



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 56 No. 09

13th March 2020

IN THIS ISSUE

The Perestroika Deception By A Golitsyn page 109-110	1
The Globo Mad UN is at it Again By James Reed	2
Global Tyranny ... Step by Step By William F. Jasper	3
From My Desk By Arnis Luks	4

THOUGHT OF THE WEEK: *The French Revolution* By Nesta H Webster (1919)

"Everywhere they know how to endure, to expect from time improvements that often do not come, but for which they continue to hope. They know only present evils, and of these famine alone is intolerable to them. Struck by this terrible scourge, it is not a change in the State that they demand, it is bread. So the French people would long have endured their accustomed burdens, they would have continued to pay taxes, tithes, to carry out feudal duties, to bend beneath the *corvée* and the other miseries of vassaldom. I find the proof of their patience in the means employed to make them lose it." * It was here the conspirators saw their greatest opportunity.

"Bread," says Hua, "was the potent lever by which the people were roused to action. What lies, what fables were thrown to public credulity".

It is evident from all accounts that the famine was more fabulous than real. The people were not starving, but haunted by the fear of starvation. And to this fear was added exasperation, owing to the conviction that no real scarcity of grain existed. It was true that a fearful hailstorm in July of the previous year had destroyed many of the crops round Paris, but had not the minister Necker declared that, in spite of this disaster, "the stores of grain in the country were more than sufficient to supply the needs of the nation until the next harvest" ? The want of bread in itself is bad enough, but to believe that bread is being wilfully withheld from one is enough to stir the meekest to revolt. This was the "lever" employed by the conspirators. When the peasants of France creeping to their doors saw wagons laden with wheat winding their way through the village street, voices were not lacking to whisper, "There is corn in plenty, but it is not for you; it is to be stored for the Court, the aristocrats, the rich, who will feast in plenty while you go hungry".

* *Memoires de Hua*, (p. 53)

THE PERESTROIKA DECEPTION By A Golitsyn page 109-110**SELECTIVE KILLING OF THE UNORGANISED ELEMENTS IN TIANANMEN SQUARE**

On 13 June 1989, *The New York Times* published a report by Nicholas D. Kristof, its Peking correspondent, disputing the report published on 12 June and asserting that, while troops were shooting and killing victims in the area around the square, there was no firm evidence that students were killed in the middle of the square itself. If Kristof's version is correct, it would support the suggestion that the crackdown was aimed, not at the original pro-democracy demonstrators who, by Kristof's account, left the square together singing the *'Internationale'*, and who had been carrying placards supporting the Communist Party, but at the unorganised elements who sought to join them or otherwise to take advantage of the demonstration.

Other considerations may well have affected the Chinese suppression of the demonstration. No doubt the decision was coordinated with the Soviets. It almost coincided with Gorbachev's visit to Peking, which had been preceded a few weeks earlier by a visit by Shevardnadze.

It may be that the Communist strategists sought to avoid too obviously uniform a pattern of transition to new structures in the USSR, China and Eastern Europe, and preferred to emphasise opposite approaches - Soviet support for and Chinese intolerance of 'democracy' and reform.

The Chinese crackdown occurred on the eve of the changes in Eastern Europe. It sent (and may have been intended to send) a clear signal to the East Europeans that too much unrest in the course of 'perestroika' could lead to military intervention, and to the Chinese that the forthcoming changes in Eastern Europe could not be agitated for in China. It may be that the Chinese also saw a need to take advance precautions to stabilise their regime politically and to give it a clearer socialist direction after their introduction of elements of 'capitalism' - a Chinese tactic of taking one step forward with one foot, then one step forward with the other. *(continued on next page)*

(continued from previous page) In the not too distant future - and perhaps coinciding with Deng's departure from the scene - the Chinese strategists may re-enact the Polish formula for the transition to the new structure. The student pro-democracy movement might again be legalised like Solidarity, becoming a leading political force in China. Deng might be criticised for his role in the suppression of the student demonstration. 'Liberal' Communist leaders would return to, or new 'liberals' might appear in, the Chinese Communist leadership - working in harmony with the leaders of the pro-'democracy' movement. On the other hand, the message delivered in Tiananmen Square may prove more than adequate to enable the regime to continue its feat of achieving the 'synthesis' stage of the Hegelian dialectical triad - the supremacy of the Communist Party plus elements of Western capitalism and democracy.

THE DIFFICULTIES FACED BY THE WESTERN MEDIA IN COVERING 'PERESTROIKA'

The Western media are in a difficult and vulnerable situation. They have to cover the process of 'perestroika' in the Communist countries within a frame of reference wrongly defined for them both by the Communist strategists, who naturally do their best to ensure favourable coverage of 'perestroika' by the Western media, and by Western governments which mistakenly accept and support 'perestroika' as a process serving Western interests. Like Western governments and their intelligence services, the Western media lack reliable sources of information on the strategic intentions of Communist officials. Like their governments, the Western media have been caught unprepared by the advent of 'perestroika' and have no understanding of its origin, its motivation, its use of political and security potential or its anti-Western strategic design. All these factors contribute to the media's uncritical and inaccurate coverage of the subject.

Editors Note: Furthermore, there is a crucial dialectical difference between Russia and China, connected with the strategists' ruse of fabricating a 'Break with the Past'. In his book *'Soviet Propaganda as a Foreign Policy Tool'* [Freedom House, New York, 1991], M. Leighton observed [page 14] that 'the Communist Party of the Soviet Union [CPSU] must posit the existence of an external enemy in order to justify its monopoly of power. If the United States didn't exist as the arch foe, the Kremlin would have to invent it. This was the standard perception, the accuracy of which was taken for granted for generations - until the 'abolition of the enemy' was formalised in Paris on 19th November 1990 with the signing of the 'Declaration of Twenty-Two States' and the 'Charter of Paris'. Point One of the Declaration asserts that 'the signatories solemnly declare that, in the new era of European relations which is beginning, they are no longer adversaries, will build new relationships and extend to each other the hand of friendship'. But NATO and the West had failed to notice, let alone understand, the meticulous Leninist use of language concerning the 'abolition' of the enemy by the Communist apparatus. For instance, Academician Georgiy Arbatov, one of Gorbachev's closest advisers, had referred in the June 1988 issue of *'Kommunist'* to the forthcoming 'erosion of the image of the enemy' [see Note 16, page 32]. If he had meant that the enemy itself was to be erased, he would have said as much; but he did not. Thus the West mistook the image for the reality - just as this leading strategist had anticipated. If the Communist Party needed, as Leighton says, 'to posit the existence of an external enemy to justify its monopoly of power', it followed that the 'abolition of the image of the enemy' would need logically to be accompanied by the 'disappearance' of the Communist Party itself. Hence the 'August coup' and its aftermath, which represented a 'Break with the Past', opening the way for 'convergence' as intended by the strategists. By contrast, since the West had not, since Nixon's detente with China, regarded China as 'the enemy', the reverse of this logic required no 'vanishing act' by the Chinese Communist Party.

THE GLOBO MAD UN IS AT IT AGAIN By James Reed

Remember all of those UN peacekeeping missions in places in Africa, which led to disaster? You would have thought that they had learnt to keep their heads down and just keep talking, but no, the UN is at it again, pursuing unspeakable evil. <https://summit.news/2019/12/28/un-moves-towards-handing-dictatorships-power-to-control-the-internet/>

"The United Nations wants to hand power to dictatorial regimes like China to control the Internet, prompting fears of a massive new free speech purge. The General Assembly has approved a resolution sponsored by China and Russia to set up a committee of "international experts" whose role would be to stop "the use of information and communications technologies for criminal purposes."

However, many caution that the move is merely a back door for authoritarian regimes to further censor dissent.

"The United States, European powers and rights groups fear that the language is code for legitimizing crackdowns on expression, with numerous countries defining criticism of the government as "criminal," reports AFP.

Human Rights Watch said the list of sponsors for the resolution is "a rogue's gallery of some of the earth's most repressive governments" and "gives countries legal cover for internet blackouts and censorship, while creating the potential for criminalizing free speech."

Governments like China already censor and turn off the Internet during times of civil unrest while doling out 'social credit score' punishments for those who criticize the state. Imagine allowing China to control the internet! Now given that the UN recommends that, what does it say about the politics of the UN?

LIGHT ON THE UNITED NATIONS By Reynold and Peter Lanzon

extract: The Guided Infancy

Three important events in the establishment of the U.N. were the Dumbarton Oaks, the Yalta, and the San Francisco Conferences. At each of these conferences Alger Hiss attended and served in an influential capacity. Subsequently, Hiss was exposed as one of the most dangerous secret communist agents in the U.S.A.¹

At the Dumbarton Oaks Conference held in 1944 to determine the future form the U.N. would take, Alger Hiss served as executive secretary?² When he returned, all matters pertaining to the supposed security organization came under his direct supervision. Along with Harold Hopkins, Cordell Hull, and others, Hiss worked on the first drafts of the U.N. Charter.³ The preamble to the U.S. Communist Party constitution reads: "*The Communist Party of the United States . . . fights uncompromisingly against . . . all forms of chauvinism . . . It holds further that the true national interest of our country and the cause of peace and progress require . . . the strengthening of the United Nations as a universal instrument of peace.*"

In the following year Hiss attended the Yalta Conference. At this conference he served as adviser to President Roosevelt.⁴ So intimate was his position with that of the President, that while the President's telephone number was "1" his was "4".⁵

The Yalta Conference proved a milestone in the progress of Soviet diplomatic strategy. After the

dying Roosevelt was left alone in a conference room with Stalin, his Russian interpreter, and Alger Hiss, Roosevelt's "adviser", it was announced that an "agreement" had been reached, which gave the Russians three votes in the General Assembly to America's one!⁶

The dying Roosevelt confessed: "I know I shouldn't have done it. But I was so tired, when they got hold of me, besides it won't make much difference."⁷

The Yalta Conference also saw the election of Alger Hiss as General Secretary of the upcoming San Francisco Conference.⁸ Hiss's role at this conference, where the United Nations was finally taken off the drawing board and put on the assembly line, is frightening. He was the chief planner and executive of the entire affair.⁹ It was Hiss, who organized the American delegation¹⁰, and not only was he the acting secretary-general of this conference, but he also served on the steering and executive committee actually writing the new Charter.¹¹

Hiss personally carried the freshly written document back to Washington by plane for Senate ratification.¹²

Notes

1. Interlocking Subversion in Govt. Depts., SISS Rep. (Jul. 30, 1953), pp. 8-10.
2. *Seeds of Treason*, Ralph de Toledano, p. 80.
3. Ibid.
4. *Spotlight on Red Spy Rings* (Renown Press P/L, Carnegie), p. 31.
5. *Seeds of Treason*, Ralph de Toledano, p.80.
6. Ibid., p. 81.
7. Ibid.
8. Ibid.
9. *The Fearful Master*, G. Edward Griffin, p.89.
10. Interlocking Subversion in Govt. Depts., SISS Rep. (Jul.30, 1953), pp. 8-10.
11. *Insignia*, conf. issue (1801 B'dway, S. Diego, Cal. 1945) Vol. VI, No. 1, p. 67.
12. *The Fearful Master*, G. Edward Griffin, p. 89.

GLOBAL TYRANNY ... STEP BY STEP By William F. Jasper

Introduction

America and the world stand on the brink of one of the most perilous epochs in this planet's history. According to the purveyors of conventional wisdom, communism is dead, the Cold War is over, and the greatest threats to world peace and security are rampant nationalism, inequitable wealth distribution, overpopulation, and environmental degradation. Yet the threat to a just world peace and comity among nations and peoples comes not from political fragmentation, ozone holes, greenhouse gases, an over-abundance of people, a shortage of natural resources, or even from the frequently offered scenarios of "rogue" elements in the former USSR acquiring control of nuclear weapons.

The true, imminent danger to America and to all nations seeking peace and good-will stems from widespread acceptance of the monstrous falsehood that in order to live in an "interdependent" world, all nation-states must yield their sovereignty to the United Nations. This lie is given dignity by other lies, chief of which is that Soviet totalitarianism has been buried forever.¹ A too wide acceptance of these dangerous falsehoods is resulting in:

- 1) a massive transfer of wealth from the taxpayers in the

West to the still-socialist governments of the East that remain under the control of "former" communists;
2) the gradual but accelerating merger or "convergence" of the U.S. and Russia (& *China-ed*) through increasing economic, political, social, and military agreements and arrangements; and
3) the rapidly escalating transfer of power — military, regulatory, and taxing — to the UN. Unless the fiction underlying these developments is exposed, national suicide and global rule by an all-powerful world government are inevitable.

"The Bush Administration," *Time* magazine noted on September 17, 1990, "would like to make the U.N. a cornerstone of its plans to construct a New World Order."² That observation merely stated the obvious. In his speech to the nation and the world on September 11, 1990, Mr. Bush stated: "Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective — a new world order — can emerge...." He proceeded to announce his hopes for "a United Nations that performs as envisioned by its founders."

³ It became abundantly clear to veteran students of "world order" politics that a major new push for world government had begun. Only a few years ago, any such attempt would have flopped miserably... ***

FROM MY DESK By Arnis Luks

In order to 'decipher' the current news reports, I see it as necessary to firstly reinforce the long-term Communist strategy for world government, historically referred to as the New International Economic Order. Hence the previous articles in this issue. Whether China is actually suffering medically and economically, or, this is a staged event for the world-public's consumption similar to Tiananmen Square or Perestroika, it's important to note that the United States Government, under Barack Obama, has previously constructed hundreds of FEMA camps for medical isolation, in anticipation of just such an event.

Our ABC's headlines show (four of five) stories about vaccination, infection rates, pandemic and stockpiling. Phillip Adams today writes positively of death. Other news sources are promoting "a cashless society" because of germ-ridden money. All reinforcing that only bigger and bigger government interference can fix 'this thing' - whatever 'this thing' is. They are all trying to manipulate the situation to panic and herd us into certain directions.

The temporary shutdown of Chinese industry, with the immediate collapse of supply chains, shows how vulnerable the world has become. The West has been deliberately weakened in anticipation of just such an event. But is it real or just an abstraction? **Consider:** Y2K, Ongoing Climate Emergencies, Ongoing Medical Emergencies, Bail-Ins to Protect Banks, Medical Lock-Ins—Lock-Downs, Individual Debt, National Debt, World Debt — Carbon Currency — World Government. **ABSTRACTION vs REAL**

I have just been for a walk with my wife and saw the fruit on the trees, grasses growing, sky clear, weather pleasant, to realise things in the world are functioning in a natural manner. What has come to an 'end of life' is the "fraudulent financial system". The levels of debt are unsustainable. Governments have put legal instruments in place to protect the banks in a bail-out or bail-in. The ultra-wealthy will not lose anything, just the poor and middle class. They will lose the lot, including their assets and freedoms. Such is the treachery of governments and leaders who could have warned us to avert this situation.

We need to take a step back to see clearly that the world will still go on, still function (maybe far more harmoniously) than it does at present, were we to slow down and take the time to "smell the roses"; to take stock of what we are doing to our planet and ourselves.

Eric Butler wrote in 1981 "Atonement comes before Regeneration". "At-One-ment" with nature or God. Such is the work of the Australian League of Rights. There is plenty of room for an awakened Church — in calling these temporal powers to account.

The plan afoot is to enslave the world with carbon-currency-rationing. The option before us is to reject this and pursue the good-GOD. Douglas showed us 'a' way to the life more abundant - On Earth as 'it is' in Heaven.***

TARGET FOR THE WEEK

Actionists will recall the Target several weeks ago when they were asked to contact their MP's urging them to resist the Federal Government taking over some powers from the States. In addition we suggested a submission to the Royal Commission into the Murray Darling Basin should include the same point - no more centralising power from the States.

Well, like a cracked record, the message is repeated today. This time the new Royal Commission into bushfires could well deliver more centralisation.

Prime Minister Morrison when announcing the RC stated the need for a 'national approach' which sounds like 'pollie speak' for more power to Canberra.

Contact your Federal MP and Senators reminding them of the importance of retaining power at the local level. By all means the States can call upon the Federal Government for military or financial support for bushfires. This happened in the recent fires. On this occasion contact your State MP as well, asking him/her to stand firm for the retention of State power. It is elementary that the more local these matters are administered, the better will be the outcome.

Once again make a brief submission to the RC. Address your remarks to:

"The Royal Commissioners into Bushfires"

postal address: Locked Bag 2000. Manuka. ACT. 2603

email: rcda.submissions@royalcommission.gov.au ***

ONE-DAY VICTORIAN SEMINAR

9am-4pm Saturday 28th March 2020

Mansfield Golf Club

24 Kidston Parade, Mansfield VIC 3722

LIFTING THE CHAINS OF DEBT:

bookings to:

HERITAGE BOOKSHOP

08 8387 6574 eMail to: heritagebooks@alor.org

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

https://alor.org/ our main website and repository of the **Douglas Social Credit** and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.