



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 57 No. 34

3rd September 2021

IN THIS ISSUE

Take The Propaganda Away To See What Is Going On By Arnis Luks	1
Repatriation of National Assets and Industry	2
What Is To Be Done?	3
Cross-Border Brutality	4

Thought For The Week: A 'Problem' correctly stated is already half solved: CH Douglas

- Repatriation of all our national assets and primary industry to Australia with Australian ownership restored
- Open, Accountable and Responsible Government – Hansard record of all public committees and meetings including parliamentary cabinets, except where legal advice is sought – an open and full record of events and outcomes of all the public service available for public scrutiny - *Keys to Unlocking Democracy*

TAKE THE PROPAGANDA AWAY TO SEE WHAT IS GOING ON By Arnis Luks

I was piecing together news articles from the 2020 federal budget and associating those to the current headlines in regard to record profits and dividends of some \$86.6 billion in payments to investors bank accounts. The federal government has transferred a debt equivalent of 55% of GDP across to the public in the course of covid. This is while hundreds of thousands of Australian small businesses have gone to the wall. It doesn't take much imagination to recognise that the tax base will also collapse as the aftershock. The budget projections are nonsense to say the least. Where is the taxation base to come from when no one is earning a living wage?

A realistic comparison of the financial ramifications of covid would be the GFC global financial crisis of 2008. In that instance cancellation of mammoth debts for selected banking interests assisted them to achieve record profits the following year. Yet the general public were left wallowing in their irredeemable debts. The housing market in United States was at the forefront of this policy with properties available for 10% of previous value.

Looking at Australian history of property-values and subsequent collapses, I found the phenomenon of property-value collapse occurred twice in the 1800s. In the 1900s the 1929 depression, and another around 1975 where property-values again went through the floor. The current surge in prices of property to the tune of perhaps 40% per annum, are unsustainable and you don't need to be a fortune-teller to see the writing on the wall.

Compounding on this is the TWU transport workers union strike and the rising (unreliable) PCR positive results for Shepparton, being a significant centre of food production for Victoria. This convergence of catastrophes is threatening our food security and distribution generally. There is also another stark realisation from this unfolding narrative, and it is this: if you (your area) choose to mount a display of resistance against government covid policy, you will be placed under extended community-sanctions (coercion). Is this the *modus operandi* of our chief health officers and governments imposing upon us? Let us have a look '*without the propaganda*'. Melbourne and Sydney both held demonstrations and both ended up with increased community-sanctions (coercion). So is the case with Shepparton - originally SPC: Shepparton Preserving Company.

<https://www.hcamag.com/au/news/general/manufacturer-spc-introduces-compulsory-covid-19-vaccine-policy-for-employees/301563>
SPC Chairman Hussein Rifai said the company believes mandating the COVID-19 vaccine is the right thing to do to minimise risk and protect people from the ultra-infectious Delta variant.

Resistance to mRNA injections from the greater Shepparton community and SPC workers was met with increased community-sanctions (coercion) across the Goulbourn Valley. WA, Qld and SA all fell quickly into line by the covid declarations and achieved minimal community-sanctions (coercion).

Comparing SARS-CoV-2 natural immunity to vaccine-induced immunity: reinfections versus breakthrough infections <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.24.21262415v1.full.pdf>

Remember: "take the propaganda away from assessing what is actually going on".

The lifting of community-sanctions (coercion) is occurring in NSW based on mRNA injection compliance - obedience. The current escalation of PCR positives occurring as a direct result from the mRNA injections gets

no MSM emphasis. This is while the (counter-intuitive) narrative of escalating PCR positives and ICU cases don't follow any logical pattern for lifting community-sanctions (coercion) - causing cognitive dissonance.

Repatriation of National Assets and Industry

Where to start is the real question. This is such a huge subject. Any enquiry into our national security for Australia as a sovereign and independent nation requires a reliable source of information to build from. ALOR has been archiving ours and others journals from nearly 20 years, of which the analysis of political events goes back at least 100 years. Almost every week other documents are added to our archives and libraries. This week is no different with the addition of the positional papers by Graeme Campbell MHR and his researchers from the 1990s. I was indeed fortunate to uncover an Internet repository and to complement this repository, I used multiple search engines to finally uncover the book titled "*Australia Betrayed*". These 14 historical documents are foundational if we are to consider national security, self-reliance and independence.

<https://alor.org/Storage/navigation/Library6.htm>

Graeme Campbell and his research team have done a significant service for the Australian people trying to come to grips with what has transpired since the 70s and also giving clear direction as to navigate this political dilemma from a national security perspective.

While Senator Rod Kemp has set the political record straight with this linked paper of the treachery by successive governments of all persuasions, the treachery did not end with those governments but continues to this very day. This is something we have to get clear in our mind, that there is no safe haven gain in supporting a privately run gang labelled a "political party". By allowing donations to their gang is simply a legislated mechanism of bribery of which they are quite happy to accept and continue.

For Australia to move from a representative democracy into a privately run dictatorship has occurred over many years. Transferring power under the banner of a false nationalism, from our traditional links with the British Empire into the "adjudication of our compliance to treaties by UN Committee" for the benefit of privately run "*foundations*" such as the World Health Organisation or the World Economic Forum under the auspices of the UN, rapidly occurred under the Hawke and Keating governments, to the detriment of the traditional working man, their very own voter base.

[International Tribunals and the Attack on Australian Democracy By Senator Rod Kemp](http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/SGSocUphAUCon/1994/22.html)

<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/SGSocUphAUCon/1994/22.html>

extract: The former Labor Finance Minister, Peter Walsh, summed up the situation in an article (1994) entitled '*Free men who bow to the UN*'. He argued: "*I am not and never have been a monarchist, but find it ironic that*

ON TARGET

so many contemporary Australians determined to protect us from the non-existent threat of English tyranny, fall over each other in a scramble to surrender Australian sovereignty to a ragtag and bobtail of unrepresentative United Nations committees accountable to nobody."

In his first major speech on becoming Leader of the Opposition, Alexander Downer identified the role that the UN was playing in the Australian Constitution and legal system as an important issue.

"We believe that the protection of Australia's national interests is most effectively upheld by Australians throughout our Parliaments, our courts and other bodies, and not through UN or other international committees that are ill-suited to playing any direct role in the Australian legal system and many of which are themselves widely recognised as being in need of reform." And further:

"Labor will continue to make Australian law accountable to foreign tribunals; (while-ed) we will ensure that Australian law is made in and by Australians."

Under the Hawke and Keating Governments, UN conventions often provided the constitutional head of power for the law, the UN committee or bureau monitors performance, and in some cases a UN committee or International Labour Organisation ILO committee can adjudicate disputes. There are great ironies in relation to these important legal and constitutional developments.

Australia's No.1 republican, Paul Keating has led the way in ceding Australia's independence and sovereignty to United Nations committees.

Our politicians who speak most about human rights, such as Senator Gareth Evans, have ignored a basic human right of the Australian people by omitting to check whether they want United Nations committees to become actively involved in Australian domestic disputes. The decisions to involve UN human rights committees in Australian domestic disputes did not require an act of Parliament. As with all treaties, this decision was simply a matter for Executive Government. Australians know virtually nothing about the procedures of these committees, the quality of the members who will be making rulings on Australian disputes, or the impact their decisions are likely to have on Australian law. These developments stand in sharp contradiction with the view that in a democracy, the people should be subject to laws enacted by their parliaments and interpreted by their judges....**end**

The history of Australia's commitment to the Lima declaration began with the signing in 1975 by the Whitlam government just as that government was being forced into its last election. The incoming Fraser government did not change direction, in fact hardly missed a beat of the march to de-construct Australia's industry and hand it over to supposedly Third World nations under the "North-South Dialogue".

<https://www.rand.org/pubs/papers/P5896.html>

In retrospect most of our manufacturing and primary industry has gone across to China and Korea, with China now considered a security threat towards Australia's independence, self-reliance and sovereignty. Reconsider the June 2019 unannounced arrival of 3 Chinese military vessels sailing into Sydney Harbour - gunboat diplomacy. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-06-03/chinese-warships-enter-sydney-harbour-south-china-sea-claims/11172578>

The 46 years since the Lima declaration signing allows a retrospective look at the consequences of this policy and also the implications of the decisions made by both Hawke and Keating to direct our legal systems to look for adherence by "committees" from the UN.

This is intriguing to reconsider the almost complete lack of engagement on this vital issue by the ACTU. It takes very little imagination to recognise that employment opportunities through primary industry and manufacturing coalesce all across the economy providing long-term secure jobs and from this proceeds a secure future for the next generation to build from. We have witnessed the feudalising of the Australian people by these policies while the ACTU (even today) still remains silent. The Lima declaration and UN conventions were part of a long-term strategy to bring about a socialist world state. That this world state happens to be run as a privately *managed* entity does not seem to bother the ACTU nor successive Australian governments.

Klaus Schwab, of the World Economic Forum, is the founder and executive chairman of that not-for-profit foundation "for life". He holds the power to appoint his own successor. Son of Klaus and his wife Hilde, Olivier M. Schwab is the Managing Director of the World Economic Forum. This "world" body is a tool of private management - the World Economic Forum was founded in 1971 as a not-for-profit foundation and Klaus Schwab built it into the foremost international institution for public-private cooperation. It is from this perspective that we can see the nexus between monopoly capitalism and the world socialist slave state.

Bill Gates and Antony Fauci present a similar business model of management by vested-interest-control of the public-private partnership, the World Health Organisation flowing through our Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) to advise and implement Australian government medical policy to the direct benefit of Gates and Fauci. Discrimination against conflict of interest was once a necessary process prior to the implementation of every government policy. Now "vested interests" hold sway.

The United Nations is a privately managed and run organisation - for both profit and power!

Who Owns Big Pharma and Big Media? You'll Never Guess By Dr. Joseph Mercola

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/blackrock-vanguard-own-big-pharma-media/>

Extract...BlackRock and the Vanguard Group, the two

largest asset management firms in the world, combined own *The New York Times* and other legacy media, along with Big Pharma. **Big Pharma and mainstream media are largely owned by two asset management firms: BlackRock and Vanguard.** Drug companies are driving COVID-19 responses — all of which, so far, have endangered rather than optimized public health — and mainstream media have been willing accomplices in spreading their propaganda, a false official narrative that leads the public astray and fosters fear based on lies.

Vanguard and BlackRock are the top two owners of Time Warner, Comcast, Disney and *News Corp*, four of the six media companies that control more than 90% of the U.S. media landscape.

BlackRock and Vanguard form a secret monopoly that own just about everything else you can think of too. In all, they have ownership in 1,600 American firms, which in 2015 had combined revenues of \$9.1 trillion. When you add in the third-largest global owner, State Street, their combined ownership encompasses nearly 90% of all S&P 500 firms.

Vanguard is the largest shareholder of BlackRock. Vanguard itself, on the other hand, has a unique structure that makes its ownership more difficult to discern, but many of the oldest, richest families in the world can be linked to Vanguard funds...end

This informative article by Mercola directly links *Fox/News* conglomerations to big pharma. This is why there is nary a word of dissent on the real things that matter from them. They won't risk access to their trough over truth with integrity. This places the ABC/Nine Entertainment policy as pro UN (communist) while Fox/News is also pro WHO – UN (communist). This is the Marxist dialectic in real life. Both vested interest objectives lead to the same place – monopoly capitalism. ***The high road of the few and the low road of the many.***

Jeremy Lee's video "*What is the New World Order*" here: <https://www.bitchute.com/video/F1Ha4JAL23Np/> details the queue of those who would commit treachery against the Australian Nation from all political parties.

What Is To Be Done?

While Canberra may be hesitant to consider any proposals against their power base, this is imperative if we are to move from the surveillance state 'business model' emanating from these privately managed foundations with "world ..." titles back into the realm of public service.

Currently under the surveillance state, the bureaucrat monitors the individual public's every moment. The roles need to be reversed. If you are on the public payroll of any kind your activity and decisions made for the benefit of the public must be scrutinised by that same public - in other words "***open government***" is a must.

Freedom of Information is extracted only after much legal leverage, whereas open government requires information to be re-viewed at will.

Look at the fiasco of the defence contracts for RAN naval vessels and RAAF plane purchases. Under what basis were these decisions made that commit countless billions of tax dollars on the never-never? How did our former PM come to the decision to lease the Port of Darwin? What conflicts of interest and national security implications were in the decision to separate water from the land to establish the bureaucratically run MDBP Murray Darling Basin Plan? This is why the existing system of the 2 dominating parties entertaining vested interests collapses under an avalanche of corruption.

These disastrous decisions need to be considered in retrospect by the monitoring of the public service meetings and decisions and vested conflicts of interest.

Political Lobbyists:

Interfering With Representative's Primary Duties

Currently there are hundreds of licensed political lobbyists. This is a direct interference between the electorate and their representative and must cease. The primary role and function of every representative is to represent the electorate in the parliament; and to hold the Executive accountable to the parliament. How can this occur while lobbyists have free-rein over our politicians?

The 'lobbyist's thinking' is to usurp the power of the Parliament. Any public servant, whether as High Court judge, Representative or garbo, must be compelled back to the position of service and held accountable. The inclination to become master over others is a human frailty - all must be called back - **recalled**. The public servant is given authority to serve the public, not *serve it over the public*. Our chief health officers are not held accountable at all over the covid folly. Nor are our political Representatives except "briefly" at election time.

The treachery against Australia and its peoples has occurred behind closed doors and only in retrospect are the perpetrators (sic) apparent. We, the public need a better and more available mechanism at our disposal to realign their decisions to the best interest of 'we the people', not of the vested interests controlling the propaganda machine MSM. While the proposal for CIR is within our '**keys to unlocking democracy**', it is also important to recognise that monitoring of the public service decisions needs to occur 'on a daily basis' by an active and engaged public. Freedom with Responsibility.

Cross-Border Brutality

I am keenly aware of the suffering of cross-border communities under the covid tyranny. Unsurprisingly the local representatives are suggesting their hands are tied. This is entirely false and they are demonstrating that they are simply a party hack. The suffering of those communities is directly affecting family stability and imposing severe psychological harm on those individuals. The purpose of Parliament is to resolve issues. The representative's primary function is to represent their electorate in the Parliament. For both

of these requirements the representatives are failing dismally. All state Premiers, being under the stewardship of their chief health officers are brutalising these communities without reference back to the Parliament.

Every representative, every representative has the ability to force any issue into the public domain at any time. Are they capable of immediately resigning from the political party and making public the critical issue at hand? If this is still insufficient, then resigning from the Parliament in order to cause a by-election is the next option. This by-election can be indicative of the electorate's opinion, especially when the representative can re-stand under a banner of policy 'reorientation' such as resolving the outstanding cross-border issues.

This is not rocket science, but an opportunity to demonstrate political resolve and electoral representation to the Parliament. The fact that politicians all stand in a row like lemmings to protect access to the trough while their electorate suffers is a clear indication of their standard of personal integrity.

By this method of coming back to the electorate for their views, indicate the authority that a representative can achieve if they are linked with their electorate. The historical example of Ted Mack (Mayor of North Sydney and NSW state independent for North Shore and North Sydney independent representative for the federal parliament is there for the public record. It can be done. 'Yes' this means the electorate will be consulted on critical issues affecting them directly. How novel. And 'Yes' the Main Stream Media will campaign using every dirty trick in the book against them (baring all the dirt they hold over the representative) - so be it. Gun boat diplomacy can be exercised in many and varied circumstances, but that has to be taken into account if we are to regain our ancient freedoms. Both representative and the electorate must have the same 'brave beating heart within' to overturn the tank of covid tyranny.

This article is a reflection of study and application of Douglas Social Credit as The Policy For Freedom. Progressional courses are available through our online libraries, video/podcast channels and directly from our staff at Head Office. Details below. ***

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

**On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**