



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 55 No. 43

1st November 2019

IN THIS ISSUE

Science Nerds Question 5G Tech By Brian Simpson	1
Brains to Just Explode! By Brian Simpson	2
National Farmers Federation, wants them to make way for China by Editor, cairnsnews	3
Letter to The Editor	4

THOUGHTS OF THE WEEK:

“It is a commonplace that man's food derives from the soil. But just because it is a commonplace the real significance of man's close dependence upon the soil is often overlooked; for the growing of foodstuffs, the husbandry of animals, the slaughter of creatures for their meat, and so on, are never even seen by the bulk of a modern city population. In this modern civilized world of ours, in which city populations outweigh the total number living on the land, commercial and industrial pursuits absorb the activities of man, and as a natural corollary mechanization becomes synonymous with progress. Nevertheless the man of this world must be fed and the source of his food becomes, by the application of a terminology derived from this dominating city life, not farming but "primary industry". We are so much slaves to words that we automatically think in terms of "primary industry" when instead we should be thinking in terms of agriculture which, as the derivation of the world indicates, implies a biological—not a mechanical—activity of man. The soil is not merely a mess of chemical compounds: it is a living structure. It teems with living organisms which in turn depend upon physical and organic circumstances that condition their growth and balance of population; whilst upon all this depends the plant growth, and therefore animal life on the surface. Clearly the knowledge of how to ‘cultivate’ regions of the earth can be acquired only by generations of toil and the slow accumulation of information, for seasonal changes beyond man's control play an over-riding part. So we are led, step by step, to the conclusion that farming, or better expressed, agriculture, is, and must remain, despite everything that science can do to aid it, a traditional occupation, and therefore a fundamental basis of national life.”

—Extract from broadcast by Professor Wadham (now Sir Samuel) March, 1939, reprinted in *Who Called the Cook a Bastard* – C. Stanton Hicks, p.22.

SCIENCE NERDS QUESTION 5G TECH By Brian Simpson

We have been presenting material for readers to understand some of the threats involved with the gee-whiz 5G tech that the high tech corporate madmen have been relentless promoting, delivered to our brains, with no debate, because technology never gets debated only forced upon us. That's what it means to live in a technocracy. Thus, here is some concerns from a *Scientific American* article, mainstream stuff, so you know we are in deep trouble:

<https://www.technocracy.news/scientific-american-we-have-no-reason-to-believe-5g-is-safe/>

‘The telecommunications industry and their experts have accused many scientists who have researched the effects of cell phone radiation of "fear mongering" over the advent of wireless technology's 5G. Since much of our research is publicly-funded, we believe it is our ethical responsibility to inform the public about what the peer-reviewed scientific literature tells us about the health risks from wireless radiation. The chairman of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) recently announced through a press release that the commission will soon reaffirm the radio frequency radiation (RFR) exposure limits that the FCC adopted in the late 1990s. These limits are based upon a behavioral change in rats exposed to microwave radiation and were designed to protect us from short-term heating risks due to RFR exposure.

Yet, since the FCC adopted these limits based largely on research from the 1980s, the preponderance of peer-reviewed research, more than 500 studies, have found harmful biologic or health effects from exposure to RFR at intensities too low to cause significant heating. Citing this large body of research, more than 240 scientists who have published peer-reviewed research on the biologic and health effects of nonionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF) signed the International EMF Scientist Appeal, which calls for stronger exposure limits.’ The appeal makes the following assertions:

(continued next page)

(continued from previous page) ...Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines. Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans. Damage goes well beyond the human race, as there is growing evidence of harmful effects to both plant and animal life.

‘The scientists who signed this appeal arguably constitute the majority of experts on the effects of nonionizing radiation. They have published more than 2,000 papers and letters on EMF in professional journals.

The FCC’s RFR exposure limits regulate the intensity of exposure, taking into account the frequency of the carrier waves, but ignore the signaling properties of the RFR. Along with the patterning and duration of exposures, certain characteristics of the signal (e.g., pulsing, polarization) increase the biologic and health impacts of the exposure. New exposure limits are needed

which account for these differential effects. Moreover, these limits should be based on a biological effect, not a change in a laboratory rat’s behavior. The World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified RFR as "possibly carcinogenic to humans" in 2011. Last year, a \$30 million study conducted by the U.S. National Toxicology Program (NTP) found “clear evidence” that two years of exposure to cell phone RFR increased cancer in male rats and damaged DNA in rats and mice of both sexes. The Ramazzini Institute in Italy replicated the key finding of the NTP using a different carrier frequency and much weaker exposure to cell phone radiation over the life of the rats.’ ***

Understanding EMFs' Mechanisms of Harm

extract: As explained in my 2017 interview with Martin Pall, Ph.D., Professor Emeritus of biochemistry and basic medical sciences at Washington State University, the primary danger of EMFs in general is that it causes excess oxidative stress that results in mitochondrial dysfunction. <https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2019/06/05/technology-versus-humanity.aspx> ***

BRAINS TO JUST EXPLODE! By Brian Simpson

Now that I have an audience ... it has been proposed that low levels of EMF radiation, does not turn one into a super-hero, but causes a leak in the blood-brain barrier, which is not good, no, not good at all.

<https://www.technocracy.news/>

[neurosurgeon-low-levels-of-emf-radiation-can-cause-leak-in-blood-brain-barrier/](#)

“Neurosurgeon and researcher Dr. Leif Salford has conducted many studies on radio frequency radiation and its effects on the brain. Dr. Salford called the potential implications of some of his research “terrifying.” Some of the most concerning conclusions result from the fact that the weakest exposure levels to wireless radiation caused the greatest effect in causing the blood brain barrier to leak. Since he began his line of research in 1988, Dr. Leif Salford and his colleagues at Lund University Hospital in Sweden has exposed over 1,600 experimental animals to low-level radiation. Their results were consistent and worrisome: radiation, including that from cell phones, caused the blood-brain barrier—the brain’s first line of defense against infections and toxic chemicals—to leak.

Researchers in 13 other laboratories in 6 different countries had reported the same effect, but no one had proven whether it would lead to any damage in the long term. In a study published June 2003 in *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Salford’s team repeated the experiment on 32 additional animals, but this time waited eight weeks before sacrificing them and examining their brains. In those animals that had been exposed to a cell phone, up to two

percent of the neurons in all areas of the brain were shrunken and degenerated. Salford, chairman of the Department of Neurosurgery at his institution, called the potential implications “terrifying.” “We have good reason to believe,” he said, “that what happens in rats’ brains also happens in humans.” Referring to today’s teenagers, the study’s authors wrote that “a whole generation of users may suffer negative effects, perhaps as early as in middle age.”

An argument is sometimes made (not necessarily accurately) to those who express concern about radiation from “smart” meters, Wi Fi, etc, that the radiation emitted from these devices is at such a low level that the public needn’t worry about it. However, Dr. Salford’s studies showed opening up of the blood brain barrier from very low levels of radiation. In fact, Cindy Sage and Dr. David Carpenter write in a 2008 paper (Public Health Implications of Wireless Technologies) it was “the weakest exposure level [which] showed the greatest effect in opening up the BBB [blood brain barrier].”

This is just another example of runaway technology, not even necessary technocracy, since the elites too, who must venture into the cities by day to rule and perform their dark deeds and rituals, are immersed in radiation as well. The future could well be a human race reduced to the IQ level of lab rats, if we are lucky, and with perhaps only the rare male having any viable sperm. The last man: it would make a good movie, if only it was all merely fictional. ***

NATIONAL FARMERS FEDERATION, WHICH DOESN'T REPRESENT FAMILY FARMERS, WANTS THEM TO MAKE WAY FOR CHINA

by Editor, cairnsnews

KAP Federal Member for Kennedy, Bob Katter has today slammed the National Farmers Federation (NFF) after they appeared on national television and announced they were lobbying the government to provide financial incentives to drought-affected farmers to leave their land; a campaign which is being echoed by the National Party.

A livid Mr Katter said, "Your solution is to get rid of the farmers. It is in the back of the mind of every intelligent Australian 'why do you want these people out?' So your big corporate masters, Chinese investors, prominent amongst them can buy them out and we can have corporate farmers. The city suits and foreign nations will be our farmers and we peasants will be out there working for nothing in little towns that are vanishing. That is the solution by National Farmers Federation."

The NFF, a farming lobby group, proposed six measures to the Government last week, one of which was an incentive payment to leave the land. Other measures in the proposal were rate relief to help pay local government charges, payments that are the equivalent to Newstart allowance, \$2,000 top-up of the Assistance for Isolated Children allowance, two-year interest free government loans and a plan to work with state and territory governments to eradicate feral pigs. While the NFF has been critical of the ad-hoc response to drought by the Government, Mr Katter believes that exit packages are not the answer.

"The KAP, the political party I belong to, says Reconstruction Bank. It's just so simple that you've got to be curious why they won't do it.

"The Reconstruction Bank, through the Government, can borrow at, probably, a bit below 2% so it can lend at 1.9%. Where a farmer now owes an average \$1 million, he has to find \$54,000 a year to pay to the

bank. Under the Reconstruction Bank he'll now be paying \$16,000 to the bank.

"The Reconstruction Bank buys bad 'in danger' debts at a discount. The farmer will then owe the Reconstruction Bank not the full \$1 million he owed the bank but only \$850,000 giving him leeway to buy fodder."

Mr Katter also took aim at the Government's sorry attempts at financial assistance for primary producers, "The Federal Government claiming they have done something with the farm and financial assistance grants, that one is one huge whopper. Hungry Jacks would love that one, that's the biggest whopper of all. "It was the Rural Action Council of Far North Qld, secured at Wayne Swan and Kevin Rudd's Drought Summit that gave us that concession. The tragedy is when Rural Action was screaming against former Deputy Prime Minister, John Anderson's, dreadful comment that "we don't need 240,000 farmers in Australia we only need 120,000", well the National Party has achieved its objective, we now have less than 120,000 farmers and of those farmers around one in 10 have been on welfare payments, the Family Assistance Grants, given to us, not by our traditional party, the National Party, but by our traditional enemies the ALP."

"If the KAP gets a commanding position in the Parliament, as Knuth, Katter and Dametto have said again and again, they will immediately reintroduce the reconstruction bank which was successfully run for over 100 years in Queensland.

"We have already lost half of our farmers in the last 20 years. Clearly it is the intention of the NFF, and their political wing, the National Party, to get rid of another half. The farmers are doing it tough? Their solution: get rid of the farmers.

Could you imagine the Automobile Association advocating us to be rid of cars? Well it appears the National Farmers Federation and the National Party would like Australia to be rid of a substantial number of our farmers! It begs the question, "Who do they really represent?"

A substantial part of NSW and Qld is in severe drought but Government has been reluctant to offer much meaningful support. Tax relief for example, will be little help to a farmer struggling to survive because there is no stock feed and certainly no income! Other schemes such as funds to beautify main streets in country towns may offer a slight benefit but the first priority needs to be support for the lifeblood of the communities; namely the farmers. Former leaders of the Nationals including Mr Doug Anthony and Mr John Anderson have advocated a reduction of farmers. To repeat the call at this stage shows the party has learnt nothing. Any farmer wishing to sell in current conditions could only expect a minimal price. Bargain hunters would likely be foreign corporations. Federal member for Kennedy, Mr Bob Katter, has called for genuine direct help to farmers from a planned Reconstruction Bank offering very favourable terms. Readers are encouraged to support Mr Katter and also contact their local federal MP urging support for the Katter proposal. Stress the fact that Australia is losing too many farmers now (one dairy farmer leaves farming each week) and we need schemes to keep farmers not to get rid of them. Simply address them at 'Parliament House, Canberra. ACT 2600' - ND

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

To *The Age* Lorenza Nolan claims (18/10) that "we" (the term is vague) "stole" the land of what is now Victoria from "the First Nations people". She supports the signing of a treaty to redress the situation. There is idealism in this view of things, but also folly and injustice.

In another way of looking at things the Aboriginal tribes living here failed to defend their territory from the incoming settlers. The world of Nature, of which mankind is a part, operates continually in a mode of contest between stronger and weaker individuals and groups. This pattern is not necessarily of itself to be condemned as immoral or unethical.

An eternal crying over spilt milk is unhelpful. The profound development that has occurred on this continent in the last three centuries cannot be undone.

It can, and should be, shared by all Australians, not stolen in a new kind of theft by a few ideologues to satisfy political fantasies and what is really self-aggrandising politicking.

Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

To *The Australian* Your editorial ("Only viable Brexit deal on offer", 19-20/10) must be one of the worst in the history of your newspaper and is deeply disappointing to those of us who advocate a genuine Brexit that unequivocally honours the 2016 referendum result. In advising acceptance of what seems to be another pseudo-Brexit proposal you manage somehow to omit any reference to the two key issues, which are the restoration of full national sovereignty and the protection of the principle of free speech which has been fatally cast aside by the EU's Court of Human Rights.

As to the latter, that court's recent dismissal of an appeal by German politician Udo Pastore against an unjust conviction for speaking his mind in parliament on a controversial topic is the latest example of tyranny riding rampant through a context of legal dishonesty and chicanery. Those "28 hardline Brexit 'Spartans'" are surely right that Boris Johnson has compromised with the EU too much. This piddling withdrawal deal should be defeated emphatically in the House of Commons.

Nigel Jackson, Belgrave, Vic

THIS WEEK'S RECOMMENDED READING:

***The Local World* by G. Dobbs (circ. 1990)**

[extract:] The Third Temptation of Christ : to acquire power over all the kingdoms of the Earth (i.e. megapolitics) in return for an act of worship of the Evil One (i.e. that power which corrupts politics) is a temptation impossible to resist by any person or group which sets out to improve the lot of Mankind in a big way. Indeed, few of those who automatically fall for it are aware that it is a temptation at all. It is taken for granted that if you want to do anything in a big way you must 'succeed' in attracting the favour of the Powers that Be, the greatest and most corrupting of which is the Money-Power. [p.62-3]

Available free in pdf format on the ALOR library here:

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-The_Local_World.pdf

Printed copies are available to order for \$20 including postage.

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

WHO CALLED THE COOK A BASTARD?

by C. Stanton Hicks

A personal account of a one-man campaign to improve the feeding of the soldier. This little light-hearted account of the neglected importance of the army cook, aims to alert the man in the street to the fact that Food is Life, and that the preparation of foodstuffs with the least wastage of essential nutrients is fundamental to national health and to the national economy. **\$8.00 posted within Australia**

ALOR BASIC FUND

To continue the good work of producing *On Target* and the *New Times Survey* as well as the Forums, videos, the Blog etc we need appropriate funding so once again we ask readers to show their faith and support in League work by donating to the Fund. The aim for 2019/2020 is **\$60 000**.
Cheque - details in box below – *ND*

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the **Douglas Social Credit** and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

**On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**