



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



IN THIS ISSUE

The One or The Many By Arnis Luks	1
Different Policies from Different Philosophies	2
Education as Indoctrination – as Immigration	3
Inheritance for Every Individual or the Group?	4

Thought For The Week: *John 11:47-57* The chief priests therefore, and the Pharisees, gathered a council, and said: What do we, for this man doth many miracles? If we let him alone so, all will believe in him; and the Romans will come, and take away our place and nation. But one of them, named Caiphas, being the high priest that year, said to them: You know nothing. Neither do you consider that it is expedient for you that one man should die for the people, and that the whole nation perish not.

And this he spoke not of himself: but being the high priest of that year, he prophesied that Jesus should die for the nation. And not only for the nation, but to gather together in one the children of God, that were dispersed. From that day therefore they devised to put him to death.

THE ONE OR THE MANY By Arnis Luks

I must stand corrected from last weeks *On Target*. CH Douglas in his writing did not advocate a school voucher system. However, in my mitigation I sat under several social credit tutors who did suggest a school voucher system as a reasonable method for parents to ensure their children were adequately educated, including home education. If you care to avail yourself of the original "Freedom Potentials" videos you may find further information in there.

The next area of challenge was in regard to CIR, (citizens initiative, referenda and recall). Again, Douglas did not personally advocate this political mechanism. However, Geoffrey Dobbs did specifically suggest consideration of the VETO mechanism. The expansion of the VETO to include initiative, referendum and recall are areas for further discussion that would complement the level of influence the individual held over their political representatives. This measure of the increment of association; of increasing freedoms for the individual, is the Douglas Social Credit 'litmus test' for anything under consideration.

Voice to Parliament

In this week's research several times I came across the primary importance of considering the individual in any proposal. In an act of political divisiveness, the South Australian Premier Steven Marshall announced escalated plans to create the nation's first Indigenous Voice to Parliament. The plan is inclusive of only Indigenous South Australians to vote for six representatives on the Voice to Parliament. Read further here:

[First Indigenous Voice to be adopted by South Australian parliament](https://www.theaustralian.com.au/nation/first-indigenous-voice-to-be-adopted-by-south-australian-parliament/news-story/2a4d4e459508fad7514b7866b77d4c8b)

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/nation/first-indigenous-voice-to-be-adopted-by-south-australian-parliament/news-story/2a4d4e459508fad7514b7866b77d4c8b>

Tasmania has recently held a state election. Their voting method for their lower house utilises the Hare-Clark electoral system, as does the Australian Capital Territory. This method is similar to what all states currently utilise for the Senate (federal upper house), but being for the state lower house (and with regions), achieves 80% or better representation, rather than the current 50% plus one for each electoral seat.

https://www.utas.edu.au/library/companion_to_tasmanian_history/H/Hare-Clark%20system.htm

If the South Australian Premier were at all serious about improving effective representation for everybody to our Parliament (and not just a select few), he would be climbing all over this voting method as a legitimate mechanism for consideration of the state lower house to improve representation for nearly all electors, not just the proposal to benefit those who claim particular ancestry. This possible act of reverse racism, is occurring around the free world where indigenous minorities reside. Its purpose is to deconstruct the inclusivity within each Constitution, and proposes to separate into groups for easier manipulation and exploitation.

<https://theconversation.com/politics-with-michelle-grattan-megan-davis-on-a-first-nations-voice-in-the-constitution-120349>

...This week, we continue the conversation on Indigenous recognition with Megan Davis, a law professor and expert member of a key United Nations Indigenous Rights body on the debate about an Indigenous 'Voice' which has followed Ken Wyatt's announcement. "At this point the only viable option for constitutional reform is this proposal for a Voice to parliament," says Megan Davis.

<https://www.referendumcouncil.org.au/discussion-topics/indigenous-voice.html>

Another point to note in regard to the Voice proposal is the consideration of the 'supremacy of parliament'. Should parliament be supreme where it can do no wrong? Is it now claiming infallibility as King John and Henry VIII did? Or should parliament be limited to defined areas as is written within our federal constitution?

Current experience suggests if anything, a glaring pestering to re-consider our constitutional arrangements to better serve the individual rather than the group, to limit the power of the parliament.

The One and the Many - taken to its logical conclusion, a small population claiming preferential treatment (Tasmanians) already have disproportionate influence over our federal parliament with a higher vote-value to other Australians. Currently representative bias is there for the senate, in that Tasmanians enjoy 12 senators to our federal parliament as does every other state, yet with a significantly lower population – a higher vote-value per Tasmanian for representation in the senate. This imperfection is necessary to accept, in order to consider 'state's rights' within the state's house, the senate. Skewing the vote further for another group would disenfranchise all other Australians – heading in the wrong direction of 'this group' instead of the unique qualities of every individual Australian. Ironically, selecting a particular quality while espousing equality – no quality at all. Cultural Marxist hubris.

In Keith Windshuttle's analysis of the proposed Voice for Parliament here:

<https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/bennelong-papers/2019/08/chief-justices-and-lost-tjurungas/>

and further here:

<https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/bennelong-papers/2019/07/theres-more-to-the-voice-than-gleeson-says/>

...I found both articles held compelling arguments against any Constitutional adjustment. Further reading about the communist (collectivist) origins of these constitutional recognition and land rights movements can be found in former communist Geoff McDonald's two works – 'Red Over Black' and 'The Evidence' found here

The PB English's book titled "Storm Over Uluru" builds the case further, for him who has ears to hear.

(Keith Windshuttle's extract)... former Chief Justice of the High Court, Murray Gleeson's speech (July 2019) studiously avoided any discussion of the three most contentious issues in the Referendum Council's report:

the Voice would lead to treaty-making between the Australian government and various Aboriginal groups that now regard themselves as nations;

the treaties it envisages would lead to indigenous autonomy and self-government, and

the Voice would represent Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples internationally...(end extract)

<https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/bennelong-papers/2019/07/theres-more-to-the-voice-than-gleeson-says/>

Different Policies from Different Philosophies

In the biblical narrative (Luke 15) of the one lost sheep, that the 99 were left to find the lost one, is a demonstration of pursuing the well-being of the 'individual' above the group.

A different philosophy is demonstrated with the biblical record about Jesus and the Sanhedrin, where the High Priest Caiphas says 'it is better that one should die for the sake of the many' (group). Within these two narratives is an irreconcilable discord of philosophies.

All power and authority is either 'from within', or, all power and authority is 'from without' - government, bureaucracy, technocracy, internationalism in all its forms. This cleavage between Christianity and Pharisaism is irreconcilable.

The descriptor 'Judaeo-Christian' was developed to confuse Christians about their own philosophy advocating the uniqueness of every individual, and Pharisaism with their philosophy of collectivism - Internationalism in all its forms - the group above the individual. Mark Leibler is consistent, with his advocacy for the Voice to Parliament as elevating the group above the individual.

[Referendum boss Mark Leibler backing](#)

[Pearson on Indigenous voice](#)

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/nation/indigenous/noel-pearson-gains-powerful-backing-on-voice-recognition/news-story/b28fac7c3e07d87c18502bd8565f0234>

[Co-design brings Voice an octave closer to success](#)

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/commentary/codesign-brings-voice-an-octave-closer-to-success/news-story/1f7bbab4b5025413b8dbccbe149f979e>

...Through my (Mark Leibler-ed) own dealings with the Prime Minister, I believe his publicly expressed open-mindedness on whether a government he leads will take the question of a constitutionally enshrined Voice for Indigenous Australians to a referendum is sincere. While

equally mindful of the objections of a relatively small number of his more conservative colleagues, Scott Morrison, unlike his predecessor, remains genuinely open to the idea...

I would recommend for further reading:

"The Power Broker - Mark Leibler, an Australian Jewish Life" to understand a little more about what goes on behind the scenes within all political parties.

<https://www.booktopia.com.au/the-powerbroker-michael-gawenda/book/9781925835809.html>

Morrison has never breached division of church and state: <https://www.theaustralian.com.au/inquirer/morrison-has-never-breached-division-of-church-and-state/news-story/f323ec1c8da72785e3531746a1790a16>

The church must speak with authority, as if from God, especially on matters which affect the individual. Silence complies with wrongs done. Foreign philosophies are having the lion's share of influence over policy outcomes.

Education as Indoctrination – as Immigration

Curriculum swings the pendulum too far
<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/commentary/curriculum-swings-the-pendulum-too-far/news-story/5b130e28b44fd471eee1a3eab8c5e724>

Scott Morrison: he's no ordinary bloke
<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/arts/review/scott-morrison-hes-no-ordinary-bloke/news-story/c48dde36b366c69a1cedecda451626d5>

No justice in shaming innocent white boys
<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/commentary/no-justice-in-shaming-innocent-white-boys/news-story/65483b57043a3014f5a08605fcc90f99>

In three separate, but related articles, we see the foreign philosophy of collectivism being imposed through our public education system. Our Prime Minister chooses to send his children to a Christian school and yet children from the general public are subject to collectivist indoctrination, not personal development as was the justification for education in the first place.

Classical education - the trivium, pursues every discipline under the template of grammar, rhetoric and logic. The individual is encouraged to pursue knowledge on this basis. Prof. Iain McGilchrist reinforces his life of personal development and education from the understanding of 'what something is', and 'what something is not' - rhetoric.

Etymology, (the science of the history of words) is fundamental to understanding any thought.

When we consider the descriptor 'Judeo-Christian', we must separate to qualify the two words / philosophies,

identify the cleavage if there is, and from there we can readily recognise the non-sense of the descriptor. It is meant to confuse and confound the understanding of the individual, a syncretism of opposites.

My understanding of current public education is that it is not. It is indoctrination pure and simple. The PM's selection for his own children should be readily available for everyone, including those who cannot afford private education. I stand by the proposal for an 'education voucher' for every family with school age children. That with the 'voucher', the family may decide to choose or refuse one education facility over another for the development of each child and their unique needs, including home schooling should the need or desire arise. This approach is consistent with the similar but different consideration of the (DSC) Responsible Voter – Responsible Vote.

International student numbers collapse by 38pc
– (my words-ed) expanded immigration is the major liberal / labor purpose of these expanding university entries

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/higher-education/international-student-numbers-collapse-by-38pc/news-story/99df98d3f5f570d9406f0f1fb20d482a>

In a telling article regarding international student numbers, we are provided with a graph of the expanded international student numbers, in particular across the years 2016-2020. My assessment of this one set of statistics shows that immigration is a major 'policy outcome' of these expanding university entries.

Performance study looks to lift the retention rate at universities
<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/higher-education/opinion/performance-study-looks-to-lift-the-retention-rate-at-universities/news-story/6b5134ba2d93f69f1ae6b399664f8dcc>

University of Adelaide Offers 200 \$20,000 MBAs
<https://www.mbanews.com.au/university-of-adelaide-mba-scholarship/>

Standards have been lowered, course costs have been reduced, and course-duration has been extended to maintain or increase attendance numbers. Are there so many vacancies out there to supply employment for all these potential graduates? Or are universities simply like sausage machines; to churn out production without the key-stone outcomes of personal development and quality of results? Education is denying 'quality of every individual' as is the Voice.

Warning Democracy CH Douglas p.39 Extract

It may be suggested that it is as arguable that the relation of one individual to a given situation is similar to that of any other, as is the converse. But apart from any theory on the matter, I think we are

in possession of important evidence to prove that the trend of evolution is towards Individuality, and that Individuality demands its own unique relationship to circumstances.

There probably never was a time at which such conscious effort was being made to endeavour to make people think alike. We have a syndicated Press, selecting and adapting the news of the world to suit a unified policy. As a result there never was a time since the invention of printing when people paid less attention to the opinion of newspapers.

On the whole, so far from the modern newspaper impressing its views upon its readers, its influence varies almost directly in proportion to its absence of evident bias, which is another way of saying that it varies as it represents the opinion of some individual, rather than the machine-made policy of some large interest.

Similarly, there never was a time in which the mechanism of Education was so centrally controlled as at present, and there probably never was a time in which the revolt against orthodox, uniform, or machine-made teaching was so active and widespread. It is impossible to consider these matters with any seriousness, however, without realising that there is a force, which may be conscious or unconscious, which definitely resists the evolution of the individual. Ranged with this force at present are all those influences which may be described by collective terms such as "Industry," "Labour," "Capital," terms, in short, designating functions of the body politic.

(end extract)

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Douglas%20CH%20-%20Warning%20Democracy.pdf>

When Does Ideology Become Fraud?

Universities in turmoil as dirty little secrets come out

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/inquirer/coronavirus-universities-in-turmoil-as-dirty-little-secrets-come-out/news-story/5b60a7158552546e4cec511efcf6e9ca>

Does ocean acidification alter fish behavior?

Fraud allegations create a sea of doubt

<https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2021/05/does-ocean-acidification-alter-fish-behavior-fraud-allegations-create-sea-doubt>

James Cook University in hot water

over claims of fish fraud

<https://www.theaustralian.com.au/higher-education/james-cook-university-in-hot-water-over-claims-of-fish-fraud/news-story/d7ffe362e70ad2f318fdbcb06b0704a19>

Inheritance for Every Individual or the Group?

Land Rights has accorded a select group, privilege and financial benefit at the expense of other Australians. It has also allowed massive international exploitation of mineral reserves with little derived benefit for the general public. Most royalties directed towards the state go to

service existing debt to our feudal lords: private money-lenders labelled International Bankers – the high road of the few. Land Rights is not putting solid runs on the board, especially for the < 0.5% of our total population who live in remote communities, with a steady stream of violent incidents fuelled by chemical abuse and disproportionate representation in our jails.

In stark contrast to this arrangement is the (DSC) Douglas Social Credit proposal of the National Dividend as a cultural inheritance for all – every individual to do with as they see fit.

The civilisational and cultural developments since the arrival of the first fleet, has the capacity to lift every Australian onto a platform of financial security, provided we have the spiritual energy to harness our resources, industry, infrastructure and institutions for the direct benefit of every individual within Australia. This is an entirely different policy direction from an entirely different philosophy, where the individual is superior to the group - Douglas Social Credit.

*"What man of you that hath an hundred sheep:
and if he shall lose one of them,
doth he not leave the ninety-nine in the desert,
and go after that which was lost, until he find it?"*

LETTER : Every citizen has the right to raise his voice to Parliament, so it comes as a disappointment that SA Premier Marshall is promoting Constitutional changes nationally to provide for an Indigenous Voice for Canberra.

Moves are at hand for a similar provision in our State. Both are not necessary while we can all participate in the process of responsible representative government by using existing provisions.

Whether it is the pensioners, the farmers, the nurses or even individuals; all may lobby their Parliamentary representatives. No legislation or Constitutional changes are required.

Creating special arrangements for Indigenous people to lobby Parliament will lead to other sectors from our diverse community to seek similar rules.

Where will it end? -- *Ken Grundy, Naracoorte SA*

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.