



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 55 No. 34

30th August 2019

IN THIS ISSUE

The Environmentalist Roots of Anti-Immigrant Bigotry By Charles Taylor	1
Global Warming Elites Will Kill Us All! By James Reed	2
Now Maori Land Rights ... In Australia By James Reed	3
Computers are Killing Our Eyes By Brian Simpson	4

THOUGHTS OF THE WEEK: ‘An older story, but still relevant in the light of New Zealand’s gun banning frenzy, which traces the financing of the ever politically correct Jacinda Arden’s Labour Party, which unlike the Australian version, still retains the “u,” in its name, which could be meaningful:

“An influential United States Congress hearing has been told "one of the major fundraisers for Jacinda Arden's party" is linked to the Chinese Communist Party and it showed China had penetrated New Zealand's political networks. As a result, US lawmakers needed to consider whether New Zealand should be kicked out of the Five Eyes intelligence alliance because of problems at its "political core".’

Full article: <https://blog.alor.org/index.php/jacinda-arden-s-gun-banning-labour-party-funded-by-chinese-communists-of-course-by-bruce-bennett>)

THE ENVIRONMENTALIST ROOTS OF ANTI-IMMIGRANT BIGOTRY By Charles Taylor

On this freezing cold Melbourne Sunday morning, there was nothing much to refute until I came across this beauty from the Leftoid *The Guardian*, and the eternally poetic, politically correct *New York Times*.

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/aug/15/anti>

“Recent mass shootings have been linked to ‘eco-xenophobia’ – part of a tradition that dates to America’s first conservationists. The environmentalist, white nationalist, and influential anti-immigration activist John Tanton died less than three weeks before the El Paso shooting. Tanton lived to see his movement shape much of modern US immigration policy, but not this latest violent turn. A hate-filled document allegedly linked to the man suspected of killing 22 people in El Paso on 3 August echoed the kind of rhetoric generally favored by the far right – and also had a decidedly environmentalist, Tanton-like bent. The document praised the Dr Seuss character the Lorax, who says he speaks for the trees, and complained about the unsustainable overuse of paper towels. It concluded that the best course of environmental action would be mass murder.

A week prior, on an Instagram account reportedly linked to the alleged Gilroy, California, garlic festival shooter, he complained about migrant-driven sprawl. Months before, the Christchurch, New Zealand, shooter called himself an “eco-fascist”. Long before this violence, researchers warned of “the greening of hate”.

From Tanton’s anti-immigration nonprofit network to some of today’s avowed environmentalists, across the political spectrum, overpopulation and immigration in particular has been blamed for environmental collapse for over 50 years. Anti-immigrant ideology has been part and parcel of the whole of American conservationism since the first national park was founded, in part to protect wild yet white-owned nature from Mexicans and Native Americans. National purity and natural purity were inextricably linked.

The current rise of eco-minded white supremacy follows a direct line from the powerful attorney, conservationist and eugenicist Madison Grant – a friend of trees, Teddy Roosevelt, and the colonial superiority of white land stewardship. Grant, along with the influential naturalist John Muir and other early Anglo-Saxon conservationists, was critical in preserving the country’s wildlands for white enjoyment. Muir, who founded the Sierra Club environmental group in 1892, was disturbed by the “uncleanliness” of the Native Americans, whom he wanted removed from Yosemite. Grant successfully lobbied, in equal measure, for the creation of protected national parks and the restriction of immigration by non-whites.

(continued next page)

(continued from previous page)

“Environmentalists were hardcore eugenicists. They were as committed to racial thinking as they were to protecting the great redwoods in California,” said Heidi Beirich, intelligence project director at the Southern Poverty Law Center. That eco-xenophobia resurfaced in the 1970s as overpopulation and resource depletion was deemed the pre-eminent challenge facing the planet at the dawn of the anthropocene. Published in 1968, *The Population Bomb*, by the Stanford University biology professor Paul Ehrlich, predicted that overpopulation would fuel worldwide famine and global upheaval. Much of what Ehrlich predicted did not come to pass, but the book proved hugely influential in the nascent environmental movement. Global population growth was soon conflated with US immigration growth, and both were blamed for the coming collapse of Spaceship Earth.

GLOBAL WARMING ELITES WILL KILL US ALL! By James Reed

Geo-engineering is the next big thing for the global warming cult, for if we can't regulate greenhouse emissions in time, well there will need to be some high-tech solution, via changing the climate. Are you confident that the people who do not know how to solve any other social problem will be let loose to change the complex climate system? Yikes!

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-7350713/Bill-Gates-wants-spray-millions-tonnes-dust-stratosphere-stop-global-warming.html>

“The plan sounds like science fiction — but could be fact within a decade; every day more than 800 giant aircraft would lift millions of tonnes of chalk dust to a height of 12 miles above the Earth's surface and then sprinkle the lot high around the stratosphere. In theory, the airborne dust would create a gigantic sunshade, reflecting some of the Sun's rays and heat back into space, dimming those that get through and so protecting Earth from the worsening ravages of climate warming. This is not the crackpot plan of a garden-shed inventor. The project is being funded by billionaire and Microsoft founder Bill Gates and pioneered by scientists at Harvard University.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The evidence of Communist China's influence in Australia has strengthened since books like *Silent Invasion* surfaced a couple of years ago.

Our trade with China, whether it be exports of agricultural products, coal and iron ore or the university education multi-million dollar 'industry' we provide; we are clearly addicted to it. No finger of criticism of China can be raised without a warning that, “we should be careful to not offend our major trading partner!”

By example, witness the warning about China from Andrew Hastie which was quickly countered by Trade

This argument inspired generations of eco-nativists, and the most influential anti-immigration advocacy network currently operating in the US.”

In actual fact, Ehrlich was a strong anti-racist and wrote a book called *The Race Bomb* attacking racism, but don't let that ruin the story. I knew of John Tanton, meeting him three times, and he was not any sort of white nationalist as I saw it. The Left has had far more eco-terrorists than the Right, but no mention of them. The Dali Lama has also supported immigration restriction, and he is not white.

Anyway, it does not matter as the main thrust of the article is that any restriction upon immigration based upon environmental considerations, or anything else, is racist, end of argument. The Left want nothing short of open borders, but only for the West. They are silent about the immigration policies of non-western countries. That is not in their agenda. ***

Indeed, the plans are so well advanced that the initial 'sky-clouding' experiments were meant to have begun months ago. This initial \$3 million test, known as Stratospheric Controlled Perturbation Experiment (SCoPEX) would use a high-altitude scientific balloon to raise around 2kg of calcium carbonate dust — the size of a bag of flour — into the atmosphere 12 miles above the desert of New Mexico. This would seed a tube-shaped area of sky half a mile long and 100 yards in diameter. For the ensuing 24 hours, the balloon would be steered by propellers back through this artificial cloud, its onboard sensors monitoring both the dust's sun-reflecting abilities and its effects on the thin surrounding air. SCoPEX is, however, on hold, amid fears that it could trigger a disastrous series of chain reactions, creating climate havoc in the form of serious droughts and hurricanes, and bring death to millions of people around the world.”

Did Bill gates get the memo that this insane plan could lead to ecological disaster? This is an excellent illustration of technocracy gone mad. ***

Minister Birmingham. Each time we cringe, China squeezes the claws tighter. It is ironic that former Deputy Prime Minister Tim Fischer, who died today, was a Vietnam veteran who fought in a bid to defeat the encroaching communist dictatorship; whilst today Prime Minister Morrison is in Communist Vietnam hoping to establish more trade and closer ties.

Australia is becoming too dependent on communist nations to keep our economy afloat and our sovereignty is being compromised.

Ken Grundy, Naracoorte SA

BREAKING – DR. TIM BALL WINS MICHAELMANN LAWSUIT By Anthony Watts

Readers surely recall that the easily offended Dr. Michael Mann launched a court case for defamation against climate skeptic Dr. Tim Ball of Canada. In February 2018 there was a complete dismissal in the lawsuit brought against Dr. Ball by Andrew Weaver of Canada, also for “defamation”.

The Weaver defamation case involved an article Ball wrote saying that the IPCC had diverted almost all climate research funding and scientific investigation to anthropogenic global warming (AGW). This meant that there was virtually no advance in the wider understanding of climate and climate change. Ball referenced an interview with Weaver and attempts by a student to arrange a debate. Ball made some comments

that were not fully substantiated, so they became the base of the defamation lawsuit. That case was completely dismissed. Now in the Mann case, which goes back to 2011, there’s also a complete dismissal. Ball wrote to me less than an hour ago, asking me to announce it here.

He writes:

Hi Anthony

Michael Mann’s case against me was dismissed this morning by the BC Supreme Court and they awarded me [court] costs.

Tim Ball

Source: <https://wattsupwiththat.com/2019/08/22/breaking-dr-tim-ball-wins-michaelmann-lawsuit-mann-has-to-pay/>

NOW MAORI LAND RIGHTS ...IN AUSTRALIA By James Reed

No, it is not a joke ... well ...sometimes I am not sure nowadays what to believe, but here goes:

<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-7381313/Maori-group-makes-claim-valuable-piece-land-Australia.html?ico=pushly-notification-small>

“A group of Maori people are calling for valuable land in Sydney's west to be handed over to them, claiming they were gifted the area by Aboriginal people more than 200 years ago. The Rangihou people say their king was handed the land in Parramatta by leaders of the Burramattagal Clan back in 1811 after they were first invited over from New Zealand. The 45-hectare parcel of land lies in an eastern section of central Parramatta. It includes parkland, James Ruse Reserve, Robin Thomas Reserve, Rangihou Reserve and a section of the Parramatta River.

'We are Ngati Rangihou Corrangie Hapu and we are here to reclaim Rangihou land,' the group's leader Lady Crown told *9 News*. 'We want acknowledgement and recognition. We want the history books corrected, and compensation for damages of the land. 'We have

the first laws in time, so our laws stand above any other law in the land.' The Rangihou people attempted to seize some of the land in March by changing the locks on the Waratah Soccer Club. They also charged people to use the car park of their makeshift set-up, before being evicted after four days.”

We had the first laws, so our laws win. Wow, that is spellbinding. How could any court reject that! Oh, they have: *Coe v Commonwealth* (1979) HCA 68.

What we really need is a land title claim by one of these groups against every capital city CBD, claiming the land and all the stuff nailed to it. Or at least claim all of the universities. Yes! That would put a cat among the pigeons wouldn't it? How would the pc universities cope! And why not at this terminal stage of the game, a few moments before the final siren, as it makes as much sense as any land right claim.

So, I say; go for it, that's how progressive and fair minded I am. Sometimes faint while contemplating the level of multicult diversity that I have embraced. ***

AN ANTIDOTE FOR THE POPE? By Peter West

Forgive me, but as a lapsed Catholic, I reserve the right to be harsh on the ever politically correct Pope, as I do not go to mass in protest of this person.

<https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2019/08/20/>

[pope-francis-calls-antidote-populism/](#)

“Pope Francis has further dug in his heels as the anti-populist pope, writing in the forward to a new book that organized citizens in action are the “antidote to populism.” In his forward to *The Eruption of Popular Movements: The Rerum Novarum of Our Time*, the pope waxes poetic, praising so-called “popular movements” as “a great social alternative, a profound cry, a sign of contradiction, a hope that ‘everything can change.’” Populism, on the other hand, the pontiff

likens to “show-politics,” adding that in today’s political climate “fear is the means of manipulation of civilizations, the creative agent of xenophobias and racism, a terror sown in the peripheries of the world.” Francis has never attempted to conceal his scorn for populist parties, insisting the new populist wave is born of “egotism.” On that occasion, he also referred to an “antidote” to populism, speaking of solidarity, however, rather than popular movements. Solidarity is “the most effective antidote to modern forms of populism,” Pope Francis told European heads of state in March 2017.”

The man is simply a tool of the globalists, and the tragedy is that he does not have to be. ***

COMPUTERS ARE KILLING OUR EYES By Brian Simpson

One of the sacrifices made by the band of over-enthusiastic journalists here, who put in countless hours searching for juicy news, then writing and rewriting articles to get them, tasty and just right, is that we go blind most days. Just like our youth:

<https://www.studyfinds.org/>

[study-blames-disturbing-rise-in-teens-who-need-glasses-on-excessive-screen-time/](#)

“According to the report released by United Kingdom-based eye care company Scrivens Opticians, the percentage of 13-16 year olds in the U.K. who need glasses has nearly doubled over the past seven years — from 20% in 2012 to 35% in 2018. Two-thirds of those teens were diagnosed as being myopic, or short-sighted. Researchers theorize that this significant increase in eye problems among young people is likely linked to excessive time spent staring at screens, which can lead to eye strain, shortsightedness, and blurred vision. In fact, the study also found that the average 13-16 year old spends around 26 hours per

week staring at a smartphone, playing video games, or watching TV. Overall, optometrists from Scrivens say that much more research is needed to determine what all this screen time is really doing to teens’ eyes, especially in the long-term. “Children’s eyes continue to grow until early adulthood, and their vision is changing too,” comments Sheena Mangat, an optometrist with Scrivens, in a statement. “Because conditions such as short or long sightedness can happen gradually over time, neither children nor parents can ‘see the signs’, which is why regular eye checks are so important.” Additionally, the study found that it is no easy task for parents to pry their kids away from their screens; 73% of surveyed parents said it is a “challenge” to get their children to stop staring at some type of screen for a few hours.”

I remember when it was said that the environment does not cause short-sightedness, and I always thought that that could not be right. Turns out, it was right. ***

MINING - TO BE OR NOT TO BE?

By Ken Grundy

Correspondent Viv Forbes makes valuable contributions to *On Target* but some of his remarks in *On Target* Vol 55 No 32 deserve a comment.

Viv might have a miner’s approach to these matters, where any useful mineral should be dug up and used or exported to generate jobs or wealth etc. His claim that solid hydro-carbon resources cannot be used without disturbing other resources such as soils, vegetation and stored water is a valid one but he then complained about destructive laws that give priority to coal seam gas, water and arable land resources. Farmers could be relocated; forests can be replanted and top soils can be set aside, Viv said. As a farmer, I can affirm that farmers have often been on a property for generations and established their own imprint on the place and are reluctant to shift no matter what compensation is offered. Also my particular area enjoys reasonable levels of good quality underground water which supplies townships, industry, stock and domestic drinking water as well as irrigation. We have no reservoirs; no rivers and the soil strata below the surface is generally soft limestone. To potentially risk such important aquifers by ‘fracking’ is simply out of the question. The other question which seems very important is protecting some resources for the future. Why should we create a future quarry by hastily mining our assets? Again quoting my own location where gas exploration is under way - the gas will not be available to the locals - it goes to the metropolis or more likely overseas. Gas supplies are vast and often in locations where risks involved with mining are minimal. Let us use them and sparingly too! ***

PELL JUDGMENT By Ian Wilson LL.B

Cardinal George Pell has lost his appeal before the Victorian Court of Appeal, by a 2-1 decision. What is interesting about this, at least to people in the law, is that the dissenting judgment was given by the brilliant jurist, Justice Mark Weinberg, who delivered a truly massive 204 page critique of the prosecution case against Pell, documenting numerous weaknesses, inconsistencies and implausibility’s in the witness evidence. The general feeling among some lawyers is that Weinberg’s judgment will set the scene for a High Court of Australia challenge, the case being along the lines of the canonical case of *M v The Queen* (1994) 181 CLR 487, where the High Court quashed a conviction because it was “unsafe.” ***

BEQUESTS

We have received some interest from those wishing to remember the League in their Will. Information providing details of the most appropriate way to do this is available from Head Office in Adelaide. - ND

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or by **cheques directed to:**

‘Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)’

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574

On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.