



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 53 No. 11

24th March 2017

IN THIS ISSUE

Do You Have An Idea or Does The Idea Have You? by Betty Luks	1
Katter Calls For Minor Parties To Come Together and Don't Forget The Culleton Factor	1
The Return to the Kow Towing Keating Era by Peter Ewer	2
Section 18C: From Tyrannical to Tyrannical by Ian Wilson LL.B	2
There is A Reality That We Are Ignoring To Our Peril by Betty Luks	3

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: DO YOU HAVE 'AN IDEA' OR DOES 'THE IDEA' HAVE YOU?

Professor Jordan B. Peterson asking the question in his interview "Religion, Myth, Science, Truth":

"...Jung asks: Which ideas have you and where are they suggesting that you go?

That's like the Greek god idea, we are playthings of the gods. These are like metamemes".

This sent me to Dr. Bryan Monahan's *An Introduction to Social Credit* on this very question posed by Jordan Peterson. Monahan's Preface to both editions explains: "The present increasing and dangerous plight of the whole world despite the advances continuously being made in science and the technique of power-production is forcing more and more people to an examination of the foundations of civilization and the origin of our trouble.

"This little book, Monahan continues, was written originally to relate the later to the earlier phases of the doctrine first enunciated by the late Major C. H. Douglas fifty years ago, and developed by him over thirty years. The first edition has continued to serve its original purpose, but in this (second) edition the opportunity has been taken to revise the text in the light of the rapid developments of the past twenty years in which events have brought out the significance of policies; and to incorporate some information which has become available in that period.

"A glance through the index will be sufficient to reveal how much more than a matter of monetary systems is involved. **Social Credit is a policy, and the only policy extant which offers citizens freedom in security, and the practical means to keep government in its proper place. It is the exact antithesis of totalitarianism.**

Professor Jordan Peterson found here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=07Ys4tQPRis>

An Introduction to Social Credit found here: <https://alor.org/Library/Monohan%20BW%20-%20Introduction%20to%20Social%20Credit.pdf>

KATTER CALLS FOR MINOR PARTIES TO COME TOGETHER AND DON'T FORGET THE CULLETON FACTOR

KAP Member for Kennedy, Bob Katter watched Pauline Hanson's One Nation Party's performance in the Western Australia (WA) election closely. It is Mr Katter's little party, the KAP – who stand to gain or lose the most from One Nation's performance in the looming Qld election.

Mr Katter said, "Anyone in Qld who thinks One Nation is dead in the water is badly misreading the situation. The LNP is toxic in WA, but the difference in Qld is, so is the ALP (as well as the LNP).

The disaster of One Nation giving all their preferences to Liberals, and voting with them all the time in Canberra... (This brought down Tony Windsor who was always voting with the ALP), One Nation is beginning to look like a LNP lamb in wolf's 'Third Force' clothing, the Hanson Party must realise the reason people vote for them is because they're not one of the majors. But when in Canberra, One Nation has taken a stand on the following (and these were all burning issues):

Came out against a banking Royal Commission,

Came out in support for the sale of Kidman to Shanghai Cred (whoever their partners are is irrelevant),

Voted for the Bash up the Workers Legislation (ABCC),

Voted for the Bash up the Farmers and Tourist Operators legislation (the Backpackers Tax).

Not only does this portray One Nation as an LNP supporter, but it portrays them as LNP.

But if you look like a duck and quack like a duck, then you will get shot like a duck.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

We would like One Nation not to duck, but listen to calm, reasoned and experienced counsel. This is the kind of counsel we always rely upon.

Also, people have underestimated the reaction to throwing WA Senator Rodney Culleton out of the Senate. Cool heads would have seen the disastrous ramifications of this.

We shall be urging all of the Third Force parties in Australia, whatever their strengths and weaknesses, to come together.

All the little parties have one strength in common; they were not the ones who exported our industries and jobs overseas and imported workers into Australia to take what's left of our jobs.

THE RETURN TO THE KOW TOWING KEATING ERA by Peter Ewer

The elites jump for joy at harpooning One Nation in the Western Australian election, what they call a “flop.” One person's flop, though, is another person's victory, as One Nation will probably get two seats, despite the rest of the thugs joining forces against Hanson, as thugs always do.

The WA vote showed a truth that we do not want to face up to, that Australians are going to go to the line, and will only fight in mass when the bloody apocalypse is about to come! “Pre-emption,” is not a word known here.

Then we have Mal Turnbull, doing his best 90s era Paul Keating impersonation, soothing the concerns of Indonesia about One Nation's anti-Islam platform.

MULTICULTURALISM RESULTS IN PARALLEL SOCIETIES by Peter West

Political correctness, and its culture of “tolerance,” is allowing the Muslim Brotherhood to build a “parallel society in Sweden, according to a report commissioned by Sweden's Civil Contingencies Agency (MSB):

https://www.msb.se/Upload/Kunskapsbank/Studier/Muslimska_Brodraskapet_i_Sverige_DNR_2107-1287.pdf.

The report blames the “political elites” for creating a repressive culture of silence on the issue, which has only helped the Muslim Brotherhood grow in power, to increase the number of Muslims, and attack the non-Muslim state.

SECTION 18C: FROM TYRANNICAL TO TYRANNICAL by Ian Wilson LL.B

At present, there are two main positions for reform of section 18 C of the Racial Discrimination Act. The first is to keep the section, including the “offence” clause, but to add a reasonable person test. Actually, media comments referred to the “man on the Bondi tram,” but he is long gone. Instead it could be a woman or transgendered person, which is not a trivial point. “Man” is sexist, and that is close to “racist.”

Those championing this idea are quick to add that while they value free speech, they do not accept “racist and xenophobic speech.”

ON TARGET

That was (believe it or not) mostly the ALP, and most certainly the LNP.

It will be a great tragedy for this nation if the Xenophons and Lambies, the KAPs and all the other non-major parties do not have a coming together.

Our strengths are in our differences but one thing we all have in common is we tenaciously oppose the extremism of the Free Market ideologues and their privatisation, de-regulation and globalisation.

To the people of QLD, don't worry about WA; you've made a decision to leave the majors and as the Johnathan Thurston and his NQ Cowboys say -- stay in the fight!”

Ref: <https:// Cairnsnews.org/2017/03/13/one-nation-wa-election-fall-out/> ***

Amazing, that we have come to this. Imagine the Founding Fathers seeing this prime minister slapping down a local politician to kowtow to the largest Islamic nation on earth, a nation that offensively takes a stance on internal Australian political positions.

Not only that, but we have military cooperation with a nation, which is not beyond the bounds of possibility, a potential military enemy, given various scenarios.

Above even this, we are told that Indonesia is the key to Australian prosperity: <http://www.smh.com.au/federal-politics/political-news/indonesia-key-to-australian-prosperity-20170225-gulf72.html>.

Hey, don't make China jealous! I thought that Australia was China's “girl.”

This, naturally enough led to Sweden's academics to attack the report, claim that there is no Islam problem in Sweden and that all is going swimmingly well.

Some Swedish cities now grow merely by Muslim immigration and population growth.

All this may generate a backlash, as Sweden, like much of Europe, burns to the ground: <http://www.savemysweden.com/civil-war-erupts-in-sweden-as-irate-swedes-burn-nine-muslim-refugee-centers-to-the-ground/>.

Today such speech has been redefined to include almost anything worth saying about race and immigration.

The sorts of debates that occur in the United States will still be off limits to us, and so will any criticism of specific ethnic groups, however justified in theory.

In the US Supreme Court case of Texas v. Johnson (109 S. Ct, at 2544), it was held that “hate speech” and offensive speech is “free speech”:

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

“The government may not prohibit the verbal or nonverbal expression of an idea merely because society finds the idea offensive or disagreeable.”

That is not what the multicultural/ethnic lobby want to hear.

Worse, in a country which now has over 25 percent of the population born overseas (5.3 million people), with almost 16 percent (3.3 million people) born in non-English speaking countries, what constitutes a reasonable ordinary person with respect to this subject matter is controversial. Courts may see such a person as fully multiculturalised anyway, and simply adopt the same interpretation of section 18 C which we have now. Alternatively, they may find an even stricter condition. Who knows?

The reasonable person test sounds good, but in the court the test will become stricter than any ordinary person could meet, merely replicating the problems which presently exist.

Then there is the idea of replacing “insult” and “offend” with the word “harass” to allegedly stop complaints about hurt feelings. However, it won’t.

THERE IS A ‘REALITY’ THAT WE ARE IGNORING TO OUR PERIL

In *The Essential Christian Heritage* Eric D. Butler (1971) reminded his readers that “Western civilisation (was) correctly described as a Christian civilisation... it was the Christian teaching that man is a special creature made in God’s image, which gave the human person a significance unknown outside Western Europe. Now man saw himself as part of a type of cosmic spiritual drama and felt he had the power to shape history...” BUT “... The modern concept of the Rule of Law is far removed from the concept of English Common Law... first we must ask “whose law?” Like every other human system, a system of law must, if the Christian view of reality is to be accepted, serve the individual, to ensure that his natural rights are protected, that his sovereignty as a free and responsible individual is ensured...” “... The suggestion that the world can be subordinated to a rigid Rule of Law implies the relationship of every individual in the world to the Law must be exactly the same. William Blake, the English poet and mystic grasped the necessity of any system of law being related as far as possible to reality when he said that “one law for the lion and the lamb is oppression”. Shakespeare also understood this issue. Justice as seen by Shylock demonstrates the unsuitability of the strict legal process to anything but a purely static situation. There can be a vast difference between the letter of the law and the spirit of the law, a difference which Christ attempted to demonstrate to the Pharisees of His day...” I was reminded of Eric’s words after reading *Andrew Bolt’s Blog* (15 March 2017) and his quotes from

ON TARGET

Ethnics who now feel offended about criticisms, will alternatively argue that they find such comments harassing, and they do so now.

Either way, nothing will change.

Remember, the courts have been populated with lawyers trained to put multiculturalism as the highest value, not liberalism. The crop of new lawyers coming through law schools now will put even this generation to shame.

Section 18 C was drafted for social control, and is completely inconsistent with fundamental liberal principles.

The hard truth in this is that immigration and multiculturalism irreversibly change societies, and the Australia of today, is not the liberal-democratic society of the past, but some new multicultural mutant, with a shell of the past qualities, but with entirely new innards based around non-Anglo-Saxon values.

Section 18 C is just one example of this, but there are many others, such as creeping gun control and all of the gender issues. Incredibly the elites have not only wiped out race, well, our race, but also manhood to boot.

This cannot end well.

Paul Kelly’s tribute to the late Bill Leak,

How Leak Discovered Civilisation:

“It is an attack on the idea of common humanity and equality in law and administration....Bill’s cartoons provoked a backlash from two groups that shared a strange common bond: the Islamist militants and potential terrorists who threatened his life, forced him to move home and became a psychological cross he carried; and the warriors of the progressive Left who denounced him, campaigned against him, tried to break him, branded him a racist and triggered the section 18C provisions against him...”

I think the question must be asked: “Which Law?” are these people referring to and what do they understand by that term ‘Equal before the Law’? It is certainly not ‘the Law’ as once understood by Englishmen of old – upon which, and out of which, grew Western civilisation.

As Eric noted:

“Rightness in politics and economics will not be achieved until the scope, function and authority of human law is resolved... An eminent lawyer, Professor R. W. Chambers has succinctly stated the issue:

“Upon that difference – whether or not we place Divine Law in the last resort above the law of the State – depends the whole future of the world.”

Andrew Bolt, Paul Kelly, gentlemen, may I suggest you both have a good hard look around you and point out just where is the peace and security one would expect to find in this ‘civilised’ nation? And what do you understand is the basis for our laws?

**CLEXIT SAYS
“TRUMP MUST DUMP PARIS
(and defund the UN climate industry)”**

by Viv Forbes

The International Clexit Coalition today joined the growing chorus urging President Trump to keep his election promises and dump every climate treaty “agreed” in Kyoto, Paris and the UN/IPCC.

The Secretary of Clexit (Climate Exit Coalition), Mr Viv Forbes of Australia, said that Clexit could call on over 190 well-qualified climate realists from 26 countries to provide scientific, business, legal and political support and advice.

Mr Forbes said it was obvious from the Brexit vote in Britain, the election of President Trump in USA, the rise of Marine la Pen in France and Geert Wilders in Holland, and the election of Senator Malcolm Roberts in Australia, that the general population no longer trusts the globalists controlling the UN, the EU, and the UN/IPCC.

“The whole war on carbon is merely a grab for power using a world tax on energy to fund global government. Voters of the world are waking up and unless their politicians trim their sails to the new sceptical gale they will be swept from office.”

Senator Malcolm Roberts from Queensland, a member of Clexit, said just last week: “We call for an AusExit – getting out of the Paris Agreement and the UN.”

Dr Tim Ball of Clexit Canada said: “Even if fully implemented, the Paris Climate Agreement won’t change atmospheric CO2 levels or stop global warming. However, it will devastate economies and hurt poor people the most.”

Mr Mark Duchamp of Clexit Spain is also opposed to the Paris Agreement: “The recurrent climate event “El Niño” has caused warmth in 2015 and 2016. However temperatures are now back to their usual natural fluctuations, no matter how much tampering with data is done by NOAA, NASA-GISS and politically correct “scientists.”

Viv Forbes concludes “The Paris Agreement will destroy industries and jobs and make electricity more expensive and unreliable. One bad winter blackout will be enough to cure the EU heartland of climate alarmism and green energy. Soon the only Paris supporters will be third world and Pacific Island mendicants hoping for climate handouts (but getting energy handcuffs).”

“This stupidity will surely end, but energy costs will rise for every day’s delay.”

President Trump should withdraw from Paris Agreement:

<https://wattsupwiththat.com/2017/03/07/>

trump-should-keep-promise-withdraw-u-s-from-paris-climate-treaty/

Climate Science is NOT Settled:

<http://clexit.net/2016/09/03/climate-science-is-not-settled/>

ON TARGET

**STEALING FROM A CHILD: THE INJUSTICE
OF ‘MARRIAGE EQUALITY’**

http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main_page=product_info&products_id=394&zenid=c6p071n9qpcrln27ucen1ifi77

OR ASK YOUR LOCAL LIBRARY TO SOURCE A COPY FOR YOU

**STEALING
FROM A CHILD**

THE INJUSTICE OF
‘MARRIAGE EQUALITY’

David van Gend

Australians are being asked to accept a breathtakingly subversive redefinition of marriage, parenting, family and gender, with consequences for core liberties and our children’s education; yet when we raise concerns we are called ‘bigots’.

TRY ENERGY FREEDOM by Viv Forbes

We don’t have an electricity supply crisis – we have an energy policy crisis. Vote-seeking politicians with little understanding of markets, engineering or energy have passed costly laws, shuffled a pack of complex regulations, imposed discriminatory taxes and subsidies, set unrealistic targets, interfered in markets, and imposed death-by-delay on real energy explorers and developers. Australia once had the world’s cheapest and most reliable electricity (before politicians became green socialists and started interfering). Now we have expensive electricity and much of it is unreliable, unpredictable and intermittent. Let’s try free enterprise in the energy market before we all freeze in the dark.



THE LEAGUE’S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

Account details are:

A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

BSB 083-004

A/c No. 51-511-5296

or by cheques directed to:

‘Victorian League of Rights’

or on the Veritasbooks.com.au website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

“On Target” is published by Australian League of Rights

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

email: hub@alor.org

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

South Australia: Heritage Book-mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Ph:08 7123 7131 **email:** heritagebooks@adam.com.au