



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 04

10th February 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

Botany Bay : The Real Story : A Review by Arnis Luks	1
Subversive (perversion of truth) 'Sovereign Citizen' Movement	2
The Destruction and Disarray of Australia's Anti-Socialist Forces By Jeremy Lee – <i>Intelligence Survey</i> March 1983	3

BOTANY BAY : THE REAL STORY : A Review by Arnis Luks

I've completed reading '*Botany Bay: The Real Story*' by Prof Alan Frost which is the curtain-raiser to another formidable work '*The First Fleet: The Real Story*'. '*Botany Bay*' records the personal involvement of the young British Prime Minister William Pitt and his overseeing of the colonising of New South Wales for valuable resources, trade and military purposes across the Pacific, India and China for the direct benefit of the British (eventually Commonwealth) strategic and Imperial aspirations. It was a most formidable plan formulated by statesmen, that, while not officially recorded as such, became apparent through the research and scholarship Alan Frost has uncovered across his 35 years of historical pursuit, to establish a strategic outpost from which to protect Britain's Indian interests and also take control of valuable natural resources necessary for the maintenance and sustaining of the British Merchant and Marine Fleet. The parliament kept mute but the historical record unveils the facts and true purpose of the pursuit of these foreign-policy-objectives towards empire.

The fabrication of Australia's colonial history by other well-acknowledged historians has done a great disservice to our national self-esteem. In fact, others have conspired, or failed to adequately research the full truth of why Australia was colonised by Britain. Standard fare of just 'a dumping ground for an excess of convicts' does little to clarify what was a strategic policy over a worldwide system of dependencies—colonies, protectorates, and other territories—that over a span of some three centuries was brought under the sovereignty of the crown of Great Britain and the administration of the British government.

Prof Alan Frost, in his '*Conclusion*', has deconstructed at least 60 years of educational and scholastic propaganda; one fabrication, one historian, one statement at a time to reveal that our colonial cultural achievements from the very establishment of the 'First Fleet' colony at Botany Bay 1788 was the coming together of an imperial vision of the young Prime Minister William Pitt and other able-bodied thinkers, all statesmen of their time. Our history is of a thing to be proud, rather than ashamed of, as the subversives would have us be. The demoralisation of our society is a deliberate policy-objective of a revolutionary subversive movement found in many political expressions of which the 'fabricated history' movement is but one expression towards anarchy.

Jeremy Lee - A Man Before His Time

In 1991 Jeremy Lee was recorded in a telling video titled '*The Planned Destruction of the Australian Constitution*' here: <https://www.bitchute.com/video/NlftAoWn9Bcu/> The process of constitutional destruction has continued and finds current expressions within the 'Voice to parliament' and also the 'Sovereign Citizen' movement leading to anarchy, now appearing to perhaps be in combination. The Voice referenda at the federal level has already received an early impetus with the SA Government placing 'Voice' legislation before the parliament. Victoria is planning to follow suit shortly. The draft SA bill can be found here: <https://www.agd.sa.gov.au/aboriginal-affairs-and-reconciliation/commissioner-for-first-nations-voice/first-nations-voice-model/First-Nations-Voice-Bill-2022.un.pdf>

Interestingly the URL code includes 'UN' within the link which reinforces to me the origins of this movement holding sinister world government policy intentions. The establishment of the UN was internally riddled with communists from the beginning to pursue a globalist policy for complete political power over all the nations. The proposed central bank digital currency which is simultaneously surfacing across all the central banks' world is another major part of that world totalitarian surveillance state - as Douglas described as 'policy by other means'. <https://www.ukcolumn.org/article/are-we-really-at-war-nonsensical-sustainable-development-part-i>

Iain Davis, appearing sometimes on the 'UKColumn broadcast', has produced another telling article orientated around Nuclear Energy production, - Green Energy, that shows for all those interested, the direct associations or powers across national boundaries pursuing only one policy, the money policy, regardless of events on the ground such as the Ukraine War. While Iain does not elaborate from the money perspective, his treatment of the Nuclear issue across warring governments substantiates this pursuit of only one policy while executing another.

From my own searching through the historical record, many events of interest reinforced this ‘one policy objective’ even across World Wars, clearly illustrated by the Warburg brothers financing both the Axis Powers and Allied Powers to pursue the world war from both sides simultaneously. Trotsky and other revolutionaries were also held up in Nova Scotia, Canada for some time before being allowed safe passage through a war zone to bring about the revolution in pre-soviet Russia. This was in spite of these revolutionaries carrying US currency ‘printing plates’ into the ferment. Only one policy - the money policy.

The First World War was to establish communistic-capitalism in Eastern Europe and deconstruct all empires other than the money empire. The Second World War was to expand communistic-capitalism westward. The collapse of the Berlin Wall was to spread those communistic-capitalistic tentacles across the entire globe. The Ukraine war, thanks to Iain Davis’ article, shows its purpose is only a smokescreen for public consumption (regardless of the human carnage), to ensure the policy of Nuclear (Green) energy is held firmly in monopoly hands, with the transfer of all the necessary technology behind the communist-controlled eastern-wall, while simultaneously, deconstructing western industry and destroying western constitutional safeguards. The end of this policy is world government, a nexus of both communism and capitalism, the world slave state - you will own nothing and be happy as Klaus Schwab iterates.

Subversive (perversion of truth) ‘Sovereign Citizen’ Movement

<https://www.utas.edu.au/about/news-and-stories/articles/2020/1038-why-do-living-people-believe-they-have-immunity-from-the-law>

What is becoming increasingly apparent is the clandestine promotion of a subversive (perversion of truth) movement who utilise disquiet amongst individuals against our increasingly totalitarian central government. This movement purposes for individuals to declare their ‘personal sovereignty’ as opposed to being part of an integrated society held personally responsible for their actions under our Limiting Constitutional Monarchy and the ‘rule of law’. Significant numbers of good people are being clandestinely lead astray. The attraction, initially, appears to achieve some financial relief from prosecution for various financial shortcomings and court-imposed fines, alleviating their personal responsibility towards society by adhering to the existing laws of the land. It is insidious and pervasive, building an anarchistic-perspective that fosters their attempt to operate separately from existing societal norms. Regular lectures are given to ensure those ‘held captive’ are kept under instruction in what can only be described as an hypnotic spell. Communist and Anarchist movements are both purposed to break down existing society to cause fertile ground for revolutionary chaos. There is nothing humorous about this nor its’ sinister purposes, to destroy society’s

ON TARGET

cohesiveness bound together around our faith in Limited Constitutional Representative government, our common (canon) law foundations, and personal responsibility under the ‘rule of law’.

<https://www.9news.com.au/national/what-is-a-sovereign-citizen-movement-beliefs-explainer>

Lidia Thorpe has moved away from the Greens to also assist a campaign promoting anarchy - doing away with our cohesive-nation-state and losing our limiting constitutional safeguards, through the “*Blak Sovereignty Movement*” with the pursuit of a separate nation-state for Aboriginals, whereby, those not of Aboriginal descent will pay rent for the privilege of living here:

<https://paytherent.net.au/>

Geoff MacDonald has recorded the true purposes of ensuring some Aboriginals are never lifted from their ancient culture into the modern world. Apartheid as a policy is not good for some such as South Africa or Rhodesia, but, certainly good for others such as our Australian Aboriginal. This inconsistency is disregarded by communist theoreticians as ‘necessary’ to further communist policy-objectives. The Australian Aboriginals are sacrificed as expedient-pawns in communist controlled hands pursuing a globalist policy of world government where the Australian nation state of the future will be unable to exercise policy for the benefit of their own people.

Senator Lidia Thorpe moving away from the Greens is securing up to 6 additional staff in the process:

<https://www.news.com.au/national/nsw-act/politics/lidia-thorpe-set-to-secure-1-million-after-splitting-from-greens/news-story>

Is there a link up between Senator Lidia Thorpe leaving the Greens and the ‘Sovereign Citizen’ movement? I see synchronicity of policy-objective.

Yuri Bezmenov in his ‘*Love Letter to America*’ 1984 (pdf at alor.org) bears witness to the need for personal re-education of at least one generation to de-construct the propaganda filled curricula coming from the educational institutions and MSM outlets that has been inflicted on at least three generations of the Australian population these past 60 years. St Paul calls in a similar fashion for ‘the renewing of your minds’. I find that writing is an excellent medium to foster deeper thinking and crystallising thoughts, of which at times I only suspect the direction it will take me. Yuri Bezmenov identified the mechanisms and processes of indoctrination and societal deconstruction, and also provided the key to national rehabilitation.

Reading quite a few books and documents requires a personal filtering process to extract fact from writer’s fiction. A case for analysis can be found here:

<https://theconversation.cmail20.com/t/r-e-tjkiiyd-jhguklrhr-r/>

Justin Bergman - Senior Deputy Politics + Society Editor for ‘*The Conversation*’ is advocating the Voice to Parliament to enhance multiculturalism and combat racism and discrimination – by suggesting a select group of people ‘based on racial-identification’

is capable of achieving this – somehow filtering out their own racial-bias appointments. An incoherent argument. If Justin Bergman or anyone else proposed CIR, Citizens Initiative, Referenda and Recall, or at least Voter’s VETO to enhance representation, I would agree. But, discrimination based on race to combat racial discrimination is a false logic (of affirmative action) when every Australian is already finding it increasingly difficult to exercise some influence over their ‘representative’. CIR, or at least Voter’s VETO would achieve a small voice for all Australians without separating into differing tribes of special interest – destroying society in order to rebuild society – creative destruction, a Marxist policy advocated by our elite within the universities and MSM outlets.

I came across the article below by Jeremy Lee from 1983, 40 years ago. It could have been written yesterday and repeated again today – only the names would change to similarly identify the rot within the supposedly-conservative ranks.

The Destruction and Disarray of Australia’s Anti-Socialist Forces By Jeremy Lee – *Intelligence Survey* March 1983

The accession of Andrew Peacock and John Howard — a “Frankie and Johnnie” duo if ever there was one — to the leadership, signals the final, ignominious rout of the once-anti-socialist Liberal Party. It also clearly indicates the lack of ordinary political ‘nous’ and the death of leadership material in the Party’s ranks. Forgetting all about philosophical principles for the moment, a pragmatic look at the Liberal results State-by-State should be enough of its own to reveal the source of the Party’s inadequacies.

In Queensland the Liberals lost five seats in the House of Representatives and one — possibly two — Senate places. In the State sphere the Party has retreated dismally since it tried to disassociate itself from Joh Petersen’s clear-cut position. In New South Wales the Party lost heavily at the Federal level, and in the State parliament holds 28 seats out of the combined total of 141 seats in the Legislative Assembly and Council. In Victoria — once the “jewel” of the Liberal crown — the Liberal Party has been humiliated, its federal seats falling from 20 in the 1977 election to 7 — (6 if Diamond Valley is lost). This follows hard on the drubbing received at the hands of the Cain-led ALP in the State election.

In South Australia, the fall of the Tonkin government preceded the erosion at Federal level; and in Western Australia the loss of 6 seats humbled the Party. Only in Tasmania did the Liberal Party fare better than the previous election. Firstly, the (Franklin-ed) Dams issue predominated to the point where the electorate saw the Liberals as the lesser of two evils. This was an undeserved bonus for the Liberals, in view of the fact that Malcolm Fraser precipitated the current (Franklin

Dam) crisis by making Australia a signatory to the World Heritage Act, which of itself is an indirect assault on the Constitution. But Bob Hawke’s notice that he would interfere in the Tasmanian project was enough to produce a sharp swing back to the Liberals which, almost certainly, would not have occurred otherwise. Secondly, Australia’s Liberal members have earned for themselves a reputation for independent thinking and for speaking out which is desperately needed in the Party’s ranks elsewhere.

The National Party — now widely seen as nothing but a “Little Sir Echo” to the Liberals — continued its slide into ignominious obscurity, with its loss of three seats — except in Queensland where its vote increased and its performance in the Senate ballot was in stark contrast to that of the Liberals in that State.

The Lesson

The conclusions to be drawn from the results are, one would have thought, obvious. Wherever the Liberal-National coalition was identified by its progressive small “I” routine, its retreat became a rout. Wherever it presented a reasonably clear set of beliefs which it could translate into an identifiable conservative record, it improved. In the case of the Liberals, this was limited to Tasmania, where State members virtually had to disassociate themselves from their colleagues on the mainland, at least in the minds of the voters. In the case of the Nationals, the Party in Queensland is almost another party to its Federal counterpart. In most Queensland eyes Senator Flo Bjelke-Petersen is much more clearly identified with the Premier and the State than she is with her leader in Canberra, Doug Anthony. This was enough to divorce her and her fellow Senate candidates from the Federal Nationals, who are now widely seen as a pale and inconsequential shadow of the Liberals.

There was a time when the coalition parties and the ALP represented two clear-cut alternatives. The ALP was a socialist party committed to high taxation, the annexation by the State of control over industry, production, distribution and exchange, and the centralisation of power within Australia into a unitary, rather than a federal system. It still stays roughly within these parameters, to which it has added a pursuit of republicanism, and the extension of centralism from the national to the international arena. Through the years it has eliminated one major policy, in direct repudiation of the working Australians the Party claims to represent - the “white Australia” policy — an indication that the socialism of the ALP in the ‘eighties is a different thing from that which the rank-and-file originally charted. There are few working men in the party today.

Erosion

The Liberal and National Parties at one stage presented a clear-cut alternative. They stood for the Monarchy, for a Federation under divided and

separated powers, low taxes, long-term low-interest finance for industry, the extension of private property into as many hands as possible, and the maintenance of personal, rather than governmental, responsibility. While seeking peaceful international relations, this was never considered as tenable at the expense of national sovereignty. They also once stood for the “white Australia” policy.

The distinction between the two alternatives has been all but obliterated. Although both parties have shifted, the major shift has been from the Liberal and National Parties. They have managed to conceal the shift by campaigning on their old policies while changing ground drastically between elections. They can do so no longer. They are now the “streakers” of the political world, with neither hide nor hair to cover themselves. Their taxes are higher than the ALP ever imposed; their attacks on the Senate just as dangerous; their erosion of the Constitution quite as obvious; their centralisation of power has simply continued what Labor started; their destruction of private property, home-ownership, small industry and farms, their credit and interest policies could only gain acclaim from the doughtiest socialist.

The electorate has now discerned the difference between promise and performance. How and why all this happened is immaterial, unless the coalition parties mean to change direction. The latest leadership choice shows this is the furthest thing from their minds. There is no point in studying history unless one means to learn from its mistakes. The trendy image — a Gucci-styled socialism now fits the Liberals too closely to be discarded in a weather-change...**end of article**

Remember, this article was written in 1983 – 40 years ago. Further reading can be found within the Liberal Party ‘*Statement of Beliefs*’ - (12th reprint 1982) found here: <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Liberal%20Party%20of%20Australia%20-%20WeBelieve.pdf> and the Labor Party Policy (circa 1940’s) found here:

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Labour_Policy.pdf

and Rex Patterson’s ALP Rural Policy (1971) found here: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Patterson_R-Labors_Federal_Rural_Policy.pdf

A Vacuum

Conservative policy in Australia is difficult to identify within any existing party structure. There are many incoherent positions taken over various issues, but a clear policy objective directed towards the restoration of our ancient rights and freedoms under an independent and sovereign Australia does not get a guernsey.

ED Butler wrote ‘*The Achilles Heel of the Conservative Movement*’ to illustrate the blindness towards a realistic financial policy within those conservative ranks. Interestingly, it was the Labor Government 1911 which implemented the Commonwealth Bank of Australia policy to set in motion our financial self-reliance as an independent and

ON TARGET

sovereign nation – not relying on international bankers or overseas interests to initiate developmental projects. Three booklets by DJ Amos are available from our online Actionist Corner Library which record significant development projects undertaken within Australia which were financed debt-free across the (war) years 1911 – 1924, until the CBA was emasculated by the conservative Bruce-Page government in 1924. DJ Amos records those names who committed treachery against the Australian nation during 1924 and beyond by their conspiratorial actions.

CC Faulkner likewise, recorded the history of the CBA and its benevolent policy for all Australians across those same years. I was fortunate several years ago to visit the CBA branch in Griffith, NSW which has a wall-mural-depiction of the history of that fledgling CBA. It was a benevolent policy, certainly by today’s standards, of long-term low interest loans for home building, farm and industry development and financing the entire war effort (declared debt free once the hostilities ceased). These are each significant historical facts not mentioned within our learning institutions and MSM alike. We have been uprooted from our cultural past and are left adrift in the flotsam of communistic/capitalistic inspired propaganda of which the MSM and education facilities readily propagate.

Former ALP federal Minister Barry Jones waxes eloquent of several books written by Stuart Macintyre of the history of the Communist Party of Australia (CPA) published in two volumes ‘*The Reds*’ (1999) and ‘*The Party*’ here: The WEEKEND AUSTRALIAN REVIEW – January 29-30 2022 **Life and Soul of the Party** ...*The history of Australian communism is a masterful work and a joy to read, writes Barry Jones...*

The deconstruction of Australian society continues under the banner of Greens/Labor and Liberal/National alike. Pauline Hanson and other conservatives including the Australian Christian Lobby, are neglecting a ‘crying-out opportunity’ to crystalize legitimate conservative policies for a real voice for all the Australian people. Placing building blocks towards a war with Russia helps no one except the war industry. Conservatives fall into the trap of operating only within their own silo - preaching only to *their* converted.

Archbishop Vigano and the Roman Encyclicals approach issues inclusively that affect everyone equally.

A ‘right policy’ can draw all (unto Him) into a movement of kindred spirits pursuing Truth. ***

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.
Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org
Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement “Archives” is: <https://alor.org/>
On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.