



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 53 No. 47

1<sup>st</sup> December 2017

## IN THIS ISSUE

Malcolm Turnbull dined with wealthy Chinese donor days after company gave \$40k to Queensland LNP	1
Smith's Rhodesia and Mugabe's Zimbabwe by Nigel Jackson	2
China's Hand in Coup Against Mugabe - Where's the Left? by Andrew Bolt	3
Accept Gender Agenda, or Else By Peter West	3
Targets for This Week	4

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: Australia: Silent Invasion Making Us a Chinese Puppet State**

By James Reed

Look, I have been writing about this topic of the Chinese colonisation of Australia for so long, my fingers are almost worn to the bone. Here is what the *New York Times* has to say:

<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/20/world/australia/china-australia-book-influence.html?referer=>

“The book was already being promoted as an explosive exposé of Chinese influence infiltrating the highest levels of Australian politics and media. But then, months before it was set to hit bookstore shelves, its publisher postponed the release, saying it was worried about lawsuits.

The decision this month to delay the book, “Silent Invasion: How China Is Turning Australia into a Puppet State,” has set off a national uproar, highlighting the tensions between Australia’s growing economic dependence on China and its fears of falling under the political control of the rising Asian superpower.

Critics have drawn parallels to decisions this year by high-profile academic publishers in Europe to withhold articles from readers in China that might anger the Communist Party.

But the case has struck a particularly sensitive nerve in Australia, where the book’s delay is the latest in a series of incidents that have raised concerns about what many here see as the threat from China to freedom of expression.”

Well, delaying the book basically shows the fear of China that is now universal in Australia. And, again, it all happened because good men did nothing, or very little, leaving things until the hope of successful opposition is next to nothing.

\*\*\*

### MALCOLM TURNBULL DINED WITH WEALTHY CHINESE DONOR DAYS AFTER COMPANY GAVE \$40K TO QUEENSLAND LNP

**Ref:** [http://www.abc.net.au/news/2017-11-24/malcolm-turnbull-dined-with-liu-xiaodong-after-\\$40k-donation-lnp/9187476](http://www.abc.net.au/news/2017-11-24/malcolm-turnbull-dined-with-liu-xiaodong-after-$40k-donation-lnp/9187476)

Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull had an exclusive dinner with a wealthy Chinese businessman four days after one of his companies donated \$40,000 to the Queensland Liberal National Party. The revelation comes amid debate about Chinese companies trying to exert influence on the nation’s political class through financial donations and lobbying, and on the eve of the Queensland election.

The businessman, Liu Xiaodong, is one of three directors of Gold Coast-based company Australian Energy Windfarm (AEW), which describes itself as “China’s responsible wind power supplier”.


Mr Xiaodong is also the chairman of China-based renewable energy giant Heilongjiang Ainaju Daianli Co Ltd, which owns 100 per cent of AEW and is pursuing major investment opportunities in Queensland.

#### Also read: Adani’s Carmichael mine may be funded by Chinese company

ADANI is reportedly close to getting finance from China for its Queensland coal mine but it could be at the cost of Aussie jobs.

<http://www.news.com.au/finance/business/mining/adanis-carmichael-mine-may-be-funded-by-chinese-company/news-story/b284cfcd29857a80701fdcb44631a6de>

ADANI denies it has abandoned plans to seek a taxpayer-funded loan for a rail link associated with its Queensland coal mine. An ABC report has cited an unnamed Adani Mining director as saying the company is close to securing a deal with Chinese enterprises and export credit agencies to fund both the mine and the rail link... \*\*\*



## SMITH'S RHODESIA AND MUGABE'S ZIMBABWE by Nigel Jackson

In 1964, when I was working as an inexperienced D-grade reporter for *The Sydney Morning Herald*, I made the acquaintance of a newcomer named John McFlinn, who had just been appointed a courts reporter. He was a lonely and sad-looking middle-aged man for whom I soon felt sympathy, after hearing his personal story.

Originally a New Zealand journalist, he had recently spent ten years, he told me, on the staff of a Southern Rhodesian newspaper, but had resigned and returned to his native land because his wife, suffering from terminal cancer, had wished to die among her relatives. After her death, he had come to Sydney to try to start a new life.

It was thanks to John that I first became interested in Southern Rhodesia, which was already, a year and a half before the Unilateral Declaration of Independence that created Rhodesia, a 'hot' topic in our newspapers.

I remember well having a drink somewhere with him and asking him about the emerging Rhodesia Front party and its espousal of full independence from Britain. Speaking of its then leader (I can't recall whether this was Winston Field or Ian Smith), John told me that he had met him personally as well as watching him in his public activities. 'He is as trustworthy a man as I have ever met,' he said. From that time on, partly because of my Anglophile perspective, I became a supporter of the Rhodesian independence project, and began to read widely on the subject.

UDI happened on 11th November 1965. At that time I was teaching at Golden Square High School, a government secondary school near Bendigo in Victoria. To each of my classes that day I pointed out an interesting fact that, while the morning papers were filled with denunciations of UDI by local and foreign politicians and celebrities, one could not actually read the full text of it for oneself in any of these papers. It was already apparent to me that there was immense hostility to Rhodesia behind the scenes and that it was going to be hard for the public to penetrate to the truth of the situation.

Opponents of Rhodesian independence presented the matter as a simple and obvious case of racial injustice – a small white minority, living selfishly off the fat of the land, holding subject the large African majority. For these people, both the 'true believers' (who were prejudiced and idealistic fools) and the ruthless political manipulators, the controversy was defined as a noble crusade against racism. I, however, viewed it as a wicked and hypocritical assault on a small group of fellow-Britons whose genuinely enlightened and fair-minded rule of their nation was in the real interest of almost all Rhodesians, of whatever ethnicity. I felt nothing but contempt for Harold Wilson and his Labour government in Britain when the affair was handed over

to the jurisdiction of the United Nations Organisation, whose duplicity in African affairs had already been fully reported by Prince Michael Sturdza, strong anti-communist and former foreign minister for Rumania, in his 1963 pamphlet *World Government and International Assassination*, published by American Opinion.

In Melbourne, immediately after UDI, I met the man first appointed by the Rhodesian Government to represent it, unofficially, in Australia. To my amazement, early in our brief talk, he faced away from me towards the window and spouted out some terrible racial tirade about 'keeping the blacks down' and 'using an iron hand.' I did not know whether he was serious or fooling in order to test me out. However, I instantly distrusted him, as I knew enough about Rhodesia to know his views would have been anathema to Smith and his colleagues. However, this man was very soon sacked and replaced by the elderly T. P. Cresswell-George, a thorough gentleman of reasonable and moderate views.

From then on, as a member of the Rhodesia-Australia Friendship Association run by ex-South African Grace Alexander, a most charming lady of the old school, I kept in touch with Rhodesia's Australian representatives, while endeavouring to publicise the fledgling nation's case in articles and letters to the press.

*The Age* was particularly generous in publishing my letters, but in its anti-Rhodesia editorials took virtually no notice of my arguments at all. Cresswell-George was eventually followed by Keith Chalmers (a born diplomat of ineffable charm) as Rhodesian Information Officer and later by Roy van der Spuy, a tough-minded man who took the helm as the Rhodesian cause was gradually failing. I remember talking to him one time and saying that, in my opinion, the moment the very dubious Spinoza coup occurred in Portugal in 1974, thus toppling the post-Salazar traditionalist leader Marcello Caetano, the countries of Southern Africa, led by South Africa, should have placed themselves on a war footing, garrisoned Angola and Mozambique and declared their determination to resist any bogus 'African revolution', such as could only succeed with foreign aid and manpower. Van der Spuy looked at me sadly, for by then it was too late. I have always wondered whether my proposal was realistic or not, and what he thought of it.

One of the striking aspects of my thirteen year struggle to aid Rhodesia, was trying to counter the idealistic folly of many fellow-Christians in supporting the campaign to 'bring Smith down'. Now I observe the same sort of stupidity among Christians supporting 'constitutional recognition' for our Aboriginals and Torres Straits Islanders, once again led by various church leaders.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page) The danger to the welfare of Australians generally of this thoroughly unjustifiable campaign is simply ignored, amidst various outbursts of emotional special pleading. It does not seem to occur to these bleeding hearts that separatist moves of any kind, such as that recently promoted by the Uluru Statement from the Heart, could easily lead to the partition of Australia, this in turn leading to a build-up of Chinese communist influence in the newly constituted Aboriginal nation, such as has now occurred in Zimbabwe.

Why bring all this back to mind? It is because the 39 year-long tyranny of Robert Mugabe has come to an end. It has all turned out exactly as we defenders of Rhodesia predicted. We knew in the mid-seventies that Mugabe, Joshua Nkomo and other self-appointed African leaders and 'freedom fighters' were really communist-supported thugs whose cruel and ruthless treatment of fellow-Africans who opposed them gave clear warning of what sort of misrule would occur if they ever got control of the nation.

Under Mugabe not only many whites but large numbers of blacks were treated abominably; yet there was no worldwide campaign, backed by the UNO, to free them from the new tyranny.

## **CHINA'S HAND IN COUP AGAINST MUGABE. WHERE'S THE LEFT? by Andrew Bolt**

*Herald Sun*, November 16, 2017

An army coup has toppled Zimbabwean dictator Robert Mugabe, and that's good.

But the army chief first went to China to talk to its defence boss, and that suggests just how tight China's control over Zimbabwe now is.

That is surely one of the signs that the anti-Smith campaign was never genuine, but was motivated by interests and policies undeclared at the time, and perhaps still unacknowledged, because they could never have gained the widespread public support needed to carry out the execution of Rhodesia.

We now know that the governments of Rhodesia and South Africa at that time contained traitors in high places white-anting their efforts to protect their European-led political orders. Some of these persons had no doubt been suborned by powerful financial interests outside the region; others were perhaps simply left-wing or Marxist fanatics.

Mugabe has gone. It may be long, however, before any political order rises up in Zimbabwe as equitable and honourable as that under Ian Smith. In the meantime it is to be hoped that some brave and gifted historian will undertake the massive task of journalistic research that is necessary to uncover what the full truth is about the tragedy of Rhodesia (and South Africa and the Portuguese overseas provinces of Mozambique and Angola).

*Nigel Jackson is a Melbourne writer.*

But where's the Left protesting against this interference, like they would if this were the CIA?

**Continue reading...**

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/blogs/andrew-bolt/chinas-hand-in-coup-against-mugabe-wheres-the-left/news-story/6e95143ac0af0e0043f12e4c861e6846>

**Also Read: 'The Elite'- Rhodesian SAS**

<https://www.amazon.com/Elite-Story-Rhodesian-Special-Service/dp/0620074213> \*\*\*

## **ACCEPT GENDER AGENDA, OR ELSE By Peter West**

Thanks to everybody who sent a message inquiring about the health of my mother Vera, after she had collapsed following the same sex marriage apocalypse. She is doing well now, and soon may regain her will to write. She has not said anything yet suitable for publication, such is her level of disgust with the Australian sheeple.

Well, look at what is likely to come our way, given events in Canada, section 18 C on steroids:

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/breaking-canada-passes-radical-law-forcing-gender-theory-acceptance>

"Canada's Senate passed the Justin Trudeau Liberals' transgender rights bill unamended this afternoon by a vote of 67 to 11, with three abstentions.

The bill adds "gender expression" and "gender identity" to Canada's Human Rights Code and to the Criminal Code's hate crime section. With the Senate clearing the bill with no amendments, it requires only royal assent in the House of Commons to become law. Critics warn that under Bill C-16, Canadians who deny gender theory could be charged with hate crimes,

fined, jailed, and compelled to undergo anti-bias training.

Foremost among these critics is University of Toronto psychology professor Dr. Jordan Peterson, who along with lawyer D. Jared Brown, told the Senate committee that Bill C-16 is an unprecedented threat to freedom of expression and codifies a spurious ideology of gender identity in law.

Peterson was invited to the committee by Manitoba Senator Don Plett, who voted against the bill.

Plett unsuccessfully proposed amending Bill C-16 to add: "For greater certainty, nothing in this Act requires the use of a particular word or expression that corresponds to the gender identity or expression of any person."

Expect this sort of thing to be done here in Australia, certainly under the next Labor government, now that the sheeple have given the political class the mandate to really "go to town" on this. Expect things like this:

<http://canadafreepress.com/article/watch-student-radio-hosts-yanked-from-air-suspended-after-using-the-word-tr#> \*\*\*

## TARGETS FOR THIS WEEK

Working together to achieve a common aim can sometimes bring together groups from very diverse backgrounds. I recall a very conservative friend who opposed the 'Multi Function Polis' - an out-of-this-world city planned for the outskirts of Adelaide several decades ago. This friend joined the effort to stop the project and he was amazed to discover the other supporters ranged from church leaders to communists.

The plan never went ahead which demonstrated how people can successfully work together for a common aim, despite their very different views on other matters. It is from this example that I commend to you, the message below distributed by Get-Up. I would not agree with much of their information but they are 'spot on' with the case to stop the TPP. Let us give credit where it is due.

The TPP will proceed unless you ring or write to your MP and Senators, urging them to keep Australia out of the conglomerate which has many negative features.

KG

\*\*\*\*\*

### WE THOUGHT IT WAS DEAD. BUT NOW IT'S BACK

Malcolm Turnbull wants to revive the Trans-Pacific Partnership – the dirty trade deal that'd give massive multinational corporations the power to sue our government over laws that protect our health and environment.<sup>1</sup>

Two weeks ago – on the sidelines of an international conference – trade delegates met behind closed doors and struck a deal to bring the TPP back to life.<sup>2</sup> And word is this new "Zombie TPP" could be locked-in before the end of the year.<sup>3</sup>

The Turnbull Government is under pressure from big business and desperate to land a deal. But voters hate the TPP,<sup>4</sup> so Turnbull's only option is to sneak it through behind the public's back.

So we've put together an informative new video explaining exactly why the Zombie TPP would be a disaster for Australia – and how we can work together to stop it in its tracks. But to make sure the video gets in front of as many people as possible we need to share it far and wide.

Let's throw a spanner in Turnbull's TPP plan. Help expose this blatant corporate power grab by sharing our snappy explainer video with your friends right now.

The first TPP died when the US pulled out back in 2016. But now the remaining nations – led by Australia – are pushing ahead with the deal regardless.

Although provisions around copyright and pharmaceuticals have been put on hold, the worst part of the deal is still in place: the Investor-State Dispute Settlement clause, or ISDS, that lets big multinationals

sue our elected governments when environmental protections and health policy impact their profit margins.

It's a blatant corporate power grab. And whenever it's been tried overseas, it has ended in disaster.

When Canada banned a harmful fuel additive, the corporation that makes the chemical sued under ISDS. In the end, the government had to overturn the ban and pay the corporation millions of dollars in so-called "compensation".<sup>5</sup>

And now the Turnbull Government wants to sign us up to the same system. But they know full well that they can only get away with it by keeping the public in the dark. They're desperate to keep the details of the deal secret, so it's our job to shine a light – by getting our informative video in front of as many people as possible.

Can you spread the word and help expose this dangerous corporate power grab? Share the video with your friends and family today.

Let's make some noise,

*Django, Ruby and Ale, for the GetUp team*


**Video here:** <https://www.getup.org.au/campaigns/tpp/the-tpp-is-back/zombie-tpp-it-s-back?t=jyL7ofeAW>

#### References:

- [1] The Trans-Pacific Partnership is bad for Australia, *Sydney Morning Herald*, 29 June 2015
- [2] TPP breakthrough made at APEC, Sky News, 11 November 2017
- [3] Japan urges TPP II to sign trade pact before domestic elections interfere, *Japan Times*, 18 November 2017
- [4] The TPP wasn't killed by Donald Trump – our protests worked, *The Guardian*, 28 November 2016
- [5] The Canary in the Coal Mine, Dr Kyla Tienhaara, 14 October 2016

\*\*\*

### IDEAL CHRIST-MASS GIFTS NATIONAL WEEKEND 2017 DVDS



Dr Alan Moran: WHY IS OUR ELECTRICITY SO EXPENSIVE?

Don Auchterlonie: AGRICULTURE - WHICH WAY FORWARD?

Peter Davis: CELEBRATING 100 YEARS OF THE EAST-WEST RAILWAY

The 3 DVDs of the 2017 **National Weekend Seminar and Actionist Conference** direct from Doug Holmes  
Ph. 08 8289 0049 - M 0421 925 557 - \$50 posted.