



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



## IN THIS ISSUE

What Will We Tell Our Children? By Arnis Luks	1
The Pedigree of Ideas by Eric D. Butler <i>The New Times</i> Vol 55 No 7 July 1991	2
Federal Election Comments By Arnis Luks	3
Are we better off under a Liberal or Labor Federal Government? Neither. They don't have it in them.	4

**Thought for the Week:** Why did these things happen? - because men have forgotten God.

That's why these things have happened – *Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn*

### WHAT WILL WE TELL OUR CHILDREN? By Arnis Luks

Jeremy Lee wrote the book "*Australia 2000: What Will We Tell Our Children?*" 25 years ago. He correctly forewarned of events we are experiencing of further and further centralisation of power (p.161). The 'great reset' may have been previously called the New International Economic Order, however, the authors and their cabals have changed their agenda little across a generation. The pursuit of world government as policy continues.

25 years before that Aleksander Solzhenitsyn receiving his Nobel prize for literature, recognising the "writer" as capable of transposing the suffering of one people into the minds of another, specifically mentioning the great suffering the Russian people had experienced with the loss of millions under the Marxist-Leninist dictatorship.

25 years before that Eric D Butler, as a gunner protecting our north from invasion, wrote of the insinuation of communism through our universities and churches, weakening our resolve to defend what was rightfully ours as our cultural inheritance from generations past.

25 years before that Major Clifford Hugh Douglas identified the deliberately manipulated flaw within the financial/economic system as the principal cause of friction between people, evolving into friction across nations/ globe, and only in order to balance their financial books. This evolving friction is responsible for a century of mass killing which we call war. Ukraine is not the exception; it is the rule of exercising false flags to build the justification for war in the minds of an unsuspecting public. Propaganda and big business are concentric. Central banking is the power over all that pursues policy across the whole world.

Continental Europe is receiving supplies of Russian fuels and grain, rather than receiving these same supplies from USA. Britain and USA have been preparing the ground for this conflict since at least the 2014 regime change in the Ukraine. The Russian speaking people of the Donbass count for little, with western training and arming of the Nazi Azov battalions within the Ukraine brutalising those people that causes not a glint of circumspection in the eye of these banksters – the pursuit of policy by other means.

Ukraine, more specifically NATO is pursuing a policy of endless war and expansion to further political goals. NATO has no intention of letting this opportunity escape. NATO is the delivery instrument of the Western banking-military-industrial complex. Foolishly, our own administration is entering into this fray with the imposition of many sanctions and with the supply of bushmaster armoured vehicles to Ukraine. There is no question of investigating the causes of this conflict, but simply adding fuel to the fire of war and carnage from 14,000 km away. Had the events been occurring in Canberra, I am sure there would have been a more realistic assessment of (f)actual circumstances on the ground to consider.

I viewed a UKColumn report this morning, reinforced by three independent and separate European sources on the ground. It exposed the Azov Battalion as responsible for turning their weapons against Ukraine civilians to further the 'evil Russian' narrative. If not crisis actors as *bona fide*, then actual carnage inflicted against innocents to compound the fog of war. We cannot handle the truth of this policy.

Our mainstream media make no mention of this, but simply hold the line that whatever Russia is doing is wrong. This binary perspective holds out little hope for the suffering people of the Ukraine. This theatre of war is for Western consumption to divert our attention away from the tyranny of our own governmental administration of covid.

Jeremy Lee's book "*Australia 2000: What Will We Tell Our Children?*" is available in our social credit library, as are most of his other well researched documents. Jeremy was an intelligence officer during the Mau Mau

uprisings in Kenya, and his research and assessments of Australia's political machinations are exemplary. Jeremy notes that in 1982 the Australian Labor Party reorientated party policy aligning with the Socialist Internationale. Under Hawke in 1983 the Franklin Dam High Court decision gave unprecedented new powers to the federal government, circumscribing the states in defiance of our federal system of representative parliamentary democracy and limiting constitution. PM Malcolm Fraser had previously directed significant energy eulogising and promoting the Rand Corporation's "North-South Dialogue" that set-in motion the deconstruction of our industrial base in favour of China and Korea (Lima Declaration) and the immersion of foreign banks within Australia completed under Paul Keating.

Jeremy also produced several other booklets; 1978 "Upon That Mountain... A Plot to Betray Australia's Independence" and 1991 "The New World Order" reinforcing this record of treachery by the leaders of both major parties having also been discreetly supporting a

### **THE PEDIGREE OF IDEAS by Eric D. Butler *The New Times* Vol 55 No 7 July 1991**

Best known for his statement concerning the corrupting influence of power, the great Lord Acton also said that nothing so irritates some people as an exposure of the pedigree of the ideas they hold. The growing exposure of the meaning of the New World Order, and the wide publicity being given to documents like *The Lima Declaration* of 1975, are having a most irritating effect on some people. Mr. Rick Farley, of the National Farmers' Federation, blames the "perfidious" League of Rights for what is happening. Along with others, including some politicians, Farley attempts to argue that the Lima Declaration is no longer relevant an isolated event of the past. The reaction to the wide circulation of the Lima Declaration, and other information concerning the creation of a New International Economic Order, serves the purpose of highlighting the nature of real history.

Every novel has a plot, but the reader will not grasp the plot by reading only a few selected chapters in the novel. The complete book must be read.

The full significance of the Lima Declaration, which in essence proposed that the resources of the developed nations be shifted in part to the underdeveloped world, cannot be fully grasped unless seen as but one episode in a series of episodes advancing one central idea: the attempt to establish some type of a New World Order.

There is nothing new about the idea of a New World Order; it is as old as man. But because of a combination of factors, primarily the growing technological revolution along with the Development of international banking, the idea has been given an enormous impetus. The fact that all attempts to materialise the idea must fail, does not prevent the consistent attempt to make it work.

massive immigration programme to further weaken a once-was homogeneous people. The massacre of Port Arthur 1996 was not peripheral to this plan, but very much part of the culturally weakening, de-industrialising and finally disarming of the Australian people under John Howard.

These things did not happen by accident. They are part of the pursuit of a long-term policy towards world government. Both political parties played their significant parts, and no doubt those treacherous leaders were rewarded accordingly. I encourage the reader to go through these well researched documents in our online libraries to build a personal repertory of what has transpired within Australia's modern political history. Attorney General Gareth Evans' address to the Trilateral Commission or PM Bob Hawke celebrating the Fabian Society, both sharing a dedicated commitment towards Globalism and the New World Order – communism by another name. We were foretold from their very mouths but did not listen.

\*\*\*

It was agreed at the Dumbarton Oaks Conference of 1944 that the League of Nations, a concrete manifestation of the attempt to establish a New World Order, had failed, not because the underlying idea was unsound, but because the League (of Nations-ed) had not been strong enough. A much stronger international organisation was necessary for after the Second World War. And so the United Nations Organisation was born. Following the Gulf War, the plea is being made that the time has come when UNO must be further "strengthened".

#### **Genesis of Declaration**

The genesis of the Lima Declaration will be found in a memorandum written by the darling of the Fabian Socialists, British economist John Maynard Keynes, in 1942. Keynes argued that not only must the international banking system be strengthened, but that the basic raw materials of the world should be brought under international control. Keynes was a major figure at the 1944 Bretton Woods Financial Conference, where the concept of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund was given shape.

Many superficial observers were puzzled by the sympathetic attitude of the Australian Communists towards the acceptance of the Bretton Woods agreement. The Communists had been well briefed and were no doubt well aware that top Soviet agent Harry Dexter White had played a major role, along with Keynes, in formulating the Bretton Woods agreement. They were taking the longer view of history, believing that every move towards centralising power would eventually favour the Marxist advance.

#### **The Kissinger Address**

The more immediate forerunner to the Lima

Declaration was an historic address by Dr. Henry Kissinger in 1973 to UNO representatives, in which this spokesman for International Finance said that the time had come when it was obvious that the individual nations of the world could not solve their own economic problems; that this could only be achieved through the establishment of a New International Economic Order. It was not long afterwards that the New International Economic Order became UNO policy.

The Lima Conference of 1975 was concerned with a more concrete programme for advancing this concept. The Fabian internationalists of the Whitlam Government were openly enthusiastic. The dismantling of protection for Australian industries got under way.

It is true that the Lima Declaration was not a formal international agreement, but its intentions are quite clear. The fact that there was no formal international agreement did not prevent Mr. Gough Whitlam's successor, Mr. Malcolm Fraser, from enthusiastically endorsing the spirit of the Lima Declaration, giving lectures and statements on the "*North-South Dialogue*". The Hawke Government has been even more enthusiastic, with senior ministers constantly telling Australians that they are determined to "internationalise" the Australian economy.

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMENTS By Arnis Luks

With the election announcement comes another opportunity for the Australian people to do something novel, or different. Our parliaments are not functioning as they are meant. Our Representatives are little more than the cheer squad for party executives. The outcome of the vote will have little effect on policy direction unless enough individuals set about to change policy.

The Murray-Darling Basin Authority and limited water supply is an example of shorting the market to optimise the value of water licences. Should the MDBA choose a policy of sufficiency rather than scarcity, we would hear of an increase in available water to bolster food security. There are many potential schemes: the Bradfield scheme one of them. Lake Argyle holds a prolific volume of freshwater that flows out to sea as do many east coast rivers. The political will is the only source lacking to deal with this issue.

Australia holds significant reserves of coal, oil and gas, certainly enough to supply more than our own needs. Modern technology is capable of harnessing this energy and appeasing the highest environmental standards. The political will is the only source lacking to deal with this issue of energy and power security.

Australian manufacturing could be the most advanced and efficient in the world. With an abundance of raw materials all we are lacking is the political will to restore Australia as an advanced manufacturing nation.

Our Constitution and the lessons of history place banking as an integral part of the nation's security and self-reliance to be administered within the powers of

The international refrain is being played everywhere, in New Zealand, under a National Government, and in Canada under Conservative Government.

### Plot Becomes Clearer

C.H. Douglas's genius not only brought into the light of day the type of policies, which increase both national and international problems, but how those responsible for those policies pursue a long-term programme of exploiting those problems to advance the central idea of World Dominion, but Douglas also showed how to understand real history, which is not a series of disconnected episodes, but is "crystallised politics". He showed how to understand a consistent plot running through the human drama. Events are now making it easier to discern the plot behind the New World Order concept.

In one sense, time is not on the side of the framers of the plot against civilisation: they are running into major obstacles rooted in reality. But they are going to continue trying, because they are in the grip of the power idea. They are literally quite mad.

Douglas Social Crediters everywhere have the responsibility of presenting sanity as the disastrous results of an insane idea become more obvious. \*\*\*

our Federal Constitution 'except for state banking'. The deliberate emasculation of the People's Bank: the Commonwealth Bank of Australia is policy to bring about the new international economic order. A nation, under a world government scenario, cannot hold the right to manage its own currency. This power above all other powers must be managed by a world central bank: the end position of the Bretton Woods Agreement 1944, with CBDC Central Bank Digital Currency capable at will of squeezing the lifeblood from any nation or individual without even a moment's thought.

The first round of the French presidential elections occurs today 10th April. The banker's man Emmanuel Macron (through the media narrative) is being pitted against Marine Le Pen. The other ten presidential candidates hardly rate a media mention. The National Assembly (French Parliament) elections will occur in June 2022. You could be mistaken to think there is only the Presidential election of which there are only two candidates to choose from. Such is the state of political discourse. The Australian political narrative is similar.

I performed an internet search on the performance of my federal representative Rebekha Sharkie for Mayo and found the following website of interest:

**[https://theyvoteforyou.org.au/people/representatives/mayo/rebekha\\_sharkie/divisions](https://theyvoteforyou.org.au/people/representatives/mayo/rebekha_sharkie/divisions)**

I gleaned from some discussion on this website that the operators may have some Soros-left-alignment, however the light of accountability for our politicians is sorely found wanting.

I don't believe this information will cause me to change my perceptions but probably reinforce them. I see them, the site, as a bit like open society fake-fact-checkers, but I could be wrong.

Her, Rebekha Sharkie's attendance in parliament and her voting record are part of a realistic assessment of performance. But what of those things outside of parliament that should or should not have been supported – freedom of choice for the job, lifting of all covid restrictions, limiting of bureaucratic tyranny etc.

I am personally unconcerned about affirmative action or \*&^%\$#@ rights, but am concerned about our national sovereignty and industrial self-reliance, and our cultural inheritance and the people's bank. These issues affect the freedoms and our hopes for the future of my immediate family, my children and my children's children. What legacy am I to leave them if any? Am I to leave them the situation whereby our Federal Department of Health CHO is unable to answer the question without notice "what is the definition of a woman?" – Senate Community Affairs Legislative Committee question by Sen Alex Antic. Are we so accustomed to accepting lies as truth and language as so corrupted an honest answer cannot be given for fear of reprisals from the nearest politically correct commiCzar?

We need to take into account that NSW is still hounding Queenslander Bernard Gaynor through their politically-correct-stazzi-chambers for this type of suggested infringement that occurred cross-border in Queensland 8 years ago and still counting, with Liberals at both state and federal helms. The Liberals are not part of any answer for freedom, but have been aligned for the past 50 years, as is Labor with George Soros and Klaus Schwab the Great Reset.

Freedom of speech, let alone freedom of thought is not supported in these Liberal controlled (*we know you are guilty; we are just deciding the punishment - the process being the punishment*) inquisition centres.

PM Morrison had every opportunity to clean up the much-maligned ABC and yet continued uninterrupted with their socialist politically correct programming. **Are we better off under a Liberal or Labour Federal Government? Neither. They don't have it in them.**

We must look elsewhere and do something original if we are to survive as a people and overcome this onslaught of world government tyranny administered by both parties at both state and federal levels.

Last week I mentioned that the people of Alberta, Canada took the lead with the election of the social credit government (eventually led by William Aberhart) in 1935. It was an election in which a new party, literally only a few months old, not one single candidate of which had sat previously in the provincial legislature, swept out of office the well-entrenched United Farmers of Alberta government to take 56 of 63 seats.

The Aberhart led Alberta government passed many  
*ON TARGET*

Acts to implement Douglas Social Credit proposals, that were systematically and ruthlessly stifled by a hostile media-controlled-federal-government, activist Lieutenant governor, unsympathetic High Court and Privy Council in Britain. Far from Douglas social credit proposals failing, they never achieved implementation. The money power did everything possible to suppress the Douglas Social Credit proposals, fearing that even a minor implementation would prove catastrophic to their hold on financial power over the nation.

The Chinese call their surveillance state 'social credit' in order to malign and invert the meaning of the words 'social credit' and make non-sense of them. It is actually dis-credit. This shows their fear of the Douglas Social Credit proposals for monetary reform - what is physically possible (in this age of automated manufacturing) must be made financially possible; in that a community can purchase what it produces : which is still to be put to the test - to run the experiment to observe the results. No government will risk this experiment without a popular mandate behind it. This mandate starts from the individual seeking out understanding within civics, politics, economics, finance, constitutionalism all based upon a firm Christian (decentralised) philosophy of power emanating from the individual to serve them as their divine attribution (the kingdom of God is within).

Without an accepted philosophy, no movement can agree on policy. The source or fount head of authority is God alone. Christ is the incarnation of this purpose or will of the Father. It is from here we are able to agree and move out towards freedom of thought, word and deed; to bring about His kingdom on earth as in heaven. The regeneration of our culture begins within, with the renewing of every mind. This is unavoidable. Douglas Social Credit courses are available online in written, audio and video format for your edification. Spend this Holy Season preparing for the tasks ahead, carrying the cross of salvation for our troubled civilisation. Christ did not shirk from doing the Father's Will; not his own. CH Douglas opened up a deeper understanding of the Gospel of Plenty to the modern world. Can we find the courage to walk into this life more abundant than not even Solomon in all his splendour was dressed like? \*\*\*

<b>Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.</b>	
<b>NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.</b>	
<b>Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:</b>	
<b>A/c Title</b>	Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)
<b>BSB</b>	105-044
<b>A/c No.</b>	188-040-840
<b>or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'</b>	
<b>Postal Address:</b> PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.	
<b>Telephone:</b> 08 8322 8923 <b>eMail:</b> heritagebooks@alor.org	
<b>Online Bookstore :</b> <a href="https://veritasbooks.com.au/">https://veritasbooks.com.au/</a>	
<b><a href="https://alor.org/">https://alor.org/</a></b> our main website and repository of the <b>Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.</b>	
<b>On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks</b>	
<b>13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.</b>	