



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 53 No. 01

13th January 2017

IN THIS ISSUE

The Great Racial Divide: Can the West Survive? by James Reed	1
More Politically Correct Language by Mrs Vera West	3
It is Time for a Real Conservative Party by James Reed	4
A Christmas Present to Pensioners, Turnbull Style by James Reed	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: It Must be a Global Version Of Brazil That the Elites Want!

The migration-mad elites must be wanting to create Brazil in every Western nation.

The ethno-racial composition of Brazil is: white 47.7 %; mixed race 43.1 %; Black 7.6 %; Asian 1.1 % and Indigenous 0.4 %. A truly multicultural society where the indigenous people “disappear.”

A recent prison riot and prison break led to at least 56 dead, many beheaded, with 144 prisoners escaping. This occurred due to conflict between rival gangs in the Antonio Trindade Penal Institute, Manaus, between the Family of the North (FDN) gang and the rival First Capital Command (PCC) gang: <http://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/least-60-killed-bloody-brazil-prison-riot-n702396> Welcome to one possible future if good men (and women) do nothing.

Brian Simpson

THE GREAT RACIAL DIVIDE: CAN THE WEST SURVIVE? by James Reed

Rosemary Neill, “Nation Divided at School Gate as Progressives Lead White Flight,” *The Weekend Australian*, December 10-11, 2016, p. 1. The opening paragraph: “Since 2002 the City of Yarra council has proclaimed Melbourne’s inner north “a Refugee Welcome Zone.” But in this ardently Greens-voting area – (and in left-leaning suburbs in Sydney’s inner west) – progressive, middle-class families have been accused of avoiding schools with high refugee, indigenous or non-English-speaking student populations.”

The white progressives are “fleeing” inner Melbourne schools that have large African-Australian populations. Indeed, one academic quoted observes that the white progressives’ acceptance of diversity does not apply to their own children. But it is not just whites that are engaging in ethnic segregation the article says, as “staggering” levels of separation are also found in “predominantly Asian selective government schools on Sydney’s north shore.”

Rosemary Neill on p. 6 of the article reflects that this ethnic segregation or divide “has academics and community leaders concerned that we are creating schoolyard monocultures that fail to reflect the increasingly diverse society in which we live and work.” Such is the sort of thinking which has led to the creation of this problem in the first place. It is “diversity” and the sheer number of migrants which has made any chance of assimilation impossible, because now there is not much left of Anglo culture to assimilate to.

Nevertheless, the authorities cited on p. 16 of the article are concerned that refugees and migrants are missing out, associating with other refugees and migrants and then returning to housing commission flats. I imagine that the “solution” would be, in the weird world of multiculturalism, for the tax payer to pay the fees so that refugees and migrants in massive numbers could attend the exclusive white and Asian (mainly Chinese) schools, schools that have swimming pools and fees up to \$30,000 a year.

This would in fact be probably a good investment of taxpayer’s money because the progressive elite need to be in close personal contact with diversity and immigration, to understand what it is all about.

What This Is All About: The ‘Dieversity’ Disaster (sic)

The ethno-racial divide in the Australian school system is merely a reflection of the inevitable ethno-racial divide that exists in multicultural societies. The elites have pushed the ideological lie that diversity is a strength, when in fact diversity of ethnicity, race, culture and even vastly different religions, is a disaster.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

One well-cited paper by an academic supportive of diversity is Robert D. Putnam, “*E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the Twenty-First Century*,” *Scandinavian Political Studies*, vol. 30, 2007, pp. 137-174. Here is the abstract to the paper, summarising the conclusions:

“Ethnic diversity is increasing in most advanced countries, driven mostly by sharp increases in immigration. In the long run immigration and diversity are likely to have important cultural, economic, fiscal, and developmental benefits. In the short run, however, immigration and ethnic diversity tend to reduce social solidarity and social capital. New evidence from the US suggests that in ethnically diverse neighbourhoods residents of all races tend to “hunker down”. Trust (even of one’s own race) is lower, altruism and community cooperation rarer, friends fewer. In the long run, however, successful immigrant societies have overcome such fragmentation by creating new, cross-cutting forms of social solidarity and more encompassing identities. Illustrations of becoming comfortable with diversity are drawn from the US military, religious institutions, and earlier waves of American immigration.”

As I said, Putnam is a keen supporter of multiculturalism, and even after outlining his research findings hopes that the West, and principally America, will become “comfortable with diversity” and that “one” will be created out of “many”. He cites the motto of the Great Seal of the United States *e pluribus unum*, but the founders would have been utterly shocked to think that anyone would have tried to experiment creating a harmonious society from mixing together all the ethnicities, races and religions of the world. All the evidence indicates that this will necessarily be an explosive mixture and the vastly under-reported “race war” of violence across the West well confirms this. There is simply no evidence for the progressive’ position. For evidence confirming Putnam’s thesis for Australia, see E. Healy, “Ethnic Diversity and Social Cohesion in Melbourne,” *People and Place*, vol. 15, 2007, pp. 49-64.

In a carefully written paper Andrew Leigh, “Diversity, Trust and Redistribution,” *Dialogue*, vol. 25, no. 3, 2006, pp. 43-49, (as well as A. Leigh, “Trust, Inequality, and Ethnic Heterogeneity,” *Economic Record*, vol. 82, 2006, pp. 268-280, reaches conclusions similar to Robert D. Putnam: “The results of a succession of studies suggest that we may have to work harder if we are to make Australia both diverse and high-trust and to combine high levels of immigration with a generous welfare state.” (p. 43)

Some further conclusions:

“Trust is lower in linguistically diverse neighbourhoods. Residents of multi-racial neighbourhoods are more likely to agree that ‘you can’t be too careful in dealing with most Australians.’” (p. 44)

Further, neighbourhoods where there is great linguistic diversity, have lower levels of trust than areas with less linguistic diversity. Trust is an important part of social capital, the glue holding society together.

Leigh’s paper contains a useful summary of research results which in a nutshell show that ethnic diversity leads to diminished productivity and efficiency. Even ethnically diverse companies in the American Civil War had higher desertion rates than less ethnically diverse companies: D. L. Costa and M. E. Kahn, “Cowards and Heroes: Group Loyalty in the American Civil War,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 118, 2003, pp. 519-548.

On the relative inefficiency of ethnic heterogeneity see for example: A. Alesina and E. La Ferrara, “Who Trusts Others?” *Journal of Public Economics*, vol. 85, 2002, pp. 207-234; O. Bandiera (et al.), “Cooperation in Collective Action,” *Economics of Transition*, vol. 13, 2005, pp. 473-498; J. L. Vigdor, “Community Composition and Collective Action: Analyzing Initial Mail Response to the 2000 Census,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 86, 2004, pp. 303-312; A. Alesina (et al.), “Political Jurisdictions in Heterogeneous Communities,” *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 112, 2004, pp. 348-396.

In his book, *The Rapid Growth of Human Populations 1750-2000*, (2003), Professor William Stanton says that “Multicultural nations are particularly vulnerable to sabotage by cultural or ethnic dissidents, when these are present in significant minorities. Their ghettos are natural hiding-places for ‘sleepers,’ who, when called upon to commit an act of terrorism or sabotage, don’t ‘stand out in the crowd’ as they make their way to the scene,” (p. 138)

Although written in 2003, the recent wave of terrorist acts across the West well confirm this.

Stanton says that this cost of diversity, alone, is “incalculable.” (p. 138) Ethnic diversity negatively impacts upon economic activity in developing countries as well, and there is a negative correlation between ethnic diversity and economic growth: W. Easterly and R. Levine, “Africa’s Growth Tragedy: Policies and Ethnic Divisions,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 112, 1997, pp. 1203-1250; E Miguel and M. Gugerty, “Ethnicity Diversity, Social Sanctions, and Public Goods in Kenya,” *Journal of Public Economics*, vol. 89, 2005, pp. 2325-2368; A. Banerjee (et al.), “History, Social Divisions, and Public Goods in Rural India,” *Journal of the European Economic Association*, vol. 3, 2005, pp. 639-647.

Along with this, ethno-racial diversity results in increased welfare spending and the greater the diversity, the greater the spending: A. Leigh, “Race, Redistribution and Welfare,” *AQ: Journal of Contemporary Analysis*,

(continued from previous page)

vol. 76, 2004, pp. 35-38; A. Alesina and E. Glaeser, *Fighting Poverty in the US and Europe: A World of Difference*, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).

In a study published in October 2008 by the National Policy Institute, Edwin Rubenstein (et al.), *Cost of Diversity: The Economic Costs of Racial and Cultural Diversity*, it was estimated that for the US economy in 2008, racial and cultural diversity wastes over US \$1.1 trillion, with most of the economic burden falling on non-Hispanic Whites. That was calculated before Obama could fully run his rampage, so one can only guess at how high that figure would be now.

Conclusion

The school issue which introduced this paper is just the tip of a large muddy ice berg. Multicultural, ethnically diverse societies do not work and inevitably decline and fall apart like a badly glued box. William Stanton in *The Rapid Growth of Human Populations 1750-2000*, shows in a detailed analysis that ethnically diverse societies typically end in violence. Multicultural nations in Africa, artificially created by European colonists, ignored tribal divisions and boundaries and led to civil strife and ultimately war.

There can be moderate diversity as in successful countries such as Switzerland, but that is primarily a division of European languages (French, German, Italian) without the compounding complexities of the ethnically racial dimension.

At present multicultural/multiracial/multi-religious societies in the West are precariously held together by economics and consumerism.

MORE POLITICALLY CORRECT LANGUAGE by Mrs Vera West

Don't dare speak about "husbands" and "wives"- if you are in Victoria. (*The Australian*, December 16, 2016, p.3) *The Inclusive Language Guide*, to be used in the public sector, bans using "heteronormative" terms such as "husband" and "wives" and requires the use of gender-neutral pronouns such as "zie" and "hir."

The guide, according to the Victorian Equality Minister, aims to keep the LGBTI community safe by "eradicating homophobia, biphobia and transphobia."

Now, I would not want anyone to be unsafe but to my old mind though this seems to be little more than a politically correct attack on heterosexuality. If one is heterosexual and has a husband or wife, why shouldn't one be able to refer to that fact? That's discrimination!

Then we will find out if it really is the case that gender is a social construct!

Immediately prior to the Christmas break, the SA parliament passed a heap of LGBTQI (have I missed any

ON TARGET

Stanton, as a biosociologist, sees the world of growth as coming to an end for various reasons, including the rapid use of resources by expanding populations, especially in Africa, a *Camp of the Saints*-style crisis which will be spread by mass migration and globalisation. We are set to find out the costs of diversity and multiculturalism the hard way and the elites should be aware that there is no way off this planet. If they think that the school issue is a worry, just wait to see the whirlwind that will come from the wind that they have sown. ***

EDITOR'S NOTE:

There is no use "running harder" if you are heading in the wrong direction.

The major contributors to the political parties are also the major financial beneficiaries of the current immigration program, but they are either oblivious to the destruction this program is causing, or choose to ignore the results in order to keep their significant profits coming.

All companies operate on loans which need to be continuously serviced in order to remain solvent. It is an expanding financial treadmill that is impossible to get off. Our debt levels will continue to rise and our local industries will be forever looking to expand their markets, hence the destructive immigration policy. Without a full review of the cost/price structure, (*social credit finance, including the national dividend and consumer price subsidy, so we are able to purchase what we produce*), this issue will never be adequately resolved.

See further: Robert Klinck's excellent videos available here: <https://www.youtube.com/user/arnisluks13> - uploads OR in DVD format \$25.00 including postage from Doug Holmes 08 8289 0049 or M0421 925 557

letters?) legislation. South Australia has now formally recognised same-sex marriage. The unpopular Labor premier Jay Weatherill, also issued a formal apology to the LGBTQIers. Not only is there state wide recognition of same sex marriages, as well as those outside of Australia, but next-of-kin adoption rights.

If the highly unlikely Federal referendum on the same sex marriage proposal delivers a "NO!", it may be possible to constitutionally challenge the state legislation as being inconsistent with the Commonwealth Marriage Act 1961. But, like anything taken to court today, I would not bet on it.

The Gender Amendment Act makes it easy to change one's gender on one's birth certificate.

I bet Uncle Len, over there in Adelaide, is wishing now that he did not work so hard to oppose the radioactive waste dump in SA! He may even change his gender, race and species! ***

IT IS TIME FOR A REAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY by James Reed

I know that there are many among us who are concerned about the rise of One Nation and the possible fracturing of the Liberal/National Coalition with a new conservative party. They see such developments as merely leading to the Labor Party getting office.

The Liberal/National Parties, however, differs little from Labor in basic ideology. Turnbull has once again breathed life into the Republic push, and he is firmly committed to Australia becoming a republic.

He will not budge on section 18C of the "There is No Freedom of Speech Act" because, he believes in it.

The breakaway of Katter and Liberal Senator Cory Bernardi to form new conservative groups is thus only natural. The Liberal/National Coalition are both rotten to the core. Better to atrophy them both, endure Labor as a minority government, and rebuild. A new conservative party and other independents, sympathetic to traditional Australia, is needed to drain the Canberra swamp. ***

A CHRISTMAS PRESENT TO PENSIONERS, TURNBULL STYLE by James Reed

Turnbull's changes to the pension, which came in on January 1, 2017, will cut payments for 330,000 Australians, and those moved off the pension will also miss out on various discounts linked to the pensioner's concession card. About 230,000 Australians will have their pension reduced and 91,000 people will lose their pension altogether. (*The Australian*, December 28, 2016, p. 1)

All of this wickedness came from the 2015 budget, designed to save 2.4 billion over five years. This is a cruel budget that slapped older Australians in the face, but it was a budget that passed the Senate in June with support of the ever-politically correct Greens.

Apart from that, the Turnbull government is seeking \$4.5 million each day, incorrectly paid to welfare recipients, of a total of \$4 billion incorrectly paid. (*The Australian*, December 5, 2016, p. 1) While some of this is fraud and other parts due to people failing to update their information, there is also an undisclosed amount due to Centrelink error. The welfare system is in chaos and needs a serious shakeup. ***

BASIC FUND

The Basic Fund is the backbone of the League's finances. Our willing workers are modestly supported in their duties by it. Your contributions are fundamental to the ongoing work of the League. Please use any of the donation methods from the League Advert in the next column, to further the work of the League. - ND

STEALING FROM A CHILD: THE INJUSTICE OF 'MARRIAGE EQUALITY'

http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main_page=product_info&products_id=394&zenid=c6p071n9qperln27ucen1ifi77

STEALING FROM A CHILD

THE INJUSTICE OF 'MARRIAGE EQUALITY'

David van Gend

Australians are being asked to accept a breathtakingly subversive redefinition of marriage, parenting, family and gender, with consequences for core liberties and our children's education; yet when we raise concerns we are called 'bigots'.



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org
blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

On Target Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey Subscription \$30.00 p.a.

Subscriptions for 'On Target' and 'NewTimes Survey' and **Donations** can be performed **by direct bank**

transfer: Bank Transfer Account details are:

BSB 083-004
A/c No. 51-511-5296
A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

contact details emailed to **hub@alor.org**

or by cheques directed to:

'Victorian League of Rights'

c/o Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000 Victoria
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001 Victoria)
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

or on the Veritas Books website.

URL: veritasbooks.com.au/subscriptions

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by
The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.
Telephone: (03) 9600 0677