



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 54 No. 45

16th November 2018

IN THIS ISSUE

From Bernard Gaynor's Desk (Abridged)	1
Migration is Not a Human Right By Richard Miller	2
The Feminist Revolt Against Civilisation By Mrs Vera West	3
Death of Professor Robert Faurisson	4

THOUGHT OF THE WEEK: "Only that is good for a nation which comes from its own core and from its own seed, without aping another. For what is beneficial to one people at a certain historical stage, may perhaps show itself as poison to another. All attempts to introduce foreign novelty to a people in whom a need for the same is not as deep within its heart are foolish, and all devices with revolutionary intention are without success; for they are without God, who holds himself aloof from such blunderings."

Goethe – *Conversations with Eckerman*, Jan. 4, 1824.

FROM BERNARD GAYNOR'S DESK (Abridged)

Tomorrow will be a critical day. The New South Wales District Court will hear argument as to whether Gary Burns' defamation action against me is an abuse of process. I believe I have a very strong case. I am just not sure that the system works anymore...In the last six years I have been subjected to more than 80 taxpayer-funded investigations, inquiries and court cases about my views on marriage, family and morality... The apparatus of state is being mercilessly geared up to silence and destroy those prepared to express any support for a concept of natural law and natural family...I estimate that more than \$5 million of taxpayers' money – your money – has been used in the attempt to destroy me. I have seen some of the bills floating around. It is certain that millions have been spent. Twelve months ago more than 40 of the most senior barristers and lawyers in Australia – sent by every state apart from South Australia – gathered in the High Court to argue against me. The cost for those two days alone would be close to \$500,000. Yet they had been working on that case for approximately six months before the hearing. Some had beavered away on it for a year and a half...(Our) direct legal costs incurred since mid-2014 are now more than \$360,000. I could not have met these costs without your assistance...I will return to Sydney next Tuesday as well. A directions hearing will be held in my matter against the New South Wales Anti-Discrimination Board, the New South Wales Civil and Administrative Tribunal, the New South Wales Local Court and Gary Burns. I am seeking orders, in line with the ruling of the High Court of Australia earlier this year, prohibiting them from continuing to process anti-discrimination complaints against me.

*Bernard Gaynor; Christus Rex! ****

NOW WE ARE IN THE TPP By Peter West

Who does not have a sense of battle fatigue and bone deep weariness, from the normie sheeple just not taking a stand, doing nothing, as they go about their grazing? I am thinking of the people we meet in the street, nice enough, but lacking in the basic survival instinct of seeking protection against wolves, and having a child-like faith that the government will protect them, when in reality, the government serves the interests of the capitalist super-class and the Dark Lords behind even them.

"Australia follows Canada, Japan, Mexico, New Zealand and Singapore in ratifying the TPP, which also involves Brunei Darussalam, Chile, Malaysia, Peru and Vietnam. The deal almost fell over when the United States pulled out, but Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe and then-prime minister Malcolm Turnbull resurrected it. 'This is a great and enduring achievement for free trade in the face of a rising tide of protectionism in many countries,' Mr Turnbull tweeted. 'Thanks especially to @AbeShinzo - we resolved to get this deal done in Sydney nearly two years ago as we walked around the cliffs at South Head.' Labor backed the legislation for the TPP despite raising concerns it needed stronger labour market testing and the treaty could allow foreign companies to sue the government. The opposition was forced to defend its support for the agreement, which sparked internal tensions and angered the union movement." ***

MIGRATION IS NOT A HUMAN RIGHT By Richard Miller

With the evil globalist conspiratorial United Nations seeking to destroy Western civilisation with its migration pact.

<https://www.rt.com/news/442805-austria-rejects-un-migration-pact/>

‘Austria has announced that it would back out of a UN pact on international cooperation on migration, arguing that the document is inadequate for managing global migration flows and could undermine Austria’s sovereignty. “Austria will not join the UN migration pact,” Austria’s conservative chancellor Sebastian Kurz announced, following a government meeting. “We view some points of the migration pact very critically, such as the mixing up of seeking protection with labor migration.” Officially known as the ‘Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration’, the document has been negotiated over two years and the draft was tentatively approved by all the UN member states –except for the US– in July. Washington withdrew from the talks on the agreement last year. The pact aims at “enhancing cooperation on international migration in all its dimensions.” The non-binding agreement is expected to create principles for dealing with refugees and migrants.

The document is scheduled to be adopted at a UN conference in the Moroccan city of Marrakech in December. However, Vienna said it will not send its representative to Morocco and will abstain during the UN vote on the pact at the UN General Assembly next September, issuing a clarification statement instead. The pact, although non-binding, might still create “new rights and entitlements for migrants,” the Austrian government said, adding that it might “water down” the distinction between legal and illegal migrants. Vienna warned in particular that the adoption of the pact might threaten the nation’s sovereignty and provoke a “massive resettlement of people.” The Austrian government previously called the text of the document “too vague,” adding that “it leaves important questions unanswered”.

Australia needs to get some political guts and for once in recent existence stand up to the UN, and tell them politely: thank you very much for your accelerated white genocide plan, but things are going according to the general plan for our complete annihilation swimmingly well without it. ***

THE US ELECTION OF DEMOGRAPHIC REPLACEMENT: AGE OF TRIBALISM DAWNS By Charles Taylor

The US elections went basically as predicted, with the Democrats gaining the House, but the Trump Republicans keeping a firm grip on the all-important Senate, which unlike the Senate in Australia, has real teeth. This is therefore, overall a victory for Trump, but also a clear wakeup call, as even the neo-cons are saying that the Democrat victories were because of changing US demographics, that is, that the country is becoming majority non-white, and quicker than academics predicted:

<https://www.breitbart.com/immigration/2018/11/06/>

[illegal-migrants-boast-of-aiding-democrats-campaigns/](#)

@BillKristol I’ve always disliked the phrase “demography is destiny,” as it seems to minimize the capacity for deliberation and self-government, for reflection and choice. But looking at tonight’s results in detail, one has to say that today, in America, demography sure seems to be destiny.”

The illegals were even boasting of illegally helping the democrats in the election. This is an open invasion. Imagine doing this to a strong nation like China. Try invading their space. The Democrats delivered a bewildering array of diversity in their elected members, with only blind/disabled not filling spaces.

Every majority-minority cool group is there, and their policies are largely overt communism, some with open

white racism, but that doesn’t matter today.

Trump did more for Blacks, in terms of employment than Obama, but this did not translate into votes, where he obtained less than one in ten Black votes. Again, people of colour identified with the Democrat party, and voted tribally.

Thus, there were big Senate gains by Trump, a culling of the conservo-cucks, but a rapid rise of the radical diverse Left in the Democrats. The country has been polarized as never before, as a prelude to inevitable civil, or uncivil war.

In summary, race and ethnicity, not values and culture, are shaping human destiny. Benjamin Disraeli, Britain’s first Jewish prime minister in his book *Tancred* (1868), wrote: “All is race – there is no other truth.” (p.106) And, in his book *Endymion*, he wrote: “No man will treat with indifference the principle of race. It is the key to history and why history is so often confused is that it has been written by men who were ignorant of this principle and all the knowledge it involves. ... Language and religion [i.e. culture] do not make a race – there is only one thing which makes a race, and that is blood.” (pp. 249-250).

Nordic (people of Northern European descent) need to learn this lesson soon, or they are set to disappear as a race from existence.

THE FEMINIST REVOLT AGAINST CIVILISATION By Mrs Vera West

The boys referred me to an article reviewing US academic Stephen Baskerville's book, *The New Politics of Sex*, (Angelico Press, 2017) by F. Roger Devlin, "The Criminalization of masculinity." This is a good overview of the standard evils that feminism has delivered, and how the sexual revolution has harmed men, and led to the degradation of sex. But what caught my eye was the move by feminists to make heterosexual relations sex into rape, something the radical feminists in the 1970s only dreamt of. Here is what is happening on US university campuses:

<https://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2018/11/07/the-criminalization-of-masculinity/>

"For decades, feminists have assiduously promoted the lie that one woman in four (sometimes five) is raped while attending university. "Reputable scholars who investigate [such] claims," writes Baskerville, "readily conclude that it is not simply exaggerated but a hoax." At most, a lot of women, unprotected by traditional behavioral expectations, are learning the hard way that fornication is not the path to happiness. When such cases were brought before ordinary courts of law, they quickly got thrown out. So universities began, under feminist pressure, to establish internal procedures to handle accusations of sexual misconduct. These do not have to abide by the principles that govern ordinary courts of law, notably the presumption of innocence. One attorney cited by Baskerville describes the result as a disciplinary procedure where students nearly always lack lawyers, no legally trained judge oversees the process, testimony is not under oath, hearsay is freely considered, relevant evidence or even proper notice of the charges may not be given to both parties, students may be forced to incriminate themselves, and whatever "jury" is empaneled may not be of one's peers. Such travesties of judicial procedure are now legally mandated at all colleges which receive federal funding, i.e., at nearly all of them. During the Obama presidency, Assistant Secretary of Education for civil rights Russlynn Ali even issued a directive to university officials demanding that campus tribunals adopt a lower standard of proof for cases of sexual misconduct than required by ordinary courts of law. This directive, by the way, included no period for public notice, comment, and possible amendment, as legally required for federal regulations: "it was simply an arbitrary order issued from the pen of a functionary."

Since rape (as traditionally understood) is such a serious crime, convictions have always required proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Now campus kangaroo courts are convicting men on the basis of a preponderance of evidence standard, the lowest standard recognized by law. As Baskerville points out, the only possible explanation for this change is

that the authorities want not to punish more actual rapists, but to secure more guilty verdicts against men. Why would an Assistant Secretary of Education for civil rights be pronouncing on how criminal cases are adjudged? Because American courts have ruled that rape and sexual assault are forms of discrimination. Such is the hold of liberal ideology over the legal minds of America that judges are apparently no longer able to imagine any other form of wrongdoing. So officially, rape is now wrong because it "discriminates against" women. Some think the present system does not go far enough. Colorado Congressman Jared Polis advocates expelling all male students accused of sexual assault: "If there's ten people who have been accused and under a reasonable likelihood standard maybe one or two did it, it seems better to get rid of all ten people."

Indeed, feminist law professor Catherine MacKinnon does not think consent is a meaningful concept, and "has repeatedly suggested that virtually all heterosexual intercourse amounts to rape." She is not a fringe figure; for many years, she was the single most cited feminist "scholar" in the world, and has repeatedly been called upon to advise the governments of individual states and Canadian provinces. Susan Brownmiller, author of the standard feminist text on rape, called rape "a conscious process of intimidation by which all men keep all women in a state of fear." On this view, whether a particular man is innocent or guilty of a particular act is not especially important; even the defendant who is innocent in a particular case is part of the same male conspiracy against women. As Baskerville notes, such assigning of collective guilt to categories of people is a typical mark of totalitarian regimes. It justifies us in considering feminism part of the larger phenomenon that has been called "Cultural Marxism."

The madness has long since spread beyond university campuses. Washington state has formally shifted the burden of proof in all rape trials to the defendant. In North Carolina, naming the person accused along with the time and place is sufficient to secure a rape conviction. Baskerville found one case in Texas where police were ordered to hide exculpatory evidence. Rape accusers remain anonymous, but the accused do not, even after the accusation is demonstrated to be false. The past sexual history of the accuser is not admissible as evidence, but that of the accused is. Accusers are exempt from polygraph tests, but not the accused. Even a history of false accusations is not admissible."

This is a clear example of how what begins as academic nonsense, metastasizes, to invade and destroy the rest of society.

DEATH OF PROFESSOR ROBERT FAURISSON

**True Hero - Thank you, Professor, for your noble life.
Rest now, rest after such ignoble strife.**

On October 21 there died in France one of the few real heroes that our poor modern world could still boast of, Professor Robert Faurisson, in Vichy, France. He was a real hero because in a world of lies he stood with unfailing courage and scrupulous accuracy for truth, on a matter of decisive importance for all mankind. He was repaid with the loss of his job, with the suffering of his family, with ten personal and physical attacks one of which left him for dead, with isolation in his profession and with a relentless series of judicial attacks on the part of his bitter enemies, and yet he maintained towards them a constant courtesy and respect. This way of life he maintained for more than 40 years, never wavering in his service of the truth.

He died on the field of battle, just after returning home from giving one last public conference which was due to be his swansong, in Shepperton, England, the town of his birth nearly ninety years ago. There he spoke with a friend from Italy, who has left us this account of their conversation: "The Professor was as clear-sighted, as balanced and unbowed as ever, but he was tired, very tired, so frail as to seem almost transparent, with the feeling that his task was over. Indeed this super-brave man had achieved everything he was meant to achieve." And the friend continues, "He leaves behind him an immense contribution to the Revisionist cause [. . .] Enemies filled with hate sought to stop him from writing, from living, but he always stood up again, not deflecting by a millimetre from his fearless pursuit of the truth."Now the people who hold world-wide power today over politics and the media are people who want the godless New World Order, and they fully understand George Orwell. Therefore they have fabricated a hugely false version of World War Two history to go with a complete fabricated religion to replace Christianity. Now if truth did not matter, and if Christianity did not matter, as many people today think, then they should have no problem with the New World Order taking over, but they will in fact suffer a worldwide tyranny as a result, a prelude to the Antichrist. However Solzhenitsyn, enlightened by Russia's horrendous 72 years of suffering under godless Communism, warned against building a nation, a continent or a world on lies. Likewise Professor Faurisson had a horror of people building on lies, and he gave his life to re-establishing the truth. The persecution that he underwent for tens of years for telling the truth was the proof from his miserable enemies of the importance and efficacy of what he was doing.

Nor did he promise himself any Heavenly reward for his dedication to truth, because he professed himself to be an atheist. Yet he loved children, was glad of blessings and never repudiated them. Now, as a sister of

his pointed out, after going in front of a series of unjust judges who have nearly all buckled under to the New World Order, he has gone in front of the Supreme Just Judge, Our divine Lord Himself. How will Our Lord have judged him? Two things are certain: one, nothing in all the rest of his life will have been remotely as important as that judgment, and two, he merited greatly by men, but that is not the same thing as to merit by God. May he at the very last moment have been given a special grace of conversion, not impossible for God. Mt XXI, 28–29 gives us more than the right to hope and to pray for the eternal salvation of his soul.

- Kyrie Eleison.

He was surely the greatest of all the historical revisionists and Holocaust dissidents of his time! Professor Faurisson died of a heart attack at the age of eighty-nine on 21 October while returning to his home in Vichy, France after a trip to England.

He has left an extraordinary amount of detailed analysis of the currently promoted history of 'the Holocaust' and showed exemplary bravery in continuing to publish what he believed is the truth in the face of a number of brutal physical attacks and much legal persecution in his homeland of France.

A former university professor, specializing in language study and document analysis, he habitually wrote in a calm and carefully argued manner, supporting his views with evidence, much of which he had discovered by personal research at Auschwitz and elsewhere. In latter years a note of exasperation and bitterness at times entered his commentary, which is not surprising in view of the way he was treated by the intellectual establishment in France and elsewhere. There was an uncompromising, stubborn aspect to his nature, including his staunch atheism; but, as one who corresponded with him for many years, I found him to be courteous, kind and considerate, no matter if differences of worldview emerged. He had a European sensibility and was a cultured and insightful person. I am grateful to him personally for having provided the only detailed criticism of my 1994 book *The Case for David Irving*. He advised me of fifteen or so errors, but pointed out that all were as a result of my trusting published accounts which themselves were in error.

It is likely that mainstream obituaries will denounce him as an anti-Semite. He was certainly a determined opponent of Jewish elites in France and elsewhere, but not out of malice or hatred. Rather, like Kevin McDonald and other critics of these elites, he feared that they were damaging the welfare of his own people and needed to be confronted, whatever the cost. It is to be hoped that sooner or later a comprehensive and fair-minded study of his life and work will be published.

- Nigel Jackson