



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 60 No. 11

29th March 2024

IN THIS ISSUE

Easter 2024 - Have We Come Full Circle? By Neville Archibald	1
Measured In Terms of Human Satisfaction By Arnis Luks	2
Land Rights and A Dividend	2
Renewing Of Your Mind	3

Thought for the Week: Systems were made for men, and not men for systems, and the interest of man, which is self-development is above all systems, whether theological, political or economic – ‘*Economic Democracy*’ by CH Douglas

EASTER 2024 - HAVE WE COME FULL CIRCLE? By Neville Archibald

Looking at the Old Testament and re-reading Christ's unctons in the New, accompanied by a browsing through thoughts by other writers like Douglas Reed, and Thomas Aquinas, I drew a mental comparison from that time.

Christ rebelled against the religious/political controllers of His time. The Pharisaic control was corrupt and grossly restrictive, governing every facet of life of the common man. There was no room left for His - God's intentions. (*Matt 15:9 and in vain do they worship me, teaching doctrines and commandments of men.*)

The Kingdom of heaven could be readily understood as God's bounty being made available for all the world's populations. Have 'they' not shut the door to much of the world's poor? Could we not feed the world over and again, yet we allow corporations to increase profit by destroying surplus food stocks to keep prices high. All the while these expendable people starve when they need not.

The corrupt forces in Christ's time, had so endeared themselves into positions of power that there was scant room for man's own development under God's guidance. The laws of man and church (as opposed to God's laws) was smothering the flame of free will.

In today's world these same things occur but the location has changed. Instead of the opaque Pharisee in the temple as the not so secret ruling body, the NGO think-tanks and UN political influence-rs are the ruling bodies located in Geneva, The Hague, Berlin, New York or London.

A quote from my past probably best sums it up: “*A church by definition, should be a place where one may discuss the matters of the day with his Creator. Unfortunately, too often there are others present, with a completely different agenda*”.

We must examine this statement as the legitimate church (the body of Christ) being the believing-people in society, and, seeking the Creator as the desired direction of a free and honest people. As electors, we must assume we have the ear of our representative, only to realise in moments of clarity that they are often guilty of succumbing to the highest bidder or the more powerful influence.

Thomas Aquinas discussed the powers of governance and the “common good” in his major foundational work *Summa Theologiae*, being inspired in part by Aristotle's, *Politics*. The powers of governance and the “common good” is something that history shows repeatedly rears its head as tyranny and unjust rule. Each time to be dealt with by going back to Christ's purposes.

Christ pursued his Ministry with a great love for the common people, using parables, with essentially one intent, one purpose - to love God, and to love one another as you would have them love you.

No longer was God's direction to come from long-winded interpretations. No longer was the primary purpose the reserve of the educated and the entitled.

Christ's simple message managed to expand into the Christian civilisation we have today. The many legacies have been to our benefit. Granted we have fumbled along the way; we know we are not perfect. But the overall conclusion of this journey has led us to the best from all other societies to date. All our institutions and the basis of our laws and ‘common law’ rights have been God-given - inalienable and founded within the Christian ethic as the foremost authority. The Truth remains, we are where we are, due to the influence, or as is now the withdrawal, from Christ's teachings. His view being of ‘man treating all mankind as equals under God’.

If you look at the things that He set out to change and was crucified for, we can see a similarity to what is on our horizon.

The push to control every facet of our lives is more visible than before. Old laws, new laws, rules, regulations, decrees and restrictions are fast becoming so prevalent under the emerging world order, that no matter what you do each day, some reason to punish you can be found if there was a political wish to do so – ‘*show me the man and I shall show you the crime*’ - *Lavrentiy Beria during Stalin's brutal reign-of-terror period 1941-1953.*

We are spending most of our time trying to appease the false gods of mammon and government that there is little time left for our own God or the pursuit of His intentions. Our own morals and desire for a better life and a better world for our children; is fast becoming submerged by bureaucratic intentions and imposed morals from the many godless people in positions of power.

MEASURED IN TERMS OF HUMAN SATISFACTION By Arnis Luks

Tasmanian Polls

Increasing homelessness and the cost-of-living pressures are presented as the main issues of concern of the Tasmanian voters. While PHON Pauline is promoting a frank discussion about the levels of immigration, the Liberals, Nationals, Greens and Labor all wish to sit mute on this critical issue. Shadow Defence Minister (Liberal) Andrew Hastie is attempting to divest political blame towards Labor, as if the Liberals are blameless on all these critical issues.

The bureaucrats in New Zealand have been urged to turn-down-to-idle the development and introduction of Hate-Speech Laws, that would suppress legitimate public dialogue on critical political issues.

The level of public dis-satisfaction from government policy is at an all-time high. Regardless of what is said by the major parties and their spokesmen, there is little change or improvement in the end results. The imposition of world government tyranny continues. The availability, affordability and sustainability of home-ownership moves further and further away from the common man.

You ‘will’ own nothing and be happy whether you want to or not.

<https://www.theepochtimes.com/world/locals-argue-against-plan-to-hand-over-95-percent-of-town-to-Aboriginal-corporation-5611714>

Locals Argue Against Plan to Hand Over ‘95 Percent’ of Town to Aboriginal Corporation

...The Queensland government plans to hand over the tiny town of Toobeah, southwest of Brisbane, to the Bigambul Native Title Aboriginal Corporation... An Aboriginal freehold is a form of collective title that prevents the owners from selling, mortgaging or transferring it, but it can be leased to another party.

Land Rights and A Dividend

Aboriginal Land Rights will continue to fester its political poison of division amongst differing-classes of Aboriginals until it is dealt with appropriately. The land has been corporatised under a ‘collective title’, an arrangement that prevents the corporate entity (read ‘newspeak’ - traditional owners) from personally buying/

Our free will to follow in Christ's footsteps is being re-directed with those long-winded interpretations by the so-called experts, with those same people in charge, who we know we wouldn't trust to look after a chook raffle.

Yes! The Scribes and Pharisees that Christ derided, are again in control of our temples (governing bodies). The money changers have taken over the temple courtyards (the banks) and we are looking at the return to a pre-Christian ERA of self-appointed priests of political power. Godless, ruthless and unforgiving. Unless of course if we stand up and say NO MORE, and do as Christ did and throw the money changers out of our temples.

John 10:10 The thief cometh not, but for to steal, and to kill, and to destroy. I am come that they may have life, and may have it more abundantly. ***

selling, mortgaging or transferring. But it can be leased to another party. This other party invariably being another corporate entity who wish to exploit the minerals, the soil and water for their own ends.

The Aboriginal Corporation is paid somewhat for the minerals, water and land use, but the disbursements are never distributed equitably to increase human satisfaction amongst the Aboriginals, except for the very, very few who control that corporation. The Commonwealth government is promising to also spend several billions of taxpayer funds at approximately \$1 million per house to improve Aboriginal housing – remotely mind you. Why would the Aboriginal Land Rights corporation not be using those same royalties from the minerals, water and land to improve the lot for the common Aboriginal I do not know. This is a significant opportunity to introduce a regular dividend payment for each within that tribe at least, to use as ‘they individually’ see fit. Collectivism and individual free-will are incompatible entities.

There is no political desire within the hierarchy of the Aboriginal Land Rights movement to improve the life of the common Aboriginal. If this were the case, then rather smartly there would be an exodus away from those remote communities, and assimilation into the mainstream with their own private housing and when possible, another reliable income stream of paid employment.

The fact is the government and the Aboriginal Land Rights hierarchy have no intention of increasing human satisfaction, nor employment prospects.

Successive governments, with bipartisan support, have promoted and implemented the transfer of our own manufacturing industries across to Third World nations. We are now inter-dependent with other sources of supply. We are not meant to feed, nor house, nor manufacture all our needs as a nation, nor achieve any state of wellbeing measured in terms of human satisfaction. There is for all intents and purposes only one policy that all political persuasions pursue, regardless of what they say with their false-tongues, the result is always towards the best interests of the monopolist.

A self-reliant and independent people naturally and instinctively work against the interests of the major political-party-donors, being monopolist, who happen to be transnational corporations controlled by central banks.

The Aboriginal Land Rights policy was designed for this purpose, to benefit the monopolist at the expense of the host peoples. Following the process backwards to its origin and source within the hallways of the United Nations, it has been proposed, designed, and carefully incubated into existence by Communists working towards the best interests of their monopoly-capitalist-masters. Our online resources record the treachery of past political figures and academics sent to establish, develop and promote the UN as the bastion of world tyranny.

Our politically appointed and carefully groomed judges have also played their own vital role to bring about this deconstruction of the nation of Australia traditionally protected by our limiting Constitution. The many High Court, Supreme Court and Federal Court decisions have not come about by accident, but rather as a result of a carefully laid out plans, again, incubated across many years. This demonstration of the nexus between monopoly-capitalism and communism exploits the common Aboriginal as well as traditional Australians as simply fodder for their own purposes.

Senator Jacinta Nampijinpa Price has this golden opportunity to speak on behalf of all Australians, Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal alike, that we all need housing, and more particularly to own our homes freehold and unencumbered, and that each home needs to have some land associated with it. It wasn't that long ago that the standard house block was one quarter of an acre. This is not a lot of land, but does allow a veggie patch, a chook run, some fruit trees, and if you're lucky a small bit of lawn for the children and the dog.

The earth is not so heavily populated that there is standing room only. What is being proposed with medium density housing is no better than the feedlots for the cattle, the battery hens in their cages, and the swine who cannot even wallow in the mud which is a natural desire - of their very nature. Measured in terms of satisfaction, every political endeavour is a dismal failure.

People, creatures and the land are all brutalised in the name of profit and environmentalism. There is nothing natural, nor sustainable about this policy. Land rights only serves the interest of the monopolist and those very few that control the corporation. This policy is not providing any lasting re-solution for the individual Aboriginal, and non-Aboriginal alike.

Just as the 'enclosures'** being the legal process of dispossession in Britain and the Continent, pushing people who had utilised the 'common' land for generations from access, the continued existence of 'freehold title' is becoming increasingly precarious. Land 'titles' are no longer held in print form, but only electronically. During the enclosures, those common people were compelled to

provide proof of their claim to access that 'common' land. This same devious manoeuvre is hardly a breath away from the Australian homeowner. And yet the political parties sit mute, like stunned mullets or a deer caught in the headlights of an oncoming semitrailer. We are devoid of legitimate, patriotic leadership, while the political class are climbing over each other to feather their own nest in the tax funded trough.

*** enclosure or inclosure, is a term, used in English landownership, that refers to the legalised-appropriation of 'common land', enclosing it and by doing so depriving commoner from access to a supplementary source of food.*

Economics As If People Mattered

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2024-03-17/nobel-prize-winning-economist-criticises-economics-profession/103582032>

The physical potential within our borders is for every family to have their own home – freehold. Sufficient, healthy food is already available that we can all be well fed. None, including the homeless and remote should be left behind from this primary consideration of well-being. We can readily achieve a state of material security that will ensure our posterity, our future generations of being able to enter an age of leisure and pursue other legitimate interests apart from paid employment. Let the machines do the lion's share of the physical work. This, with our known cultural, spiritual and physical reserves, is the legitimate and real potential for a free and sovereign people of all Australians. I live this reality each day to a greater degree, as my mind unfolds to what is physically, intellectually, and spiritually available and possible.

In my retirement, provided I have a reliable source of financial income, I'm able to pursue other legitimate interests, apart from paid employment. This really is the most intellectually-productive time of my life. It is a time of giving back into the greater community-pool which includes a significant program of reading and research, as well as occasional experimental hobbies around the home and garden.

The subject matter from various reading and research titles depends on what I feel are the most pressing issues of that week/period. For this week: Professor Angus Deaton, the British-American economist who won the economics version of the Nobel Prize in 2015 and writing for the International Monetary Fund, challenges the fundamental philosophy-of-economics taught through our universities, as if the well-being of people matters equally with profit, and a smattering of efficiency and environmental stewardship. What a novel approach that the financial/economic system should be re-orientated towards the benefit of man (kind) rather than just the financier or bankers.

Renewing Of Your Mind

The Hare-Clark counting system is used in Tasmania to elect 7 members to each division of the Tasmanian House of Assembly. This voting system is also used in the Australian Capital Territory.

Hare-Clark is a Single Transferable Vote (STV)

method of proportional representation. STV means that a ballot paper moves between candidates as determined by the elector's marked preferences. The Australian Senate also uses a proportional representation counting system, although their counting system has some significant differences to Hare-Clark.

Hare-Clark enables parties, groups and independents to be elected to the House of Assembly in proportion to their support in the division. In other words, compared to other counting systems, the composition of the House more closely reflects the proportion of primary votes for each party on a state-wide basis.

While the term Hare-Clark was originally given to just/only the process of counting votes, more recently it has taken on a broader meaning to also include a specific ballot paper configuration and rotation of candidate names (Robson rotation).

The realised benefit for the Hare-Clark counting system in Tasmania is the directional move away from domination by the major political parties, across a more reflective number of (voters') representatives, rather than the current 50% +1, generally shared between the two major political parties. Bearing in mind political parties are a relatively new phenomena in the history of representative government, this is still a welcome result from this voting system. Vested interests monopolising representation aside, the Hare-Clark counting system is an improvement on what we currently have. The remaining issue for Tasmanians to consider, is 'holding' their minor party representatives to account, so they also don't compromise their values while entering into a shared power arrangement. Responsible Government must include an active citizenry to hold their representative to account on a day-by-day basis, in-between elections, being an optimising of the polling booth outcome every 3 or 4 years.

If We Taxed Land...

It's telling article from 'our' ABC website by business reporter Gareth Hutchens, is proposing taxing land even further. There is no question of how the average landowner is going to pay for this expanding income-confiscation by the taxation office. Nor, where any limit may exist as to how far taxation-encroachment can go into one's income-base. Just this simplistic perspective, that somehow or other the landowner must magic out of thin air more money to be confiscated into the taxation coffers. And that this step will lead onto further prosperity, for some, but certainly not the landowner. Gareth Hutchens would do well to reread the 'communist manifesto' from whence this policy originated. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2024-03-24/tax-land-properly-27-billion-in-tax-revenue-prosper-australia/103623806>

1. Abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes.
2. A heavy progressive or graduated income tax.
3. Abolition of all right of inheritance.
4. Confiscation of the property of all emigrants and rebels.,
5. Centralisation of credit in the hands of the State, by means of a national bank with State capital and an exclusive monopoly...

For those interested in further research of the roots of the neoliberal and libertarian movements from which this 'Georgian' policy originates, they could do no better than to visit the *von Mises Institute* library <https://mises.org/library> and also read the book titled 'Progress and Poverty' by Henry George: <https://mises.org/library/book/progress-and-poverty>

I'm not surprised by this synchronicity between 'our' ABC and the neoliberal and libertarian movements. ALOR and the Douglas Social Credit Movement have been warning of this nexus since the 1920s, that international communism and monopoly-capitalism were two branches of the same movement manoeuvring steadily towards world tyranny, that 'our' communist inspired ABC advertises the dialectic within its front page:

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2024-03-24/tax-land-properly-27-billion-in-tax-revenue-prosper-australia/103623806>

The legitimate consideration on this issue of further taxation to the tune of \$26 Billions on the already over-burdened land owner, specifically directed against unfettered-ownership of private property as the bulwark to personal freedoms, begins with a thorough understanding that the creation and control of credit (all new money) has been vested into the hands of privately owned central banks, in Australia since 1924 by the Conservative Bruce Page government with the emasculation of our own Commonwealth Bank - the People's Bank.

Centralised private-banking-interests originated in the Netherlands around 1600, found expression with the establishment in 1694 of the Bank of England, was further consolidated by the Federal Reserve Act, United States December 23, 1913, just prior to Christmas, while society turned away to celebrate the season.

In response to ABC's centralist call for increased taxation on land, the legitimate response measured in terms of human satisfaction would be something along the lines of 'removal of all forms of taxation on private property'. Banking to provide access to 'long-term, low interest loans' so as every Australian is given opportunity to own their own home - freehold.

Recognising that the cultural inheritance from past generations of the modern industrial sciences, and availability of the necessary raw materials from our own national resources, that material security can be provided for all by a National Dividend payment, that eliminates debt as the most insidious mechanism of control by central banks, of not only the population, but equally important, all levels of government via political parties. That we as a free people can purchase all that we make/produce – debt free.

This is how we must think if we are to successfully navigate our way through this dialectical-tyranny of both communism and monopoly-capitalism, as espoused by 'our' ABC. <https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300245929/the-master-and-his-emissary/> ***

Postal Address: **PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**

Telephone: **08 8322 8923** eMail: **heritagebooks@alor.org**

Our main website of the **Douglas Social Credit** and Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.