



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 59 No. 41

27th October 2023

IN THIS ISSUE

What If The First Presumption of a Scientific Investigation Is Incorrect? By Arnis Luks	1
Empty Gongs	3
Headlines of Interest	4

WHAT IF THE FIRST PRESUMPTION OF A SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATION IS INCORRECT? By Arnis Luks

I started to read an historical account of the ancient Aboriginal existence in Australia and quickly realised this whole question of carbon-14 dating was the scientific foundation for that entire narrative of 60,000 years.

I immediately thought of DNA and PCR testing that are both seriously being challenged as a fraud. In actual fact the entire germ theory with 'Béchamp and Pasteur', Pasteur as the plagiarist and virology being deeply flawed.

The other week's *OnTarget* article on 'fossil fuels' as a false presumption with the counter theory being 'Abiotic Oil', and I realised St Paul's assertion to 'renew your mind' in this pursuit of Truth were wise words indeed.

Behind each scientific presumption lurks, believe it or not, vested interests.

<https://maximizedhealth.net/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Germ-vs-Terrain-Theory.pdf>

In home-schooling my children I came across an establishing point of view of the theory of evolution called 'hopeful monsters'. Evolution rests on this transition from one species to another by these hopeful monsters. That without the world being millions, if not billions of years old the whole theory or presumption of evolution across species is under a scientific cloud. This presumption of an establishing truth certainly secures a theory and closes the mind (settled science) rather than keeping it open to further possibilities or explanations.

Abiotic oil and flawed DNA and PCR testing, along with the challenging of virology by the ground-breaking work of Dr Tom Cowan are all the re-building blocks of current scientific understanding - being re-built anew. Dr Ian McGilchrist's innovative analysis of the divided brain and the differing processes of thinking of each hemisphere is another ground-breaking perspective that deconstructs Freudian psychology and re-builds it anew.

We live in a world that reveals truth as an approximation of an approximation. Fixity and surety, from my perspective, rests entirely upon some type of underlying faith. Even the Marxists' theory that labour produces all wealth, and 'matter in motion', when demonstrably, you only need to observe modern robotics and the industrial-arts advancement that never ceases, to realise Marx' theory is deeply flawed. We get on better in an environment of love and not war or conflict. My philosophy is that 'God is', and that we are all commissioned to pursue 'Truth'. Marxism rests on the faith that Dialectical-Materialism is the most accurate template-explanation as to how we exist. But what if the dialectic is biased, not true at all, and is 'directed' towards revolution and not peace, or love? Marxism is a religion as much as any other religion, that rests on a presumption but is also driven by the hierarchy.

The key to validating any religious belief system is to demonstrate its truth in the real world. The incarnation, or the embodiment, is that demonstration of the validity of Truth that is above all religions and belief systems.

Placing several presumptions alongside each other can produce an entirely flawed basis of thinking. For instance, has the world CO² levels always held at or below the current 400 ppm? Or, does the historical record show a marked change from which this presumption is deeply flawed? If (demonstrably) the CO² levels have dramatically changed across millennia, perhaps from the peat bogs or the ice core results, can this variance be applied differently towards carbon-14 dating as a reliable methodology of scientific discipline? In fact, actually placing the entire methodology under question? I have no idea because I'm not a scientist who has access to the raw data and the establishing research. But I do know that with the fossil fuels mythology came the vested interests of big oil. I do know that with the germ-theory came from vested interests of big Pharma. I do know that the hopeful monsters came the vested interests of cancel culture. Vested interest writes history. The hideous post-war losses of some 9 million German people after the hostilities had concluded is readily suppressed by vested interests. The collapse of the **three** towers 9/11 is not readily considered nor the implications understood as to the political implications of that false flag. Vested interests control this narrative.

Vested interests changed the definition of a 'pandemic' immediately prior to the covid lockdown. Vested interests advocated the jab as the only scientific methodology to treat dis-ease - for their financial benefit.

Modern demonstrations of propaganda substantiate a probable-bias has always existed, even in the ancient historical written record. Inaccuracy of the oral record is demonstrable simply by having a room full of people discreetly pass the same message across each other, and then comparing what was the original message and what comes out the other end. This same exercise can be repeated to reinforce the changing of the narrative over any given time period. Memory demonstrates a similar phenomenon of recollection bias.

I quite often say, and I do mean it when I say this amongst family and friends, 'the older I get the better I was!' I embellish my own stories, firstly to make them more interesting, but also with the usual disclaimer of 'never let the truth get in the way of a good story'. Those who listen to my tales know exactly what I'm talking about. Those things which never should have been forgotten are being lost, even in the written record.

I recently subscribed to a newsletter from *'The Disillusioned Blogger'*. Iain Davis is a regular contributor to, and has been interviewed on, *UKColumn* many times. I find his research is exemplary, authoritative and accurate, to a point. In subscribing to the newsletter, it was recommended that I pursue a five-page study on the Trivium Method. Firstly, my disclaimer: I enjoy Iain's writings and recommend most of his articles, however he holds a different philosophical and economical perspective than I do. He advocates the von Mises Austrian school of economic thinking. On this point we do not find common ground. I've also found that in this recommended Trivium Method comes a strong Libertarian flavour. So, if we have differing views from the start, why do I recommend his teaching and articles? Because I believe he is genuinely searching or seeking out Truth just as I am. He is not my enemy by holding a differing point of view, but rather has much to contribute to the greater discussion. True rhetoric must be honest.

My philosophy tend towards genuine free-enterprise and the Christian faith, while Iain's assessment of a lot of the wrong with the world is accurate, and I utilise his research quite often, his solutions I find are biased to his philosophical and economic perspective. No doubt if you read my writings, you will also find bias, mine being in favour of CH Douglas and his Social Credit perspective, and orthodox Christian teaching as demonstrable in the real and natural world. The key to validating Truth is to incarnate your faith and honestly assess what it looks like in the real world. To put it into practice and see if the prototype holds True to the philosophy being incarnated. Or, if it doesn't hold true, then you may find it necessary to change your philosophical and economic assumptions (faith or belief system based on honest Truth, or a lie).

Can debt be redeemed and the individual set financially and economically free-enough to pursue a meaningful life? Or does the failure by the Libertarian von Mises Austrian school of economic thinking to

redeem debt play into the hands of monopolists? I believe it does. The demonstrable method on the ground promotes monopoly. It doesn't matter what reasons are given, the financial system is a man-made system and can be altered at will. It is not an expression of the natural world, but rather an instrument of monopoly. Without answering this debt question correctly, the libertarian von Mises Austrian school of economic thinking plays into the hands of monopolists on a world-wide scale.

Back to the Trivium Method. Grammar, Logic and Rhetoric. It's in this area of rhetoric (debate from opposite perspectives) that I wish to explore. If I said 'blue' or 'not blue' that should give you some idea of what an opposite perspective should be like. But what if 'blue' or 'not blue' equates to the differing shades of blue and never brings the 'blue' or 'not blue' into full view? I call the differing shades of blue 'controlled opposition'.

In the political realm we see this demonstration within the Liberal camp, of Julian Leeser having actively campaigned for the yes vote and he being an immediate replacement leader for Peter Dutton had the no vote failed: <https://www.couriermail.com.au/news/national/new-direction-for-liberals-pat-farmer-backs-julian-leeser-for-leadership-if-yes-wins/video/40800a61ec75fb0d8c4efc6984cc60f3>

This would have created a bipartisan pathway forward to a constituted voice, no doubt followed by a treaty and truth-commission. Within the Liberal camp are controlled opposition aligned with collectivist, or socialist policies of the Labor Party. In this case there are only differing shades of red, not blue or conservative at all. Julian Leeser would do well to join the socialist or collectivist within the Labor Party as should have Malcolm Turnbull and others before him.

The Labor camp also has this controlled opposition of differing shades of red, but definitely red as collectivist, and not blue or any other colour at all. The greens are simply watermelons, green only on the outside.

But it goes even deeper and is more complex than that. Most, if not nearly all Liberals (note I did not refer to them as conservatives) also ascribe to the von Mises Austrian school of economics theory. This is simply another road to collectivism. Both economic schools of thought are taught at the London School of Economics and Science – Marx and von Mises, both as parallel roads to collectivism. Monopoly still gains control.

Whereas genuine competitive free enterprise, (conservatives as free enterprise) rather than monopoly, allows individuals to pursue as they see fit. With modern industrial-arts this task becomes easier and easier as each day passes. I have only to look at the opening up of technology that has been brought to the common man, of social media and communication at our fingertips, video and podcast streaming live around the world in real time, and research tools that dwarf historical university libraries, to name but a few.

In 1947 Eric D Butler presented a paper to the Economics Committee of the Melbourne Junior Chamber of Commerce titled *A Defence of Free Enterprise and the Profit Motive*: <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/Butler%20ED%20-%20A%20Defence%20of%20Free%20Enterprise%20and%20the%20Profit%20Motive.htm>

Eric's analysis of these varying shades of collectivism rather than genuine opposites - 'collectivism' or 'not collectivism' is worthwhile reading again and again.

Jesus speaks about 'the Truth setting you free' and that we are to seek this Truth. In the Gospel of John chapter 8 Jesus repeatedly fends off the loaded questions of the Pharisees. His remarks about seeking and finding Truth, and 'sin no more' cut through the heart of every man, especially with our own imperfections that are secretly hidden from public view. His profound words cannot come from just a prophet and still stand the test of time as Truth across millennia, even eternity. Rather they come from the words of God Himself, as the way forward for every individual and mankind as a whole. We do not exist to randomly wander through our life, but rather are commissioned to seek Truth in all ways and for all things in this personal journey towards eternity.

In the Douglas Memorial Issue of *The New Times* July 1979 Vol 44 No 7 an article penned by Robert Nixon titled '*Agriculture, Social Credit and the Life More Abundant*', Robert makes reference to the silent church on the concept of private ownership of property, with certain sections of the church demanding 'collectivised' ownership for Aborigines of large areas of land – Land Rights. This issue of collectivised ownership was dealt with last week as the root cause of policy failure for them. If the 'church-advocates' of this policy of collectivised ownership demonstrate on the ground that it fails, obviously their philosophy that they believe is deeply flawed. Whereas individual property ownership causes a personal flowering of responsibility, and a nurturing of the soil. Husbandry, if it is anything, is a love towards that which you own.

A true philosophy brings about positive results. An untrue philosophy does not, nor cannot bring about positive results. You cannot get figs from thistles. This business of pursuing Truth is a commission for everyone, that ... *every man shall sit under his vine, and under his fig tree, and there shall be none to make them afraid: for the mouth of the Lord of hosts hath spoken.* Micah 4:4.

The infusion of collectivist agents within most conservative groupings is another issue at point. These agents-of-infiltration-and-then-subversion entering groups and firstly working tirelessly to serve the organisation and its original purposes, until, they are in a position of responsibility. From this point of successful infiltration, then comes subversion - of running any issues into the long grass. Those anti-church members promoting collectivism rather than private property, individual initiative and responsibility, and free enterprise for example, which I believe is inherent within

the Natural law and entirely within the words of Christ in red, are demonstrating their false philosophy with failed results. These varying shades, or watering down, or only achieving continuing failure on the ground is the key.

The key to recognising subversion, especially from within political parties and actionist groups, is this pursuit of group-whatever rather than the individual personally benefitting from the association, class-action or political goals. Even if only-one suffers as a consequence of policy, it is a flawed policy that needs to be reconsidered based on the results achieved.

Jordon Peterson, in the 2018 interview with Cathy Newman identifies the pathology of mass murder within the collectivist left philosophy as demonstrated in the real world this past century, but fails to recognise a similar collectivist philosophy pathology coming from the pseudo-right being monopoly capitalism.

Collectivism is a denial of the existence of the individual, and promotes only group identity. Nazism holds a similar world view of collectivism emphasising nationalism and race rather than class, but collectivism never the less. Cancel culture, genderism, environmentalism and other mainstream religions are only further expressions of this group identity.

The Christian gospel promotes the individual, and that systems were made to serve man, rather than man to serve systems (the collective). I can't stress how important this sifting or filtering process of thinking is necessary to see the 'real wheat' from the 'chaff'.

CH Douglas analysed the financial and economic system and found it wanting. The beneficiaries of the existing system are all monied men, rather than the individual. His proposals take the individual into account to provide resolution to this age old dilemma of monopoly, or collectivism, by issuing equally to all a National Dividend to alleviate debt, and restore the rightful inheritance to all from our cultural legacy of the modern industrial arts. The True purpose of production is consumption. Douglas proposals provide True resolution, and does away with waste and wanton pillage, being genuine environmentalism, promoting not only earthly husbandry but also societal husbandry.

ALOR promotes small groups of actionists, of no more than 5-6 members, and then to regroup as two separate groups if the number gets larger through increased membership, allowing personal-responsibility/individual-initiative to flourish, making infiltration and subversion of the political objectives more difficult.

Empty Gongs

If I only report on the news without a thorough analysis of the ramifications, and also a correct assessment of the principles of association by which the news should be analysed, then I am as an empty gong of no consequence. I think it was Martin Luther who said that if Christianity is under attack and Christians do not respond adequately and correctly to that attack then they

may as well not be doing anything at all. A bit like the parable of the 'ten denarius', one person having buried them rather than put them to work, while the others having put the ten denarii to work achieving varying results. Cursing of the barren fig tree is this point reinforced – failing to produce fruit even out of season.

Initiatives

This past weekend we held our ALOR AGM. Coming together of like-minded people was certainly a pleasure and also quite fruitful. ALOR has available a small team of speakers with plenty of proven training material ready to move out around Australia to assist kitchen table discussions on current and pressing issues. Arnis Luks will soon be completing an initial Australia-wide tour of these kitchen table meetings. It only takes one person to make the effort to invite a few friends and acquaintances to participate, and we at ALOR will do our best to provide a speaker to open these essential discussions as opportunities for training and personal development. Of renewing of your minds.

During the wildest storms in the north Atlantic the Vikings are said to have deliberately put to sea in their (smallish by today's standard) boats, in order to face down the tempest and hone their skills. They saw the tempest as a significant opportunity rather than something to be feared. Christ often said 'fear not' or 'do not fear'. We must face down the enemy to bring about His Kingdom On Earth as in Heaven.

Communist subversion of conservative organisations has provided this opportunity to regenerate our own rich civic and cultural inheritance, if we hold the necessary resolve to enter the fray, correctly armed with our rich cultural legacy.

Last week's *OnTarget* made mention of the State Governor, or even the Governor General revoking assent to legislation. While writing to your State Governor is really important, this thing called representative democracy has more than one avenue of expression to counter the elites ignoring the referendum results. Finding all those other avenues of personal expression is the key.

The promotion of this obvious elite-policy to rewrite our history, undermine our Constitution and rich cultural heritage by placing Aboriginals in collectives rather than fostering personal initiative and the pursuit of private ownership of property, must be called out for what it is: a brutalising of these peoples. That the elites are now undermining calls for a Royal commission into this policy of brutalising, and in the end genocide, shows that they hold no regard to those Aboriginal suffering a direct consequence of the policy being pursued. This rabbit warren of evil needs to be lanced and cleansed by the light of day.

I believe the Royal Commission, provided it is given adequate terms of reference, can assist in undoing what

has been a miserably failed policy that has run for at least 60 years by successive governments of all shades of red. Malcolm Turnbull, with the last Royal Commission into Banking, ensured the right man was in the job and that the terms of reference were specific enough to protect bankers' interests, rather than deal by exposure with this real seat of corruption.

Assisting those that are calling for a Royal Commission, by writing to the local papers, bringing it up in conversations on the radio or the internet, and doing whatever else it is that you think you can be doing, are all parts of undoing the brutalising of these people. This is no different than ensuring as your children come to a level of maturity, they are encouraged to seek independence and self-reliance, rather than sit as cellar dwellers not facing life. Those who would listen to other voices advocating soft-love instead of tough-love miss this significant moment in human development. There is an obvious disclaimer here in regard to age, maturity and personal capabilities. That is true, but for the vast majority a disciplined approach of tough-love assists onto maturity, rather than regression.

Reading Arthur Bryant's trilogy reinforces this perspective. After the Sunday services during the 13-1400s, all able-bodied men were encouraged to practice the use of the longbow as a means of defence against invasion. No doubt a similar policy being encouraged today would toughen up young people to hold onto, to defend the good that we have all inherited from the past.*

Headlines of Interest

Fight to challenge voice's legal fictions isn't over

Those in the legal academy driving the Albanese government's voice proposal believe in shared sovereignty between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australia. Though reflected in the Uluru statement, its radical nature is only just becoming realised.

Janet Albrechtsen *The Weekend Australian* 21 Oct 2023

It's time to tell the truth – but are we ready to hear it?

This land is infinitely more fruitful than it was in 1788, and most Aboriginal people are now the gainers.

The whole globe gains too.

Geoffrey Blainey

The Weekend Australian 21 Oct 2023

Subscription to *On Target* \$45.00 p.a.

New *Times Survey* \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: **PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**

Telephone: **08 8322 8923** eMail: **heritagebooks@alor.org**

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.