



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 11

31st March 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

The Plan is to Fail : A House Divided By Arnis Luks	1
Specifically Directed Attack	1
Extract from The Constitution Handbook	3

Thought For The Week: *Managed dissent is as dangerous as infiltration and subversion. Intelligence and counter-intelligence are equally important to protect national interests. When each are deliberately compromised, we must look for treason from within.*

THE PLAN IS TO FAIL : A HOUSE DIVIDED By Arnis Luks

We are unrelentingly being communised by world powers. Yuri Bezmenov, in his '*Love Letter To America*' reinforces the tactic of demoralisation towards a target nation. (https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Bezmenov_Y-Love_Letter_To_America.pdf)

News reports of an elite Australian soldier charged with war crimes must be sourced from overseas news outlets to gain some semblance of proportion. Soldiers are trained to destroy property and kill. That a field battery that launches a projectile 20 km, or a payload is dropped from the sky and both end up destroying innocent lives, and a hand weapon can achieve the same result is impossible to differentiate in the haze of war. War is a fight to the death, a duel of violence against an enemy. This is what soldiers are trained to do.

“Lt Col Dave Grossman is a former army Ranger, paratrooper, and psychology professor at West Point. Since retiring from the Army in 1998 he has devoted himself to teaching, writing, and training military and law enforcement organisations worldwide about the reality of combat. ‘On Killing’ – ‘the psychological cost of learning to kill in war and society’. The writer Lt Col Dave Grossman observes that most soldiers are loath to kill. But armies have developed sophisticated ways of overcoming that instinctive aversion.”

Repeated deployments into a war zone is a further brutalising of those brave soul's humanity. Carl von Clausewitz '*On War*' reinforces the military advantage of 'battle hardened experience'. Our elite servicemen with 'battle hardened experience' are often outsourced to overseas military to train them. This is reciprocated in times of national peace. 'Battle hardened experience' is a sought after quality for all military services.

The elite of the elite are the SAS in Australia. That they are under such psychological attack and that the most modern recipient of the Victoria Cross is personally under attack comes as no surprise once purpose, or policy is understood. The nation of Australia needs servicemen trained to this level, and yet our Conservative and left politicians-and-media combine to denigrate their much sought after service-trained-behaviour.

Former SAS sergeant Mack McCormack says soldiers feel 'betrayed' over Brereton report fallout <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-11-22/former-sas-sergeant-speaks-out-on-brereton-report-findings/12906192>

Specifically Directed Attack

These archetypical military characters are the role models for all aspiring military personnel. That they are under personal attack being role models, once this perspective is considered the reasoning becomes obvious. The likes of a Victoria Cross recipient is the elite of the elite of the elite. A role model for all Australian males of every age. Destroy them and you demoralise the entire male population.

Then another concerted psychological attack, this time based on privilege, colour or gender are another 'specifically targeted attack', also against young Australian males. Once psychologically damaged, those young Australian individuals may never fully recover. This is the policy pursued by both Conservative and left alike. The purpose is to demoralise the host nation.

This psychological tactic of mass demoralisation is deliberate and timed to coincide with the most holy, public memorial day outside of Easter and Christmas, being our Anzac Day.

The ABC article reinforces the betrayal of our elite servicemen by our political class, pursued through the public broadcaster and other left aligned media outlets combining to tear the image of the brave Anzac away from the public consciousness. It is a deliberate policy of psychological deconstruction of our heroes and the Australian way of life. That the Conservatives are in bed with this left perspective is no surprise at all. By their fruits....

IPA's Bella d' Abrera, in this telling piece *'Misleading the young : The national curriculum substitutes raw emotion for hard learning'* further illustrates the mass indoctrination through public education of our young. This is contrived. The Full IPA report is available here: <https://ipa.org.au/ipa-today/de-educating-australia-how-the-national-curriculum-is-failing-australian-children>

On top of this, we place the perversion of our real history of the actual tolerance and a fair go, especially for the underdog. This is the real Australia of which we have every reason to be proud. The political appointments to our 'institutions of learning' are an expression of Conservative and left aligned policy, again they work together to cause us to come undone.

National pride and cultural achievements do not fit into this new world order of internationalism run by gangsters. Nations are to be done away with. Open borders and mass migration are another policy to undo the host nation. Further alignment of Conservatives and the left. How unfortunate. By their fruits...

The roles and foundational establishment of every civilisation and culture is the family unit. Modern psychological attacks against the traditional family aim to destroy this most basic institution held in good stead across millennia, perhaps even before the dawn of time. Hairy fairies in an adult environment can be a point of ridiculous humour. But placed amongst young children the roles of father and mother become obscured causing cognitive dissonance to the young.

Mattias Desmet in *'The Psychology of Totalitarianism'* describes this psychological hypnotism resulting in mass formation - a psychosis. This is the policy of those who would rule the world, to traumatise and psychologically harm, not only our children but everyone by destroying the culture and history of the target nation. Critical Race Theory, genderism, climate and environmentalism are all arrows from the same quiver to destroy the target nation. Through our public institutions our children are no longer safe. Jobs are held up as a necessary requirement for entry. Again, the alignment continues between Conservatives and the left. By their fruits... How many times must this be said before it finally hits home. They dialectically work together to bring us unstuck.

The Dutch East Indies and the British East Indies combined to wage military war against China. China's natural leaders were resisting the imposition of the opium dens as a debilitating attack on their national psyche and culture. That was the transnational corporate policy then, which is a similar policy now. They combine to pursue a common policy of exploitation.

Major William E. Mayer - U.S. Army October 4, 1956 penned *'Brainwashing: The Ultimate Weapon'* after careful analysis of the minds of returning POWs from the Korean War. The US Army responded to this psychological conditioning by establishing the *Code of Conduct*; a set of six articles to never give up in this fight
ON TARGET

for the mind by brainwashing: <https://www.ausa.org/code-conduct>

We are under the same insidious attack from within our own borders. Communism knows no bounds nor any nationality, only a cultural soup and the powerful to rule the world.

This morning, after the New South Wales state election, shows the Liberals were deservedly hammered by the electorate. Having abandoned any semblance of traditional conservative policy, they have reaped what they sowed which was disunity, abandonment of the electorate, and pursuit of globalist policy. Traditional Australia has lost any legitimate voice in the newish New South Wales Parliament.

The founding assumptions of Australia, for Australians, and by Australians finds no political expression. Our leaders have been groomed for internationalist' aspirations, including PHON. The whole nature of a nation has been purposely diminished with the bipartisan support for mass immigration, dissolution of our industrial-self-reliant base; and abandonment of those foundational civic, cultural and Christian values of public-service, loyalty to our nation, and ancient rights and freedoms emanating from God alone.

The embedded founding philosophy, *'Humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God'*, has given way to cultural Marxism, which includes critical race theory, gender ideology, climate as another religion, centralised-industry and world government as the preferred political model, having diminished the intrinsic value of every individual to an economic cog in the wheels of industry for state-service. The aims for which we believe we exist as a nation, the principle of the unique personality existing before the Creator, of enduring beyond this earthly life, is given way to 'might is right'.

The natural law based on the immutable principles of being a unique creature of the Creator, is circumvented by situational ethics and emotive themes. That our Prime Minister sheds a tear to introduce a radical-amendment to deconstruct several thousand years of constitutional development is the current state of group-think. Emotions are of more substance than those dry sounding words written into our founding document as to how we shall be governed. The very nature of what it is to be human is disputed. As if we need world government to determine what is a man and what is a woman. Those immutable and foundational truths are contradicted in this period of demoralisation immediately prior to the final collapse of Limited Constitutionalism within Australia.

This island-nation-continent of Australia, and all its peoples have agreed to unite under the Crown and under the Constitution hereby established. The many and various peoples from all stations of life, all nationalities and all religions came together upon Federation, as recorded within the Preamble of our written and limiting Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901, the supreme law of this land.

Extract from The Constitution Handbook

<https://peo.gov.au/teach-our-parliament/education-resources/print-and-news-resources/australias-constitution-pocket-edition/>

Foreword

The Australian Constitution is the single most important document in Australia's history. It created the Commonwealth and the States, and made Australia one nation. But the Constitution is not simply a document of fundamental historical significance. It is a document of continuing and critical importance to contemporary Australian society. It is the legal and political foundation on which our nation is built and functions. Think of any political issue currently dominating the media and there is a good chance that a proper understanding of that issue will turn on a proper understanding of what is in the Constitution.

And yet, despite its contemporary relevance, popular appreciation of the Constitution remains at a low level. If the surveys are to be believed, one out of two Australians is unaware that Australia has a written Constitution, and many Australians know more about the American Constitution than the Australian Constitution. This is cause for concern because without greater knowledge of the Constitution and the system of government it establishes, it is difficult for people to participate fully in the civic and political life of Australia.

This pocket-sized Constitution... Has been produced to raise public awareness of the Constitution. It contains an Overview to the Constitution which helps place our Constitution in its historical context and explains the practical operation of its more important provisions. We hope that this Overview will increase your knowledge of the Constitution and heighten your appreciation of a document which underpins and sustains one of the world's oldest and most successful democracies.

Overview

*The Australian Constitution has properly been described as 'the birth certificate of a nation'. It also provides the basic rules for the government of Australia. Indeed, the Constitution is the fundamental law of Australia binding everybody including the Commonwealth Parliament and the Parliament of each state. Accordingly, even an Act passed by a Parliament is invalid if it is contrary to the Constitution... **end***

For those willing to make the personal research-effort, voting for the Constitution and all subsequent elections since federation 1901 was already in existence, and Australia was in the vanguard to offer women the vote. We are a land of free people, setting limits to the power of government, with representation for all the inhabitants of this fair land, without discrimination. All are treated equally, and all are subject to only one Federal law. As for constitutions, we are at the forefront of those limiting, representational and responsible governmental-systems. Ours (our Constitution) is a pearl of great price that we

ON TARGET

denigrate or deconstruct to our own demise.

The various 'weeds' around our 'limiting' Constitution, brought about since Federation 1901, have been placed there by miscreants and activist judges bent on a world ruled by the wealthiest. Nation states are to be done away within this collectivist world for the mega-wealthy. Chaos is not a temporary aberration, but a permanent environment in this world utopia. The socialist sirens offer a poison chalice for those who are foolish enough to believe their tales. The modern politician is continually campaigning for the party, with many promises-never-realised in the real world. Our Lord warned us to 'know them by their fruits'. Not by their silver-tongued oratory.

In the current realm of psychological self-loathing, our government wishes to radically change the arrangement as to how we shall be governed, requesting an open and undefined cheque. This unrelenting psychological attack will never cease, even if we relent - again, until we learn to fight standing up and proud rather than cowering as a defeated, captive slave. Only a firm belief in family and national loyalty stand in the way to this level of psychological bastardry.

The voice proposal is to build on the current political chaos to forge a permanent division within Australian society on the claim, a dubious claim at best, 'based on a personal declaration' to Dravidian bloodlines. What physically constitutes 'an aboriginal' may never actually be determined. A non-specific declaration, similar to gender theory, now constitutes a racial determination.

The replication crisis identified fraud within scientific research. And yet we uncritically accept a claim of 60,000 years of constant occupation and many other examples of demonstrable fraud recorded into our history books, without ever questioning the validity or veracity of the scientific methods utilised, just as the fraudulent PCR test did for covid.

The novel testing, now hidden for all time as the artifacts are being secretly buried (away from further analysis), or the manipulated historical record, is rarely put out for further consideration as repeatable across multiple circumstances nor multiple institutions of research. Are we so devoid of critical thinking as to accept unquestionably these unsubstantiated claims as an irrefutable and established scientific fact of the unbroken Dravidian occupation of the Australian continent? Or was there more than one bloodline, including European across differing periods? These Australian Museum articles call out these very same issues for consideration:

<https://australian.museum/learn/science/human-evolution/the-spread-of-people-to-australia/>

<https://australian.museum/learn/science/human-evolution/the-denisovans/>

Our thinking is at best muddle-headed. Can a majority be wrong? Were the majority right or wrong with legalising homosexual marriage, hairy-fairies, gender theory and all? We are heading into an intellectual and legal quagmire, without considering the constitutional

ramifications from an activist high court. This pursuit of the voice will cause the breakup of Australia as a cohesive and largely homogenous nation, based on a hybrid-religious-belief of what never-was, and not demonstrable scientific nor historical fact at all.

The New Official Religion : The Hindmarsh Island and La Trobe Affairs – Austin Gough 1996

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/596ef6aec534a5c54429ed9e/t/5c9d2c20f4e1fce625dcb0a8/1553804321192/v6chap6.pdf>

The vital question that is actually being asked of this generation is this : “Do we have the internal resolve and fortitude to hold onto our culture while under a determined and concerted attack by a formidable enemy?” and “Can we move forward under this mêlée?”

I often read Augusto Zimmerman's published articles with some interest. I am cautious of his approach and hold a 'managed dissent perspective' towards his musings. His recent article '*Constitutional Monarchy or Elective Dictatorship?*' held consistent this perspective of managed dissent here: <https://quadrant.org.au/opinion/liberty/2023/03/constitutional-monarchy-or-elective-dictatorship/>

In Britain the 'divine right of kings, argued across 1215 Magna Carta and through to King Henry VIII 1509-1547 has morphed through nearly 500 years to become the divine right of Parliament, the House of Commons more specifically. Having sent Zimmerman's article out for further consideration, one response was particularly telling of the demoralisation felt towards Britain, the mother of the Westminster system of Representative-Parliamentary-Democracy. Both the British House of Lords and the Monarch have been muzzled in this vestige of parliamentary democracy. The demoralisation from reading the article is particularly telling considering the calibre of the author. Had the author's article appeared prior to 1215, would the barons and the church have had the internal fortitude to confront the wayward King John?

The article spells out unequivocal about 'what occurred after the erosion', without any reference to 'what actually caused the erosion', nor the principles upon which the British nation is founded to pursue what should be with balanced 'divisions of power across parliament' as in Australia. Geoffrey Dobbs' booklet '*Responsible Government in a Free Society*', in stark contrast, pens thought towards a clear objective of that thing which a free people should pursue. Dobb's thesis is brought to a clear and precise conclusion. The reader has no doubt as to what to think. https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs_G-Responsible_Government_in_a_Free_Society.pdf

This teaching methodology of Zimmerman, promotes left hemisphere thinking of being able to regurgitate statistics and facts, without ever placing the subject matter under consideration into the known world - the incarnate world as to what it means or could become – with no philosophical grounding whatsoever. Just dates and events with no context as to the real seat of power leading to the merchant led invasion of Britain 1688.

Henry VIII was a brutal British monarch who

ON TARGET

murdered many of his opponents, and even some who were, or at least tried to be inert towards his tyranny. Henry's emasculation of the Church of England to become a government-controlled entity, is rue'd even to this day. There became no voice of godly reason to limit power. This voice must now emerge from the laity.

“This country is not going to be saved from the top, the rot is too deep. This country is going to be saved from the grassroots.”

We live in an age of total and perpetual psychological warfare. However, this war is so cleverly disguised as to not be apparent to most. March 2020 saw a garden-variety- *coup d'état* enacted across the Western world. In Australia all parliaments were subsequently bypassed with the introduction of the "National Cabinet". A hybrid mixture of public and private individuals tasked with emergency governmental powers carrying the full force of law. Police were tasked with upholding these decrees. Resistance was met with the necessary force to ensure compliance. Extremes were not really evident from either side considering the difficult position police found themselves in and the hardship experienced by the population based on the scientific humbug emitted by those in charge. International 'treaties' was the vehicle that undid our limiting constitution, having been opened up for political exploitation by an activist Australian High Court in 1983 with the Franklin Dam decision, all under the two words 'External Affairs'.

Federalism, being the divisions of powers across local, state and Commonwealth entities, was further centralised under the Commonwealth in 1983 to make binding treaties with other entities, not even necessarily nation-states. Trade, covid, climate, environmentalism will all be managed at the world level under our treaty obligations. Once a treaty is signed parliaments are duty bound to comply until the tyranny is lifted by the people.

Family, culture, custom, civics, history, and religion all play a substantial role in holding a nation-state together. With the deliberate psychological deconstruction of each of these fundamental bulwarks, the nation-state collapses in confusion, distrust and end of the rule of (limited constitutional) law. We are at a crossroads, entering into tyranny with chaos, or turning away from where we are being led towards stable, limited government and our ancient rights and freedoms.

This ball is so very much in the court of the laity or public if you like. We either comply to their world-dictates, or learn from this valuable political lesson of how things work out in the real world when our valid and exceptional constitution is undermined by our elites as to how we shall be governed. CH Douglas and others shed a realistic light into this realm of 'limited constitutionalism' to uphold our ancient rights and freedoms. ***

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org