. The opportunity for this transformation occurred with the 78 day bombing of Serbia in March 1999 carried out by NATO without authorization from the UN Security Council. Later, in violation of UN Resolution 1244 reaffirming Serbia's sovereignty over Kosovo, NATO recognized the unilateral declaration of Kosovo independence – declared without any pretence of a referendum.

During the bombing on NATO's 50th birthday, US President Bill Clinton announced a new role for NATO – from now he declared, in effect, that NATO could intervene wherever and whenever it decided to do so. Article I of the treaty presumably had been nullified by Presidential decree. The NATO treaty had been turned upside down. In the same month NATO admitted Poland, Hungary and the Czech Republic into NATO thus breaking the promise made to Russian

president Mikhail Gorbachev that if Russia allowed a united Germany into NATO the organization would never expand eastward.

The current crisis in Ukraine threatens global security and at worst has the potential for nuclear catastrophe. At best it signals a continuation of the Cold War. Sadly, the crisis is completely unnecessary and the responsibility lies entirely in the hands of the United States—led NATO powers. The almost virulent propaganda onslaught blaming Russia for the instability and violence in Ukraine simply ignores reality and the facts.

NATO, spurred on by the United States, has been determined since the collapse of the Soviet Union to surround Russia with hostile NATO members. The first attempt to win Ukraine over to the West through the Orange Revolution in 2004 failed but NATO kept trying and now has "let slip the dogs of war" on that unfortunate country. It was inevitable that NATO's expansion eastward would at some point run into hostile Russian reaction. The attack on South Ossetia in 2008 by the US armed and trained Georgian military was the last straw and Russia finally showed its teeth and crushed the Georgian offensive in 48 hours. The Russians then added insult to injury by recognizing the independence of South Ossetia and Abkhazia. President Putin had warned that the illegal recognition of Kosovo independence would set a dangerous precedent and endanger the international framework of peace and security. Obviously his warning was unheeded and now the Cold War has started again. This was not supposed to happen.

It is time for the citizenry of the NATO countries to demand that the principles contained in the original NATO treaty be honoured and that Article I be followed. Bellicose statements, sanctions and other warlike moves (however futile) are not helpful in reaching a peaceful solution. NATO's Secretary General Anders Fogh Rasmussen should stop threatening Russia and instead reaffirm to the world that Article 1 of the treaty will be enforced.

(James Bissett is a former Canadian diplomat. He was Canada's ambassador to Yugoslavia, Albania, and Bulgaria)

ANOTHER VIEWPOINT

From Swimming with Piranhas to swimming with Great White Sharks – 12/9/2014

Strelkov: from swimming with Piranhas to swimming with Great White sharks

There are powerful interests which want a never ending war which would create a bleeding ulcer for Russia

His (Strelkov's) description of the 5th column:

- The roots of this 5th column go back to the Yeltsin years
- The liberation of Crimea took the 5th column by surprise
- The 5th column is around President (Putin)
- There is a local 5th column in Donbass which has been and still is negotiating with Ukie oligarchs
- The 5th column is composed of "liberals"
- Putin is a moral threat to them because he has massive popular support
- They want to overthrow Putin
- They want to dismember Russia
- This will be a long war on Russia
- We are dealing with another 1905 and 1917 like situation
- By saving Novorussia Russia can save itself. Western sanctions will hurt Russia and they will use them to discredit Putin

The full article can be read here...

<http://vineyardsaker.blogspot.com.au/2014/09/strelkov-from-swimming-with-piranhas-to.html>

THE PLAGUE YEARS ARE HERE

by Chris Knight

Chris Knight's article is timely. Having just reread Vandana Shiva's article in the September 2014 New Times Survey (and now on The Crossroads HERE.....) where she writes of the superweeds and superbugs Indian farmers now have to contend with - having used pesticides and herbicides for many years – it would seem Mother Nature has mounted her natural forces against modern science... editor Natural News.com (17 July, 2014) reports that drug-resistant superbug infections are at near-epidemic levels at U.S. hospitals. The journal "Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology" reports that there has been a recent 500 per cent fatality rate in the spread of CRE (carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae). Those infected have a 50 per cent fatality rate and there are no antibiotics available to treat it.

The multi-drug resistant superbug was created by the medical profession through using narrowly-targeted antibiotics which led to the bacteria developing resistance, Natural News argues. Drug companies have not undertaken the development of new drugs because it is more profitable to manufacture "lifestyle management drugs" which can be sold to the

(Continued next column)

(Continued from previous column)

entire population to "treat" the diseases of affluence.

The associate director of the Center for Disease Control, Dr. Arjun Srinivasan has said that humanity is at the end of the antibiotic era.

The response by Natural News is that the situation is not hopeless as there are natural broad spectrum antibiotics such as pure honey. The end of techno-medicine will lead man back to the wisdom of nature, and nature's pharmacy.

Sources for the article:

[1] <http://www.shea-online.org/View/ArticleId/29...>

[2] <http://infectioncontrolday.com/news/20>

[3] <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/heal...>

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: www.alor.org/

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to
'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings, at our Melbourne bookshop or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop,

2nd Floor, 145 Russell Street,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9650 9749; Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: [http://](http://www.veritasbooks.com.au/)

www.veritasbooks.com.au/

<http://www.alor.org/blog/index.php>

**Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,
Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.**