

HIGHLIGHTS

in the

WORLD REVOLUTION

An address by Eric Butler,
Australian patriot, delivered
at the Hollywood Woman's
Club, Hollywood, California.

Auspices: Christian Youth Against
Communism

WHO IS ERIC BUTLER?

Mr. Butler is National Director of the Australian League of Rights, considered by many as the most significant patriotic organization in Australia. He stands uncompromisingly in defense of a free society in the richest tradition of the meaning of that word.

Mr. Butler is the Director and Editor of two journals of opinion - The New Times and the Intelligence Survey. These journals are read throughout the English-speaking world.

He is 47 years of age, the father of two sons and resides near Melbourne, Australia. As a patriot he served in the late war and became an instructor in an officers' training school.

Mr. Butler has been a feature writer for the Argus, the leading morning daily newspaper published in Melbourne.

He is a dedicated Christian and has been one of the active lay leaders of his denomination (the Anglican Church).

He has lectured in Canada, the United Kingdom, South Africa, New Zealand and the U.S.A.

His address at the Hollywood Woman's Club in Hollywood, California, which is reproduced herewith, was received with enthusiastic applause and rapt attention by a mature and intelligent audience of American Christian patriots.

HIGHLIGHTS IN THE WORLD REVOLUTION

We need to remind ourselves that a civilization is not merely a material manifestation. Civilization is the incarnation of certain underlying values, and it is important to bear this in mind. The historians tell us that there have been approximately twenty-one or twenty-two civilizations. You can still see the material expression of, for example, the Greek or the Roman civilization if you go into parts of Europe today. You can see the wonderful architecture, the art, that the Greek civilization produced in the ruins of Acropolis. But we all know that the civilization which produced those wonderful works of art is dead, because the values which produced them are long since dead. And one of the manifestations of a dying civilization is that the fact of death is masked by the great material achievements taking place. I wonder if you ever looked at, for example, government today. You share the same tradition as we do in a slightly different manner. Yes, Parliament still meets in a beautiful building; the best that a nation can provide. The machinery of government proceeds as it did one hundred years ago, the politicians talk; but there is something missing, and that something is a clear understanding today of the values which originally gave rise to this form of government.

And so, therefore, we delude ourselves-looking at our Western world and saying: Look how we have mastered space, look at the wonderful techniques we have evolved, look how progressive we are, and overlook the fact that all this material activity can mask the fact that we are a dying civilization because the values which gave rise to it are no longer clearly understood; no longer does the great majority clearly grasp and believe in the values.

PLANNED EXPLOSION

And the reason for this, ladies and gentlemen, is the fact that the promoters of World Revolution have set out, not to blow up our beautiful buildings, not to blow up our Parliament—Oh no, they have set out to undermine our civilization by attacking the values, the spiritual principles undergirding it. And they have used all the techniques of mass propaganda, of what perhaps we can graphically describe as brainwashing, until we have reached the stage where it is true to say that, as far as the conventional media of information is concerned, you must treat ninety-five percent of it with suspicion, until you can check it against on-the-spot, independent, realistic reporting. Now that may, to many people, be a strong statement. Let me, therefore, take just two examples to demonstrate my point.

MADAME NHU TRAGEDY

You recently had, here in your country, a woman from South Vietnam: Madame Nhu. Madame Nhu, in your country, as in other parts of the world, attempted to tell you the truth about South Vietnam, in spite of one of the typical campaigns of smearing and lies. Now, we in Australia are perhaps a little more sensitive to what is happening in Southeast Asia today than you are. We are already starting to feel the hot breath of the Chinese Communist offensive on our neck, and we know that what happened in South Vietnam was almost the exact opposite of the picture presented by the controllers of world propaganda. Madame Nhu's great crime, of course, was not only that she was a strong, courageous patriot, standing firmly for her people and her nation

against Communism. She realized that to fight Communism you must build up the moral strength and health of your own people. And so she had the audacity to suggest that the type of pornographic literature, which I doubt would be permissible in this country, produced by the type of people you know all about, was not the type of literature she wanted available to the youth of her country. Madame Nhu also believed that women can have a great influence in improving the morale of a country, so she set about producing a woman's army, dedicated to the highest ideals of womanhood; the type of ideals which we who call ourselves Europeans and Christians have always held as sacred. Madame Nhu, in fact, struck at the type of influences that were rotting the soul of her nation. Then, of course, her husband and her brother-in-law were almost completely dependent, as you know, on the type of support your policy makers were giving them. Ngo Dinh Diem did his best within the framework of American support. The stage was reached where, in high places and never let us forget St. Paul's famous words concerning evil in high places-someone decided that the time had come to sabotage Ngo Dinh Diem's government. And so in May of last year, we suddenly had stories appearing in the world press concerning Buddhist monks burning themselves to death in protest against what, allegedly, was a minority Roman Catholic government brutally oppressing the Buddhist majority. May I point out to you, in case you perhaps don't know this, that self-destruction by burning themselves to death is nothing new amongst Buddhists. You may not know that, since the coup which toppled the former regime took place, there has been a continuing number of Buddhist monks taking their lives in this traditional manner, but you have not seen this in the headlines of the world press.

The Buddhist movement, right from Japan down through South Vietnam, right around to Ceylon, has been so effectively penetrated by the Communists that, today, it must be regarded as one of their main instruments in furthering the Revolution in Asia. This is not a wild statement. Well informed observers, experts, have been pointing this out for many years. My publishing company in Australia, for example, only last year published perhaps one of the most authentic stories we yet have of the workings of the mind of the Chinese Communist leaders. This is the story told to Dr. W. G. Goddard, perhaps the greatest Australian expert on China, a man who taught there for a quarter of a century or more; by a defector from the Peking Foreign Office. This man had been brought up in the Christian tradition, but nevertheless, was swept into the Communist movement, where his idealism was exploited until he could take no more of it, and he defected. He told his story to Dr. Goddard in Hong Kong. He told of the strategical maps inside the Peking Foreign Office, and he pointed to that part of Southeast Asia called West New Guinea and predicted how it would be toppled. He pointed to Indonesia. He also told Dr. Goddard of the coming fate of my country, which on this strategic map is listed as part of Asia. He also told of the deep penetration of the Communists right throughout the Buddhist movement. He told of the use of the Buddhist movement, not only with the type of subversion that aided the fall of the previous regime in South Vietnam, but also of how they were using Buddhists in the drug business to gain foreign exchange. You would have thought that this dramatic, firsthand story told to an expert would have been welcomed by the people who conventionally are regarded as the upholders of our traditions. But we didn't find that was the case.

We found that, like all important books, Dr. Goddard's work was treated with silence. It wasn't even criticized, in case that gave it publicity. And exactly what that former top Chinese official told Dr. Goddard has taken place.

A DYNAMIC WITNESS

Last year another very distinguished Australian-in fact, a very distinguished world figure--Dr. Sir Raphael Cilento--a man I can tell this audience tonight could tell some most alarming truths concerning the history of that modern Tower of Babel, known as the United Nations, because he was one of the key figures in the creation of it until he was pushed out when he ran afoul of the Communists and the political Zionists. This was a man who was pressed into service in Germany, at the end of the war, because he was an expert on the types of diseases the Western forces expected to encounter there. This is the man who was present when Count Bernadotte was murdered in what today is called Israel; an expert on international affairs; a man who knows Asia. Last year he went to Asia; he went there just prior to the fall of the South Vietnamese government. He spoke to the former President, Ngo Dinh Diem, not through an interpreter, but in French, because he is a linguist and speaks a number of languages. He came back and predicted what was going to happen. It has happened. This is the type of truth about the world situation which courageous men know, but the big problem is to get adequate publicity for it, because all the instruments of publicity are in the hands of the enemy. And so, therefore, we have to rely on what we might term dedicated Crusading Movements; movements such as those to which you belong. These organizations have the great responsibility today

to insure that these truths do reach as many people as possible so that we have a chance of survival. SUKARNO, THE ENIGMA

I am sure you won't be offended when, in concluding my remarks on Southeast Asia, I tell you that when recently, your Attorney General visited Asia to deal with the current problem—that of Dr. Sukarno's attack on Malaysia, many of us felt a chill run down our spines. We remember the formula which was used to push the Dutch out of West New Guinea in order that Dr. Sukarno could take control of part of this very important strategical island just to the north of us. We recall the double-talk, and we also have seen since the realities, and we know that behind Dr. Sukarno is the full weight of the Communist revolution. In spite of this we have had Sukarno presented to us as a man we should support in case worse should befall us; that in fact we might be thrown into the arms of the Communists. Just think of that, and yet Sukarno has been firmly embraced by the Communists for over twenty years or more. The same formula is now being repeated that was applied for West New Guinea, and your Attorney General has gone to London to tell the British we must trust Sukarno. Australians are told that they also must trust him. But we recall that Indonesia was described by one of the earlier Communists as the bridge between Asia and Australia.

A MYTH

Let me tell you about another myth. The world propagandists talk about the nation of Indonesia. There is no nation of

Indonesia. That is a myth. There is a vast archipelago of islands right across the north of Australia with a wide variety of people—dozens and dozens of different and distinct languages—the people of Java with nothing in common and hating the people of Sumatra. Then there are the people of the Celebes and the Moluccas. A few years ago they revolted against government from Jakarta. You have people at all stages of development from what you might describe as cultured people of the island of Bali—you have all heard of the Bali dancing girls—ranging right along to the headhunters of Borneo. And this is pictured to us as a Nation. It is an assortment of people held together by the myth of Sukarno, the armed forces and the two and one-half million strong Indonesian Communist Party, the largest and perhaps the best organized in the whole world outside the Communist bloc.

I repeat: We are told we must accept Sukarno in case we throw him into the arms of the Communists. Yet only a few months ago he was dispatching his envoys to Moscow to get further military equipment—not bows and arrows to fight the headhunters in Borneo; oh no, sophisticated weapons which we Australians watch coming in with great apprehension. And so, therefore, the revolution in Asia is sweeping on, and just as China was lost, not in China, but in high places in the Western world by treachery; so is the rest of Asia being lost today by exactly the same type of treachery.

And this revolution will continue until sufficient patriots in the Western world demand that this treachery in high places cease, and that those personally responsible be brought to book for their activities.

AFRICA

Let's now leave Asia. Let's look at another part of the world-Africa. Let's first start with South Africa. Now you all know, as people who read your newspapers, that this is allegedly a police state. You all know the brutal Europeans are supposed to be right on the necks of the unhappy natives there, grinding them into the dust. You all know this. But, ladies and gentlemen, what is the truth? If this is a police state, it must be one of the most remarkable police states in the whole world. Now, if you talk about the police state in East Germany, I understand that, because the people were trying, until the Communists put the famous wall up, to vote with their feet-they were trying to get out of the place. There are two main features of a police state. The first is that the inmates of the police state (of the prison) naturally are all trying to get out; and the second feature is that the press is so controlled by the government that it merely endorses whatever policy the government wishes to pursue. Those are the two main features-there are other features, but those are the two main features of a police state. We will, therefore, apply these two main features to South Africa and what do we find? We find that the Bantu, rather than wishing to get out of this police state, have to be prevented from coming in. In fact, there are one million illegal Bantu in South Africa today who so love being in the police state that they won't leave! And do you know,--no doubt you have all read this one of the great crimes of the South African authorities is that they are brutally asking all these illegal Bantu, amongst others, to have passes so that the authorities know who they are and where they are. Isn't that a shocking thing to do? And yet, before I came to your wonderful country, I had to

go and see your Consul in Melbourne--rightly so--he wanted to know a little about me. He faced me with that very important clause concerning "had I at any time ever had anything to do with fostering Communism?". And, when he had been assured that I was not going to enter your Republic for the purpose of blowing it up, he gave me a visa. And I have it here-and wherever I go in your Republic, and rightly so, your authorities can ask me to produce that visa. And I don't feel humiliated. I feel it is a right and proper act by your government to take in protecting you and your friends against undesirables. And yet, this is all the South African authorities have done. But they are "murderous Fascists" for daring to try to control all these illegal migrants, who, as I say, so love the 'police state' they want to stay in it.

Well, you may say, they are only simple Bantu natives they may not know very much. I will presume that you will not measure the people of the islands of the United Kingdom in this way, and so I will have to point out when I visit Britain again shortly, I will have to issue a warning to the British about this police state, because they are flocking there also in very large numbers. Fifteen thousand went there last year, a record. Don't you think it is my duty to warn them about the terrible country they are going to settle in?

You see, ladies and gentlemen, once you start to bring common sense to bear on this problem, you immediately see that this propaganda against South Africa is phoney.

THE NATION UNDER SEIGE

Last, but not least, on the question of the 'police state': What about the press? All I have to say about the English speaking

South African press in particular, is that if you can point out a more vicious and biased press, which every morning and every evening in its editorial grinds out antigovernment propaganda, I would like to hear about it. And so, therefore, South Africa clearly is not a police state. South Africa is a nation under siege. The forces of world revolution have been directed against South Africa for a very good reason. South Africa must be destroyed in order that the revolution can consume the whole of the vast dark continent of Africa. Now, the revolution has been successful in the North. You all know, of course, the role General DeGaulle plays in Algeria, and now the chickens are coming home to roost.

MANUFACTURING OPINION

We all know what is happening in other parts of Africa. The British, under the pressure of what is called 'world opinion', have been pulling out of Africa.

I am always interested in who creates what is supposed to be 'world opinion'. The fact of the matter is that when the so-called representative of this or any other country criticizes what is going on in Africa, he is not speaking for you, he is not speaking for me; he is speaking on behalf of the promoters of World Revolution, and so the British, under the pressure of what is called 'world opinion', have been pulling out like the French. They pulled out of a place called Ghana. That was allegedly going to be a model democracy. The British were going to show how the natives could have democracy planted amongst them; those British institutions which took the British a thousand years to evolve, and which many thinking British don't

think they are handling themselves too well today. But nevertheless, these simple natives in Ghana were going to show how it could be done.

And now, today, we find that Dr. Nkrumah has done exactly what every authority on Africa predicted would be done: He has established a dictatorship. Now I want to tell you another truth about Africa. Contrary to what you read in your newspapers, there are no new nations emerging in Africa-not unless the word `nation' has taken on another meaning to that normally accepted. I am prepared to concede that, of course, because black has become white. You have all perhaps read George Orwell's book, "1984". You will remember his famous observation that "all men are equal; all animals are equal, only some are more equal than others."

And so there are no nations in Africa because there is no reality of nationhood. The basic reality of Africa is tribalism, and once the European jurisdiction is removed, at this stage in the development of the people there, the reality asserts itself and there is a reversion to tribalism.

LEGAL CRIMES

This is happening in Ghana. Oh yes, it is done in a more sophisticated form--a so-called legal form, by Dr. Nkrumah. But the fact is, he is now saying that he is the leading chief of the predominant tribe running Ghana. The same in Kenya. You have all heard of that "statesman" called Kenyatta; a man who had all the benefits of so-called Western education, the veneer of civilization, but the man who was responsible for the dreadful

Mau-Mau. The British were pushed out of Kenya. But what is happening? The rule of the jungle is returning. In Zanzibar, exactly the same has happened. In Tanganyika, the same. And, ladies and gentlemen, let me ask you this question. As the dreadful realities emerge, are the political clergy, the left-wing sentimentalists and all the other starry-eyed people who have been sucked in on this question -mounting their rostrums, and are they apologizing to the people they misled concerning their claim that if these people had what they called independence, this would be a step forward for them?

Oh, no. And so all that is happening is that the Europeans are being driven out of Africa - this is being done in your name and my name - with the result that the vote of what is called the Afro-Asian bloc in that Tower of Babel, the United Nations, increases. And isn't it a thought provoking reality when you could have a small place such as the former French colony known as Gabon, elect as its first President a man who spent four and one-half years of imprisonment in a French jail for cannibalism. I would like to deal, if I had the time, with this question of what has happened in the Congo. But I want to assure you of this, as one who has seen some of the results, and talked to people who have been through it, you would need mighty strong stomachs to stand even a short lecture on the subject. If, for example, you were to hear what was done in our name by the United Nations forces in Katanga. We helped finance brutal and bloody aggression. Then, there was the exploitation of all those sincere but misguided people who contributed to that United Nations fund which, allegedly, was to save the world's children. But part of that fund was misappropriated and used to finance the Congo aggression against Tshombe, the one stable native leader produced in

Africa. So in fact, what the World Revolution is doing is sweeping, if it can, the Europeans from the whole of Africa in order to bring all these native votes into the United Nations. And your future, my future and the future of our children, our civilization and all it stands for, could in fact be voted on by natives who at this stage of development are a little more than stone-age people. There is nothing comparable to this type of breakdown of civilization in the recorded history of man - nothing!

COURAGEOUS RESISTANCE

So, South Africa stands with Southern Rhodesia and the Portuguese territories as the only parts of Africa at the moment resisting what is proposed by the world revolutionaries. Therefore, they must be liquidated. And the way to liquidate them, of course, is how we liquidated the regime in South Vietnam; how we liquidated Chiang Kai-Shek. First of all there is mounted a world-wide propaganda campaign, in which the revolutionaries use every lie, every trick, play upon every emotion, and eventually, if necessary, as in Katanga, you justify the use of force to achieve their objective. But, I want to tell you this, ladies and gentlemen, although I am an Australian with Anglo-Saxon background, and would find it difficult to get along in South Africa with some of the Afrikaners, because they are of a different tradition, I must stand here tonight and tell you that, as far as I am concerned, in looking at the world situation, South Africans, that is the European community of a handful of three million, are today demonstrating a will and sheer guts not demonstrated by any other group of Europeans in the whole world.

And this is what we desperately need in order to halt the world revolution: an example of will. I want to say to you that the fiercer this campaign against South Africa becomes, the more the pressure is mounted, the firmer is going to be the stand of the South Africans.

This I believe, in the last analysis, is what is going to save our civilization; that right around the Western world there are going to be groups of people, perhaps at this stage small groups compared with the total population, groups like yours and mine are prepared to stand firm in faith in the engulfing darkness. The light that we provide is like that tiny match that is struck in a dark room. And, therefore, we in Australia take comfort from the fact that there are these groups of people; take comfort in the fact that the South Africans are standing firm, the Portuguese are still standing firm, and two hundred and fifty thousand Southern Rhodesians are still standing firm. What we have to do is to assure that they are not betrayed; not only for their sakes which, of course, is important, but also for our own sake, because every time we lend our support to the betrayal of our fellow Europeans, we are merely helping to dig a little deeper our own graves.

`SOAPY' DIPLOMACY

We, therefore, all of us, are taking part in a battle being fought on a world scale. But just remember those few facts I have given you about Africa, and also remember this, without being personal, when men like your Ambassador Mr. `Soapy' Williams and others go there, they do you - the sound traditional American people - a great disservice, because apparently to the embattled Europeans there, they speak in your name.

You have got to make it clear they don't speak in your name.

Now, having dealt with those two important areas in which the revolution is sweeping today - Asia and Africa - let us as I have said earlier, stand back again and look at some of the other landmarks of the trail of revolution.

There is a story told by the biologists, and I believe it is true, that if you throw a frog into boiling water, his immediate reaction is such that he jumps out and saves his life. But put that same frog in cold water and slowly bring it to the boil, and the frog will permit himself to be boiled alive, because it is the slow increase of the temperature which lulls him into a sense of false security. He keeps adjusting himself as the heat gets hotter and hotter.

Now do you know, that type of technique has been applied to our civilization, and we don't realize just how hot the water is becoming. We don't realize that we haven't much time before it could reach the boiling point. Therefore, it is essential, as I say, to step back and have a look at just how far we have come.

A DEADLY DATE IN HISTORY

I always urge that the year 1903 be regarded as one of those dates in modern history which should be learned by everyone, and more particularly, its significance made clear, because this was the year in which Lenin, an evil genius, but a genius just the same, persuaded that movement we call the Marxian Socialist movement to move away from the concept of development which had been followed until then. Lenin outlined a program

for making Communism a reality. He proposed that the techniques of terror, subversion, and corruption should be used scientifically. His program was not completely original. It can be read in other documents. Whether you believe that they are authentic, forgeries, or plagiarism, you can study this revolutionary program in those documents known as the Protocols. You can also study the program as it was developed before the French Revolution. In fact, the roots of the Revolution go right back thousands of years into history-the techniques have merely been refined to meet changing situations. There is no doubt that Lenin drew heavily upon that revolutionary program. What he proposed was such, that even to many of the Marxian Socialists, it was so terrifying that they could not follow it. But he persuaded a number at that famous conference in London in 1903 to follow him, and to set out on the task he outlined. Now, I think it is very important to bear in mind that Lenin started with only seventeen supporters, because one of the myths so carefully propagated by those who want to lull us to sleep, is that because there are so few so-called Communists about, there is no internal Communist problem. But Lenin did not propose to conquer the world by the use of numbers. He set out to do something which we Christians, at least, should understand. He taught the principle of the dedicated few prepared to give everything; the whole of their lives, as he put it, not just their spare Sunday afternoons, in the service of World Revolution. That is the sort of people that Lenin wanted for his movement.

DESTRUCTION IN A GENERATION

If my arithmetic is correct, 1903 is a mere 61 years ago--less than an average man's lifetime;

a mere flick in time. Now, remember that 1903 was just the turn of this century. This was before the first of the great upheavals which shook the foundations of our civilization - the first World War and the great economic depression of the thirties. This was a period of comparative stability in every part of the world. Apart from the Franco-German war, a boxing match compared with the total wars in this century, Europe had known stability since the Napoleonic wars. Material standards of living were rising. People were flocking out to the new Countries, to America, Australia, New Zealand and Canada, bringing law and order with them. All in all, it appeared that Western civilization was slowly but surely expanding. The picture appeared to justify the hopes of those who believed that man was intrinsically good and that this expansion of civilization would go on and on indefinitely. It was in this situation that Lenin - and let us remember this now, with seventeen supporters - seventeen - said that his movement was not only going to undermine this civilization which it had taken two thousand years to build; it was going to conquer the whole world.

Just take yourself back in time and imagine yourself listening in to seventeen individuals in an obscure hall in London in 1903, talking about destroying the greatest civilization that man has ever seen. You would have said: "These men are screwballs; these men are really nuts, - their talk is pathetic." But what has happened in the sixty-one short years? The movement of seventeen has swept the world to the stage where as we sit here tonight, one thousand million human beings - our fellow human beings, are directly under the control of this Revolutionary movement and its organization, and there is not one of us who can honestly say that he is not affected in

one way or another by this movement, because we all read the newspapers and look at TV; this being directly and indirectly influenced by propaganda and tension.

THE PENETRATION

This revolutionary movement has penetrated right into the very heart of our Western governments, into our most cherished institutions. As a Christian, perhaps a poor type of Christian, I say this with shame - it has even penetrated what should be the greatest bastion of our civilization-the Christian church itself. I repeat: All this in sixty-one years.

If we could draw a graph on the wall starting from 1903, and plot the progress of Lenin's movement, we come fast to 1917, when with forty thousand, a handful of desperadoes, Lenin and Trotsky and their gangster friends seized control of that vast country we call Soviet Russia, thereby establishing a geographic base for the Revolution, and then we come along to the many other signposts of the Communist advance. Our graph would show us just how long before the world revolution conquers the whole world. And how long is that? Twenty years, at the very outside, but probably much less. In preparing the graph I am talking about, we would have to show the great depression of the thirties as a period of tremendous importance to the revolutionaries.

There was a time when many believed the teachings of the certified economists: that the great depression was caused by natural forces over which man had no control. We had one learned economist in Australia, subsequently knighted for his efforts, who wrote learned articles in the newspapers suggesting that sun spots had something to do with it!

THE VILLAINOUS MANIPULATORS

Today you know, as intelligent, informed people, that the great economic depression was the result of deliberate policies imposed by the international manipulators of finance. It was a financial phenomenon. And that, of course, opens up the question of whether the great depression which gave the Revolution such a tremendous impetus, was the action of men who did not know what they were doing, or perhaps they were men well aware of the forces they had set in motion. Whatever the answer, the fact is that out of that great depression came two significant developments of tremendous importance. The first was in Germany. The depression threw up the National Socialist movement known as the Nazis, and it helped bring Hitler to power. Now, one of the most unpalatable truths you can tell the Communists, and also, any Nazi supporters if there are still any around, is that it is extremely unlikely that Hitler would have come to power in Germany if it had not been because the promoters of World Revolution wanted him in power. Hitler received support at a critical stage of his bid for power, from both powerful financial groups and from the Communists. An essential feature of Lenin's strategy for world revolution, was to foster in every possible way another major war between the Western nations. Stalin worked to further this strategy, confident that another major war would exhaust all the Western Nations, create a revolutionary situation, and permit Soviet Russia to dominate the aftermath of the war. Stalin directed the German Communists to permit Hitler to come to power, confident that this would increase the prospects of war. The Communists don't like to be reminded that Stalin gave a direction to the German Communists to permit Hitler to come to power.

STALIN'S ESSENTIAL WAR

Stalin wasn't worried about Hitler's anti-Communist windiness; any more than the real promoters of Communism are worried about a lot of the windy anti-Communism that we have today. They wanted that second world war which Lenin said was essential to the revolutionary program. Hitler was an ingredient most likely to help produce it. There were, of course, people like me during the thirties, warning about this. We were regarded as being so peculiar then. We insisted that if you wanted to understand the revolution, particularly that part of it under the label of "Communism," the thing to do was to go to the authentic documents; to read what Lenin wrote, to read what Marx wrote, to read what other revolutionaries wrote, to see what the program was about. But you know our nations today are full of all sorts of conventional anti-Communists; they are opposed to Communism but ask them if they ever read anything authoritative on Communism and you will find that they haven't read anything. They remind me of the person who gets up and says, "I am now going to give you a sermon on Christianity, but I must tell you, of course, I haven't felt it necessary to read the New Testament." But I am one of those people who believe you have got to do your homework. You understand that term here in America. And I believe what Stalin taught and what Lenin taught, and all the other revolutionaries taught, is more important than what some sophisticated interpreter has to say in your State Department or the British Foreign Office or somewhere else.

So, those of us who had done our homework were pointing out that we couldn't take Stalin's opposition to Hitler at face value, and, of course, therefore, we weren't numbered among those who were shocked when Stalin turned around early in

August, 1939, signed his non-aggression pact with Hitler and gave the green light for the start of World War II, which Stalin believed the Communists were going to win.

Here in this country of yours, very important in the overall scene because you are a big, strong, powerful nation; therefore, the revolution had to give you special attention, which brings me to the second significant development of the great depression.

ROOSEVELT RECOGNIZES THE KREMLIN

The great depression here threw up Franklin Delano Roosevelt, and you all know that his first major political act was to do something which no other President had done - to recognize the visible spokesman for the Revolution in the Kremlin. And you all know, I don't need to tell you this, that by 1939 the penetration of the conspiracy in this country had reached the stage where your Administration was - to put it as moderately as I can as a guest - very naive indeed concerning the intentions of Josef Stalin and what he stood for. So we had the war which the revolutionaries wanted. You of course, had to be drawn into it, and we had that little incident at Pearl Harbor. I am sure you all know the real story about that as distinct from the phoney story told by the newspapers. Some of your real historians have told us the true story about Pearl Harbor.

So we move along then and we come to the question of "Did the war, in fact, further the Revolution?" and we can test it quite easily, very easily. The purpose of military war is not to bash up the furniture, as it were, and to knock others down; to kill one another just for the sake of doing it. You have to have

an objective, a political or an economic objective. War is defined by the great German writer, Clausewitz, as "action taken to impose your will upon the opposition." Now sane people use the minimum of force to achieve their objective. And if, of course, you can reach your objective without war as the Communists are seeking, so much the better. But we the British and the U.S.A., had an objective when we went to war. At least we must hope that we did.

PAWNS

In Western Europe, what was the objective the British Government was concerned about? The issue was Poland. That was the objective: the independence and the integrity of Poland. But after four and a half years of wrecking Western Europe, not only destroying great wealth but also undermining further the moral values of the very heart of Western civilization, when the smoke had cleared away and we could look at the wreckage, had the objective been reached?

And the answer is "no." Poland had lost its independence; lost its integrity. We, therefore, lost the war in Europe. The only winners were the Communists who achieved their objective; not only by taking Poland, but the whole of Eastern Europe from the Baltic states in the North to the Balkans in the South. And so in Western Europe, we lost the war. The Revolutionaries won it. You can't escape that hard fact of history.

Let us now look at the Pacific war. What was the so-called issue of debate between your Administration and the Japanese government, led by the moderate leader Prince Konoye who was prepared to meet every demand but one, that concerning

Manchuria. Prince Konoye said that no Japanese government could withdraw from Manchuria and still sustain the support of the Japanese people. Manchuria was the issue. The Roosevelt Administration said that Manchuria belonged to China; it must go back to Chiang Kai-Shek. As Manchuria was the issue which led to the start of the Pacific War, we must ask, in order to test the question of who won this sector of the world struggle: "What happened to Manchuria at the end of the war against Japan?"

AN INFAMOUS CONFERENCE

The answer is that at the infamous Yalta Conference of early 1945, not only did Manchuria not go back to Chiang Kai-shek, but behind the back of Chiang Kai-Shek, it was handed over to Communist influence and control and proved the springboard from which the ultimate conquest of China itself took place.

So, in Europe and in the Pacific, those who promoted the war, exploited the war and won the war, really lost. And so you can go on up to the present time, including the leaping of the revolutionary offensive to Cuba, a mere ninety miles from your own shores. The revolutionaries have now firmly established a base here in the Western Hemisphere, from which they promote revolution right throughout the whole of Latin America. They even operate in Quebec in Canada at the present time, behind the mask of what is known as the Separatists. Sixty-one years of Revolution. Look at the picture. Don't you think we are like that frog being slowly boiled? Don't you think the temperature is getting so hot that at least a few of us should be starting to feel it? Has not the time come

for action - to leap before it is too late? And should we not ask ourselves how the crisis threatening us has come about?

IDIOTIC HISTORY

Now there are only two answers concerning this question, and I want to conclude around this point. There are only two approaches, and I want to put this to you, and I want to leave it with you to think about. You can accept the first explanation that is given, and that is that this has all just happened. It is what I call the village idiot's theory of history. It's like Topsy, it just grew. Well, of course, that explanation fits neatly into the psychological warfare the Revolutionaries are directing against us. Because, you see, the Revolution, as I said at the outset of my talk, is directed not so much against our institutions in their material form; it is directed against our will to resist, and so every person in our communities who talks about "inevitable trends" and plaintively says, "there is nothing you can do about it, it is all part of the pattern of the history over which man has no control," that person does not need to be a communist, but he is spreading the disease of Communism. That most dangerous person in the community, in an epidemic that is communicable, is not the person who has the disease, because he can be detected and he can be isolated; it is the person who does not actually catch the disease himself, but is the carrier, spreading it everywhere throughout the community. Our Western civilization today is full of such people. I have heard them referred to as "Typhoid Marys."

Every person who says, "Well, all this has just happened. It's too bad that we elected the wrong politicians, perhaps something will turn up and at least there is nothing poor little

me can do about it," is already a casualty in the Revolution. Look around your community and ask yourself how many of your friends are already casualties. They are beaten because they, in fact, are saying that they as individuals can have no bearing and have had no bearing on the course of history. This is what the Communists want us to say because the underlying philosophy, if you can call it that, of Communism is what is called "historical inevitability." The individual doesn't count; he is merely swept along as the flotsam of history. The Communists sustain the morale of their own army, and break our will to resist, by saying: "Look at this tremendous program in sixty-one years, why surely that proves to any reasonable person that Communism is the way of the future, that history is on our side." We have got to make up our minds whether or not we accept this view.

ALTERNATIVES

I am now going to put briefly the alternative view. It is a very harsh view, but it is the only view that gives us any hope in this hour of crisis. Because, you see, if all I have mentioned has just happened, is the result of bad luck or something else, we might as well all go home now and prepare for the end, or perhaps do what many are doing in Southeast Asia today - trying to get on the winning side while it counts. There are many members of our Western society prepared to do the same thing. The selfish business man prepared to look at the possible profit by exporting to Mr. Khrushchev, is already a casualty of the revolution. But I want to say to you, this revolution hasn't all just happened. It has not been the result of inevitable trends. It has been the result of the greatest conspiracy, the most devilish conspiracy, in the recorded history of man, and this

conspiracy has been carried out by men who have penetrated deeply into every part of our society, who have betrayed our confidence, who in every possible way, have worked to subvert us from within. The great defeats we suffered during the war, at Teheran, Potsdam, Yalta, the Quebec Conference, we know today it was at these conferences that we really lost the war - were only possible because we were betrayed. And we know we have been losing ever since because the betrayal has continued.

RIGHT VS. WRONG

You and I know, if we are old-fashioned enough to admit it, that there is still right and wrong in this world; there is still truth and evil; there is still God and Satan. We know that evil manifests itself through individuals, but we also know that truth can manifest itself through individuals. And the one great truth that we can bring against this Revolution is the fact that you and I are not, as we are told, mere matter in motion. We are spiritual beings. We have a capacity for creative initiative. The very civilization we still enjoy was not the result of inevitable trends. It was the result of dedicated men and women who down the long corridor of history, didn't accept the view that they couldn't change the course of events. They believed that dedicated individuals could change the course of history, and in their lives, and in many cases through their deaths, they demonstrated what our Christian faith has given us.

FELLOWSHIP IN PERSECUTION

As I see it, today we are almost like the early Christian fathers, a small group looking out on a dark and pagan world.

What a frightful problem it must have been for the first Christians. What a spectacle, one to turn the heart of even the most courageous. But they were men of faith, character and dedication. They believed that it was through the individual that God manifested Himself, in the world in which we live, and that those with sufficient faith in God could move mountains. How many of us today believe it? How many of us are prepared to take a risk - even risk a mere thing like social ostracism? Many fear loss of face, perhaps the loss of friends, rather than stand up and be counted and say, " I don't accept this view of history. I don't believe this Revolution has just happened; I believe it is the result of a conscious policy of wicked men, individuals who must be named and exposed, and it has got to be fought by men on the other side who believe in Truth, and goodness."

Therefore, my friends, I come back to what I said at the outset. Our civilization will stand against this onslaught only if we find a sufficient number of such people prepared to stand firmly for the eternal values. I am pleased to say that right around the civilized world today we are finding them - their numbers are growing; they are coming together; they are meeting; they are learning, and I want to say for the benefit of the enemy, they are learning fast. They are mastering the type of techniques necessary for victory. They are demonstrating the necessary humility to appreciate what is necessary to advance against the enemy. And while I believe I would not be truthful if I did not say this to you, that the ravages of this Revolution will continue - while i believe that the worst of the night is still before us, I also believe that if sufficient of us dedicate ourselves to the task of defending the values of our civilization against its enemies, we will live to see the dawn of a new and better world.

EXTRA COPIES

One copy	\$.25
5 copies	1.00
25 copies	5.00
75 copies	15.00
100 copies	20.00

Address orders to;

Christian Youth Against Communism

P.O. Box 39753

Los Angeles 39, California