A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance



NEWS HIGHLIGHTS

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



Vol. 60 No. 3727th September 2024Education, Stupid By Will WaiteIN THIS ISSUEEarthing out! By Neville Archibald21Medical Fraud Underpins Australia's Vaccination Policies By Judy Wilyman Ph.D.27Nation First - Article Extract from George Christensen MP28

Education, Stupid By Will Waite

"Nothing is failing in Western societies more completely, and more tragically, than school education" but don't let that hold us back

Education Minister Jason Clare and Elbow with his best work nothing is failing in Western societies more completely, and more tragically, than school education. This is especially so in Australia. Billions upon billions of new dollars – Gonski funding, NAPLAN funding, state promises, federal commitments – and yet the results, even measured in narrow, utilitarian, technical terms, get ever worse and we sink further down the international education league tables. ¹ That's Greg Sheridan's opening salvo in the *Weekend Australian*.

Like any good idealogue when the project is coming apart at the seams the only sensible response is to *go harder*. That's why the government is promising more education, especially for the young. Their big commitment is called "universal childcare" — "a guarantee of at least 30 hours or three days a week of free or heavily subsidised childcare for 48 weeks a year for every child by 2036." A big part of the plan is to "change the perception of Australia's childcare system – from a babysitting service for working parents to an early education service that helps children to thrive." ²

Thrive, that's nice. With this image change will come a re-brand and a heap more 'new dollars.' Next generation daycare will be called Early Childhood Education and Care (ECEC) services and, according to the Productivity Commission, will cost the taxpayer \$17 billion dollars. (Warning: that's the same mob that priced the NDIS and "budget blowout" doesn't quite cover the scale of that miscalculation.)

One of the common criticisms of Russian Communism was that the state took control of raising children while Mr. and Mrs. proletariat worked in whatever

collective undertaking they had been assigned too. In our case, while the state has not appropriated the means and distribution of production, under the steady hand of finance capitalism, creditism or whatever you want to call this thing, we proceed interminably in the same direction. From the *Australian* article:

Many parents prefer to care for their own children before they start school, but most don't have any choice. Some can comfortably afford to stay home; others make great financial sacrifices or have grandparents happy to help out. But 72 per cent of Australian mothers with babies, toddlers or preschoolers also hold down a paid job, in a national work-life juggle. Half the nation's one-year-old children, and 90 per cent of four-year-olds, attend daycare or preschool. For most millennial families, the climbing costs of food, petrol and healthcare, and a doubling in mortgage payments after 13 interest rises in the past two years have forced both parents to work full-time. ³

Is this inevitable? In answering this question it is useful to restate some basic Douglas fundamentals. In *Dictatorship by Taxation* (1937) he wrote "it is essential to begin by a consideration of real i.e. physical economics as distinct from money economics." ⁴

Considering the physical economics there is no suggestion anywhere that the food, housing and clothing required to keep mum and bub is in short supply. When we talk about the climbing costs of living (food, fuel, housing etc.) we are talking about the price system, which can be quite easily overcome — as the daycare industry has aptly demonstrated with its subsidies — by alteration to the "money economics".

There are roughly 1.5 million children of daycare age in this country. If the \$17 billion were distributed evenly to families on a per child basis it would amount to more than \$11,000 per child per year. The result would be to restore a fundamental right to those who would prefer to care for their own children. As it is we're all being taxed to pay child care workers who would rather be home with their own kids but are being forced to look after other people's children in sterile, safe spaces called daycare centers. Excuse me, ECEC service centers. But choice is not what this thing is about.

Looking after children is big business and education is a big employer. That's got nothing to do with the wellbeing of your kids. As we learned during the pandemic, schooling frees up millions of slaves (read parents) to 'make money' however they can, which provides collateral for debt and incomes for taxes. The award-winning New York teacher John Taylor Gatto writes:

You must understand that first and foremost the business I am in is a *jobs project* and an agency for letting contracts. We cannot afford to save money by reducing the scope of our operation or by diversifying the product we offer, even to help children grow up right. That is the iron law of institutional schooling — it is a

business, subject neither to normal accounting procedures nor to the rational scalpel of competition. ⁵

Education is failing but it's supposed to fail. If we suddenly decided an adequate job was being done there would the gravy train stop and what would happen to education as an instrument for the distribution of incomes in an automating economy?

"Pliant" means easily bent, yielding. Compliant is this quality in relation to other people and institutions. In addition to its economic part the underlying social role of schooling is to create compliant citizens or, to put it differently, to conflate morality with obedience to authority. And the younger the better. Many of us now realise that despite what we are told the distant and inaccessible authorities care nothing for our health and wellbeing. To believe otherwise is not only naive but positively dangerous.

We need remind ourselves of Pilgrim's epiphany in Bunyan's famous work; "Then it came burning hot into my mind, whatever he said, and however he flattered, when he got me home to his House, he would sell me for a Slave." ⁶
Our children need these lessons. ***

References:

- 1. Sheridan, G. 21.09.24. Classroom classics revolution could save our failing society. The Australian. Available from: https://www.theaustralian.com.au/inquirer/classroom-classics-revolution-could-save-our-failing-society/news-story/eb31fd0a34c15fa555d091dc69c9653c
- 2. Bita, N. 21.09.24. Free childcare for poor families the focus of Productivity Commission Review. Available from: https://www.theaustralian.com.au/inquirer/free-childcare-for-poor-families-the-focus-of-productivity-commission-review/news-story/7ecd4afe9d9f6052b71f3bb8647729ba
- 3. Ibid.
- 4. Douglas, C.H. 1939. Dictatorship by Taxation. Institute of Economic Democracy, Canada
- 5. Gatto, J.T. 2002. Dumbing Us Down. British Columbia Arts Council, Canada.
- 6. Bunyan, J. Original 1678. The Pilgrim's Progress from this world to that which is to come.

Earthing out! By Neville Archibald

The process of going barefoot, outside on the grass and soil. Reconnecting with the earth and allowing negative stresses to be neutralised.

Also using a ground wire in an electric circuit to protect an installation and it's operators from electric shock. Earthing a circuit can also reduce noise or feedback in equipment, allowing it to function correctly or lessening interference.

Both of these processes describes a way to protect a body from harm. Can this be applied elsewhere in life? Does society need to be earthed, grounded in some way?

During a discussion with like minded friends, this concept regularly raised its head when considering how we are to make changes for the better in our lives. The actions of many people, even well meaning people, often lead to an increase

in stress within our world. People doing the same thing over and over despite the negative consequences that occur, just because they know no different; until they discover for themselves that their actions are not helping, they remain oblivious to it. We discussed the various means by which people came to recognise truth, enough to make a change. The trigger point that fired them to become involved enough to start asking questions.

There are of course reasons for asking this question about trigger points, the main one, being, how do we wake people up enough to elicit a change? What is effective, how do we increase the rate of it happening? We are in a time where many are asleep to the perils that face us. If they are aware, many are not yet concerned enough to actually take action. What will it take?

The advertising industry has used triggers since it first began. To sell you need to first engage a potential buyer, gain his attention. From there the process of selling the product is basically pointing out why it is needed. Things that are not really needed can be dressed up in a way that makes them seem desirable. That is the art of a good conman.

In the days of the snake oil salesmen, peddling fake cures, there were always the gullible who believed. The amount of these "dupes" decreased as their being grounded in the ways of the world increased. Quackery, was often found out by experience, you buy the bottle, but it doesn't work. Are you fooled the next time? Hopefully not.

The very triggers used by salesmen, then created triggers for the public to see through them. The selling had to become more sophisticated or the population it was peddled to had to be either different, or dumber. I use the word dumb deliberately, as we often refer to the "dumbing down" of society when we consider what is not being taught, deliberately in many cases today. You may not believe this last bit, but explain then the decrease in common sense, the employers lamenting the lack of overall knowledge of potential new school leavers. I would say myself that it was more a lack of information exposure, but the result is the same, they are unprepared. Not their fault.

We discussed this too, from various angles and came to a conclusion that the messages they are receiving are overwhelming anything they might pick up from the world around them.

All this talk of mis- and dis-information is real, but it hasn't just started with the COVID era, it has been going on a long time. As a population, we have been steadily distancing ourselves from the real world, possibly for generations. Fewer of us have family on farms or out bush that we visit and discover, or more importantly, let our children discover, the joys of "real life": connectedness to the earth, grounding in a real sense. We rely more on television or screens, a picture with sound, no smells, no touch, no true interaction with that real feedback, response to actions.

We have man made interactions, many of which are biased towards selling something, games, movies even gyms and fitness groups or sports. Often consequences within these events in our lives are not real. You may argue with me that these things provide valuable life lessons, I won't argue, many do. It is a hard thing to see the things you love as being in any way corrupted, but the signs are there if you look. It doesn't have to be corrupted in the true sense of the word, but over emphasis on its importance in life, often covers up or takes time away from other things that should be more important. Bread and circuses in so many different forms! We are just so many Neros fiddling while around us Rome burns.

- Too dramatic?

The questions we pondered related to many topics, but all came back to regaining control of our lives once again. In so many ways we are all feeling the stress of everyday life more and more. Our money does not go as far, our ability to do things that we were once allowed to do getting further restricted, to the point of being forced to join in with the madness of it all. Simple things like my paying for a green bin when I never use it, I compost anything that would go in it. I am dealing with this responsibly, but I am still financially punished by having to pay for a service I don't use. A friend who has to pay for the privilege of a parking space outside his own home, one is allocated but only to one given numberplate. Fines for driving a work car or somebody else's are not refundable unless considerable time and effort is involved to convince authorities otherwise. It goes on!

We all have little details we could offer up and most are becoming too difficult or time consuming to deal with, so we accept it and move on. The point I am trying to make here, is that these things, these stresses, are increasing at a rate that is linked to the rate of globalisation occurring in our management systems, both Governmental and in multinational businesses. How do we reverse this trend? Assuming of course you agree that it needs to be reversed.

There are those whose job includes the enforcement or implementing of these very restrictions, I find it hard to believe that they do not know what they are doing. I realise that it is just work to be done and like so many others they too are subject to this lack of connectedness. Many jobs are disconnected by speciality, the majority of those involved probably don't see the final impact of the work that they are a part of. I would like to believe, that if they did, they would somehow challenge it. They would need a "trigger" to realise this. Once realised, action comes next. The defeatist attitude of "what can I do" or "it's too big for me to deal with" is accompanied by worry about loss of employment if you rock the boat. This means the trigger has to be very large indeed to result in action.

Many that I have spoken to since COVID, have had that "back of the mind" feeling that all was not as it seemed. The trigger of restrictive or coercive government was enough for many to result in action, even if just attending a protest. These people have continued their awakening journey in most cases and have been

able to see more of these impositions as they have been introduced to them. Some have gone back to sleep, but the snake-oil salesman will have a harder job selling his next preparation to them.

I wonder if our job now, is to look for and promote these trigger points when they occur. To mix in and be the voice of reason, pointing out just how far this problem goes. To explain our system of government and how we need to ensure we get true <u>representation</u> at all levels, by electing anyone other than those in the current party systems. A local who stands for Australian values and freedom. Not someone who campaigns on whatever fashionable argument is in vogue in mainstream media at the time. So often, elections are hi-jacked (for want of a better word) by single issues that, in reality, are trivial things to the majority of people. Often things that left alone, would sort themselves out by the natural forgiving nature of our countrymen.

Each trigger point that occurs will awaken a different cohort, a group who are concerned. This needs to be translated from the "I recognise," to the "I will do something" stage. An awakening of social responsibility. How do we help this along? By being available to:

- help with knowledge or by providing access to it. Each time focusing on bringing

- the questions back to reality. How does it affect the real world, the individual. Does it add or detract from our freedom to pursue our lives as we want?
- explain our position, our opinion and make it lucid. It must be simple and clear. What it's expression in the real world will look like.
- act like a coach, offer to help improve the teams ability to be effective. If not you, at least make a suggestion to have them look at creating a coaching team of their own to examine outcomes and how to do things better.
- help them to know what it is they actually want. Challenge them to write down five or ten basic principles that will make our society a better place, a vision of what we can see as better. This also shows what has been lost and despite that negative, should provide a better reason for trying, than just the original trigger alone.
- I wonder if by waiting for triggers to react to, are we too late? Should we anticipate and be the trigger by pointing things out?
- the concept of shopping for triggers and utilising them. Being pre-prepared to confront/challenge, at that point bringing it back to real life, showing what the conclusion would look like in the real world
- if the big issue is the individual and their freedom; is part or all of the solution some form of evangelising? Moral and spiritual education. Eric Butler always said, "from the grass roots". If this is so, individual responsibility must be fostered to turn it around. Maybe a big part of this solution is using the triggers to instil a personal responsibility and action component in our fellow Australians.

We must be the grounding wire to provide a true earthing out, to help them to look for answers in the real world.

Medical Fraud Underpins Australia's No Jab No Pay No Play Vaccination Policies By Judy Wilyman Ph.D.

Did you know that it is unethical to trial drugs/vaccines on pregnant women?

At least that was what I was told by the authorities in 2010 when I asked for the *evidence* proving that it was safe for pregnant women to use vaccines. Consequently, the government *did not provide* any evidence of safety and I was informed by the head of the WA Health Department, that it 'would be unethical to prevent anyone from receiving a vaccine'. *Really?*

In other words, it is not unethical to claim that a vaccine is 'safe and effective' without providing any evidence to support this claim. I call that an unmonitored experiment.

Here is the evidence that governments **do not require** proof of safety or efficacy of vaccines *before* they promote them to healthy people as 'safe and effective'. And they do test them on pregnant women:

- 1. This short 10min video clip provides the evidence from the COVID19 vaccine manufacturer, **Pfizer**, that they knew in 2020-21 that these COVID 'vaccines' were linked to adverse events, miscarriages and infertility in pregnant women, and strokes and heart issues in other healthy people <u>Graham Hood brings an Urgent Appeal to the Australian Government: 'Politicians you must listen'</u>
- 2. The claimed, godfather of vaccines, **Stanley Plotkin**, has confirmed that there is a *lack of safety data* to claim that childhood vaccines are safe '<u>Stunning</u> Reversal': World Renowned Vaccinologist Publishes Paper Admitting Lack of Vaccine Safety Studies.
- 3. No Jab No Pay No Play Australian Government Policy: Independent MP, Russell Broadbent, is campaigning to bring back conscientious objection to vaccination. This campaign is based on the knowledge that vaccines, (a category of drugs), are not proven safe by using true inert saline placebos. Conscientious objection to vaccination was removed in 2016 in Australia, when the Liberal government brought in a policy called 'No Jab No Pay No Play' in the Social Services department not the Health Department.

This is a *coercive* vaccination policy that was linked to children being required to use 12+ vaccines before they were considered '*vaccinated*'.

(Did the Murdoch media's, News Corp, explain this in 2016 when they promoted the policy to you?)

Childcare welfare benefits and childcare places are withheld if a child does not receive all 12 vaccines ~ 24 doses of which are given in the *first 12 months of life*. Scott Morrison was the *Minister for Social Services*, who brought in this policy (signed off by Christian Porter in November 2015), and they called it 'Choices for Families' as they removed a parents choice in the number of vaccines they use in their child; a policy that should be dependent upon family genetics. How Orwellian!

Please support Russell Broadbent's campaign to repeal this legislation. Here is a link to find out more about his campaign - 'No Jab, No Pay, No Way!' Campaign and please also see the response's he has already had from parents 'Unjust Parent Penalties' (2 mins).

4. Mark Zuckerberg, recently admitted that the

'Feds asked Facebook to Censor True COVID Information'

My Journey in Exposing the Fraud in Vaccination Policies:

Recently I did an interview for the Substack 'Lies are Unbekoming' describing my journey in providing the historical medical literature that demonstrates that vaccines were not the main strategy to remove the risk from infectious diseases. Here is my interview Judy Wilyman PhD and my book <u>Vaccination: Australia's</u> <u>Loss of Health Freedom</u>, (that was published in 2020 as everyone was being locked down) can be purchased from my website https://www.vaccinationdecisions.net ***

Nation First - Article Extract from George Christensen MP

Former Queensland MP, George Christensen has set out the five areas where we are losing freedom due to plans to introduce parliamentary measures in Australia but designed at the top un-elected One-World –Government bureaucracies. You must help stop Australia going down this path.

- Global elites are rolling out measures designed to control and enslave us.
- Censorship laws are silencing dissent under the guise of "misinformation" and "hate speech."
- Digital IDs are setting the stage for constant surveillance and denial of essential services.
- Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) allows governments to track and control your financial life.
- 15-minute cities are designed to restrict your freedom of movement under the cover of convenience.
- The WHO's Pandemic Treaty threatens your personal health choices and bodily autonomy.
- We must reject these systems, use cash, speak out, and fight back to protect our freedoms.

This isn't a hidden conspiracy—it's happening in plain sight. Across the world, measures are being introduced that undermine our autonomy and centralise power in the hands of the few.

Let's break down the five biggest ways they're working to control you and what we can do about it.

1. Censorship Disguised as 'Anti-Misinformation' and 'Anti-Hate Speech'

What they call "anti-misinformation" or "anti-hate speech" is really about controlling what you can say. Governments across the Anglosphere and Europe are passing laws to shut down voices they don't like. They claim it's about protecting you from harm, but in reality, it's a weapon against dissent. If you speak out against On Target October 2024

their narrative, you're labelled a troublemaker or worse. And if you think this won't impact you, think again. Today, it's a political voice or conservative group being silenced; tomorrow, it could be you for simply disagreeing. Once they control what you can say, they control what you can think.

2. Digital ID: The Ultimate Surveillance Tool

Every move you make—monitored. That's what Digital ID systems are leading to. Governments in Europe, Australia, and the UK are pushing for Digital IDs under the guise of making life easier. They say it'll streamline access to services. But here's the problem: once you're logged into this system, your every action becomes trackable. Who you associate with, what you buy, where you go—it's all data for them to control. If you don't comply, they can simply cut you off from essential services. This isn't about convenience—it's about surveillance. Digital ID is just the first step towards total control.

3. Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC): The Government's Financial Control

Imagine a world where every financial move you make is watched and controlled. That's what a Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) is designed to do. It's being mooted as a replacement for cash in countries like the UK and Australia. But here's the catch: unlike cash, CBDCs are fully traceable and programmable. Governments can see where you spend every cent and can control whether you spend it at all. This goes beyond tracking—if they decide you're supporting the wrong cause or speaking out too loudly, your access to your own money could be restricted. It's about keeping you in line.

4. '15-Minute Cities': Restricting Your Freedom of Movement

The push for 15-minute cities is gaining traction, particularly in Europe and the UK. These so-called "sustainable" cities claim to make your life easier by keeping everything you need within 15 minutes. But look a little deeper, and it's clear this is about restricting your freedom to move. What happens when you want to travel beyond your designated zone? Expect restrictions, permits, and penalties. This is sold as a solution to climate change, but it's really about locking you into controlled zones. Once they control where you can go, they control how you live.

5. The Global Pandemic Treaty: Giving Away Health Sovereignty

The World Health Organization's Global Pandemic Treaty is a direct threat to your personal freedoms. Governments across the world are considering signing on to this treaty, which would give the WHO the authority to impose global health mandates, lockdowns, and restrictions. This isn't just about managing pandemics—it's about taking decisions out of your hands and placing them in the hands of unelected bureaucrats. The right to make personal health choices is fundamental, yet this treaty threatens to take that power away from you. If governments sign on, they'll be handing over control of your health decisions to global authorities who don't answer to you. This isn't about public safety—it's about control.

How We Can Push Back

So, how do we stop this agenda of control? It starts with action—your action.

29 October 2024

Refuse to comply with systems that strip away your freedoms.

The biggest fight on our hands is the fight for free speech. If we lose that, it could be all over. Leave no stone unturned in the fight for free speech, especially when your government cooks up some hair-brained censorship measure such as the Australian Government is doing with its so-called "Combatting Misinformation & Disinformation Bill". Sign petitions, alert others, attend protests, see your local politicians and demand action.

With Digital ID, just say no. Don't sign up for one, and refuse to do business with companies that require it. Make it clear to those businesses that you're walking away because they're siding with control.

For CBDCs, the solution is also simple: use cash whenever you can. The more you use cash, the harder it becomes for them to eliminate it. Refuse to shop at cashless businesses. Make sure cashless businesses are customerless. If your bank decides to go cashless, move to a bank that still values financial freedom.

On 15-minute cities, get informed and stay involved. Local councils are already moving forward with plans to implement these restrictive zones. Be vocal. Make your opposition known, and stand up for your freedom of movement.

When it comes to the Pandemic Treaty, your voice matters. Contact your local politicians and demand that they reject this treaty. Let them know that you won't stand for any infringement on personal health decisions.

This isn't some far-off dystopian future—it's happening right now. The global elites are pushing an agenda that aims to control your speech, movement, money, and even your body. But you have the power to stop it. The key is staying informed, refusing to comply, and pushing back wherever you can. They may want to control you, but you can take control of your own future. Stand firm, and don't let them take away your freedoms.

Unfortunately submissions to the Committee closed in September but it is now important to contact your MP's with your protest.

Key Arguments to Make:

In your submission, highlight these points:

- Government Overreach: The Bill gives the government unprecedented power to control what is said online. This sets a dangerous precedent for future censorship.
- Threat to Free Speech: Unelected bureaucrats should not have the power to decide what constitutes "misinformation"—this is an attack on free speech and the right to challenge government narratives.
- Undermining Democracy: Open debate and dissent are critical to a healthy democracy. This Bill stifles public discourse and erodes our democratic freedoms.

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa - printed and posted monthly.
On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.