A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 55 No. 13 **5th April 2019**

IN THIS ISSUE

THOUGHT OF THE WEEK: Only today, Prime Minister Scott Morrison has announced he will be preferencing the Labour Party. And Bill Shorten has done a deal to give Labor's preferences to the Liberals. This is why we have free trade deals that are destroying industries and manufacturing and bringing in thousands of foreign workers under the same deals. It's why Australia has the highest immigration rates in the developed world. It's why the UN are making decisions on Australia's refugee intake, freedom of speech and opinion, our water and our electricity, which both labour and the liberals support. - Pauline Hanson: Australia's first case of severe Political Interference from a Foreign Government - https://www.onenation.org.au/australias-first-case-of-severe-political-interference-from-a-foreign-government-the-full-response/

TIM BLAIR, *THE DAILY TELEGRAPH* March 23, 2019

Attention, students. Because so many of you missed Friday's classes, what with your little climate party and all, today I'm assigning extra work. Let's begin with mathematics. 558,400,000 is a really big number. Can anyone here tell me what it might represent? No? Well, that's the amount in tonnes of carbon dioxide that Australia emitted last year.

I'll just pause here for a minute until Samantha stops crying. By the way, Samantha, your sign at the climate rally needed a possessive apostrophe and "planet" was spelled incorrectly, so I'm putting you back in remedial English again.

Where were we? Oh, yes. 558,400,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide. Let's see how we can reduce that number. Ban coal mining? That'll knock off a big chunk. Ban petrol-powered vehicles? Good call. That's another slab of emissions gone. Does the class believe we should ban all mining? You do. Interesting, nickel or cadmium. Good luck getting to school in electric cars without those. And there'll be no more steel wind turbines once the iron ore mines are closed. It's just the price we'll have to pay, I suppose.

Even with all those bans, however, Australia will still be churning out carbon dioxide by the magical solar-powered truckload. Cuts need to go much further. More people means more human activity which means more carbon dioxide, so let's permanently ban immigration. Is the class agreed? Hmmm. You're not quite so enthusiastic about that one. Come on, students. Sacrifices must be made.

Speaking of which, how many of you have grandparents? Not any more you don't. And Samantha is crying again. Can someone please take her to the school safe space and let her "process some emotions", or whatever the hell it is you kids do in there? Thank you.

Sing along with Kim Carnes: "All the world knows of her charms/She's got/Stop Adani arms" Who agrees we need to simplify our lives in order to reduce emissions? Returning to earlier times, when emissions were much lower, might help save our earth. So goodbye to air travel, the internet and your cell phones. People got by without them in the past and they'll survive without them in our sustainable future.

Still, those emissions will be way too high. Just for fun, let's ban Australia and see what happens. All factories, houses, streets, farms – gone. All people gone. Every atom of human presence on this land mass, completely erased. At that point we'll have finally cut our emissions to nothing. We've subtracted an annual 558,400,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Congratulations, children. By eliminating Australia, you've just reduced the world's yearly generation of carbon dioxide from 37,100,000,000 tonnes to just … 36,541,600,000 tonnes. Still, every tiny reduction helps, right? *(continued on next page)*

(continued from previous page) Maybe not. Let's have a quick geography lesson. Tyler, please point out China on this map. No; that's Luxembourg. China is a bit bigger. Try over here. There you go. Here's the thing about China. How long will it take for China to produce Australia? One year? Two years? Five years? Not quite.

Start the carbon dioxide clock on China right now, and that one enormous nation will have matched our annual output by April 5.

China adds a whole Australia to the global emissions total every twenty days. For that matter, China will have added another 1,190,953 tonnes by the end of this one-hour class. Even a tiny increase in China's output puts Australia in the shade.

Various experts last year estimated that China was on course for a five per cent carbon dioxide boost. This would mean an extra 521,637,550 tonnes – or basically what Australia generates. Our total is the same as China's gentle upswing. So maybe your protest was in the wrong country.

Here's another assignment: write letters to the Chinese government demanding it stops dragging people out of poverty.

Make sure you include your full name and address, because the Chinese government is kind of big on keeping records.

Send a photograph of yourself standing in front of your parents' house.

You might repeat this process in India. In fact, rather than going to Europe for your next big family holiday, prevail upon your parents to visit India instead.

The tiny village of Salaidih would be the perfect place to tell slum-dwelling residents they shouldn't have electricity. They'll probably thank you for it. Or they should, if they aren't stupid climate deniers.

Indian paupers must avoid making the same tragic affluence Can you imagine how terrible is would be for the earth if all of India's one billion-plus population owned cars and air-conditioners? It really doesn't bear thinking about.

One further assignment: tonight, locate a clean, green alternative source for $66 billion in exports. That's how much was raised last year by the Australian coal industry. Working it out won't be too much of a challenge, I'm sure. After all, you know science and stuff.

About half of your signs on Friday claimed you know more about all these things than does the Prime Minister. Show him how advanced your brains are by devising a brand-new multi-billion export bonanza.

Hey, look who's back! Feeling better, Samantha? That's nice. Feelings are the most important thing of all.

***

TIME FOR SA TO GET MORE OVER-POPULATION PROBLEMS By James Reed

Thanks to the editor in SA for this *Adelaide Now* article, for nobody in the eastern states thinks very much about South Australia. It is like the way Americans are oblivious to say, Australia itself.

Australia? Isn't that in Europe (thinking of Austria as it sounds similar).

Anyway, even given the small immigration cuts, done to hose down sustainability concerns about Sydney and Melbourne, South Australia's power elites are aggressively wanting a massive population increase, in the driest state in the driest continent on Earth, already with water problems, employment problems, you name it, real environmental and economic sustainability for sure.

> "More migrants will call South Australia home, with far greater ease, under a push to lure new arrivals away from big cities to the regions. Prime Minister Scott Morrison will on Wednesday unveil a population strategy that will slash Australia's possible migrant intake from 190,000 to 160,000.
>
> But the flipside will see scores of migrants living and working in key regions and smaller cities, including Adelaide and SA. Mr Marshall has called population growth his "No.1 priority" since coming to power. The plan reads like the wishlist Premier Steven Marshall presented to the PM last month as part of his population plan for SA.
>
> Mr Morrison's plan includes new visas for regional areas, priority processing and incentives for international students including post-study work rights and tailored schemes to match skilled migrants to jobs in emerging industries, such as space and defence.
> It comes as exclusive polling, commissioned by *The Advertiser,* shows one in three South Australians want to see the state's population grow, compared to just one in 10 wanting a drop. The YouGov Galaxy polling revealed 54 per cent of South Australians want the population to remain stable."

So, doing the sums, 64 percent of South Australians oppose the population increase scheme. The elites can read as well as us and know this.

Immigration-fuelled population increases will continue to happen so long as there is no organised structure to oppose it; that is why there are political parties doing just this in Europe and making slow but sure advances.

Unless we too start, the elites will just watch us go down the drain of history, while making a few bucks.

Finally it is most unlikely that multitude of migrants will stay in South Australia, since there will be freedom of movement and nobody is chained to a locality anymore.

***

WEARING HEAD SCARFS ALL THE TIME By Mrs Vera West

New Zealand women wore head scarfs similar to the traditional hijab, to show their support for the victims and for Islam, which cannot be other than a good thing. There are many photos of the New Zealand prime minister Jacinda Ardern in such a head scarf:

https://www.reuters.com/article/us-newzealand-shootout/we-are-one-says-pm-ardern-as-new-zealand-mourns-with-prayers-silence-idUSKCN1R22GB

Now, even though there has been much debate about this piece of clothing in Europe, here in Australia and New Zealand, we do not need to tune in to that. Just go with the flow. Jacinda looks fantastic in the head scarf, creating a kind of alluring beauty, and so do women from the Left. I was amazed at how attractive these women looked and was puzzled. Clearly this would represent a return to old school modesty, the type St Paul talked about with women covering their heads in church: 1 Corinthians *11: 2-16*. Anabaptist Christian women believe that head coverings should be worn all the time. It is an idea whose time has come!

Would this item of clothing therefore become part of the dress of all progressive women, just to start the ball rolling? And, not to discriminate, maybe progressive men could wear it to. Just a respectful suggestion.

***

THE LEGAL STATUS OF WEEDS By Ian Wilson LL.B

Just as white people are beginning to lose their rights, others are set to catch them, such as plants and animals, in the great pendulum of history:

https://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/nation/utter-madness-university-of-sydney-researchers-push-to-give-plants-and-animal-legal-rights-slammed/news-story/a333733ccd755e9af34c9f2749a23b1f

'University of Sydney research probing into the "moral, legal and political status" of animals and the environment has been slammed as "out of touch", prompting questions over who is funding the study. A team of 14 university researchers studying "Multispecies Justice", claim to explore rights for those that are "more than human" as part of the University of Sydney's broader FutureFix program they themselves have dubbed as "counterintuitive", as stated on their website. "Justice is typically thought to be the preserve of humans, and advocacy has sought to ensure all humans are subjects of justice," researchers wrote on the university's website. "But harms inflicted on animals and the environment are coming to be understood as injustices." The 14 researchers claim they are working to reconceptualise justice to accommodate "the vast breadth of the multispecies world" in an issue the University deems is of "global importance", according to the University of Sydney website. The university today defended the research, stating that many legal systems and scholars see harms inflicted on animals and the environment as a form of injustice. "The project is examining what justice across the human and natural world might entail," a spokesperson for the University told The Australian. "It will provide a rigorous academic forum for those views to be researched, analysed and debated." "The FutureFix research themes were established to tackle some of the world's biggest problems... We require counterintuitive thinking to address the deep complexities involved," the spokesperson said. However, Institute of Public Affairs Western Civilisation director Dr Bella d'Abrera said the project demonstrated the University was out of touch with real problems faced by Australians. "The fact that they genuinely believe multispecies justice to be a global problem reveals the massive divide between the real world and the academy," Dr d'Abrera told *The Daily Telegraph*. "The same people who want to kill cows to stop climate change now want to give wombats the vote." The broader FutureFix program the research claims to be a part of is described on the website as "relevant, engaged, solution fixed — and often counterintuitive", attracting research from international academics around the world. The University of Sydney will also host researchers from California, Alabama and parts of India to speak at their Multispecies Justice symposium in June to workshop topics including the "political status" of animals. "This is utter madness … Australian taxpayers need to be made aware that their hard earned dollars are funding such frivolous and irrelevant research," Dr d'Abrera said.' ***

BLAZING ACTS OF DESPERATION By Richard Miller

In Italy, a migrant raises the terrible issue of death of refugees in the Mediterranean, dramatically:

https://www.rt.com/news/454296-senegalese-man-italian-school-bus-fire/

"The driver of a school bus filled with children has been arrested by Italian police for setting fire to the vehicle near Milan. The Senegalese man reportedly called for an end to "deaths in the Mediterranean" during the incident. Miraculously all 51 schoolboys managed to escape the fire without injuries, although 12 children and two adults were sent to hospital for smoke inhalation, according to RaiNews24. The 46-year-old Senegal-born driver reportedly spilled gasoline down the aisle of the bus while shouting that he wants to stop deaths in the Mediterranean Sea before igniting the blaze."

This was done to address the plight of refugees. Fortunately, no children died. Otherwise the story would just have to have been censored. ***

SURPRISE, SURPRISE; UN MIGRATION PACT BINDING AFTER ALL! By James Reed

At the time the elites from the UN lied and said that the Migration Pact, which creates virtually open borders migration, was not "binding," but now after the ink has dried, the Pact is reviewed as binding, by the back door:

https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2019/03/21/austria-eu-report-un-migration-pact/

'Internal documents reveal that Brussels plans to incorporate the controversial UN migration pact into the EU's legal framework "through the back door", Austria and Hungary have warned. Earlier this week, Austrian Foreign Minister Karin Kneissl told Brussels the government was opposed to a report by the European Commission's Legal Service declaring that the UN compact should have legally binding consequences for every EU member state including those which withdrew from the agreement. The compact describes mass migration as "inevitable, necessary and desirable".

As an increasing number of countries, including a handful of EU member states, began to have doubts about the UN compact in the weeks and months leading up to its signing in December last year, figures including Germany's Angela Merkel insisted to its opponents that the document was non-binding, while media outlets attacked any suggestion to the contrary as "far-right conspiracy theory". Given these repeated assurances from key European figures, Kneissl told local media she was "astonished" to discover the document showing the Commission had apparently reversed its previous stance that the pact was non-binding.'

In general, anything coming out of the UN, must be regarded as guilty until proven innocent, which is likely to be … never. Time to pull out of the UN, and close it down, something Trump should have done. ***

TALKING ABOUT A REVOLUTION By Richard Miller

Leftist musicians have fantasized about revolution, but over in France, a great whack of the population see revolution as the only hope of sorting the Great mess out:

https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2019/03/24/

four-in-ten-french-say-revolution-the-solution-to-countrys-problems/

'A newly released survey has claimed that nearly four out of every ten French believe that a full-on revolution is the best way to solve the country's political problems. The poll, which was taken by polling firm IFOP, shows that 39 percent of French surveyed said that a revolution was needed to effect real change in the country, a figure much higher than elsewhere in Europe, *Atlantico* reports. In comparison, only 20 percent of Germans agreed that revolution was a viable political solution, and the number was even lower for Austrians and Poles, at just 14 percent.
Consulting director at IFOP David Nguyen commented on the results, saying, "The first thing to say is that this is an absolutely spectacular number. Four in ten consider that a revolution would be a good solution: even if we do not know exactly what they put behind this word, it is the mark of a radical presence very present in the society."
"What allows us to say that this is an important rate is that this figure is much higher than in all the other European countries that we tested," he said.
Nguyen said that the numbers reflect the broad support for the Gilets Jaunes (Yellow Vest) movement, adding: "The government cannot, therefore, bet on a major shift in opinion towards yellow vests, simply because their social demands will always be legitimate for a whole section of the population".

I imagine that if a similar survey was conducted for Australia, the results would be very different with the number of people supporting the revolution being 0.00001 percent. Wait … if the revolution was a communist one, well the Left would have to go for that, maybe even cracking the French high figure. ***

Subscription to On Target $45.00 p.a.
NewTimes Survey $30.00 p.a.
and **Donations** can be performed **by bank transfer:**

A/c Title	Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)
BSB	105-044
A/c No.	188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:
'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'
On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.
Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.
Telephone: 08 8387 6574 email: heritagebooks@alor.org
Head Office Hours - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm