



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 15

28th April 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

CIR as a Viable Solution to Government Over-Reach	2
Upholding Our Limiting Constitution	2
Finance and Federalism	3
Devolution, Not Revolution	4

THE ROAD FROM WHENCE WE CAME By Arnis Luks

This past fortnight my brother John and I toured the southern parts of WA speaking to various individuals and groups, and also being informed of what those individuals and groups are thinking and doing. A significant amount of cross pollination in all. Those varying groups had relatively recently formed based on the Jab, Covid, 5G, Relocation against Lockstep/Lockdowns and other reasons entirely. Their activities were also just as varied in search of local political expression. None were the same and none needed to be the same.

When we consider the uniqueness of each person, it is also appropriate to consider the uniqueness of each group. Nations, similarly, are also unique and legitimate in their expressions. This is the mark of the Maker. The principle of one-world anything therefore is a nonsense. What could we possibly agree at the trans-national level. Very, very little. Yet here we have a 'privately owned entity' such as the WHO world health organisation and the WEF world economic forum similarly, attempting to impose from the top down, policy over all the earth. They hold HOLY the Earth Day but they are not your friends.

Remember the TPP Agreement was negotiated in secret until the outcome was assured. The USA Presidential aspirants of that time rejected this agreement. Those remaining 11 countries (other than the USA) re-negotiated essentially the same agreement now called the 'Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership', which incorporates most of the provisions of the original TPP Agreement which entered into force on 30 December 2018. This agreement allows nations states to be sued in front of 'World Bank Group appointed judges' if they fail to abide by the terms of the agreement, even if the outcome adversely affects the indigenous population. Think back to the Irish Potato Famine and the absentee landlords. Think back to Imran Khan when he attempted to protect the Pakistani people against foreign exploitation and was summarily deposed as President and the vultures moved in. In 2018, the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), a global quasi-court controlled by the World Bank Group, imposed a \$5 billion fine on Pakistan in an arbitration case involving a gold and copper mine. In 2022 an assassination attempt was made against Imran Khan. Read John Perkins' *'Economic Hit Man'* to understand this subversive manoeuvring to control a nation's assets and political processes. https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Perkins%20J%20The_Confessions.pdf

Hubris as a word, describes excessive pride and self-confidence, and is rightly condemned by the church. This mortal sin is being demonstrated as a failure by these world authorities. They cannot get it right because it goes against the Natural Law, or Reality if you like. Individual people and nations alike need to decide almost all things for themselves.

Increases in the Dole and Jobseeker Allowances

I noted this past week that there are media driven overtures to increase, both the dole and jobseeker allowances. I see this as a veiled attempt by the federal government to bribe those in desperate need of financial support, to vote in favour of the government to alter our Constitution by voting in favour of the Voice – trust me, I am from the government and here to help you. Those receiving allowances or the dole are certainly in need of increased financial support to purchase what is already produced to meet their daily needs of sustenance and basic creature comforts. However, this Constitutional alteration proposal will cause unfathomable damage to the fine balancing of powers across our parliaments, with an injection of appointed persons to influence all future government policy as the expression of the will of a very small minority of people. Selected persons mean no political mechanism for all the Australian people no matter how absurd, ridiculous or destructive their thoughts and comments may be. No process for removal, nor any process to challenge back their absurdities. The already politicised High Court will have a field day to continue on their merry path of Constitutional deconstruction.

CIR as a Viable Solution to Government Over-Reach

The political argument for CIR, Citizen's Initiative, Referenda and Recall, is becoming more obvious by the day, and yet the federal government will do all in its powers to never legitimately consult the people of Australia as to how they think on such issues as immigration 'rates' and 'composition', inflationary energy prices, increasing taxation levels, rising interest rates, or a legitimate social wage for those who are no longer required in the work force due to ongoing advancements in the industrial arts - Technology.

We must look again at this question of federalism, limiting constitutionalism, the political party's subversion of effective democratic representation, private banking monopolies, and policy emanating from private institutions to influence and over-rule representative government. This push-back against tyranny will not occur overnight but is absolutely necessary if we are to continue as a free, sovereign, and independent nation within the borders of our landmass called Australia.

WHO, WEF, IPCC and other UN branches are only the expression of those who pay for their services. Bill Gates and big pharma are not the exception to paying more to the WHO than national governments, but rather the rule for trans-national corporatized anything.

Jacinta Is Being Setup

The recently issued Liberal 'Voice' policy, 6th April, including a vote against the Voice referenda, was interestingly followed on the 18th April, with the resignation of the shadow Home Affairs Minister Karen Andrews.

Newly appointed Shadow Minister Sen Jacinta Price will be required to support the No case, but also required to uphold the new Liberal 'Voice' policy. While Sen Price was very vocal about divesting-power back towards the local Aboriginal Communities as being necessary to handle their own problems, she will now be required under the Liberal Party Constitution and Code of Conduct to adhere to 'Ministerial silence' now that this policy is agreed.

<https://www.liberal.org.au/our-constitution-and-rules>

Her very clear voice is now muted and will not provide a clear direction if the referenda is defeated, being that Liberal Policy is already agreed going into the next election. This critical point is illustrated within the article penned by Anne Twomey, Professor emerita, University of Sydney, a member of the Constitution Expert Group which advised the Referendum Working Group on the proposed referendum.

<https://theconversation.com/how-does-the-liberal-partys-voice-policy-stack-up-against-the-proposed-referendum-203352>

...Despite the political acrimony over the Voice referendum, what's most striking is the similarities between the positions of the Coalition and the Labor government...

ON TARGET

Sen Price will be unable to manoeuvre away from this Liberal policy without appearing misguided, as the policy is already locked-in and will assist the Liberals to achieve re-election under the 'vote no' banner, while also holding an almost identical voice policy outcome.

The Australian people and Sen Price are each being manoeuvred, with Liberal, Labor, Nationals and Greens all working together to bring about major Constitutional alteration by duping the public on some minor difference, when in fact, policies are almost identical. The Voice issue will not go away. Those who wish to remain a free people must fight against both, the Labor Voice Constitutional amendment, and Liberal 'Voice' Policy leading into the next election.

Upholding Our Limiting Constitution

The other point of consideration is the silence of the vital importance of our own Limiting Constitution. Had someone in 1215 said that the Magna Carta was invalid, today, you would immediately consider this view as absurd. There are those out there who advocate that the supreme law of this land, our Limiting Constitution, is somehow invalid.

David Mitchell was a constitutional lawyer and moderator of the Presbyterian Communion in Tasmania. I was fortunate to have filmed his 5-part series on the Australian Federal Constitution available in the video library of our main website alor.org, in which he, Dr David Mitchell LL.B. as legal Constitutional expert, clearly states the Supreme Law of Australia is our limiting 'Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act' (The Constitution).

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Mitchell_\(lawyer\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Mitchell_(lawyer))

This is the document that unreservedly limits the power transferred to the Commonwealth from the States in 1901 and has been adjusted by referenda of the Australian people ever since, except of course, by the 1983 politicised High Court interpretation of those two words 'external affairs'.

At times significant political effort has been made by all co-operating State Governments to transfer politically power to our Federal Government as a means to avoid referenda.

The now famous story of Joe Darling as the last man standing and leader in the Tasmanian Upper House, as refusing to allow Labor Attorney General and former High Court Judge HV Evatt to bypass the Referenda requirement and grant emergency powers to the Federal Government during wartime, is a tale worth telling again and again as part of our real Constitutional history. HV Evatt, along with other communists, was instrumental in establishing the UN Charter.

HV Evatt's book 'The King and His Dominion Governors' is available online in our pdf Library here: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Evatt_HV-The_King_and_His_Dominion_Governors.pdf

This Constitutional agreement from the people of Australia as to how they shall be governed, is failing to be adhered by our political class, High Court and parliaments alike. Adhering to the specific Constitutional limits placed on our federal government is the only means whereby the Australian people can again pursue the common cause of binding back excessive government over-reach. The David Mitchell Video Library is here: <https://alor.org/Storage/navigation/Library5.htm>

The Commonwealth is not authorised under our limiting Constitution to impose vaccine mandates, nor Lockstep/Lockdowns, nor disregard State's Rights by making obscure treaties with private entities such as the WHO, WEF, UN nor anyone else that may expand their political power.

It is in upholding our limiting Constitution to which we must turn, to re-establish our ancient rights and freedoms. Anyone who determines that our limiting Constitution is somehow invalid, is at worst, actively working against the best interests of all Australians, or at best, grossly ill-informed. There is no other conclusion I can draw from this confusion being excerpted against all those who wish to pursue the restoration of our ancient rights and freedoms.

Finance and Federalism

“Federalism: having or relating to a system of government in which several states form a unity but remain independent in internal affairs.”

The concept of federalism is sound, providing the centralist tendencies of our Commonwealth are actively resisted by the States. Since federation in 1901, further centralised political power has been continuously pursued by the Commonwealth, starting with the Premier's Plan to alleviate state debts 1906, 1929, which morphed into the Loans Council. By transferring this political power across to 'only' the Commonwealth, the Commonwealth can pressure the states to toe the line or refuse to provide sufficient financial credits for them to independently manage their respective communities. This occurs every year as States go cap in hand to seek out loans to balance their works programs against incoming taxation. The Victorian government wish to transfer their excessive and irredeemable debt onto the Commonwealth, while WA wish to increase their lion's share of the GST-take to maintain or improve their favoured position.

Finance has been weaponised for centuries if not millennia. Commonwealth centralising of this weaponised financial power is not new, nor unprecedented. We now witness the privately owned and controlled Bank of International Settlements attempting to impose world power through CBDCs Central Bank Digital Currency, issued only through 'their own' privately owned central banks. The ultimate in world tyranny.

ON TARGET

By States once again managing their own financial affairs including the issuing of debt free credits through their own legitimately constituted State Banks, the Loans Council becomes a nullity (redundant). A devolution of political power back to the States from which it was expediently usurped to the Commonwealth. In the past 30 years all of our State Banks have been closed down to usher in world government with their weaponised CBDCs.

Were the people of Australia in 1906 & 1929 adequately informed by His Majesty's loyal Opposition or the government as to the ramifications of this centralising of financial power to the Commonwealth? I think not.

The political class have always worked together against the best interests of the Australian people and Nation alike. State and Federal governments of all political persuasions ushered in this situation we now find ourselves. They, the political parties, work together against the best interests of a sovereign and independent nation and people alike.

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2013Q00005>

The 1983 politicised High Court found through legal adventurism that the Commonwealth had the power to make treaties 'about anything' with any 'external body', including privately owned and controlled entities such as WHO and WEF. This treaty 'opportunity' was not ignored, but actively pursued by subsequent governments of all persuasions, to the point that States' rights are ignored even in the States' own House, the Senate. Had our historical Senators been doing their civic and political duty, this federalist-disparity between the limiting Constitution and the power exercised by the 'Executive' against the States would have been called to order through the parliament many, many times. They all sat mute as good and obedient party-hacks. This is why I will never vote for any political party, but only those individuals who stand apart from political parties to represent their constituency.

Several politicians appear to be *bona fide*, when in fact they are doing the bidding of their respective party machines to undermine our limiting Constitutional arrangements. By their fruits means exactly what it says – you will know them as being from their father the devil.

Douglas Hyde Books

Former Communist turned Roman Catholic Douglas Hyde was a prolific writer and trainer for the communist movement within Britain. Once turning to The Christ, he revealed rarely understood information as to the inner workings of subversion and the Communist Psyche. Several other of his informative works has become available in PDF for personal research and is available: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Hyde_D-The_Answer_to_Communism.pdf
https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Hyde_D-Roots_Of_Guerrilla_Warfare.pdf

It is only by studying these Pamphlets and Manuals that the infiltrators within the freedom movements become apparent.

Roadside Warriors

I noted there is a group in Perth called 'roadside warriors', that appear to be linked with Monica Smit's 'Reignite Democracy Australia'. I do not endorse their centralist policies but am impressed with their field tactics of using roadside signs, or billboards, to speak to the travelling public. With moderate costs, you also could be having an influential word with the travelling public, by being on the roadside with intelligent messages to cause a change in public perception and possibly opinion. Mass demonstrations, I do not believe are effective, as the media will never report in favour of them, and politicians ignore them. However, a passing public is readily available each working day, or any other opportune place and time, to express vital and important opinions.

On a similar vein in Mildura, A4 paper signs were attached to sticks stuck into town-square lawned areas. Each had the names and details of people adversely affected by the Jab. A council worker eventually removed them, but not before they served their purpose. Road signs would also have a limited effective life unless the message is worked in such a fashion that the passing public 'look for the clever updates'. All these methods should be tried.

I recently did some investigating into various 'what I thought' were conservative groupings and found, what I really expected to find, infiltration by the political party machines. This came as no surprise. The policies emanating from nearly all supposed conservative groups was about government fixing something or other. This approach is wrong. Devolution is the key. If you are seeking to promote the government fixing anything, then expect 'big brother' to get involved further into your own private affairs. How will government interference affect your personal freedom of choice, thought, travel and such like? What is needed is less government, not more.

During the lockdown, many families were impacted with cross-border issues that directly affected their ability to function. The cross-border 'solution' provided by government was another bureaucratic level to manage this issue on their behalf. While some pressure was initially alleviated, the imposition of a commissar and daily covid testing was entirely the wrong solution, encouraging even greater government interference into the daily lives of those living within this region. The correct principle and answer were to remove those restrictions of cross-border-movement as unconstitutional for a freedom loving people, while protecting the most vulnerable. The most vulnerable – being elderly - should have been supported where they were, while the remainder remained free to move about, as is
ON TARGET

normal work practice for this type of issue. Freedom of movement is as much a fundamental right as freedom of thought or speech.

Covid was a ruse, just like climate, or greenhouse gasses, the environment, the Y2K computer-system-bug, or the 'war on terror' to undermine our limiting Constitutional arrangements. Governments of all persuasions seized on each of these manufactured crisis-opportunities to increase their political power. The political parties work together against the people of the nation.

Devolution, Not Revolution

Devolution is the remedy to this worldwide tyranny. Manage your own affairs locally as is fitting for a freedom loving people. The Liberal proposal to manage the Voice better than Labor is a false argument, and a relinquishing of personal responsibility to mind your own business which is sadly in need of attention. High Culture Aboriginals living around remote communities must manage their own affairs. Devolution is just as important for them as it is for all other Australians. Liberals provide no solution at all, just bigger and bigger government - big-brother.

The voting rights of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders Australians became an issue from the mid-19th century, when responsible government was being granted to Britain's Australian colonies, and suffrage qualifications were being debated. The resolution of universal rights progressed into the mid-20th century. Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander peoples, began to acquire voting rights along with other adults living in the Australian colonies from the late-19th century. Other than in Queensland and Western Australia, Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander men acquired the vote alongside every other male in the Australian colonies. In South Australia, Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander women also acquired the vote from 1895 onward.

Following Australian Federation in 1901, the Commonwealth Franchise Act 1902 allowed only Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander people who could vote in their state to vote in federal elections. From 1949, Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander people could vote if they were or had been servicemen. In 1962, the Menzies government amended the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 to enable all Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander Australians to enroll to vote in Australian federal elections. In 1965, Queensland became the last state to remove restrictions on Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander voting in state elections, and as a consequence all Aboriginal Australian and Torres Strait Islander Australians in all states and territories had equal voting rights at all levels of government. ***

**On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.
Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org**