



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 52 No. 43

28<sup>th</sup> October 2016

#### IN THIS ISSUE

The Great Counter-Revolution Is Under Way by Tom North	1
Hard Love: Dealing with the New “Stolen Generation” by Tom North	2
The Latest Victim of the Race/Cultural War by Ian Wilson LL. B.	3
The Nobel Prize is Now Far from Noble by Charles Taylor	3
The Politics of Domestic Violence by Mrs Vera West	4

#### THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: The Real Issue: The Podesta/Clinton Emails

The P-Gate controversy was used as a smokescreen to take attention away from the Podesta/Clinton emails released via Wikileaks. In short, Hillary Clinton delivered speeches to Big Businesses such as Goldman Sachs, Xerox and others, freely admitting that she supports open borders and a global free market (including the TPP which in public she says she would oppose). She openly stated that she takes a different position based on whether she is talking to her Big Business donors or the public – in other words, that she just lies and deceives the public. Behind closed doors, to the bankers she said that her “dream” is a “hemispheric common market, with open trade and open borders.” She said that the US initially supported the Muslim brotherhood in Egypt, but when they “became much more interested in promoting their ideology (i.e. Islamism), the US then supported a military coup.” Clinton supported indiscriminate government spying on Americans: “we’ve got people listening to America citizens’ conversations. But the collection of the metadata is something that has proven to be very useful.”

Trump was not able to make devastating use of this because he is always on the back foot because of his past playboy lifestyle. Yet it is clear, a Clinton presidency is to be feared for it is the end of the world as we know it.

#### THE GREAT COUNTER-REVOLUTION IS UNDER WAY by Tom North

While the mainstream parties continue to press on with the politically correct globalist agenda, support for genuine opposition parties continues to rise. Support for Pauline Hanson’s One Nation Party has increased fourfold across Australia since the election and in Queensland now stands at around 10 percent, according to the latest Newspoll. (*The Australian*, October 17, 2016, p. 4) At present the government cannot pass legislation opposed by Labor and the Greens without One Nation’s support. Voters are deserting the major parties, and in the last federal election 23.2 percent of people did not vote for either the Coalition or Labor.

Let us look forward to that percentage growing.

Greg Sheridan (“How the ‘Golden Handcuffs’ of Globalisation Lost Their Grip,” *The Weekend Australian*, October 15-16, 2016, p. 21) says that the globalisation project is in crisis, from events such as the mass migration of “refugees” into Europe, and the loss of jobs in the West.

On the Trump phenomenon, Paul Kelly in the same edition of *The Australian* sees this as a crisis of decline in a civilisation, the moral failure of the US ruling class. He even criticises Hillary Clinton, seeing her as representing “the bankruptcy of progressive politics championing big government, big spending, trade protection, financing expanding benefits for the many by higher taxes on the few and pushing a relentless agenda of identity politics.” Naturally the journalist at *The Australian* particularly hate Trump because of his nationalism, however soft, such as standing up to China and killing off their beloved Trans-Pacific Partnership.

Particularly interesting is Janet Albrechtsen’s article, *The Australian*, October 12, 2016, p. 12 which sees Trump as being finished because of his offensive sexual remarks. Yes, while Obama has said the “p” word, and Bill Clinton has allegedly raped, Trump will be defeated because of his words. Nevertheless, she recognises that many millions of Americans are not going to like the Democrat heiress ruling, although she doesn’t take the argument very far.

Caroline Overington (*The Weekend Australian*, October 15-16, 2016, p. 17) gives a similar “it’s all over for Trump because he said bad things about women,” ignores all the Hillary Wikileaks material, but does recognise that Trump is like Hanson dealing with the issues of perceived harm arising from globalisation, albeit, misplaced. Neither female journalists address the issue that according to a 2011 report from the US Government Accountability Office, there were 70,000 American women sexually assaulted by criminal aliens. Sticks and stones may break your bones, but words today are worse.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

**Ref:** <http://www.breitbart.com/2016-presidential-race/2016/10/12/criminal-aliens-sexually-assault-70000-american-women-paul-ryan-mad-trump/>

## **Poll Corruption**

The “Trump-is-done” narrative has been pushed by the entire globalist establishment media. *The Conservative Treehouse* did a background analysis of a poll from *NBC News* and *The Wall Street Journal* which allegedly showed that Hillary Clinton was up in support 11 points following Trumps “P” remarks: <https://theconservativetreehouse.com/2016/10/11/media-polling-fully-exposed-about-that-nbcwsj-clinton-11-point-poll#more-123009>.

The poll had a small sample of 500 respondents with a majority being Democrats. So the poll is essentially worthless. And the polling firms are far from neutral with the president of one of them being strategic advisor to *Priorities USA*, which is the **super PAC\*\*** that supported Obama’s re-election and is presently supporting Clinton’s election. During September 2016 alone, Hillary Clinton’s *Priorities USA* super-PAC paid US \$220,500 to the polling firm.

Breitbart.com, October 12, 2016 reports that the NBC/WSJ poll deliberately mixed up the before-and-after debate data, where before the debate Clinton had the alleged 11 points lead in a four-way contest and a 14-point lead in a head-to-head match, but after the debate, the lead dropped to 7 points. This was done, the article says, to support the “Trump is finished” narrative. Trump has rightly observed that the elites have rigged the election, not only by a “dishonest media,” but “at many polling places.”

Former New York Mayor Rudy Giuliani, Trump’s campaign adviser, said that the Democrats have a tradition of cheating/electoral fraud in the inner cities with dead people generally voting for the Democrats. One John Podesta Wikileaks email claimed that “Obama Forces” had used ineligible voters in his primary run against Hillary Clinton, with those “Obama Forces” now supporting Hillary Clinton. Cheating is part of their legacy: Breitbart.com, October 15, 2016.

Even so, the Rasmussen Reports White House Watch national telephone and online survey found Trump

## **HARD LOVE: DEALING WITH THE NEW “STOLEN GENERATION” by Tom North**

Former Keating government attorney-general Michael Lavarch, the architect of the *Bringing Them Home Report* (1995), has said that indigenous child removals is almost reaching 10 percent of all indigenous children and is set to create a new “Stolen Generation.”

(*The Australian*, October 6, 2016, p. 8)

The *Bringing Them Home Report* claimed that the removal of mixed-blood children in the past constituted a form of “genocide”.

High school children are taught, and university Arts/social science youths are indoctrinated, with the idea that this was a “racist” program, rather than an attempt to save children from harm.

ahead of Clinton at 43 percent to Clinton 41 percent. (Rasmussen-reports.com, October 13, 2016)

## **After the Revolution**

Kevin MacDonald (Occidental Observer.net, October 13, 2016) says that Trump, whatever his faults, represents a counter-revolution to what the ruling elites have built. He quotes an important essay by emeritus professor Angelo M. Codevilla (Cleremont.org, September 27, 2016), which argues that the revolution over the last 50 years has destroyed America as a constitutional republic. Trump cannot “make America great” because there is no longer anything to conserve as an entire ruling elite would need to be replaced. As this elite would seek to destroy anything Trump would attempt to do while in power, “(regardless) of who wins in 2016, this revolution’s sentiments will grow in volume and intensity, and are sure to empower politicians likely to make Americans nostalgic for Donald Trump’s moderation.”

In this context it is worthwhile reading Mike Adam’s Natural News.com, October 13, 2016 article “Voters Warned: You’ve Already Lost America... this Election is About Taking it Back in the Least Non-Violent Way Possible: At the Voting Booth.”

Although he has predicted great violence from the left if Trump wins, he concludes that the Wikileaks email dumps have shown that the entire US society is “rigged” and corrupt, from media coverage to the justice system, to the polls, so that “your country had already been overrun by criminals,” and that America is a “Third World-style dictatorship.”

It will become a complete “totalitarian dictatorship” under Hillary Clinton, he believes, and he says that open armed revolt will occur, another American revolution. The significance of all of this for Australia is obvious, for there is no reason to believe that the Australian system is any less corrupt than the US, or anywhere else in the West. \*\*\*

\*\***super PAC** - independent expenditure-only committees may raise unlimited sums of money from corporations, unions, associations and individuals, then spend unlimited sums to overtly advocate for or against political candidates.

There were many articles in *Quadrant* over the years arguing that the “stolen generation” was the “saved generation,” and in general the courts found against applicants. **See:** <https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/history-wars/2010/03/the-stolen-generations/>.

Nevertheless, learning from history, child-welfare authorities probably should abandon addressing what they take to be the neglect and abuse of indigenous children because down the track this will be condemned as “racist.” It is the elites who are saying that there is no problem here, only a lack of “cultural sensitivity,” so one may as well run with this and avoid another “Stolen Generation.” If this leads to disaster, let the guilt be upon them for once. \*\*\*

## THE LATEST VICTIM OF THE RACE/CULTURAL WAR by Ian Wilson LL. B.

Cartoonist Bill Leak of *The Australian* is facing a section 18 C racial hatred complaint. The matter arises from a cartoon featuring three Aborigines. An Aboriginal policeman is holding a youth and confronts the lad's father, who has a tin of beer in his hand. The officer says that the father needs to talk to his son about personal responsibility, and the father agrees and asks the officer what the lad's name is. The cartoon was widely criticised, I think not without some justification, for its portrayal of a crisis in parenting.

The complaint alleges: "The Australian newspaper has published and endorsed a cartoon depicting racial discrimination, racial profiling, and racially offensive material. A series of cartoons illustrate hateful and derogatory material specifically relating to indigenous Australians, their relationships with their children, alcoholism and domestic violence."

I can well appreciate that the complainant was offended by the cartoon. The elements "insult" and "humiliate" may also be present, but probably not "intimidate." Was the act done because of the race/colour/national/ethnic nature of the people? That would be the first point of argument and there is precedent that the complainant's case could fail at that point: *Walsh v Hanson* [2000] HREOCA 8 (March 2, 2000).

A strong section 18 D defence could also be mounted. Further, the elements that led (I think, wrongly) to the Bolt case failing on section 18 D, namely a series of journalist errors, is missing here. As well, the case involves political art (a cartoon), for the purpose of expressing a viewpoint in the public interest, and that might be justified by the implied right of free expression of a political view.

## THE NOBEL PRIZE IS NOW FAR FROM NOBLE by Charles Taylor

I recall a John Lennon song "Isolation", which contained a long litany of things that he did not believe in: "I don't believe in..."

Included in the "false gods" were the "Beatles" and "Zimmerman" – Bob Dylan's real surname, a Jewish name.

I also recall Lennon being quizzed in an interview about why he used the name "Zimmerman" and he snapped back "Because that's his name." He no doubt thought that even Dylan needed deconstruction.

Dylan does not deserve the Nobel Prize in literature. There is controversy enough about the quality of his music: the adequacy of the vocals, his croaking and voice only the fan boys could love. Dylan and the Beatles represented the progressive Left values of the 1960s, but by today's standards their sentiments are badly dated.

Andrew Bolt who has been through the wringer of all of this (*HeraldSun.com*, October 15, 2016) asks: "When will the Turnbull government get the guts to at least try to scrap this wicked law?" The answer is, I believe: never. Turnbull's Liberals, the Labor Party and Greens, support 18 C because it has come to be symbolic of the multicult. Any modification, let alone repeal, is seen as an attack on multiculturalism. Hence, freedom of speech, the key part of liberalism, has been abandoned in Australia. Section 18 C, by its history shows that classical Million liberalism and multicultural law, are incompatible.

No sooner had I finished typing those words, than I picked up *The Australian* and read Jennifer Oriel's hard-hitting article on this "There Can be No Reasoning with PC Bigot Rights Advocates" (October 17, 2016, p. 12) She goes much further than I have, seeing Bill Leak as a victim "of prejudice so entrenched in our legal and political system it is sparking anti-establishment revolt across the West. It is the conversion of the human rights movement into a bigot rights industry."

"The principle victims of bigot rights are heterosexual men of Anglo-European descent whose advocacy of freedom, rationality and reason places them on the Right side of politics in the 21st century. The political repression of free thinkers by the bigot rights movement is calculated. PC bigotry is so comprehensive it engulfs the establishment whose members openly celebrate the structural oppression and public humiliation of those excluded from their state-sanctified system of privilege."

Oriel is quite correct in saying: "Section 18 C is anti-Enlightenment revolution codified as law. It effects the erosion of truth, rationality and reason as the foundations of Western law by replacing them with ideology, feelings and a consequent culture of unreason." \*\*\*

By the standards of even contemporary writers, let alone the greats of Western civilisation, Dylan's lyrics treated as poetry are nothing but average. The music carried the lyrics, but remove the music and one is left with little.

Finally, as Dylan himself sung, "the times they are a-changing". He represented, along with the Beatles, one part of the 1960s revolt against Western civilisation. They both helped create a culture which in terms of popular music is now seen in the chaos of MTV. Hopefully though there is now the beginnings of a revolt against this progressive Left world view.

As for the Nobel Prize – well, it lost all credibility when the Nobel Peace Prize was awarded to President Obama shortly after taking office, for no other reason that I can see beyond being non-white. \*\*\*



## THE POLITICS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE by Mrs Vera West

There was a very good article by Jennifer Oriel ("There Can be No Reasoning with PC Bigot Rights Advocates," *The Australian*, October 17, 2016, p. 12) discussing the race hatred complaint about the Bill Leak cartoon.

That's not my area of interest, but I did notice her argument that the UN's aim of universal human rights has "been replaced by minority rights championed by socialists and Islamists."

As well "The Marxist dictatorship of the proletariat has been replaced by the neo-Marxist dictatorship of manufactured minorities."

She notes that the "UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, Zeid Ra'ad al-Hussein, recently demonised conservative politicians of eight countries for opposing his agenda. The UN has endorsed a new campaign to classify dissent from its open border policy as "xenophobia" and "intolerance." "

Much the same can be said in the area of gender politics with Victorian students now facing mandatory teaching about "male privilege" and how "masculinity" results in the control and dominance of women. (*The Australian*, October 14, 2016, p. 1) What's that? Masculinity is still alive? Apparently so and the Victorian government is spending \$21.8 million on a programme which will show that men are all bad and all women, like former Prime Minister Julia Gillard, are all victims.

As usual, the cultural war is being conducted to counter domestic violence. But as Bettina Arndt argued (*The Australian*, July 9, 2016; *The Australian*, August 20, 2016), there is a "huge propaganda industry determined to promote a simplistic male-blaming perspective on this complex social issue." She notes that in Australia at least a third of domestic violence cases involve women committing violence.

Swedish politicians Eva Solberg has said that domestic violence is not a product of the "tired gendered analysis," such as behind that of our government. The Partner Abuse State of Knowledge project indicates that across the world "in at least half of its occurrence, (domestic violence) is carried out by female perpetrators."

There is no evidence that Australia is facing an epidemic of domestic violence. Thus, the Victorian government is pursuing a programme essentially attacking men and masculinity. Vote them out!


Malcolm Turnbull has also decided to ride on the DV train as well and this one of 20 billion reasons why he needs to be immediately replaced as prime minister. \*\*\*

**"Who Called the Cook a Bastard"**  
**by Brig. C. Stanton Hicks - a personal account of a one man campaign to improve the feeding of the soldier - \$10.00 posted to anywhere in Australia from PO Box 27 Happy Valley SA 5159**

## AUSTRALIA 2000 - HOW BRIGHT THE VISION?

by Jeremy Lee

Written as a sequel to *Australia 2000 - What Will We Tell Our Children?* which provided the evidence that economic rationalism and globalism is leading



us towards a momentous crisis, Jeremy Lee's sequel presents the war being fought for the whole world. None will escape its final outcome. It now behoves those whose lives are at stake to join forces in protecting themselves and their freedom.

\$10 posted from Heritage Books



**THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org**

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

**On Target Subscription \$45.00 p.a.**

**NewTimes Survey Subscription \$30.00 p.a.**

**Subscriptions** for 'On Target' and 'NewTimes Survey' and **Donations** can be performed by **direct bank**

**transfer:** Bank Transfer Account details are:

BSB 083-004  
A/c No. 51-511-5296  
A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

contact details emailed to **hub@alor.org**

**or by cheques directed to:**

'ALOR Journals' or 'ALOR'  
c/o Heritage Bookshop,  
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,  
Melbourne, 3000 Victoria  
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001 Victoria)  
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

**or on the Veritas Books website.**

**URL:** veritasbooks.com.au/subscriptions

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9,  
Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by  
The Australian League of Rights,  
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,  
Melbourne, 3000

**Postal Address:** GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677