



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 56 No. 19

22nd May 2020

IN THIS ISSUE

Introduction to <i>'The Money Power versus Democracy'</i> By ED Butler	1
Where The Struggle Lies from <i>New Times</i> 1940	2
War Against the Individual By Arnis Luks	3
Hungary Stands Against the Tide By Mrs Vera West	4

THOUGHT OF THE WEEK: During the thirty-five years since *'The Money Power versus Democracy'* was first published, early in the Second World War (1940-ed), Civilisation has disintegrated at an accelerating rate. Centralised Money Power has been increased while effective democracy is practically non-existent.

My warning that International Finance was plotting to destroy the British Empire was, unfortunately, well-based. The peoples of the British Empire played a major role in the defeat of Hitler's National Socialist Germany, but lost the peace. The British Empire and Commonwealth was broken up, not by superior force of arms, but by the use of Money Power and subversion. While the Second World War was still raging, plans were being advanced for the destruction of what was the greatest single international barrier to the establishment of the ultimate objective of the Money Power: **The World State**. The first act of surrender by the United Kingdom was acceptance of the *Bretton Woods* plan for the establishment of The International Monetary Fund and The World Bank. One of the principal authors of the *Bretton Woods* plot was Harry Dexter White, a top official in the American Treasury Department. White was subsequently exposed as a Soviet agent.

U.S. President Roosevelt, charged by Sir Winston Churchill as a man dedicated to breaking up the British Empire, was the tool of the International Money Power. As the creature of the financial groups who imposed the Bolsheviks upon the unfortunate Russian people, Roosevelt naturally gave the Soviet leader, Stalin, all he demanded. The result was a long series of retreats by the West in the face of the pressures of International Communism.

Proof of the nexus between International Finance and International Communism has now been so thoroughly documented that it cannot be denied. In his detailed studies published by Stanford University, Dr. Antony Sutton shows that the Soviet has, from the beginning been sustained and developed by massive economic blood transfusions from the West. The major evidence concerning these blood transfusions are outlined in Sutton's *'National Suicide'*. Sutton followed this work with *'Wall Street and The Bolshevik Revolution'*.

The International Money Power is now openly financing both the Soviet Union and Communist China. When Mr. David Rockefeller, Chairman of the Chase Manhattan Bank, established his bank in Moscow in May, 1973, it was at the most prestigious address in Moscow: Carl Marx Plaza. When Rockefeller established the Chase Manhattan in Communist China, the first Western Bank to be established there for 24 years, he wrote in *'The Times'*, New York, of August 10, 1973, that "The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history."

A major feature of the disintegration of Civilisation has been escalating inflation, most of this the result of the application of the financial policies of John Maynard Keynes, the British economist who, in spite of his frank admissions concerning the creation of financial credit, was promoted by the Money Power. A major feature of Keynesian economics is that the economy must be stimulated with deficit budgets. Deficit budgets increase the financial indebtedness of communities and contribute significantly to inflation. Keynes openly advocated 'controlled inflation'. The Marxists welcomed his policy as the best method of destroying the de-centralised free enterprise economic system. And with it, democratic government.

Centralised Money Power, mounting debt, taxation, rates and inflation are being used to deprive individuals of control over his own destiny. The theme-song of the centralisers is 'Get Bigger or Get Out'. Nations are told that they must also amalgamate and form 'Common Markets'. It is significant that the International Money Power heavily backed the campaign to force the British people into the European Economic Community.

There is no hope of Civilisation being regenerated unless the power to create and control money is decentralised. Centralisation runs contrary to reality and inevitably produces greater friction and chaos. And yet the dramatic technological advance and expansion of the industrial arts makes it *physically* possible to provide

the individual with more real security and freedom than in the recorded history of mankind. He is being robbed of his rightful heritage. **How can the process of accelerating centralisation be stopped? Only when sufficient informed individuals learn how to associate together to use their social power to impose their will upon their political institutions, insisting that the policies of the Money Power be broken.**

It is certain that the formation of more political parties merely assists the Money Power to extend its control of the people. Putting marks on pieces of paper every few years, without doing anything else, only serves to help legalise the policies of the centralisers.

Writing in his great classic, *'The Passing of Parliament'* (1952) the eminent British constitutional authority, Professor G. W. Keeton, said that:

"The history of modern political society is in large measure the history of the struggle of the ordinary citizen to exercise some influence upon government - and of his repeated failure to achieve that modest ambition. All governments control the governed. They vary widely in the extent to which they made control manifest."

WHERE THE STRUGGLE LIES from *New Times* Vol. 6. No. 4. Jan.1940

The real struggle in the world right now is one of conflicting ideologies—or in more practical language—whether the State or the Individual be supreme, and the consequences inevitably involved. Or more clearly still, which is to be the touchstone for all legislation and for all social activity—the State or the Individual?

At the outset, it might be well to say that nations are collections of human beings; that human beings can exist quite comfortably without nations, but nations or states cannot exist without human beings. So much is true. Yet, interesting enough, it is also true that human beings tend to form nations. Why? Because men have found that by associating in a unified effort they can accomplish more, and consequently each receive more per unit expenditure of energy... Thus, the logical tendency has been to come together. But whenever this association failed to bring about the required results they individually retained the right either to dissociate themselves from the project or to have some say in the formation of a new policy.

This freedom of association for any given objective belongs to the ideology of the ***Individual as Supreme*** and would be a ***true functioning democracy***. But let us not be lulled into thinking there are any democratic nations at present. There are not. The democracies (so-called) are ruled by institutions, which preclude the overwhelming majority of those who will be affected from ***the right of Individual Initiative in determining the policy***. This is not democracy, but is as dictatorial as the Totalitarian States because this form of centralised institutional control is such that ***no one person takes the responsibility***, nor can be made to take the responsibility

After referring to the techniques of the National Socialists and the Communists, Professor Keeton observed that the progress towards tyranny in countries like Britain was more subtle because of the existence of political parties, these only proposing different variations of the same programme behind a facade of alleged competition. **While the party system will continue in some form, it is certain that a new type of non-party political programme is essential if there is to be a reversal of the policies of disaster.** A new generation will find in *'The Money Power versus Democracy'* some indication of what was achieved before the Second World War in the pioneering of effective political activity. The lessons of the past are always worth heeding.

Fortunately, there is a re-birth of the ideas of genuine democratic action by individuals - particularly around the English-speaking world. If the republication of *'The Money Power versus Democracy'* assists with a process of regeneration, its republication will be more than justified. -- ED Butler, 1975

Note: all books referenced in all these article are available from our online library.

for any policy devised or action taken. Can you imagine policy-holders dictating the policy of an insurance company? But, the Democracies could function—and will—when the individual uses his initiative by **demanding that those in power be made to take full responsibility for implementing his chosen policy.** However, let us look a little closer at these two opposing ideologies.

1. The State stands for: **Totalitarianism** and a centralised dictation of policy. **Deductive reasoning.** Which reasons from the general to the particular. Is Idealistic? Which states fixed objectives to which all must conform. **Love of Power and control.** Planned economy. Which states what shall be done by all.

2. The Individual stands for: The right of **individual choice, personal initiative and responsibility.** **Inductive reasoning.** Which reasons from present facts to the principle behind them. Is Realistic. Which deals with the known. Is scientific. Love of Freedom and individual initiative. Organic growth. Which is natural development and activity according to individual needs.

Before the coming of the machine, when practically all effort was directed toward supplying the needs, both of goods and services to the community, the first group was probably the more right. **But, now, since the development of the power age, man-power in production is no longer the prime requisite, and the emphasis is on consumption and personal choice.** This attitude belongs to the second group. People thinking according to the first group may reasonably be said to display signs of immaturity, in that they are perpetuating

a philosophy, which no longer has reality.

Yet, even recognising this, intelligent people still say, "But Democracy and the second group thinking can never work because everybody wants something different." Is this really so? Surely concerning the broad needs of life everyone is in agreement. Don't we all want economic security, more goods and services, food, clothing, better housing and education, greater recreational facilities and more leisure? In other words, don't we want more of all that can be produced and more freedom in which to use it? Is there any disagreement about this? Yet, this does not mean equalisation of poverty, the taking from those who have to give to those

WAR AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL By Arnis Luks

Democracies have been so undermined that the political **voter** is essentially purchased each and every election by aspiring candidates utilising taxpayer funded bribes - pork barrelling. The collective **party machines** can also count on a further AU\$1 billion 'return on investment' payment for votes received, funded by the taxpayer, for each round of federal and state elections.

Parliament has been subverted by the party-room, where in-depth "unrecorded" debates and discussions take place, and with outcomes that may barely strike a majority support from within the ruling party **politician**.

Further, administration by the **bureaucracy** is run by groomed elites, guided by the teaching and philosophies of foreign controlled institutions, having little exposure to the policy outcomes - **current situation emphasised**. The corona crisis is run by a **private-public bureaucracy** while our representatives are barely attending the front counter - parliament being suspended so no continuous accountability of our **representatives** or **bureaucracy**.

We have gone from the initial '**medically advised**' mass-medication with fluoride addition to our public water, to 'No Jab No Pay/Play' for our young, to now the imposition of **mandatory vaccines for all**. The private property of your own body is no more, with **no personal accountability of the perpetrators**. This occurring in the backdrop of a logarithmic escalation in both neurological disorders amongst childhood and autoimmune amongst adults. We are not getting healthier by this corporate medical marketing policy, but rather more reliant, which just happens to be very good for business. The WHO, as is our TGA, are both public-private partnerships. International finance, pharmaceutical companies, private foundations and governments are all attempting to compulsorily mandate commercial products be forcibly injected into the body of every individual in the entire world, with no personal liability of the perpetrators for any adverse outcomes. If the **CEOs, shareholders and bureaucrats** were held personally responsible, would they be so willing to experiment for profit with the public? Like dropping bombs on an unwilling people with no personal

who have not. There is more than enough for everybody of all the requisites for life and living, in the Western civilisation, at least. It means everybody may have according to his or her desire and personal taste.

It is well to remember that **Totalitarianism**, that is, the centralisation of power, **applies to other than political fields — that it has more than one form**. If we believe in the individual's right to freedom both of choice and initiative, we must fight the octopus ideology of that abstraction—the State—and those who are perpetrating it, by exposing them. ***The clear light of truth has a demoralising effect on those who would retain power, yet evade responsibility.*** ***

accountability of the results — just increased sales.

Political lobbyists are full-time activists for the interests of other parties, not the average voter. Placing themselves constantly before our **representatives** and **bureaucrats** results in significant influence and pressure in achieving favourable policy outcomes. Over the past 100 years Australia's constitution and parliamentary system of government has been so undermined to the point where both are being ignored and trampled underfoot. The time in history has come for **the individual** to overcome this modern day tyranny. **Our generation has been called to fight a war against tyranny — for 'Freedom of Choice by the Individual'**.

Recently there was a public demonstration involving several thousand people (actionists), in the hope of generating MSM attention to pressure politicians and bureaucrats to cause a change in policy. Considering the amount of energy expended and the results achieved, I believe the actionists did not choose an effective tool — the caravan moved on with little or no MSM attention. Had each in turn "**become an alternative to the MSM**", by presenting themselves to their representative, to speak their thoughts and concerns, this would cause an initial increase in pressure. The same actionists could write blogs, emails or letters, call up talk-back radio, produce online videos or podcasts, stand outside their representative's office or an offending company's offices with a placard, or alternatively hold a regular meeting calling on **political, bureaucratic and private-company representatives** to attend, to openly discuss issues of concern. To also run an alternative candidate. Same amount of energy, just different methods to cause an increase in pressure, utilising their **individual initiative**, an entirely different result might have been achieved.

Individual initiative is the only power in this modern technological age that can overcome the money power. To become an effective actionist requires discipline, training and effort, to pursue **individual freedom of choice** rather than accept an imposition of a foreign totalitarian ideology :: ***start your training now :: goto 'Actionist Corner' online and register your interest.******

HUNGARY STANDS AGAINST THE TIDE By Mrs Vera West

Hungary is standing up for Western civilisation against the globalist forces. Witness the latest act of resistance and wish that Australian politicians had one ounce of this raw guts:

<https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2020/05/09/>

[hungary-yes-protecting-women-no-gender-ideology-illegal-migration/](https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2020/05/09/hungary-yes-protecting-women-no-gender-ideology-illegal-migration/)

“Hungary’s parliament has passed a declaration against signing the Istanbul Convention on preventing and combating violence against women because it smuggles in provisions declaring gender a “social construct” and allowing “gender-based asylum claims”. Dr Zoltán Kovács, Secretary of State for International Communication and Relations in Prime Minister Viktor Orbán’s government, reported that the Hungarian legislature had passed its declaration against the seemingly unimpeachable Council of Europe convention in an official blog post. The protection of women and the fight against domestic violence have always been of central importance to the government,” he insisted, noting that Orbán had put domestic violence on a new footing in the criminal code in 2013, after the first of three consecutive

ALOR ONLINE TRAINING

Our main website, as the repository of the Archives, is regularly being added to from publications and historical documents of the Social Credit and Freedom Movements. The website DVD files, placed onto your own home computer with added 'Desktop Search' software becomes an excellent private research facility.

To become an effective Actionist, training is essential :: utilize the online '**Actionist Corner**' with many links to *pro forma* letter templates, brochures and other important training and research resources.

The newest addition — '**Science of the Social Credit Measured in Terms of Human Satisfaction**' — is in three expanding work-packs, steadily developing the individual actionist in their area of particular interest to release freedom of choice.

'**Introducing Social Credit by Betty Luks**' is built around five modules, as well as video lessons, other reading material and on completion, examination to achieve a basic Science of Social Credit understanding.

'**Intermediate Social Credit by ED Butler**' includes eight written assignments, video lessons and other important developmental reading material.

'**Advanced Social Credit**' is conducted with the assistance of experts in this science, included is the historical '*Elements of Social Credit by Tudor Jones*', (originally published with the Authority of The Social Credit Secretariat), two courses including texts, video lessons and other reading material and on examination to ensure an advanced ability of effective action in the Science of Social Credit measured in terms of human satisfaction. **Start your Training Today.**

election wins — but added that the Istanbul Convention, in his view, goes “far beyond this”. He noted, for example, that “the Convention defines ‘gender’ as ‘socially constructed roles, behaviours, activities and attributes that a given society considers appropriate for women and men’” — which puts it at odds with the Hungarian constitution, which defines marriage as the union of a man and a woman. Adopting the Istanbul Convention with its “social gender” definition would “void” the Hungarian constitutional position that there are “only two biological genders, male and female,” he explained.”

And just imagine this happening here:

<https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2018/10/17/>

[hungary-revokes-funding-gender-studies-programme/](https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2018/10/17/hungary-revokes-funding-gender-studies-programme/)

“Hungary has revoked funding for gender studies after the government cited concerns about employment opportunities for graduates. Hungary’s government announced in August that it would no longer fund the Master’s programme which is taught at the biggest state-funded Eötvös Loránd University (ELTE) and the Central European University (CEU), founded by George Soros. According to 444.hu, Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán signed the degree on Friday, the document reading: “139/2015 is expired. (VI.9.) Government Decree No. 115. Line.” CEU published a statement on its website Tuesday, claiming there was “strong opposition” to the removal of the programme from the country’s list of accredited postgraduate courses. “This is a major infringement on academic freedom and university autonomy,” they said. “Gender Studies is an internationally recognized academic field, which produces socially relevant knowledge, and which has been taught at CEU for well over two decades. “Eliminating this program will be a significant loss to the Hungarian scholarly community and for democratically-minded public policy makers,” they added.”

On the contrary, much of the postmodernist brew needs to go, at least as publicly funded bodies, as James Reed argues all the time at this site, and rightly, I now think.

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer:**

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: '**Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)**'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the **Douglas Social Credit** and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.