



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 53 No. 13

7th April 2017

IN THIS ISSUE

Section 18 C: The Labor Party Apocalypse of Free Speech by Ian Wilson LL.B	1
The Nick Xenophon Team Have to Go! by Ian Wilson LL.B	2
Why Uncle Len is Afraid of Rubbish Bins, and You Should Be Too! by Len the Ex-Wheelie Bin Wizard	3
Too Weak to Hold a Pen in their Pudgy Hands by James Reed	3
How to Think About Vladimir Putin by Christopher Caldwell	4

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Despite the federal government’s failure to get its partial reform of the Racial Discrimination Act fully accepted by the Senate, political and religious censorship continues to be ‘on the nose’ in Australia...The government must also maintain a strong public stance in favour of free speech and make this a major aspect of its next election campaign; and free speech supporters, generally, should ‘maintain their rage’ loudly and noisily.

A careful study of the handling of the controversy in *The Australian* (against 18C) and in Melbourne’s *The Age* (for 18C) suggests that the case for complete repeal has intellectually won the day. Some of the major arguments against 18C were largely or wholly ignored by its supporters and the repeated misrepresentation of free speech advocates as ‘racists’ was deplorable. Temporarily a combination of idealistic fanaticism and pandering to minorities for electoral advantage has won the day. - *Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic*

SECTION 18 C: THE LABOR PARTY APOCALYPSE OF FREE SPEECH
by Ian Wilson LL.B

The latest turn in the section 18 C debate has come from the leak that the Labor Party is keen to pursue a unified discrimination package, as was tried under Gillard. You may recall that this proposal, which explicitly involved reversal of the onus of proof, was attacked solidly by even establishment legal figures. Gillard backed down, but her champions remained in the party, ever keen to push this proposal.

The attempt to consolidate the five anti-discrimination packages will result in section 18 C style challenges on disability, gender, and who knows how many other politically correct attributes. The Gillard laws had a whole shopping list of 18 qualities, such as pregnancy, breastfeeding, sexual orientation, political opinion, nationality, citizenship, religion, gender identity, immigrant status, family responsibilities, and I cannot remember the rest. What a list! Imagine the lawsuits! Big money for the law class indeed, who have an infinite degree of greed. Wait, should I have said that about my colleagues: are they to be protected from all criticism as well? In any case, the low threshold “offends” condition, which has been so profitable for those pursuing race cases, will be widened and anything you might want to say at all will certainly be illegal.

Now how can the ruling elites get away with this? They do because the ordinary person, the 100 IQ pointer, does nothing. In the case of the US elections, the punters did act, at the eleventh hour, but here in Australia, they are letting things go mighty close to the abyss. My guess is that we won’t make it.

As a footnote to my previous article on the Liberal’s reform of section 18 C, I said that it is purely cosmetic, designed to keep the critics quiet, but change nothing.

On the HRC website, one finds that “harassment” is defined, circularly, as any behaviour that makes a person feel offended, humiliated or intimidated: <https://www.humanrights.gov.au/our-work/sex-discrimination/guides/sexual-harassment/>; There is no indication that “harassment” is to be defined narrowly in terms of a reasonable fear of imminent physical harm. Thus, the proposed reforms will leave everything exactly as it is, which is no doubt their design.

For a taste of what free speech is like, here is intellectual Ann Coulter writing on the topic, why aren’t Americans (substitute “Australians”) allowed to determine their own immigration policy:

<https://www.amren.com/commentary/2017/03/donald-trump-immigration-ann-coulter/>:

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

“Liberals are ecstatic that a judge in Hawaii is writing immigration policy for the entire country, and that policy is: We have no right to tell anyone that he can’t live in America. (Unless they’re Christians—those guys we can keep out.) As subtly alluded to in the subtitle of *Adios, America: The Left’s Plan to Turn Our Country into a Third World Hellhole*, the goal of liberals is for the poor of the world to have a constitutional right to come here whenever they want. I can’t help but notice that the Third Worlders aren’t moving to liberals’ neighborhoods.

After nearly 1 million Rwandans were murdered by other Rwandans in 1994, our government asked itself: Why not bring more of this fascinating Rwandan culture to America? Ten thousand of them poured in. So far, nearly 400 have been convicted in the United States of lying on visa applications about their role in the genocide.

And that’s why we have to tighten our belt, America! Massive international investigations don’t come cheap. Almost every immigration case is a con, something we find out every time there’s a San Bernardino shooting and half the family turns out to have scammed our immigration officials. One hundred percent of the “humanitarian” cases are frauds.

Earlier this month, Rwanda’s Gervais Ngombwa was convicted for lying on his immigration application by claiming to have been a victim of the 1994 genocide. In fact, he was a well-known perpetrator—even featured in Rwandan newspaper articles as a leader of the genocide. For most of the last two decades, Ngombwa has been living in Iowa with his wife and eight children in a house built by Habitat for Humanity—because no Americans need houses.

THE NICK XENOPHON TEAM HAVE TO GO! by Ian Wilson LL.B

According to a recent article (*The Australian*, March 27, 2017, p. 6), Nick Xenophon says that the wording of section 18 C is “non-negotiable.” The NXT are opposed to reform of section 18 C, but support an overhaul of the Human Rights Commission.

Already, there is a movement to water down changes to see if the NXT allow it through. Why does a so-called pragmatic group suddenly have such a strong position, which is beyond negotiation? Say it again Pauline, “please explain.”

It is a sheer disgrace that these so-called champions of freedom are backing a law that has clearly demonstrated its ill effects in numerous cases. NXT falls into line with the multicult-ethnic power groups on this one, not with the champions of freedom. They are even worse than Turnbull. Send the NXT an email or letter and ask for a justification of their position, especially SA readers.

He came to the authorities’ attention a couple years ago by setting that house on fire after a domestic dispute, then filing a fraudulent \$75,000 insurance claim.

Another Rwandan genocidalist living in America was featured in *Adios, America*: Beatrice Munyenyezi, granted refugee status as an alleged victim of the genocide, even though she, too, had helped orchestrate it.

Munyenyenzi was safely living in Kenya when she applied for a refugee visa to America. The welfare is way better here. And, luckily for us, she had a “chronic medical condition” that required constant attention from a New Hampshire hospital.

Hesham Mohamed Hadayet arrived in the U.S. on a tourist visa, then immediately applied for “asylum” on the grounds that he was persecuted in Egypt—for being a member of an Islamic terrorist group.

Being a member of a noted terrorist group cannot be used to block you from coming to America, thanks to Barney Frank’s 1989 amendment to the Immigration and Naturalization Act, because liberals love this country so very, very much. Being a talented neurosurgeon from Switzerland, however, is disqualifying.”

Coulter goes on to point out that: “In 1960, 75 percent of the foreign-born in America were from Europe. Today only about 10 percent are. More than a third of all post-Teddy Kennedy Act immigrants—not just the wretched humanitarian cases—don’t even have a high school diploma.” It cannot end well.

Talking about this may or may not do anything, but it is certain that a ban on free speech will allow the sorts of problems discussed above, to spiral out of control. ***

There can be no forgiveness on this vital issue. There needs to be a campaign against them in their home base of sleepy South Australia to have them thrown out. One Nation needs to step up to the plate and give them a good political hiding. Start with what they have done on this issue. Get your file going now!

There needs to be some investigative journalism done about why a so-called watch dog/freedom group are actually supporting a law that gave us the Bolt, QUT and Bill Leak court cases. They need a public grilling over hot political coals for this, and then they need to be kicked out of office.

As I have said many times, multiculturalism is not consistent with democracy and liberal values such as free speech, and section 18 C is a symbol of the wrong turn that Australia has taken.

WHY UNCLE LEN IS AFRAID OF RUBBISH BINS, AND YOU SHOULD BE TOO!

by Len the Ex-Wheelie Bin Wizard

Once upon a time, I was Len the Cleaner, someone who knows all there was to know about rubbish bins. Someone who people showed respect to, by directly spitting in my face, not ignoring me. I was important enough to be abused.

Then my luxurious position was replaced by Asians. After that I developed, not a racial hatred for Asians, because I love the entire human race, but a hatred of bins. Yes bins. I blamed innate plastic for my downfall, and people thought that I was insane. And, I was, or indeed, am. But, that does not mean that I am necessarily wrong, for even the mad can be right about some things.

Consider: <https://www.sunshinecoastdaily.com.au/news/councils-are-rolling-out-wheelie-bins-bugged-rfid-3159192/>, and say that I am crazy for my phobia about bins. The article says, in sparkling prose:

“YOUR rubbish could be spying on you. As well as guarding your grime it might be disclosing your dirty habits. Your wheelie could be revealing all.

Residents in Sydney’s inner west were surprised this month when the local council began replacing municipal bins, many of which in perfect working order.

They were even more surprised when it was revealed there was a hidden addition to their shiny new bin.

And it was telling council about all their filthy habits.

Sydney’s Inner West council has begun rolling out 35,000 new wheelie bins. Just under the rim in the new bins, away from prying eyes, is a small circular device - a Radio Frequency Identification Device, or RFID, tag.

It’s part of the increasing march of the so-called ‘internet of things’ which sees everyday objects - from fridges to kettles, collect data on how they are used. Connected fridges can tell when products are expiring, connected bins could tell when you haven’t done enough recycling.

TOO WEAK TO HOLD A PEN IN THEIR PUDGY HANDS by James Reed

Today, digitally-literate children are growing up not knowing how to hold a pen correctly or even with the hand strength to hold a pen for any length of time: <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2016-08-03/touch-screens-impacting-on-kids-writing-skills-therapist-says/7683054>.

This means that they will be unable to cope with exams.

While many hope that kids could simply use laptops, this would make cheating-checking impossible for scrutiny in exam conditions, because the very purpose of an exam is to do work which can be scrutinised by those retired folk who like to throw their weight around.

Not to worry though, the economy will be fine because there is an infinite sea of migrants only too happy to come in and replace your children. Ask folk like so-called nationalist Jared Taylor:

Privacy expert David Vaile has told news.com.au the unwillingness of organisations to reveal exactly why they need all this extra information meant it was “quite reasonable for people to be concerned ... in the absence of transparency”.”

There you have it. Spying devices in bins. What next? Would it be crazy to think that the CIA is bugging everything, even bugs? Oh, that is old news: <http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2017-03-23/night skies-12-wikileaks-latest-leak-says-cia-bugging-factory-fresh-iphones-2008>. (I just threw my imaginary i-whatever under a passing truck.)

The article goes on to detail some of the moral/political implications of the surveillance push: “Writing for *The Conversation* in October, Associate Professor in Business Information Systems at the University of Sydney, Uri Gal, said the amount of data collected on individuals had serious implications for free will.

“Data surveillance has become increasingly invasive and its scope has broadened with the proliferation of the internet of things [that] expands surveillance to our homes, cars, and daily activities by harvesting data from smart and mobile devices.”

These “digital traces” were collected and sometimes sold or shared without the knowledge of the people whose data had been collected. This information could then be used to “nudge” people into certain behaviours - like recycling more.

“More of our behaviours will be evaluated and ‘corrected’. With this disciplinary drive becoming routine, there is a danger we will start to accept it as the norm, and pattern our own behaviour to comply with external expectations, to the detriment of our free will.” Just imagine what the deep State is up to that we don’t know about!

The migrants probably have higher IQs too, so they deserve the jobs in a libertarian free market meritocracy: <https://www.amren.com/commentary/2012/07/those-unmentionable-asians/>. And don’t complain: that’s racist, racist, more racist.

Am I joking? Australian big business has jumped onto the Social Justice Warrior wagon big time, first embracing the same-sex marriage campaign, and now the great diversity push: *The Weekend Australian*, March 25-26, 2017, pp, 1, 8.

Yes, diversity now is big money, or at least in the short term. However, it is probably the case that pursuing political correctness will in the longer term undermine the aggressive spirit of capital ruthlessness which originally built these firms, for now, these sorts of values are being hosed down.

HOW TO THINK ABOUT VLADIMIR PUTIN

Ref: <https://imprimis.hillsdale.edu/think-vladimir-putin/>

The following is adapted from a speech delivered by Christopher Caldwell on February 15, 2017, at a Hillsdale College National Leadership Seminar in Phoenix, Arizona.

Vladimir Putin is a powerful ideological symbol and a highly effective ideological litmus test. He is a hero to populist conservatives around the world and anathema to progressives. I don't want to compare him to our own president, but if you know enough about what a given American thinks of Putin, you can probably tell what he thinks of Donald Trump.

Let me stress at the outset that this is not going to be a talk about what to think about Putin, which is something you are all capable of making up your minds on, but rather how to think about him. And on this, there is one basic truth to remember, although it is often forgotten. Our globalist leaders may have deprecated sovereignty since the end of the Cold War, but that does not mean it has ceased for an instant to be the primary subject of politics...

...Vladimir Vladimirovich is not the president of a feminist NGO. He is not a transgender-rights activist. He is not an ombudsman appointed by the United Nations to make and deliver slide shows about green energy. He is the elected leader of Russia—a rugged, relatively poor, militarily powerful country that in recent years has been frequently humiliated, robbed, and misled. His job has been to protect his country's prerogatives and its sovereignty in an international system that seeks to erode sovereignty in general and views Russia's sovereignty in particular as a threat.

When Putin took power in the winter of 1999-2000, his country was defenseless. It was bankrupt. It was being carved up by its new kleptocratic elites, in collusion with its old imperial rivals, the Americans. Putin changed that. In the first decade of this century, he did what Kemal Atatürk had done in Turkey in the 1920s.

Out of a crumbling empire, he rescued a nation-state, and gave it coherence and purpose. He disciplined his country's plutocrats. He restored its military strength. And he refused, with ever blunter rhetoric, to accept for Russia a subservient role in an American-run world system drawn up by foreign politicians and business leaders. His voters credit him with having saved his country...

Read the full article here: <https://imprimis.hillsdale.edu/think-vladimir-putin/>

STEALING FROM A CHILD: THE INJUSTICE OF 'MARRIAGE EQUALITY'

http://www.connorcourt.com/catalog1/index.php?main_page=product_info&products_id=394&zenid=c6p071n9qperln27ucen1ifi77

OR ASK YOUR LOCAL LIBRARY TO SOURCE A COPY FOR YOU

STEALING FROM A CHILD

THE INJUSTICE OF
'MARRIAGE EQUALITY'

David van Gend

Australians are being asked to accept a breathtakingly subversive redefinition of marriage, parenting, family and gender, with consequences for core liberties and our children's education; yet when we raise concerns we are called 'bigots.'

ELECTRONIC VERSION OF ON TARGET

Subscribers to *On Target* are also now able to receive an electronic version via email.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

email: hub@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Monday and Tuesday 09.30am - 3.00pm

BEQUESTS

We have received some interest from those wishing to remember the League in their Will. Information providing details of the most appropriate way to do this is available from Head Office in Melbourne.

- ND



THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — alor.org

blog.alor.org

thecross-roads.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

Account details are:

A/c Title Victorian League of Rights

BSB 083-004

A/c No. 51-511-5296

or by cheques directed to:

'Victorian League of Rights'

or on the Veritasbooks.com.au website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

"On Target" is published by Australian League of Rights

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001.

Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

email: hub@alor.org

Head Office Hours - Monday and Tuesday 09.30am - 3.00pm

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Victoria

South Australia: Heritage Book-mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Ph:08 7123 7131 **email:** heritagebooks@adam.com.au