



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 40

20th October 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

The Back Story By Arnis Luks	1
From The Record	2
Elections and Referendas As Mechanisms To Release Political Pressure	3

"The Royal Anthem" with the (omitted) second verse

*God save our gracious king,
Long live our noble king,
God save the king.
Send him victorious,
Happy and glorious,
Long to reign over us,
God save the king.*

*O Lord our God arise,
Scatter our enemies,
And make them fall.
Confound their politics,
Frustrate their knavish tricks,
On Thee our hope we fix,
God save us all.*

*Thy choicest gifts in store,
On him be pleased to pour,
Long may he reign.
May he defend our laws,
And ever give us cause,
To sing with heart and voice,
God save the king.*

THE BACK STORY By Arnis Luks

Selectively picking over the results of the referendum, shows a clear contrast between 'inner-city' and 'regional or country' voting-patterns. There is also similar distinction between Northern Territory and ACT voting patterns; from those that readily live amongst aboriginal peoples, and those that don't.

As a constituted mechanism for settling matters, the referenda system was again the overall winner, with a clear Veto against constitutional change.

Reading Arthur Bryant's *Set in a Silver Sea*, constitutional matters around the 13-1400s were generally settled with the slaughter of the opposition by military force, even in England. What comes next from the same revolutionary land-rights movement is the real question. No doubt, significant guilt will now be laid at the feet of all those who chose incorrectly to vote NO. Articles are already appearing.

WA Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Laws – Scrapped - ABC Report 21 Jun 2023

[https://www.legislation.wa.gov.au/legislation/prod/filestore.nsf/FileURL/mrdoc_44477.pdf/\\$FILE/Aboriginal%20Cultural%20Heritage%20Act%202021%20-%20%5B00-00-00%5D.pdf?OpenElement](https://www.legislation.wa.gov.au/legislation/prod/filestore.nsf/FileURL/mrdoc_44477.pdf/$FILE/Aboriginal%20Cultural%20Heritage%20Act%202021%20-%20%5B00-00-00%5D.pdf?OpenElement)

The laws, which were designed to protect Aboriginal cultural heritage in WA, were repealed by the state government on August 8, after a public backlash concerning potential costs, lack of consultation and confusion over how the new rules would operate.

The legal ramifications of a 'Voice' to Parliament will continue to cause reverberations as each State Parliament introduces and implements their own legislation, in spite of the referendum result. The SA government held back on any real-time demonstration of the state Voice legislation until after this referendum. How deceitful, but not surprising at all.

The real-time Western Australian legislative fiasco requiring an aboriginal-elder's permission to perform even minor earth works like putting in a fence post on your own freehold property greater than 1100 m², contributed to this referendum result.

Leading into and out of the referendum, our online book sales increased by at least a factor of 5 to 1, with the two Geoff McDonald books, *Red Over Black* and *The Evidence* being the top sellers.

We will all have a better idea of the ramifications of what a 'Voice' really means across the next few election cycles with state legislation being implemented. But for starters, we must consider the differing perspectives from the Australian National University Prof Mark Kenny - actually rewriting history to suit political purposes (cancel culture), and the factually-based-record by *Quadrant* editor-in-chief Keith Windschuttle in one of his many titles, particularly *The Breakup Of Australia*: <https://quadrant.org.au/shop/books/hidden-agenda-aboriginal-sovereignty/>

World Government Voice from the BBC <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-australia-67076216>

...a professor of politics at Australian National University, says the referendum must be seen in the context of what he describes as mainstream Australia's poor understanding of the country's brutal past. Australian history taught in schools has been largely focused on British conquest of land and white settler achievement, rather than the impacts of colonisation on Indigenous people, he says. Those impacts include the massacres, the cultural genocide, the policies of forced assimilation and integration which saw Aboriginal identity suppressed,

Indigenous children removed from their families; policies which have led to entrenched disadvantages that continue to this day, he says...

Despite the Universities and most Clerics having a field day with people's minds and cultural perceptions, there was sufficient political health in the population to Veto radical constitutional change. There was also a healthy scepticism of the validity of the 'voting and referendum' mechanism-itself managed by the AEC Australian Electoral Commission, with many attending for the first time as scrutineers. The ticks and crosses fiasco, the unfortunate AEC tweet about 'vote often', and the similar colour-coding between the Yes23 Camp and the AEC official colours, while each furphy was placing the AEC under a cloud with further opportunity for improvement, as a mechanism to settle matters rather than violence, the referenda system achieved its purpose. Not perfect, but certainly better than reverting to trial by combat.

I have already started to look for the immediate political and psychological fallout, the ramifications, (of the Veto against the voice), from the MSM.

As the existing 'voice' for world government, our ABC and the British counterpart the BBC, were leading from the front. Apparently, the referendum was not about significant constitutional change, but rather 'recognition'. My take on the ABC analysis:

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-10-15/voice-results-explained-map/102978520>
your socio-economic standing, income, and education levels are strongly linked as to how you did vote. Nothing to do with the political ramifications of significantly altering our Constitution.

My assessment from these same statistics, is that the universities and seminaries are the breeding ground for revolutionary discontent. Without them, the revolutionaries would have no public-voice on the ground at all. Modern universities only exist through massive injections of finance by big business and significant student debt, corporations being run for profit.

The Voice to Parliament would have allowed transnational corporations a field day with our national assets. The Aboriginal people, their welfare and well-being count for little-to-nothing by these transnational corporate raiders, all backed by central bank finance (being the real seat of power of world government).
Not a Referendum, But A Postal Plebiscite – Statistics Can Be Made To Say Anything – Hindsight is a Wonderful Thing

After Hairy Fairies in our libraries, and gender ideology and medical procedures in our schools, seminaries and universities; would the 3.3 million who did not participate in that 2017 plebiscite still sit on their hands, or those that did indicate to the affirmative still support the same outcome as occurred under the Liberal PM Malcolm Turnbull 2017 Plebiscite?

From The Record

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Australian_Marriage_Law_Postal_Survey

...The Australian Marriage Law Postal Survey was a national survey designed to gauge support for legalising same-sex marriage in Australia. The survey was held via the postal service between 12 September and 7 November 2017. Unlike voting in elections and referendums, which is compulsory in Australia, responding to the survey was voluntary.

...The survey returned 7,817,247 (61.6%) "Yes" responses and 4,873,987 (38.4%) "No" responses. An additional 36,686 (0.3%) responses were unclear and the total turnout was 12,727,920 (79.5%) (of a total 16,076,433 enrolled electors-*ed*)...

The Marriage Amendment (Definition and Religious Freedoms) Act 2017, which legalised same-sex marriage, came into effect on 9 December 2017...

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017A00129>

New Zealand National Party To Adopt Swiss System? 1 September 1989 On Target Vol 25 No 33

Eric Butler reports from Invercargill, New Zealand. "On the day I arrived for my current tour of New Zealand the Annual Conference of the New Zealand National Party, meeting in Dunedin, overwhelmingly endorsed the principle of the Swiss System of Initiated Referendum and Recall. Mr. Merv Rusk, of the Hobson electorate, North New Zealand, in moving the motion in favour of the party adopting the Swiss system, stressed that the system was politically neutral, 'would effectively give the people a say in the political process, encourage interest and reduce apathy about government'. Mr. Rusk also said that one of the advantages of the system was that extremists and pressure groups could be challenged to prove their support.

12 December 1975 On Target Vol 11 No 45

...While the deteriorating finance-economic situation played a major part in the victory of the New Zealand National Party headed by Mr. Robert D. Muldoon, shrewd New Zealand observers point out that the massive landslide against Labour was given a major impetus during the latter stages of the election campaign when Mr. Muldoon touched a highly sensitive nerve in the situation by boldly announcing that sporting teams from South Africa would be free to visit New Zealand. Most New Zealanders are keen rugby devotees and have resented having their traditional close sporting lines with South Africa cut...

NZ Election October 14 2023 - out of the fat and into the fire

The New Zealand election result demonstrated a public rejection of world-government-policy, embodied within the previous Labor Government (losing nearly half the seats). However, the history of the New Zealand National Party is neither beer nor skittles. An example of

their dialectic approach (both sides against the middle - the public) is revealed in the following text:

Banking Pirates of the City of London By JD Christian

<http://www.bilderberg.org/BankingPirates.pdf>

extract Page 194

...The TPPA, TTIP and TiSA agreements are a Commonwealth affair, controlled from the City (of London-ed). The author influencing much of the content in them was Tim Groser, a New Zealand National Party MP 2005-2015, and latterly Minister of Trade 19 November 2008 – 14 December 2015 and Minister of Conservation 19 November 2008 – 27 January 2010.

Groser is an old New Zealand bureaucrat and British puppet, having previously worked in the New Zealand Treasury, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade and in the 1980s was New Zealand's Chief Agricultural Negotiator in the GATT Uruguay round. He has served as New Zealand's Ambassador to the World Trade Organization and has been the WTO Chairman of agricultural negotiations, and those of the Doha round as well.

Groser resigned from Parliament on 19 December 2015 to take up the role of New Zealand Ambassador to the United States of America in 2016, of course, primarily to further the City of London's work through the New Zealand Embassy toward encouraging the US Congress and Government to pass bills ratifying these agreements. In 2016, he will have two immediate neighbours in Observatory Circle in Washington D.C. where the New Zealand Embassy is located – the Clintons will be on one side and US Vice-President will be across the road.

Quite a coincidence for the start of the year 2016, when on the one hand the New Zealand Ambassador Tim Groser will soon be working closely with the US Vice-President to get these draconian trade deals urgently passed by the US Congress, and on the other hand, Sir Evelyn de Rothschild and Lady Lynn Forrester de Rothschild are presently funding Hillary Clinton's presidential bid. The very same individuals who have recently founded, organized and attended the Coalition for Inclusive Capitalism in the City of London, representing the world's richest and most powerful capitalists... **end extract**

My take from this extract is that the views expressed highlight a good part of the problem, of secret-vested-interests controlling all major political parties, with no resolution to the real monopoly-tyranny – the private banking cartel issuing all new money into existence as a debt obligation to themselves.

'Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation, and I care not who makes its laws!' - Mayer Amschel Rothschild

It took the genius of CH Douglas to, firstly (1) identify the root cause as monopoly, (2) substantiate the mechanism-of-exploitation towards monopoly being the price system, and (3) provide resolution via (a) establishing the National Credit Authority NCA, (b) through this NCA issue all new money into existence, some debt depreciating across the life cycle of the project e.g. significant infrastructure project, (c) balancing 'available-spending-power' against 'available-goods-and-services' via the National Dividend Payment issued equally to all, and (d) balancing financial forces and inflationary pressures on all essential consumer goods with the Consumer Price Discount at the point of sale.

Elections and Referendas As Mechanisms To Release Political Pressure

A standing army is a factor for peace. An active militia is a factor against internal tyranny. An electorate, infused with the confidence that 'their will' must be sought out and prevail by their representative in the Parliament, is also a factor against political-party-tyranny.

ED Butler always reinforced the need to be clear about 'what it is that you want', and never get caught up in the bureaucratic haze of 'how to achieve' what it is that you want.

The second-rate expert, 'you', pitted against the first-rate expert, 'the bureaucrat', is at a significant disadvantage without having the resources of knowledge and experience provided for the first-rate expert to ponder. Knowing what it is that you do-or-don't want is the key to approaching our political circumstances.

The first-rate expert's existence is based on this 'how to achieve' solutions they must provide. If 'they' propose a solution that does not provide the desired results, then their existence and employment are immediately placed under scrutiny.

However, if you are drawn into this bureaucratic haze of 'how to achieve', then any failure to achieve the results desired is levelled directly on your shoulders.

Remember the ACT, which is infused with Commonwealth bureaucrats, voted proportionally in opposite fashion to the rest of Australia with the recent referendum. Let the bureaucrats sought the 'how to's' out. Your job, as the elector, is to ensure your representative, on your behalf, seeks out those 'results desired'.

Just as a musical instrument must be placed under a certain level of tension to produce fine music, so it is in the natural world with every discipline. The sportsman, the student, the manager, the housewife within the family, and especially the bureaucrat and politician all require a certain level of tension to produce fine results. It is our duty to keep them honest and behaving accordingly as is fitting for a 'public servant'.

As an observation, in my almost daily attempt to decipher news fact-from-fiction, the '*cui bono*' - who benefits question is always imposed over the story or

narrative. Another publication that has come across my desk is titled *'Their God is the Devil', Papal Encyclicals and Freemasonry* by Paul A. Fisher <https://archive.org/details/theirgodisdevils0000paul>

and another publication here https://archive.org/details/Behind_The_Lodge_Door_Paul_A._Fisher

Both titles are dealing with secret societies, in particular Freemasonry, more specifically Grand Orient Freemasonry. Paul A. Fisher walks you through the Papal Encyclicals about Freemasonry advocating an absolute zero-tolerance between legitimate Christianity and this secret-society. The Second Vatican Council has much to answer for, and for the record I am not, nor have ever been, a practising Roman Catholic. I seek out the Papal Encyclicals as a ready source of important ecclesiastical information for the discerning student.

I entered the real church through the Salvation Army when I was about nine. I 'confirmed my faith' at a Pentecostal Church in my early 20s. I walked away from the last traditional Anglican diocese in Australia when the progressives took over the diocese and subsumed traditional teaching. A rainbow flag was recently photographed on the masthead at Lambeth Palace, the official residence of the (government-appointed since Henry VIII) Church of England's Archbishop of Canterbury, from which the Anglican Church of Australia originated and shares fellowship.

There are significant and irreconcilable differences between the traditional Christian teachings and the modern church (of virtually all denominations). I see this, not as a sign of progression, but rather, infiltration and heresy (or error). It is everywhere.

The folly of mankind is to think that his own thoughts can determine reality. This or that - ought to be so, and therefore it now 'is'. The plebiscite on homosexual union is a case in point. Traditional Christian teaching of the traditional family places this issue at the feet of God as the creator of all – the way things are and have been since the beginning. *In the beginning God created...* This truth cannot be redacted from the book of life. Man's role within creation is to observe 'that which already is and abide by it'. Look for the natural laws and obey them. A majority vote cannot determine a natural law. The referendum Veto power also holds the personal responsibility to get it right, or at least ensure a suitable mechanism is in place to remove it once 'seen to be' a bad law.

Most of the recent propaganda campaign over the Voice to Parliament issued by the Yes23 through the mainstream media was orientated towards emotions, rather than how things work best - substance. Individuals, including all who claim Aboriginality within Australia, need to decide most things for themselves. Given an opportunity to own their homes freehold, each Australian of all origins should be allowed an expression of nurturing and flowering this most basic of human right

and need. Aboriginal Land rights are held as a collective. Any personal expression is stunted or completely stifled.

A majority vote cannot change the nature of things, yet there are many calls for a change of heart. What exactly does this change of heart entail? And whose heart shall we all model on? The hard facts on the ground are that each given half a chance, most would love to own their own home. Those facts demonstrate that in a collective, you will own nothing and be... 'not happy Jan'.

Within a Marxist collective, there is no personal property, not even your wife or your husband or your children. All are property of the state, which equates to the central bankers controlling that state. The collapse of the Soviet Union in the 1990s revealed the true hand of those few central-bankers who controlled all the assets of that vast land mass. The people of that nation owned nothing and were not really very happy at all.

Klaus Schwabb, as a front man for world government, is selling a lie.

The Washup

In summary from the Referendum result, the subversive attack against traditional Australian Christian values will continue. The State legislation for a voice to parliament will be implemented across all states despite the referendum result.

South Australia will be holding their Voice selection process 16th March 2024.

<https://www.agd.sa.gov.au/first-nations-voice>

Victoria's Voice will be negotiating their treaty later this year: <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-06-18/victoria-treaty-first-peoples-assembly-members-elected-voice/102490242>

WA Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Laws may have been scrapped (for now), but the white revolutionary elite driving this process will continue pursuing their goals of complete acquisition.

Tasmania already has calls for designated Aboriginal seats in parliament as happens in New Zealand. Interestingly, for the 7 Māori seats in the NZ Parliament, electors may be on only one voter's roll – Māori or non-Māori. Not both. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-10-15/voice-to-parliament-tasmania-result-call-for-designated-seats/102963230>

The deconstruction of the nation state of Australia will continue – until an awakened electorate of freedom loving peoples holds each representative to account, and that representative holds the Executive effectively to account in the Parliament, as is constituted and has been subverted since the introduction of the political party system. We have much work to do. This skirmish has produced a positive result, but the enemies of freedom will be doubling-down, and with a vengeance.

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing" - Edmund Burke ***

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement
"Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

**On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**