

LEAGUE OF RIGHTS

Vol.15, No.09

"All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is that good men do nothing . . ." — EDMUND BURKE.

THE AUSTRALIAN

Registered Australia post — Publication PP343214 / 00016

September 2014

Real 'Helicopter' Money	1
Did You Fly The Flag On 'The" Day?	2
Basic Fund	
Seeds Of Truth – A Response To The New Yorker	3
Legacy Founder's Medals Donated To Tasmanian Museum And Art Gallery In Hobart	8
Faking Revenge On Traditional Britain	9
The Truth About Stonehenge: New Survey Reveals More Secrets	10
Fally stick"accounting money"	11
Oliver Heydorn's Australian And NZ Tour	
•	

REAL 'HELICOPTER' MONEY

By M. Oliver Heydorn Ph.D.

1 September, 2014

A friend recently brought my attention to a very interesting article posted by "Tyler Durden" on Zerohedge.com: http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2014-08-26/it-begins-council-foreign-relations-proposes-central-banks-should-hand-consumers-cas.

After criticizing the Fed's attempts to boost the economy in the last couple of years through 'quantitative easing', the author proceeds to warn us about the next attempt to prop up the existing financial and economic orders: "helicopter money". He cites a recent article that appeared in the Foreign Affairs publication of the Council of Foreign Relations, entitled "Print Less but Transfer More: Why Central Banks Should Give Money Directly to the People." That article may be read in full here: http:// www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/141847/



it comes, in other words, in the form of 'debt-money', such an adjustment merely sets up a positive feedback loop that will tend to constantly undermine whatever beneficial effects this type of innovation might otherwise have. It will increase, so long as it is persisted with, the mountain of chronic debt (which is, in the aggregate, unrepayable) under which we labour. It will likewise intensify the steady march of inflation.

You cannot deal with the problems created by an unbalanced price system and the

mark-blyth-and-eric-lonergan/print-less-but-transfer-more. Now, as a globalist outfit, it should be clear that the Council on Foreign Relations and its policy recommendations are not to be trusted. The individuals who fund that particular organization are interested in centralizing economic and political power in the hands of the few, the super-rich, and not in decentralizing power in an equitable manner to each individual in society. It should come as no surprise, therefore, that what Mark Blythe and Eric Lonergan are proposing in Foreign Affairs is, in line with the Keynesian tradition, an inversion of Social Credit monetary policy.

Yes, the economy needs additional liquidity (there is a recurring gap between consumer prices and consumer purchasing power), and yes it would be best from both an economic and social standpoint to deliver that liquidity directly into the pockets of consumers. However, if that additional purchasing power is injected into the economy *alongside an equal volume of debt*, if unrepayable debts which it generates by doing more of the same and introducing even more debt-money into the system. What the economy needs and what consumers need is an increase in real liquidity, i.e., additional purchasing power which is created debt-free.

There is a Third Option

The economy does need 'helicopter money', but debt-free helicopter money. It needs that money not, in any fundamental sense, for the sake of continued growth (considered as an end in itself), but rather so that it can fulfill its true purpose in the best possible way: the delivery of goods and services as, when, and where required, with the least amount of trouble to everyone. Proper functioning requires an inherent equilibrium. Debt-free helicopter money would balance consumer prices with consumer incomes without adding to future costs by intensifying the debt problem. The good news is that the underlying assumption of both supporters and critics of 'helicopter money' that: "in a country (and world) drowning with debt, there are only two options to extinguish said debt: inflate it away or default." is a false alternative. There is a third option: cancel the excessive debts that are generated by the normal operation of the price system under existing financial and accountancy conventions by issuing a

DID YOU FLY THE FLAG ON 'THE' DAY?

Australian National Flag Day celebrates the first time the flag was flown on 3 September 1901. On that day Prime Minister Edmund Burton announced the winners of a competition to find a flag for Australia. It was flown over the dome of the Exhibition Building in Melbourne. Australian National Flag Day was proclaimed by the Governor-General on 28 August 1996 and has been celebrated since 3 September 1996.

Oliver Heydorn's new book is a beauty! Excerpt: The Mixed Economy serves as a front – to Privatise profit and Socialise loss

"It is in relation to the apparent failures of free enterprise under

the Monopoly of Credit that socialism arose in the first place.

Paradoxically, socialism is permitted and indeed encouraged by

the credit monopolists up to a certain point because it allows for

the transfer of credit and property in even greater amounts to the

financial system, under the guise of 'helping the poor'. Capitalism

'tempered' by socialism would therefore seem, in practice, to be

the best combination available with which the interests of the

financial overlords can be most effectively advanced. It is no

appearances some highly developed form of the 'Mixed

capitalism and socialism are only superficially antagonistic.

accident that whatever their stated ideological preferences, all

countries in the world are tending more and more to embody in

The 'Mixed Economy' serves as a front system which enables the

financiers to privatize profit and socialise loss; it offers the best of all possible worlds and reveals that under the Monopoly of Credit



The publication Australian Flags is available free of charge from the electorate offices of Federal Members of Parliament and Senators.

sufficient volume of debt-free money to re-establish an automatic equilibrium. Distribute a certain proportion of that money in the form of a National Dividend to every citizen, whether employed or not. Distribute another portion in the form of a National Discount to lower retail prices in keeping with the consumption/production ratio. This is the very core of the solution which has been proposed by Social Credit for the last ninety-odd years. Source:

http://www.socred.org/blogs/view/real-helicopter-money

BASIC FUND

We are coming to the end of this annual Basic Fund appeal – ands still have some way to go to reach our goal. The figure reached is now \$53,561.51 – with just over six thousand still to go. Will you help us get as near as possible to the goalpost? A sincere thank you to all who have contributed to the appeal.

(Continued from previous column)



They are merely two methods of embodying the same policy: the centralisation of economic benefits. Should the credit monopoly ever achieve a complete centralization of economic wealth and power by means of these devices, the form which the economy must then take is clear..."

- M. Oliver Heydorn Ph.D. in "Social Credit Economics"

2nd edition 2014. **\$35.00 Posted**



In "The Economics of Social Credit and Catholic Social Teaching", Dr. Oliver Heydorn argues that it is high time that all Catholics take seriously and examine closely the economic ideas of Major Clifford Hugh Douglas (1879-1952). By surveying the key principles contained within the Church's social doctrine in conjunction with Douglas' Social Credit proposals and their underlying

philosophy, the author demonstrates that (in stark contrast to the dead-ends of Austrian economics and the 'Christian socialism' of 'liberation theology' et al. and the half-way houses of classical distributism and economic personalism) it is Social Credit which most fully merits the support of Catholics as the best alternative to the economic status quo.

\$14.00 Posted from League Book Shops and VERITAS ONLINE

Both books are highly recommended for an in-depth study of Social Credit!

(Continued next column)

Economy'.

SEEDS OF TRUTH – A RESPONSE TO THE NEW YORKER

By Dr. Vandana Shiva A response to the article 'Seeds of Doubt' by Michael Specter in The New Yorker Source: http://vandanashiva.com/?p=105

I am glad that the future of food is being discussed, and thought about, on farms, in homes, on TV, online and in magazines, especially of The New Yorker's calibre. The New Yorker has held its content and readership in high regard for so long. The challenge of feeding a growing population with the added obstacle of climate change is an important issue. Specter's piece, however, is poor journalism. I wonder why a journalist who has been Bureau Chief in Moscow for The New York Times and Bureau Chief in New York for the Washington Post, and clearly is an experienced reporter, would submit such a misleading piece. Or why The New Yorker would allow it to be published as honest reporting, with so many fraudulent assertions and deliberate attempts to skew reality. 'Seeds of Doubt' contains many lies and inaccuracies that range from the mundane (we never met in a café but in the lobby of my hotel where I had just arrived from India to attend a High Level Round Table for the post 2015 SDGs of the UN) to grave fallacies that affect people's lives. The piece has now become fodder for the social media supporting the Biotech Industry. Could it be that rather than serious journalism, the article was intended as a means to strengthen the biotechnology industry's push to 'engage consumers'? Although creative license is part of the art of writing, Michael Specter cleverly takes it to another level, by assuming a very clear position without spelling it out.

Specter's piece starts with inaccurate information, by design.

"Early this spring, the Indian environmentalist Vandana Shiva led an unusual pilgrimage across southern Europe. Beginning in Greece, with the international Pan-Hellenic Exchange of Local Seed Varieties Festival, which celebrated the virtues of traditional agriculture, Shiva and an entourage of followers crossed the Adriatic and travelled by bus up the boot of Italy, to Florence, where she spoke at the Seed, Food and Earth Democracy Festival. After a short planning meeting in Genoa, the caravan rolled on to the South of France, ending in Le Mas d'Azil, just in time to celebrate International Days of the Seed."

On April 26th, 2014, at the Deutsches Theatre Berlin, one of Germany's most renowned state theatres, I gave a keynote speech for a conference on the relation of democracy and war in times of scarce resources and climate change. From Berlin I flew into Florence for a Seed Festival organized by the Government of the Region of Tuscany, Italy, The Botanical garden of Florence (the oldest in Europe), Banca Etica and Navdanya. I was joined by a caravan of seed savers, and we carried on to Le Mas d'Azil where we had a conference of all the European seed movements.

It would be convenient in the narrative that Specter attempts to weave, to make this exercise look like a joyride of 'unscientific people on a "pilgrimage". Writing about the European governments, universities and movements accurately would not suit Specter's intention because the strong resistance (including from governments) to GMOs in Europe is based on science. My education doesn't suit his narrative either: a Ph.D. on the 'Hidden Variables and Non-locality in Quantum Theory'. Specter has reduced my M.Sc. Honors in Physics to a B.Sc. for convenience. Mr. Specter and the Biotech Industry (and The New Yorker, by association) would like to identify the millions of people opposing GMOs as unscientific, romantic, outliers.

My education is obviously a thorn in their side.

"When I asked if she had ever worked as a physicist, she suggested that I search for the answer on Google. I found nothing, and she doesn't list any such position in her biography."

Specter has twisted my words, to make it seem like I was avoiding his question. I had directed him to my official website since for the past few months I have repeatedly been asked about my education. The Wikipedia page about me has been altered to make it look like I have never studied science. The Biotech Industry would like to erase my academic credentials. I have failed to see how it makes me more or less capable of the work I do on evolving and ecological paradigm of science. I consciously made a decision to dedicate my life to protect the Earth, its ecosystems and communities.

Quantum theory taught me the four principles that have guided my work: everything is interconnected, everything is potential, everything is indeterminate, and there is no excluded middle.

Every intellectual breakthrough I have made over the last 40 years has been to move from a mechanistic paradigm to an ecological one. I had the choice to continue my studies in the foundations of Quantum Theory at the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR) or to take up a research position in interdisciplinary studies on science policy at IIM, Bangalore. I chose the latter because I wanted a deeper understanding of the relationships between science and society...

A tight schedule must have kept Specter from mentioning Africa in his piece, although he intended to, given that a considerable amount of the world's poor are also in Africa and must be fed. But Africa might not have needed addressing, probably because the Biotech Industry is happy with the progress they are making in deploying GMO cotton and banana in Africa. In the US, sixweek human trials of these bio-fortified bananas are happening as I write this. And what are these bananas? They are bananas into which they have put a gene found in another variety of banana that has elevated levels of Beta-Carotene. They could

(Continued on page 4)

have just used the banana with higher Beta-Carotene if the intent was to alleviate Vitamin A Deficiency, but there's no money in that.

Specter calls me a Brahmin, which is inaccurate and a deliberate castist aspersion, insinuating falsely, elitism. 'Shiva' is not a Brahmin caste name. My parents consciously adopted a casteless name as part of their involvement in the Indian Independence Movement that included a fight against the caste system. But this is inconvenient to Specter's narrative.

Specter's gift for half-truths is evidenced when he says: "Shiva said last year that Bt-cotton-seed costs had risen by eight thousand per cent in India since 2002. In fact, the prices of modified seeds, which are regulated by the government, have fallen steadily."

"Bt-cotton-seed costs had risen by eight thousand per cent in India since 2002" is incorrect. I did not say that. The cost of cotton seed after the 2002 approval of Bt-cotton, when compared to the price of cotton seed before Monsanto entered the market in 1998, has increased exponentially. The percentage was used in reference to this increase. I was a little conservative when I said "8000%", since I didn't maximize the number for effect. I'm not predisposed to hyperbole. I am grateful to Specter for pointing this out. I'll redo the math now. Monsanto entered the Indian market illegally in 1998, we sued them on 6th Jan in 1999. Before Monsanto's entry to the market, local seeds cost farmers between Rs5 and Rs10 per kg. After Bt Cotton was allowed into the market Monsanto started to strengthen its monopoly through (i) 'Seed Replacement', in which Monsanto would swap out farmers seeds with their own, claiming superiority of their 'product', and (ii) 'Licensing Agreements' with the 60 companies that were providing seeds in the Indian market at the time. Monsanto ensured a monopoly on cotton seeds in India and priced the seeds at Rs1,600 for a package of 450 gms (Rs3555.55 per kg, out of which the royalty component was Rs1,200). Rs3555.55 is approximately 711 times Rs5, the pre-Bt price. The correct percentage increase would be 71,111%. It is this dramatic price increase that I always talk about.

The reduction of prices that Specter mentions was because the State of Andhra Pradesh and I took the issue to the Monopoly and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission (India's Anti-Trust Court) and Monsanto was ordered, by the MRTP Court and the Andhra Pradesh Government, to reduce the price of its seed. Monsanto did not willfully reduce its prices, nor was an "Invisible Hand" at work.

He quotes the Farmers Rights Clause in Indian law from the Plant Variety Protection and Farmers Rights Act, deliberately misnaming a clause as an act, misleading anyone who might want to do some research of their own, as many readers of The New Yorker do.

"Shiva also says that Monsanto's patents prevent poor people from saving seeds. That is not the case in India. The Farmers' Rights Act of 2001 guarantees every person the right to "save, use, sow, resow, exchange, share, or sell" his seeds. Most farmers, though, even those with tiny fields, choose to buy newly bred seeds each year, whether genetically engineered or not, because they insure better yields and bigger profits."

I do say Monsanto's patents prevent poor people from saving seeds. They prevent anyone who is not 'Monsanto' from saving or having seeds including researchers and breeders. This is true in most parts of the world. Specter makes it appear as though Indian farmers are protected and have always been, merely by mentioning "The Farmers' Rights Act of 2001". I happen to have been a member of the expert group appointed by our Agriculture Ministry to draft that very act. We have worked very hard to make this happen and I am very proud of the fact that India has built Farmers Rights into its laws. But the farmers are not completely protected since Monsanto has found clever ways around the laws, including collecting Royalties renamed as 'Technology Fees'. This issue has many pending cases in Indian courts.

This section in Specter's piece is designed to deliberately break the established connections between GMOs, Seed Patents and IPRs, and mislead his readers to echo Monsanto's attempt to hide the catastrophic implications of a seed monopoly and Bt-Cotton's failure in India as it tries to enter new markets in Africa proclaiming it's success in India. Indian farmers can't choose to buy genetically modified or hybrid varieties. Choosing would require choice, an alternative. Monsanto has systematically dismantled all alternatives for the cotton farmer. Monsanto's hold on corn, soya and canola is almost as strong as their monopoly on cotton. Approximately \$10 billion is collected annually from U.S. farmers by Monsanto, as royalty payments. Monsanto has been sued for \$ 2.2 billion by Brazilian farmers for collecting royalty on farm-saved seeds. The seed market is no longer governed by market forces. The element of choice is missing altogether. The farmer can only choose if he has an option.

In its evidence to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Agriculture, the Monsanto representative admitted that half the price of Monsanto seeds is royalty. My work and the work of movements in India, has prevented Monsanto from having patents on living resources and biological processes. Article 3(J) of our patent clause was used by the Indian Patent Office to reject Monsanto's broad claim patent application on climate resilient seeds. In other countries that do not share our history, Monsanto uses such patents to sue farmers, such as Percy Schmeiser in Canada (for \$200,000) as well as 1,500 other farmers in the US. In the case of Monsanto vs Bowman, Monsanto sued a farmer who had not even purchased seeds

(Continued on page 5)

from them.

If Specter had really listened, he would have heard what I was actually saying about seed monopolies, even if it was inconvenient to his story. I'm sure that during his research over the last 8 months, he would have come across at least some of these examples of oppression.

"Although India bans genetically modified food crops, Bt cotton, modified to resist the bollworm, is planted widely. Since the nineteen-nineties, Shiva has focused the world's attention on Maharashtra by referring to the region as India's "suicide belt," and saying that Monsanto's introduction of genetically modified cotton there has caused a "genocide." There is no place where the battle over the value, safety, ecological impact, and economic implications of genetically engineered products has been fought more fiercely. Shiva says that two hundred and eighty-four thousand Indian farmers have killed themselves because they cannot afford to plant Bt cotton. Earlier this year, she said, "Farmers are dying because Monsanto is making profits—by owning life that it never created but it pretends to create. That is why we need to reclaim the seed. That is why we need to get rid of the G.M.O.s. That is why we need to stop the patenting of life.""

If Specter had actually travelled across the cotton belt in Maharashtra State (surely the Monsanto office could have easily directed him there), he would have heard from his trusted sources that there is a decline in Bt Cotton cultivation in favour of Soy Bean due to failed Bt crops. He would have heard of Datta Chauhan of Bhamb village who swallowed poison on November 5, 2013, because his Bt cotton crop did not survive the heavy rains in July that year. He would have heard of Shankar Raut and Tatyaji Varlu, from Varud village, both who committed suicide due to the failure of their Bt Cotton. Tatyaji Varlu was unable to repay the Rs. 50,000 credit through which he received seeds. Specter could have met and spoken to the family of 7 left behind by Ganesh, in Chikni village, following the repeated failure of his Bt Cotton crop. Ganesh had no option but to buy more Bt Cotton and try his luck multiple times because Bt Cotton was the only cotton seed in the market, brilliantly marketed under multiple brand names through Licensing Arrangements that Monsanto has with Indian companies. Multiple packages, multiple promises but the contents of each of those expensive packets is the same: it's all Bt. It's vulnerable to failure because of too much or too little water, reliant on fertilizer, and susceptible to pests without pesticide, all additional costs. The farmer, with a field too small to impress Specter, does not choose Bt Cotton of his free will. That choice is dictated by the system Specter attempts to hail.

Specter and the BioTech twitter brigade have found resonance and are harping on my "confusing a correlation with causation". Allow me to explain the cause to these scientific and rational people and hopefully help them pull their heads out of the sand. By destroying the alternative sources of seed, as I explained earlier, a monopoly was established. Promises were made of higher yield and a reduction of pesticide costs to initially woo farmers. With a monopoly, Monsanto increased the price of seeds since it didn't have to compete in the market. In India, the agents that sell Monsanto seeds also sell the pesticides and fertilizer, on credit. A Bt Cotton farmer starts the cultivation season with debt and completes the cycle with the sale of the crop after multiple applications of fertilizer and pesticide acquired on more credit. As the Bt-toxin was rendered useless, the crop was infested by new pests and yields of Bt Cotton started to decline, more fertilizer and pesticide were purchased and used by the farmers in the hope of a better yield next time around, destroying soil health. Degraded soil led to lower yields and further financial losses to the farmers. Many farmers would plant seed from another brand, not knowing it was the same exact Monsanto seed Bollguard, and that it would not fare any better and would require more fertilizer and pesticide than before, going deeper and deeper into debt. This cycle of high cost seeds and rising chemical requirements is the debt trap, from which the farmers see no escape, and which drives these farmers of the cotton belt to suicide. There is a cause for each and every farmer taking his own life, he is not driven to it by correlation. And the cause is a high cost monopoly system with no alternative. If it were any other product, Monsanto would be liable for false advertising, and a product liability claim due to intentional misrepresentation regarding Bt Cotton. Specter promotes a system of agriculture that fails to deliver on its promises of higher yield and lower costs and propagates exploitation.

Not only does Specter support a system which leaves no alternatives for farmers, he also promotes the force feeding of consumers, with GMOs, including victims of disasters. *In 1999, ten thousand people were killed and millions were left homeless when a cyclone hit India's eastern coastal state of Orissa. When the U.S. government dispatched grain and soy to help feed the desperate victims, Shiva held a news conference in New Delhi and said that the donation was proof that "the United States has been using the Orissa victims as guinea pigs" for genetically engineered products. She also wrote to the international relief agency Oxfam to say that she hoped it wasn't planning to send genetically modified foods to feed the starving survivors. When neither the U.S. nor Oxfam altered its plans, she condemned the Indian government for accepting the provisions.*

Specter is ill informed about the cyclone in Orissa, or he copied this information from another inaccurate report accusing me of making the cyclone victims starve. The US aid was a blend of corn and soy, not grain. The agency distributing it was C.A.R.E. After the cyclone in 1999 that devastated the east coast of India, Navdanya was involved in the rehabilitation of the victims on the ground in Orissa and has been involved in such efforts each time there has been a calamity in that region. The shipment Specter

(Continued from page 5)

mentions, under a humanitarian guise, was an attempt to circumvent India's ban on the import of GMOs. The farmers who received the tainted shipment called it inedible. A nondescript mixture of soy and corn is not food for rice eating peoples. We tested this mixture and found it to be genetically engineered corn and soya. The results were sent to the Health Ministry and the Government ordered an immediate stop to the illegal import of GMOs. The hybrid rice available in the market would not grow in the saline soil left behind by the cyclone. Navdanya provided the farmers with salt-tolerant varieties to allow them to rebuild their livelihoods and for them to have food. The Orissa farmers, later, shared their salt-tolerant seeds with the victims of the tsunami that hit Tamil Nadu in 2004. Monsanto, through its influence in USAID, has used every natural and climate disaster to push its GMO seeds on devastated communities, including Haiti after the earthquake, where farmers protested against this imposition. Monsanto has also taken thousands of patents on climate resilience in traditional seeds and has acquired climate research corporations to exploit the vulnerability of communities in the future. This is not humanitarian from any perspective. Specter is also supporting the Biotech Industry attack on Governments passing GMO labelling laws in the U.S. Coincidentally, following The New Yorker piece, Michael Specter just wrote another piece questioning GMO labeling in America. The Biotech Industry is now suing the state of Vermont for its labeling laws. The grounds of Monsanto's suit is that labeling their product would infringe on Monsanto's first amendment right. Specter's two articles work very well together. An obvious question is whether Specter set out to do a profile on me at all or whether this was a calculated attempt to attack the burgeoning anti-GMO movement within the US?

Both articles were conveniently timed to mislead consumers in the US about legislation in their own country by using fallacies about the situation in India.

"Between 1996, when genetically engineered crops were first planted, and last year, the area they cover has increased a hundredfold—from 1.7 million hectares to a hundred and seventy million. Nearly half of the world's soybeans and a third of its corn are products of biotechnology. Cotton that has been engineered to repel the devastating bollworm dominates the Indian market, as it does almost everywhere it has been introduced."

Being the only seed in the market through monopoly would, of course, be domination. The Bt-cotton seed is not dominating markets because it is effective. Bt-cotton has led to the emergence of resistance to Bt in the Bollworm and the emergence of pests that never affected cotton earlier, forcing the increased use of pesticides accompanied by lower yields. Specter quotes acreage but fails to mention that in the US, Round-Up Ready corn and soya are plagued by super-weeds. The only new 'technologies' being touted by the Biotech Industry are Bt and Ht (Herbicide Tolerant). Both these 'technologies' have failed to deliver on what they promised- the control of pests and weeds. This is because they got the science wrong, the ecological science that allows us to understand pests and weed control, and the evolution of resistance in pests and weeds.

Almost a century and a quarter after The Jungle Book, Specter is stuck in Kipling's India. He uses imagery of elephants and natives to subtly invoke a fetishized idea of eastern cultures that resonates with a western perspective, a truly romantic one. *"The majority of local farmers travel to the market by bullock cart. Some walk, and a few drive. A week earlier, a local agricultural inspector told me, he had seen a cotton farmer on an elephant and waved to him. The man did not respond, however, because he was too busy talking on his cell phone."*

The third person account of a farmer on an elephant with a mobile phone makes for a lovely visual. What is Specter trying to achieve with this? There is an implication of contradictions here, an idea that milestones in 'development', like the cell phone, symbols of modernity, have no place in the same frame as an elephant. If Specter looked around, listened and understood, he would have noticed that the cell phone is a necessity of life in the 21st century, even in India. In fact, India has more mobile phone subscribers than the US. We also have elephants and they do exist together. Elephants cost more than a midsize car, to buy and to keep, especially in a semi-arid area like Aurangabad. Invoking imagery of a quaint India reveals an ethnographic prejudice that fits right into the strategy of seemingly 'helping' India while extracting, like colonizers, capital and natural resources from the colonies.

In ways other than the obvious, Specter sounds like an Angrez Sahib (English Sahib) describing the 'natives' in 1943, when he notes:

"... skin the colour of burnt molasses and the texture of a wellworn saddle"

One can only hope that he may overcome his disdain of nonwhite, non-industrial populations, Indian farmers, and farmers in general, because he seems to view them as inferior and incapable of feeding themselves and their growing population even though the Food and Agriculture Organization reports that 70% of global food comes from small farms. It shows the sort of narrow minded thinking that is paraded as reason in a bid to justify the imposition of GMOs to create new sources of royalties. A system of food production that accounts for only 30% of the food people eat cannot be presented as a solution to hunger. Specter attempts to use the 100-degree heat and dusty roads to distract from the elephant in the room, which incidentally has a farmer riding it, no cell phone, just crippling debt. How are second-hand stories from one village, during a fleeting visit "a

(Continued on page 7)

(Continued from page 6)

scientific study" about the situation across the 3,500,000 hectares of cotton cultivation in Maharashtra State. I have been going to Vidarbha in Maharashtra since 1982 when we launched Samvardhan, the national organic movement, from Gandhi's ashram in Seva Gram. I have seen, first-hand, a proud region of hard working, productive farmers, growing diverse and multiple crops, reduced to indebtedness and a complete desperation. And Navdanya has been working in this devastated region for the past two decades to create hope and alternatives for the farmers and the widows of those who were driven to suicide. The crisis we witness today is like the crisis created by colonialism.

Specter mentions the Great Bengal Famine but only provides partial information.

"In 1943 alone, during the final years of the British Raj, more than two million people died in the Bengal Famine. "By the time we became free of colonial rule, the country was sucked dry," Suman Sahai told me recently."

The Bengal Famine was caused by the ongoing war as well as a tax in which the British took 50% of every farmer's crop. This sort of taxation, in today's India has taken the form of royalties, especially in cotton. Even before a seed has been planted, money has left the farm and made its way to St. Louis. It can't be difficult to see the similarity between seed monopolies and colonialism.

The real reason for the Bengal Famine was speculation—as evidenced by Amartya Sen's extensive work—that drove the prices of food so high that most people could not afford it. It was mostly a man-made famine. The same system of speculation that caused famines, like that of 1943, exists today. It's now more organized, more lethal and captained by Wall Street. Large Agri-business, armed with near-monopoly power, increase prices beyond market determined increases in costs.

Although, Specter writes about India becoming an exporting nation, he hides the fact that as a result of 'Free Trade' India has now become heavily dependent on imports of oil-seeds and pulses-staples for millions of Indians. In the nineties, because of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), prices of tortillas in Mexico City rose sharply while the price of corn, sold by Mexican farmers, went down. Free trade does not imply freemarket, and more often than not it means the poor go hungry while profits of corporations, especially in agriculture, increase. International financial speculation has played a major role in food price increases since the summer of 2007. Specter quotes import and export data many times in his piece. Most of this trade is mandated by trade agreements written by these very corporations. Due to the financial collapse in America, speculators moved from financial products to land and food, which explains the increasing speculation on food and land-grab. This directly affects prices in domestic markets. Many countries

are becoming increasingly dependent on food imports. Speculators bet on artificially created scarcity, even while production levels remain high. Based on these predictions, Big Agriculture has been manipulating the markets. Traders keep stocks away from the market in order to stimulate price increases and generate huge profits afterwards. In Indonesia, in the midst of the soya price hike in January 2008, the company PT Cargill Indonesia was still keeping 13,000 tons of soybeans in its warehouse in Surabaya, waiting for prices to reach record highs. This artificial inflation of prices is a result of profits to be made from financial speculation, and creates hunger when there is actually enough food to feed everyone on the planet. Frederick Kaufman, in his Harpers Magazine article entitled, "How Wall Street starved millions and got away with it", writes that "imaginary wheat bought anywhere affects real wheat bought everywhere."

Specter would have served The New Yorker and himself well by doing a little more research before narrating the stories from his trip to India. His one-day trip speaking with one farmer and a nameless agricultural inspector is hardly part of scientific reasoning. Specter's piece is ripe with fabrication.

He says he went and met cotton farmers near Aurangabad in: *"… late spring, after most of the season's cotton had been picked."*

For the record, in the Maharashtra state, cotton is a Kharif crop, sown in June or July depending on the monsoon and harvested between the months of November and February. It is unlikely that the farmers would have waited for Mr. Michael Specter to show up this May so that he could catch the tail end of the harvest. As curiously, Specter chose not to go to the Vidarbha region with the most Bt-Cotton related farmer suicides. We work with the farmers and the widows in Vidarbha to rebuild their lives and give them hope. Farmers that have escaped the debt-trap created by Bt Cotton and it's ancillary requirements of chemical fertilizers and pesticides have done so through the use of seeds made available through organic farming and community seed banks set up by Navdanya. Through the availability of these seeds and not having to buy pesticides and fertilizers, the net income of these farmers has increased.

Nilesh, a Bt cotton farmer in Chikni village in Yavatmal District, for an acre in 2013-14, spent Rs1,860 for seeds, Rs1,000 for pesticides, Rs1,500 for fertilizer, Rs500 for irrigation. Without adding any other expenses he might have had his expenses amount to Rs4,860 per acre. His yield per acre of 1 quintal (100 kg) that sold for Rs4600 left him with a loss of Rs260 per acre. In contrast, Marotirao Deheka who farms organically in Pimpri village in Yavatmal District spent Rs400 on seeds, Rs750 on irrigation, Rs3,000 on all other costs to a lower total of Rs4,150 per acre. Yet, his yield of 3 quintals, which sold for Rs15000, earned him a net profit of Rs10,850.

(Continued on page 8)

(Continued from page 7)

The role of "journalist-turned-activist", or more accurately "pundit," we now see across the pro-GMO lobby. Take the case of the British "activist", Mark Lynas, who touts himself as an anti-GMO turned pro-GMO activist. Following his conversion, he has subsequently written extensively in favour of GM crops. But no one in the UK's anti-GMO movement had ever heard of Mark Lynas – until his much publicized talk in Oxford. Like Specter, Lynas has become one of the strongest, most articulate voices for the GMO movement. The question remains - are these journalists "sponsored" by the GMO movement? Or are they simply writers who believe that GMO crops are good for the world (despite information to the contrary)? Whatever is the case, it's undeniable that the pro-GMO lobby is adopting a more sophisticated approach to its propaganda machine. It has turned its story of debt, hunger and suicide into the articulate voices of storytellers, of communicators, of respectable media houses.

Has The New Yorker been influenced by loyalty to its benefactors? Marion Nestle, a dear friend, and Francis Lappe's (another dear friend) daughter, Anna Lappe, received invitations from Condé Nast to participate in an image clean up for Monsanto. They obviously refused. Please refer to the recent article (August 7, 2014) entitled: Read the Emails in the Hilarious Monsanto/Mo Rocca/Condé Nast Meltdown http:// www.motherjones.com/tom-philpott/2014/08/monsanto-andconde-nast-offered-big-bucks-writers-pr-project For the record, ever since I sued Monsanto in 1999 for its illegal Bt cotton trials in India, I have received death threats, my websites have been hacked and turned into porn sites, the chairman of a girls' college founded by my grandfather, has been harassed. Actions have been taken to impede Navdanva's work by attempting to bribe my colleagues to leave - and they have failed. None of these systemic attacks over the last two decades have deterred me from doing my research and activism with responsibility, integrity, and compassion. The concerted PR assault on me for the last two years from Lynas, Specter and an equally vocal Twitter group is a sign that the global outrage against the control over our seed and food, by Monsanto through GMOs, is making the biotech industry panic.

Character assassination has always been a tool used by those who cannot successfully defend their message. Although they think such slander will destroy my career, they don't understand that I consciously gave up a 'career' in 1982 for a life of service. The spirit of service inspired by the truth, conscience and compassion cannot be stopped by threats or media attacks.

For me, science has always been about service, not servitude. My life of science is about creativity and seeing connections, not about mechanistic thought and manipulated facts.

"The intuitive mind is a sacred gift and the rational mind is a faithful servant. We have created a society that honours the servant and has forgotten the gift." - Albert Einstein

Legacy founder's medals donated to Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery in Hobart

The medals of Legacy founder Major General Sir John Gellibrand have been given to the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery (TMAG). They have donated to the museum to be included in its WWI commemorations in the lead up to Legacy week 2014. Included in the medals are a Knight Commander of the Most Honourable Order of the Bath, and a Distinguished Service Order and bar.

Hobart Legacy president Peter Hodge said the museum had shown interest in conserving the medals that had been on display at Legacy House in Macquarie Street in Hobart, and the donation had family support.

"The families who bear the cost of war deserve the support of all Australians. The promises to look after the 'missus and the kids' made by diggers in WWI to their mates who had fallen in battle continues to drive Legacy's spirit of service today," he said. "It is 100 years since the start of WWI and in Hobart Legacy continues to care for 1,377 widows, some of them widows of those who fought in WWI, and 17 children."

The promises to look after the 'missus and the kids' made by diggers in WWI to their mates ... continues to drive Legacy's spirit. Mr Hodge said the public exhibition of the medals allowed TMAG to tell the story of one of Tasmania's most distinguished sons and the organisation he founded to care for the families of deceased and incapacitated veterans. "Legacy's role continues to evolve to accommodate the changing needs of the families we support, including those affected by post-traumatic stress, which it does while keeping the Legacy ethos that has served us proudly since 1923 when this national charity was first established in 1923 in Hobart," he said.

Nationally, Legacy cares for about 90,000 widows and dependents, ranging in age from 14 months to 109 years.

The medals were presented to TMAG's board of Trustees, Sir Guy Green, and will go on display next year. Legacy week is held between August 31 to September 6.

TAKING REVENGE ON TRADITIONAL BRITAIN

The Occidental Observer: Roger Scruton on Rotherham, 30 Aug 2014

Roger Scruton has penned a characteristically brilliant article on the Rotherham madness ("British Police Ignored 1,400 Cases Of Pakistani Muslim Gangs Raping And Abusing Children In Rotherham"). He traces the hyperpolitical correctness apparent in Rotherham to the Stephen Lawrence case which was a watershed event resulting in the complete victory of the anti-White left in the UK.

Fifteen years ago, when these crimes [in Rotherham] were just beginning, the Stephen Lawrence Inquiry into the conduct of the British police was made by Sir William Macpherson a High Court judge. The immediate occasion had been a murder in which the victim was black, the perpetrators white, and the behaviour of the investigating police lax and possibly prejudiced. The report accused the police – not just those involved in the case, but the entire police force of the country – of 'institutionalised racism'. This piece of sociological newspeak was, at the time, very popular with leftist sociologists. For it made an accusation which could not be refuted by anyone who had the misfortune to be accused of it. ...

Police more concerned with political correctness than crime

The result of this has been that police forces lean over backwards to avoid the accusation of racism, while social workers will hesitate to intervene in any case in which they could be accused of discriminating against ethnic minorities. Matters are made worse by the rise of militant Islam, which has added to the old crime of racism the new crime of 'Islamophobia'. No social worker today will risk being accused of this crime. In Rotherham a social worker would be mad, and a police officer barely less so, to set out to investigate cases of suspected sexual abuse, when the perpetrators are Asian Muslims and the victims ethnically English...

Unfortunately Political Correctness causes people not merely to disguise their beliefs but to refuse to act on them, to accuse others who confess to them, and in general to go along with policies that have been forced on the British people by minority groups of activists [e.g., Dr. Richard Stone in the Macpherson Inquiry]. The intention of the activists is to disrupt and dismantle the old forms of social order. They believe that our society is not just racist, but far too comfortable, far too unequal, far too bound up with fuddy-duddy old ways that are experienced by people at the bottom of society – the working classes, the immigrants, the homeless, the illegals - as oppressive and demeaning. They enthusiastically propagate the doctrines of political correctness as a way of taking revenge on a social order from which they feel alienated. Ordinary people are so intimidated by this that they repeat the doctrines, like religious mantras which they hope will keep them safe in hostile territory. Hence people in Britain have accepted without resistance the huge transformations that have been inflicted on them over the last thirty years, largely by activists working through the Labour Party. They have accepted immigration policies that have filled our cities with disaffected Muslims, many of whom have now gone to fight against us in Syria and Iraq. They have accepted the growth of Islamic schools in which children are taught to prepare themselves for jihad against the surrounding social order. They have accepted the constant denigration of their country, its institutions and its inherited religion, for the simple reason that these things are theirs and therefore tainted with forbidden loyalties. And when the truth is expressed at last, nobody is fired, no arrests are made, and the elected Police and Communities Commissioner for Rotherham, although forced to resign from the Labour Party, refuses to resign from his job. After a few weeks all will have been swept under the carpet, and the work of destruction can resume. As in the U.S. and throughout the West, this is a top-down revolution carried out originally at the highest levels of society (e.g., Judge Macpherson's court) by hostile elites motivated by hatred of traditional Western societies. Although their rhetoric always promises a Utopian future free of ethnic strife and complete equality for all, it is motivated first and foremost by hatred and desire for revenge against the traditional peoples and cultures of the West. It is, as Scruton phrases it, "a way of taking revenge on a social order from which they feel alienated."... A revolution motivated by hatred does not bode well for the peoples who are being displaced and rendered powerless - the indigenous White populations of the West that are the target of this hatred. Rotherham is only a small foreboding of what the future will bring when Whites become a minority in the societies they created and dominated for hundreds, and in the case of Europe, many thousands of years.

The truth about Stonehenge: New survey reveals more secrets

News.com 25 August, 2014

Ominous? Forbidding? Mystical? But, now, everything we think we know about the ancient landmark Stonehenge may be wrong. New clues reveal it may have been a busy, bustling hive of activity. Previously it was thought to be a temple of the dead, accessed once or twice a year by a solemn procession winding its way along the River Avon and Salisbury Plain from a woodhenge — a nearby "temple of life".

Stonehenge was supposed to be a serene place. A magical place. But it may not have always been so. And it certainly isn't now (with the adjoining highway, carpark and visitor centre).

A recent extensive survey of the fields around the 4000-year-old iconic standing stones has uncovered 15 possible new Neolithic structures. 'Possible' because they haven't been excavated yet.

historic site, using ground-penetrating radar and magnetometers to find out what lies beneath the grassy fields. The four-year project began in 2009. What they found was a hive of other henges, pits, ditches and



Mystery mound ... The purpose of the huge enclosed "Cursus" alongside Stonehenge has long been a mystery. Source: National Heritage Trust

barrow-tombs, an article for the Smithsonian Institution reveals. Among the most significant finds are two huge pits which have significant alignments with Stonehenge's central Heel Stone for times such as the sunrise and sunset on the summer solstice. They also give relevance to the nearby Neolithic "Cursus", a huge but poorly understood earthen mound which sits alongside the standing stones.

Living landscape ... Some of the locations around by the Stonehenge Hidden Landscape Project. Stonehenge – Google the satellite map

The Stonehenge Hidden Landscapes Project has built up a six-square kilometre geophysics survey of the

Ancient Stonehenge, it seems, was very much alive. "There was sort of this idea that Stonehenge sat in the middle and around it was effectively an area where people were probably excluded, "a ring of the dead around a special area — to which few people might ever have been admitted" researcher Vince Gaffney told the Smithsonian. But the evidence of



G

such a high level of activity may change all that. So we're back to where we started. We have no idea what Stonehenge is all about.

Archaeologists know people were buried there. They know the stones have important astronomical alignments — particularly for the summer solstice. They also know people were willing to travel hundreds of miles to visit the imposing standing stones. Why? That's another matter.



Was Stonehenge just a part of an enormous religious procession path which covered the Salisbury Plain? Source: Thinkstock.

The more archaeologists discover, it seems the less we know about the purpose of Stonehenge. But that's not stopping anyone from trying. Gaffney has his own ideas about what the new Stonehenge structures may mean.

It was a complex "processional", he says — where priests and people followed a miles-long path, conducting theatric ceremonies and rites at each individual "station".

But he's not sure. "Until you dig holes, you just don't know what you've got," Gaffney told the Smithsonian. "This is among the most important landscapes, and probably the most studied landscape, in the world," Gaffney says. "And the area has been absolutely transformed by this survey. Won't be the same again."

Source: <u>http://www.news.com.au/technology/</u> <u>science/the-truth-about-stonehenge-new-survey-</u> <u>reveals-more-secrets/story-fnjwl1aw-1227035527061</u>

Tally stick..."accounting money, backed by unicity technical proof and mathematical logic". From Wikipedia

From time to time Social Crediters engage in discussion about money and its origins. The following is an extract on the use of 'Tally Sticks' as a method of accounting. One distinct advantage of the 'tally stick' must be the obvious elimination of inflation.

Split tally

The split tally was a technique which became common in medieval Europe, which was constantly short of money (coins) and predominantly illiterate, in order to record bilateral exchange and debts. A stick (squared hazelwood sticks were most common) was marked with a system of notches and then split lengthwise. This way the two halves both record the same notches and each party to the transaction received one half of the marked stick as proof. Later this technique was refined in various ways and became virtually tamper proof. One of the refinements was to make the two halves of the stick of different lengths. The longer part was called stock and was given to the party which had advanced money (or other items) to the receiver. The shorter portion of the stick was called *foil* and was given to the party which had received the funds or goods. Using this technique each of the parties had an identifiable record of the transaction. The natural irregularities in the surfaces of the tallies where they were split would mean that only the original two halves would fit back together perfectly, and so would verify that they were matching halves of the same transaction. If one party tried to unilaterally change the value of his half of the tally stick by adding more notches, those notches would not be on the other tally stick and would be revealed as an attempted forgery. The split tally was accepted as legal proof in medieval courts and the Napoleonic Code (1804) still makes reference to the tally stick in Article 1333. Along the Danube and in Switzerland the tally was still used in the 20th century in rural economies.

Split tally in England

The most prominent and best recorded use of the split tally stick (or "nick-stick") being used as a form of currency was when <u>King</u> <u>Henry I</u> initiated the tally stick system in or around 1100 in medieval England. He would only accept the tally stick for taxes, and it was a tool of the <u>Exchequer</u> for the collection of taxes by local sheriffs (to tax farmers "farming the shire") for seven centuries. The split tally of the Exchequer was in continuous use until 1826. In 1834, the tallies themselves were ordered to be burned in a stove in the <u>Houses of Parliament</u>, but the fire went out of control <u>setting the building afire</u>.

The system of tally marks of the Exchequer is described in <u>The</u> <u>Dialogue Concerning the Exchequer</u> as follows: The manner of cutting is as follows. At the top of the tally a cut is made, the thickness of the palm of the hand, to represent a thousand pounds; then a hundred pounds by a cut the breadth of a thumb; twenty pounds, the breadth of the little finger; a single pound, the width of a swollen barleycorn; a shilling rather narrower; then a penny is marked by a single cut without removing any wood. The cuts were made the full width of the stick so that, after splitting, the portion kept by the issuer (the *foil*) exactly matched the piece (the *stock*) given as a receipt. Each stick had to have the details of the transaction written on it, in ink, to make it a valid record.

Royal tallies (debt of the Crown) also played an infamous role in the formation of the <u>Bank of England</u> at the end of the 17th century when these royal tallies, trading at a hefty discount of up to 60 percent, were engrafted into the Bank's capital stock. Tally sticks feature in the design of the entrance gates to <u>The</u> <u>National Archives</u> at <u>Kew</u>, UK.

NOTICE OF OLIVER HEYDORN'S AUSTRALIAN AND NZ TOUR

M. Oliver Heydorn Ph.D. will be attending this year's National Weekend as guest speaker. For those not able to attend the National Seminar (in South Australia) he will also be touring in most States and New Zealand.



Please make every effort to attend by first of all contacting the appropriate person in your State as listed below.

Queensland: September 22nd, 24th.

Nancy Lee 07 4697 8170

Australian Capital Territory: September 25th.

Valdis Luks M 0418 622 400

Tasmania: September 27th, 28th.

Rod Linger M 0419 344 036

Victoria: September 29th.

Don Auchterlonie 03 5633 1969

South Australia: October 3rd – 5th.

Doug & Jean Holmes 08 8396 1245

West Australia: October 7th, 8th.

Neil Gilmour (08) 9592 5984

New Zealand: October 10th -15th.

Bill Daly 0064 (0)9 818 4293

Cell 0064 (0)274 20 99 86

NATIONAL WEEKEND – MAKE YOUR ACCOMMODATION BOOKINGS EARLY

The following accommodation addresses are within reasonable motoring distance of Clarendon, South Australia or within Clarendon itself. For those with internet access go to website addresses to view the hotels/motels.

The Royal Oak Hotel, Clarendon, a country-style pub in the Adelaide Hills Website: http://www.royaloak.com.au/ accommodation/ Phone: 08 8383 6113

Mick O'Shea's Irish Pub and Motel, Main South Rd, Hackham SA 5163 Website: http://mickosheas.com.au/mick-osheasaccommodation/ Phone: 08 8384 6944

St Francis Winery Resort, Reynella SA Website: http:// www.stfranciswinery.com.au/accommodation.php Phone: 08 8322 2246

The Old Clarendon Inn, Grants Gully Road, Clarendon SA 5157 Website: http://www.oldclarendoninn.com.au/contact.htm Phone: 08 8383 6166

If in doubt about bookings or any other matters please phone: Doug and Jean Holmes 08 8396 1245

OUR POLICY

- To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy, and maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of Nations.
- To defend the free Society and its institutions private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.
- To promote financial policies, which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.
- To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private.
- To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.
- To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil and environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.
- To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

Published by The Australian League of Rights, G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. A monthly journal — subscription \$30.00 p.a. Editorial: Betty Luks, P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, S.A., 5159. E-mail: bluks@alor.org Website: www.alor.orgl (The views expressed in articles in this journal do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher.) Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook, Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.