



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 58 No. 11

25th March 2022

IN THIS ISSUE

Democide - Death By Government By Arnis Luks	1
SA Election	2
We Believe https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Liberal%20Party%20of%20Australia%20-%20WeBelieve.pdf	3
Ukraine Report	4

Thought For The Week: There is nothing sensational in the way of revelations contained in these pages. All the cases mentioned are well known to those who were in authority, less well known to those primarily affected, and unknown, unfortunately, to the millions who fell. Although only a small part of the vast field of falsehood is covered, it may suffice to show how the unsuspecting innocence of the masses in all countries was ruthlessly and systematically exploited.

There are some who object to war because of its immorality, there are some who shrink from the arbitrament of arms because of its increased cruelty and barbarity; there are a growing number who protest against this method, at the outset known to be unsuccessful, of attempting to settle international disputes because of its imbecility and futility.

But there is not a living soul in any country who does not deeply resent having his passions roused, his indignation inflamed, his patriotism exploited, and his highest ideals desecrated by concealment, subterfuge, fraud, falsehood, trickery, and deliberate lying on the part of those in whom he is taught to repose confidence and to whom he is enjoined to pay respect.

None of the heroes prepared for suffering and sacrifice, none of the common herd ready for service and obedience, will be inclined to listen to the call of their country once they discover the polluted sources from whence that call proceeds and recognize the monstrous finger of falsehood which beckons them to the battlefield. FALSEHOOD IN WAR-TIME by Arthur Ponsonby MP 1929

DEMOCIDE - DEATH BY GOVERNMENT By Arnis Luks

Roundtable Discussion on COVID Treatments and Mandates - Analysis by Dr. Mercola March 18, 2022
<https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2022/03/18/covid-roundtable-discussion.aspx>

"March 7, 2022, Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis hosted a roundtable discussion about COVID treatment, early treatment suppression, vaccine risks, the collateral damage from school closures and lockdowns and more

"March 8, 2022, the Florida Department of Health updated its guidance, formally recommending against COVID vaccination for healthy children, 5 to 17. Florida is the first state to go against CDC vaccine recommendations. Florida Surgeon General Joseph Ladapo stressed that, as we move forward, we must insist on holding decision makers accountable for their harmful public health decisions. "Their choices, that they made for everyone, were the wrong choices that led to, basically, no appreciable benefit. According to Dr. Jay Bhattacharya, one of the most egregious mistakes made was to ignore the fact that there's a thousand-fold difference in risk between the lowest and highest risk groups. Children are at virtually no risk of dying from COVID, yet children have been forced to bear the burden of disease prevention. "Almost from the very beginning of the pandemic, we adopted policies that seem like they were tailor-made to harm children," he said...

According to Dr. Sunetra Gupta, what we've seen over the past two years is an "inversion of the schedule of uncertainty." Doubt was cast on things that were certain, while certainty was claimed for things we had no clue about. Decision makers chose to do the very things we knew, for certain, would cause harm. They inverted the precautionary principle to minimize harm, and chose to maximize harm instead..." end

SA: This past week has seen a flurry of activity by many candidates, but a most salient point came from the former incumbent for Davenport. When asked of their position on several issues the reply demonstrated the subversion of our Parliamentary institution and processes by political parties. The incumbent's justification for a particular policy was that: they personally argued vigorously in the party room against what became the party position, but were bound by party discipline to endorse the party-room outcome within the Parliament. This confession of submission to party discipline above their personal beliefs and possibly the view of their electorate within the Parliament, acknowledges and justifies for them the subversion of the Parliamentary processes by political-party expediency. The election process is in fact only voting for 'an electoral college' – parliament meeting to endorse who holds power: none or little representation except inside the discrete party-room.

The political party within the confines and secrecy of the party-room chooses policy. Private individuals (lobbyists) with vested interests have direct access to the party hierarchy and possibly the party-room unknown to the electorate.

I understand local government councillors are not permitted to take opinions, nor discuss issues outside of council chambers - the very thing lobbyists do every day to state and federal political-party members. The hierarchy of command in order to influence policy is not always apparent to the electors, but certainly the core business of vested interest-lobbyists.

What was once Parliament as the representative's forum for debate and discussion faithfully recorded within Hansard, has been moved to the confines and secrecy of the party-room without public record for scrutiny as the only allowed place for honest debate, in order to dishonestly represent their electorate within the parliament. Parliamentary sittings only reinforce support for the existing government, nothing else. Also note: a majority within the party room may only represent as little as 20% of the electorates, so does not equate to a majority point of view at all. Political parties reflect a dominant minority of the electorates' representatives.

The electorate, in spite of active engagement with their representative, remains unaware of the truth or fiction of what their representative actually said or did behind closed doors. By suggesting what occurs in the party room without proof, guarantees no accountability whatsoever. Someone else (who shall always remain nameless) within the party, carried the day on that issue. Nothing more than party-room smoke and mirrors by corrupt and corruptible politicians.

Hansard was initiated by William Cobbett in order that the parliamentarians would be held to account by the written record for what actually did transpire within the Parliament. None of this scrutiny of what actually transpired is available for public scrutiny from the

ON TARGET

party-room. The culprits who have gone against their electorate are not revealed for account, but hidden within the group or gang. An undemocratic oligarchy created to subvert the institution of parliament.

Should the representative have chosen to voice their personal beliefs within the Parliament, while possibly running the risk of embarrassing the government, this may have gone some way to represent their electorate. By remaining mute within the Parliament, there is this double failure.

- not holding an ethical position within the Parliament of what they believed to be the correct position.
- failing to represent the will of the electorate within the Parliament. Simply put; as a delegate of authority from the electorate rather than as a representative of the electorate.

Any discussion within the party room becomes a mute point - of no consequence. The electorate's voices are silenced within the Parliament.

Last week I mentioned the real war for freedom is being fought between the electorate and the major political parties. The electorate will not find a voice within the Parliament while they continue to endorse the major parties. Federal Liberals have recently intervened in NSW to override the expressed will of the branches with candidate selection. This demonstrates a top-down structure. Power originating from the highest levels of the party, not from the members, nor the electorate. Joining a political party to change it from the inside will not alleviate this failure of representation.

How novel for the electorate to impertinently want someone who actually resides within the electorate and is prepared to represent the will of the electorate within the parliament.

Every representative within the major parties is in no man's land due to conflicting loyalty to their electorate and their spineless adherence to party discipline to protect the back of every other professional politician within the party.

Prof Peter Ridd was sacked for espousing professional opinions that identified bias within other's works. Obviously, we cannot expect the same calibre of truthfulness from our politicians.

Win, lose or draw they do not deserve to be returned. A regular turnover is a necessary process to ensure better representation and will cause a much overdue change of attitude by any potential representative.

SA Election

The South Australian election is over bar the final counting, but the problems of world government tyranny remain the same. Changing deckchairs on a sinking ship will not avoid the outcome. Something different must be considered and sought after if we are to restore our constitutional rights and freedoms.

The election outcome of swapping from one party to another in the hope of finding relief from world

government policy has not been achieved. There will be no relief with freedom of choice for the jobs, lifting all covid restrictions. This is not rocket science but just common sense. I do not see anything like this being achieved by a change of government in South Australia. The party structure is wrong from the start.

We Believe <https://ator.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Liberal%20Party%20of%20Australia%20-%20WeBelieve.pdf>

Liberals once held a set of beliefs, which are a distant memory. Labor similar, has gone across to the socialist international as their guiding light. The fount of wisdom – London School of Economics and Science – produces both socialists and libertarianists alike being no actual alternative at all.

They work together : Socialist-Communism and Capitalist-Communism i.e. Libertarianism.

This is a war for freedom over power. In the end progressives and socialists both hand this power to central banks, whether it be of the Libertarianists or Socialist type. ***Capitalism and Communism are both equally condemned by the true church***

Libertarianism simply put is the “bourgeoisie” (management) training-manual for Communism. Socialism just as simply put is the “proletariat” (worker) training manual – both training-manuals leading in unity towards slavery – dictatorship of the “bourgeoisie” and the “proletariat” by central banks.

I wonder of Pauline is aware of these truths?

libertarianism /,libə'te:riə,niz(ə)m/

noun [mass noun] an extreme laissez-faire political philosophy advocating only minimal state intervention in the lives of citizens.

Its adherents believe that private morality is not the state's affair, and that therefore activities such as drug use and prostitution that arguably harm no one but the participants should not be illegal. Libertarianism shares elements with anarchism, although it is generally associated more with the political right, chiefly in the US.

CH Douglas identified the flaw within the existing financial system that fails to redeem debt. Debt is the weapon used by central banks to control countries and communities. Under the existing financial system, debt is irredeemable. Governments move from attempting to balance budgets, to (as is now) uncontrolled spending that will bankrupt our country. This debt is created from thin air by central banks, but honoured within the banking fraternity as legitimate. The restoration of State Banks to provide debt-free-credits for Government, Home Mortgages and State Based Industry would go some way to restoring constitutional rights and freedoms.

The establishment of a National Credit Authority, commissioned to balance the financial system with the economic system by the issuing of debt free credits to the consumer as the method of distribution.

Production, Distribution and Consumption in harmony

A national dividend and point of sale consumer-price-subsidy would balance the financial system with

ON TARGET

the physical and actual economy, alleviating debt as the weapon used to enslave us. Libertarianism does not deal with irredeemable debt, but fosters industrial competition to monopoly, ultimately onto war between competing countries. CH Douglas scientifically answered the call of St. George against dragon tyranny of this time in history.

Comments About The SA Election

Former Premier Steve Marshall is fighting for his political existence. Preferential flows from both Greens and Family First are being directed to Labor due to their How-To-Vote cards lodged with the Electoral Commission of South Australia. If the ballot marking is incomplete, the ECSA deems those votes to go as the How-To-Vote lodged by that entity. If two How-To-Votes are lodged the incompleting ballots are shared equally across the two lodgement choices.

You learn something new every day.

Davenport Report

The incumbent within our electorate has been replaced by the former Mayor of Onkaparinga Erin Thompson (who was a communications professional for another council before becoming mayor). While I wish the new representative well, I'm confident there will be no change in policy direction – more world government tyranny.

I was able to locate an archived file of Erin's ‘musings’ which revealed a solid politically-correct perspective. Searching through the websites ‘*global parliament of mayors*’ and ‘*global covenant of mayors*’ to compare perspectives, I found all three to be consistent – out of the fat and into the fire of world government.

‘Voices of’ has become ‘Finniss To The Front’

I mentioned last week the importance of following the preference deals with the how-to-vote cards as a way of understanding loyalties and networking across political parties and 'independents' that are not easily understood. Lou Nicholson, the ‘independent’ candidate for Finniss, was quite fortunate to receive the second preference from the Greens, and the third preference from Labor. Lou Nicholson is inspired by Rebekha Sharkie, Cathy McGowan, Helen Haines and Zali Steggal.

With the media build-up of the 'ramping' issue of the ambulance services, and the Finniss electorate being well represented with retirees who are aware of this problem that directly affects them or their loved ones, this convergence of political opportunities may be sufficient to unseat the former agricultural minister (of GMO by decree fame) David Basham. Readers know I hold no favour towards his effort as representative and would be pleased to see him go. Backfilling with a progressive may be the price the Finniss electorate may have to pay. Were the electorate fully aware of Lou Nicholson's progressive political persuasions may be another matter altogether. Speaking to locals within that electorate the impression I came back with was that she stood separately from the majors, which may not be entirely accurate. The fact her How-To-Vote did not direct

preferences may cause the Liberal incumbent to be returned, in contrast to the Green / Labor preference flow. Who would have thought? A shot in her own foot I think. But a clear example of where media, preferential flows and a clever campaign can pull the wool over the electorate's eyes as to the nature of who their potential representative could really be.

In the long-term, having an internationalist from a different party (or an independent with internationalist views) demonstrates the consistency of policy across all the majors, and if this information is used wisely, can be for the benefit of the electorate.

SA Election Washup

The surveillance state via the bio-security legislation which will bring about a digital ID passport will still be implemented. Jobs will still be mandated as a condition of employment, or welfare becoming conditional on job status that is also in the pipeline. These issues are not going away anytime soon by simple ticks in the box. It will take a monumental effort including a full review as to "how we shall be governed" to restore our ancient rights and freedoms. Jacinda Ardern, with her softly spoken approach carried the imposition of world government tyranny to the New Zealand people, as did Justin Trudeau in Canada. It is policy that counts, not personality, softly spoken voice, leadership or demeanour.

The electorate, (individuals within the electorate) need to take on the task of re-educating themselves and their own electorate on the principles of civic responsibility and how representative democracy is meant to function within the Westminster Parliamentary System. The party-room is not representative of the electorate, but vested interests who offer financial support to the party machine. The electorate is a poor second cousin in having a voice within the parliament. Each electorate must find a mechanism whereby they are able to exert sufficient influence over their representative so as to have a voice in parliament.

Globalists Aim to Take Over Health Systems Worldwide

Analysis by Dr. Joseph Mercola <https://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2022/03/15/who-global-health-authority.aspx>

"The globalist cabal is planning to monopolize health systems worldwide through the creation of an international pandemic treaty that makes the World Health Organization the sole decision maker on pandemic matters. The WHO may also be planning to seize power over health systems more broadly. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus has stated that his "central priority" as director-general of the WHO is to push the world toward universal health coverage

In the name of keeping everyone "safe" from infection, the globalist cabal has justified unprecedented attacks on democracy, civil liberties and personal freedoms, including the right to choose your own medical treatment. Now, the WHO is gearing up to make its

ON TARGET

pandemic leadership permanent, and to extend it into the health care systems of every nation. The idea is to implement universal health care organized by the WHO as part of the Great Reset..." end

Ukraine Report

This past week I came across an article which suggested with the Ukraine invasion, Putin is still doing the work of the WEF. The writer made the most important observation, that Communist Russia is managed by the same people who own and control the Central Bank of Russia. We may think of it as the Politburo, but reality shows central bankers are actually in charge. No doubt the Political Bureau of the Communist Party of China Central Committee is structured similarly. A self-appointing oligarchy who basically own and control the entire nation. These people operate above elections and the public face of government. They direct policy from the shadows. [Are Russian Oligarchs Best Friends with Klaus Schwab? A Conversation with Riley Waggaman](#)

https://tessa.substack.com/p/russia-covid?utm_source=url&s=r

"The head of Sberbank (the central bank of Russia) Herman Gref is a WEF trustee..." end

[As US government denies biological research in Ukraine, Russia exposes network of biolabs that began during the Obama-Biden administration](#)

<https://www.naturalnews.com/2022-03-16-russia-exposes-network-of-biolabs-obama-biden-administration.html>

"As US government denies biological research in Ukraine, Russia exposes network of biolabs that began during the Obama-Biden administration..."

However, Victoria Nuland, the Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs testified at a US Senate hearing that the biolabs do exist, and she is worried the contents of the lab will fall into the hands of the Russians...

It's also hard to believe the U.S. government when they repeatedly lie about bioweapons research in Wuhan, China, that was funded by the U.S. National Institutes of Health...when they continuously assault American freedoms, threaten lives, civil liberties, careers, while forcing dangerous bioweapon vaccines onto the population..." end

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the **Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.**

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.