LOYAL TOAST by Arnis Luks

As a child I was “almost famous” for receiving a consistent report card, stating "Arnis is not developing to his full potential". I would prefer to remember my childhood as a paradox between individual initiative and my submission to authority.

I was thrilled to recently read of former Gov Gen Bill Hayden renouncing his life long belief in atheism and being baptised into the Christian church. When he was governor general, I heard the comment that the "office of Gov General" has caused Bill Hayden to rethink his position towards the republican proposal.

The two books, The Passing of Parliament by Prof GW Keaton and The New Despotism by a former Chief Justice of England, Gordon Hewart, tell the story of how the executive and the bureaucracy have become a law unto themselves. The historical tyranny of "Rex Lex" has now become "bureaucratic lawlessness".

The Grattan Institute recently reported that the Australian public don't like the way the system has been corrupted, in particular, the power and influence of the lobbyists. The Institute's report repeatedly states of a host of different political gurus and the graft they are constantly exposed to. The recent fiasco of citizenship, or lack thereof, of our politicians, as is also the ABC situation and the resignation of several senators are other indications of lack of confidence in the system.

A couple of facts about our Queen.

Queen Elizabeth II was born on 21 April 1926 which makes her 92.
From the death of King George VI, 6th of February 1952 until 6th October 2018 - she has reigned for 66 years and 8 months, or if you like 24,350 days including leap years.
She was crowned on 2 June 1953.
Queen Elizabeth II is the longest serving British monarch, surpassing her great-great-grandmother Queen Victoria and is now also the current world's longest serving monarch.
It is often said that during her 1954 tour of Australia, 75% of the population caught a glimpse of their new Queen.

Important constitutional points to note:

The Crown unites the Commonwealth under one Sovereign.
The trinitarian Constitutional system reflects our Christian faith as beautifully clarified within the Athanasian Creed – ‘Neither confounding the Persons, Nor dividing the Substance’.
Our tripartite Constitutional system separates the powers of the Parliament. When the right balance is there, the office of the Governor General, and the Senate, act as barriers to a tyranny of the House of Representatives and a runaway executive.

Coronation Oath

The Coronation oath, while it records our Queen making a solemn promise invoking a divine witness regarding her future actions and behaviour, is in no-way a one-way street.
Our limited constitutional monarchical system is reciprocal, in that we, as the individuals making up this nation, have some most important tasks to perform.
Recently several music festivals resulted in two deaths and many injured from drug overdoses and multiple arrests for possession of same. The music festivals have become a drug fuelled event.
I make a particular note to myself when I read of these things as Jesus said to his disciples: “but I say unto you, I will not drink henceforth of this fruit of the vine, until that day when I drink it new with you in my father's kingdom” Matthew 26:29.

(continued next page)
to distinguish any difference. BUT as I speak, the Communist-Chinese developed surveillance state is being implemented in the USA and Australia. So just the vote will no longer suffice to answer the call to freedom. We need to respond to this modern tyranny.

Those ancient Charters addressed abuses of power. We must also expect and insist on a proper standard of accountable representation from our politicians and bureaucrats and the restoration of our own ancient liberties and freedoms. To bring this about is something that I should do, and now must do, if I and we as a group and a nation, must do, if we are to achieve our full potential.

It is from this challenge that I can say with gusto and cheer - God Save Our Gracious Queen, and I would also add that the traditional response to follow after the National Anthem is in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Pledge of Allegiance

We do affirm our affection and our Solemn Prayer that by the Grace of God, Your Majesty will long reign over Australia as the protector of our Constitution, Laws, Traditions, Customs and Natural Freedoms for us, our children, and our children's children, and as our earthly bulwark against any sabotaging of the same.

God Save and Bless Our Queen.

***

TOAST TO THE NEW TIMES by Arnis Luks

When Paul said those infamous words "If anyone will not work, let him not eat", he was calling to order Christians who were sitting on their laurels, possibly waiting for The Rapture or The Lord’s Return in a Cloud. I see this same principle in regard to playing a musical instrument or any other skill. If I do not practice, practice, practice, my skills that have developed over the years will atrophy.

So transposing this thought to my Christian faith. If I do not exercise it, buffet it, and regularly put it into works it too will atrophy. This is further reinforced with the scripture "faith without works is death" - death to my faith.

The early Christians responded to this message of personal freedom by individually refusing to declare that “Caesar is Lord, or God”. The ultimate price each paid at that time was being fed to the lions!

St. Athanasius was forever an inch away from others’ heresies, just as rife as they are today. The Creed was ‘hammered out’ in the early centuries to come against the heresies that were attacking the Christian Faith at that time.

Alfred (later called the Great) fought to a standstill the marauding Vikings, and instead of obliteration of the enemy, chose forgiveness and peace.

In King John's time, the barons and others each swore an oath before Archbishop Stephen Langton prior to Runnymede, that they would fight to restore historical rights and freedoms, even unto death.

William Wilberforce and a small group of supporters fought for many, many years in opposition to the slave trade until the circumstances were brought about that caused it to be defeated and finally outlawed in the Parliament.

Power Corrupts and Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely and Whosoever you wish that men would do to you, do so to them, for this is the law and the prophets -Matt 7:12.

From the individual and not the group: That men would “do to you”, do so to them, for this is the law and the prophets. What must I do?

I want my politicians and public servants to be responsible, but first I must accept responsibility for my own actions. I cannot milk the system for my own benefit and criticise others for doing the same.

Do I have a right to garnish someone else's assets? Yet I think nothing of expecting more tax bribes during an election campaign.

How do I cause this increment of Association?
THE RESPONSIBLE VOTE

The anonymous secret ballot has been the mask to hide all sorts of ill between peoples. Taxation is confiscation of someone's assets. I do not like it done to me so why should I insist that it be done to someone else? The community is set against itself into warring tribes of “Haves and Have Nots”, generally based on envy, robbing Peter to pay Paul, and if you're Paul it becomes quite attractive. This can be overcome by a town meeting and show of hands, or the electronically recorded equivalent.

Openly Recorded Ballot

If the vote is for a bridge then that person who voted for the bridge, or their agent, must assist in building the bridge, otherwise it is a tyranny.

Accountable Ballot

The individual indifferent to the outcome, the donkey vote and the 'unaffected', may be entirely inappropriate to force a vote of equal value to another person who is keenly involved and personally affected by the outcome.

Voluntary Ballot

SOCIAL CREDIT - THE FAITH OF SOCIETY — What Is Social Credit by Geoffrey Dobbs

extract

Well then! Is Social Credit after all, just a scheme for reforming the money system? No, indeed! No more than Christianity is just a scheme for getting rid of the guilt and burden of sin. That is just a necessary preliminary to starting on the pilgrimage.

No monetary "scheme" can make men good. At best, it can only increase the freedom to choose between good and evil, and removes a heavy burden of temptation to choose the evil. In any case, schemes, methods, techniques are secondary to ends, and must vary with every situation and end in view; though correct technology is an essential part of the faith that works.

What is needed here is a few people who are able and prepared to specialise in the technology of the monetary social credit, so that they are available as advisers when the opportunity arises, and many more people who will pursue the aim of greater freedom and understanding wherever they can.

How then can our aims ever be implemented - especially as party politics or other means of imposing them upon other people are quite incompatible with them?

Seek first the Kingdom - and that means returning to God's reality, and comparing it with the all-too-pressing pseudo-reality of man's money-dominated world, and taking the trouble to understand how much the Christian religion, which is in fact a part of the "warp and woof of the universe", has been corrupted and turned from its path by the implicit, unconscious acceptance of the domination of "money" with its false values, as a part of the "reality" - of the "modern, changed situation" to which, it is constantly urged, our religion must adapt itself.

Until that is put right, Christians cannot even start to restore the social credit - the faith of society; they may even be helping to destroy it. But after that, a great Vista opens of hope and faith, thought and study and action.

Hope, because we are not frustrated by "the nature of things", only by the corruption by power of certain men, and we know there is a way out.

Faith, because it is the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen, and we have the hope, and have studied the evidence; but faith without works is dead, and ours is very much alive.

So that leads onto action, which involves finding or discovering means which are precisely directed by our faith towards its aims, starting always with the small and limited objective, in the hope of leading on to greater things.

Although there are now 100 years of history and experience behind this, it is still, and always will be, pioneering work, for ever breaking new ground, judging by results, and adapting means to ends until they are successful. Every social creditor is a focus for such action among his fellow citizens, helping them and showing them how to defend or increase the social credit by obtaining particular objectives chosen by them rather than by us.

There is a place for you in this adventure. ***

Politician and Public Servant - Recall and Veto

All power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely. This Natural law will not change even if an angel were put in charge - lucifer for example.

A boss can give due notice to an employee for poor performance, so it is that the electorate must be able to serve notice to their representative or public servant if and when necessary.

For existing or new legislation, the need may arise for the electorate to be able to interject or Veto it.

A Recall and Veto mechanism must be sought and held in perpetuity within the electorate. There is nothing new in this idea. In fact has been previously done by a simple town meeting and if necessary the axe.

So as I consider the legacy left to us all by these GIANTS OF HISTORY, let me commit myself to this adventure with a determination to achieve this worthy outcome for my children, and my children's children.

This will not happen by a mass movement, but rather by the willing few who are determined to achieve the results required - and realise their full potential.

There is a place for you in this adventure. ***
Thus far in this series of articles exploring the relationship between Social Credit and democracy, we have seen that conventional ‘democracy’ suffers from a large number of design faults which vitiate it and render it ineffective. That would be bad enough, but Douglas goes one step further and claims that the ineffective mechanisms of conventional ‘democracy’ provide the best possible cover for the operations of a hidden dictatorship. Not only do they provide the best possible cover, but the same mechanisms which are ineffective from the point of view of fulfilling the true purpose of political association can be rendered most effective (by being cleverly manipulated) for the purpose of fulfilling an alternative policy-objective, one that is imposed by an agency that is external to the elected ‘government’.

This raises an important question: “... if the real rulers of society are not in the Government, who elected them, what interest do they represent, ...?”

It is the contention of Social Credit political theory that finance and finance alone is in a suitable position to exploit the failures in contemporary democratic design to impose a self-serving policy on political associations. We will examine the specific ways in which the standard democratic mechanisms can be manipulated in a subsequent article. For now, we will focus our attention on the origin of finance’s political power and on the nature of the overriding political policy which the credit monopoly imposes.

**How does Finance Obtain the Political Power Necessary to Impose Policy on Political Associations?**

The recipe for any sort of oligarchy can be reduced to two key ingredients. In the first place, the individual members of an association must be subordinated to the group to an extent that goes beyond the authentic functional necessities of the association in question; i.e., the formal structure of the association must be despotic in character. This renders the individual artificially dependent on the group. In the second place, the group and its activities must be controlled by an elite for its own advantage at the expense of the common membership. Oligarchic despotism of this type necessarily requires that the administrative centre of the group be under the command of that elite.

Finance alone is in a position to use the defects in the standard democratic mechanisms to impose a self-serving policy as the overriding policy of political association because, given the nature of our contemporary civilization, the normal operation of the standard financial system fulfills the first condition of oligarchy in the deepest and most extensive manner that has ever been realized.

The artificial scarcity of both producer and consumer credit that is controlled under one type of agency (i.e., private finance), combined with the ever-increasing division of labour embedded in a co-operative and highly industrial productive system, means that it is exceedingly difficult for the lone individual to refuse to subordinate himself to the group in some illegitimate way and/or degree in order to obtain access to the goods and services he needs to survive and flourish. The individual can still opt out of various other despotic associations (whether they be oligarchic or not) without suffering too much loss or inconvenience, but completely opting out of the conventional economic system is exceedingly difficult if not impossible. The consequences of opting out may very well mean homelessness and/or starvation.

On this foundation of a radical and pervasive subordination of the individual to the group via artificial monetary constraints, finance has built-up its economic power. But a civilization which allows the Monopoly of Credit to determine its overriding economic policy simultaneously delivers tremendous resources in the forms of purchasing power, ownership, and a general control over social and individual policy to the proprietors of that monopoly. These benefits can be actively redeployed by the financial elite to gradually extend their control to encompass all of the other functions of society that depend, directly or indirectly, on the power of money. That is, those who monopolize credit are in a position to monopolize just about everything else. One of these things that can be controlled is political activity and political structures; these can be manipulated in diverse ways in order to achieve various political ends. The chief political end would be to capture as much as possible the coercive power of the state, i.e., its power to make and enforce law, by obtaining control of its government. When the power of finance is directed to the achievement of political objectives in general and to the achievement of this paramount political end in particular, we have entered into the realm of ‘High Finance’:

“Finance as it concerns questions such as national politics is often referred to as High Finance, and I would suggest to you as a definition of High Finance that it is the business, art, or science, of manipulating the money system to obtain political or economic results. Please note that it is not the money system in itself. The money system can accurately be described as a ticket system, and the relations between, for instance, the quantity of tickets issued and those which are automatically recovered through the price system, while of immense and even preponderating importance, since they afford High Finance its opportunities,
are not those relations which correctly come under the description of High Finance. They are more or less automatic relations, and High Finance concerns itself with using this price-and-money system as it stands to varying ends.”

The General Nature of Finance’s Power to Impose Policy on Political Association

Finance’s ability to control, and if not control, then at least to strongly condition political policy refers to both the general or overriding policy of a political association as well as to the various intermediate policy-objectives that an association might adopt: “...the last word on policy is with finance, ...” But centralized control over policy in the hands of a small financial elite means that political sovereignty, i.e., control of the government and hence of the state, is also in the hands of the few. As Douglas noted: “Once it is conceded that sovereignty resides anywhere but in the collection of individuals we call the public, the way of dictatorship is certain.”

If we combine the centralization of political sovereignty in the hands of a few (i.e., political despotism) with its use to impose an anti-social policy on an association we arrive at tyranny. What I am suggesting is this: as a direct result of the political activities of ‘High Finance’, the economic tyranny of finance invariably becomes a political tyranny:

“... the tyranny of Finance, a tyranny which in itself is technical, ... becomes political by reason of the immense advantages which accrue to its manipulators. There is no more effective claim to totalitarian power than the claim to the sole right to issue and withdraw (tax) money, and no mere manipulation of monetary technique which does not resolve and decide the question can do anything but complicate the problem.”

Any and all perceptions to the contrary, modern states are subjugated to an external authority to a very great extent. They are, in reality, puppet-states that have been financially colonized. The tyranny of finance is unique, however, in that, unlike other tyrannies, its power and operation is largely hidden from public view. In other words, the tyranny of finance is occult. This involves the undetected separation of the possession and use of political power from political responsibility. Such an arrangement renders the oppression of finance particularly grotesque and reprehensible: “... during the past half century the Government, whatever we may mean by that, has ‘realised the ambition of the harlot throughout the ages – power without responsibility.”

Now, the general nature of finance’s political power has important implications where the analysis of our conventional ‘democracies’ are concerned. Instead of identifying or classifying political systems in terms of their ostensible or exoteric ideals, slogans, and mechanisms, it is necessary to look beyond appearances in order to classify them in terms of how they really function in practical terms. The esoteric reality (i.e., the hidden, objective reality) might be very different – even diametrically opposed – to the picture that is painted for us by officials.

Let us take as an axiom that whenever and insofar as policy is being imposed on people, whether it be through naked force or deception, we cannot speak of democracy in any meaningful sense. Insofar as the populations living under conventional ‘democracies’ have been subjected to anti-social political polices sponsored by the credit monopoly, it must be admitted that conventional ‘democracies’ are actually, to a greater or lesser extent, de facto if not de jure financial dictatorships, i.e., plutocratic tyrannies. On this view, conventional ‘democracy’ is a not merely faulty, it is also a swindle; the regimes under which we live in the West are best described as financially based plutocracies camouflaged as liberal democracies, or ‘pluto-democracies’:

“... the aims of national Governments are by no means the same things as the aims of the majority of individuals in the countries they are supposed to represent. ... these Governments are far more responsive to influence from financial sources than they are to popular influence. We might even go so far as to say that modern Government is quite insensible to popular influence, and that no serious change in policy is effected by a change from one party to another. This is certainly true where the subject in which such influence might desire to be exercised conflicts with the interests of Finance. ...

It therefore becomes a matter of the first importance to find out what would be the interests of Finance in relation to the apparently conflicting interests of various national Governments, because if we can get any clear idea in regard to this, and we admit (as I have suggested we are obliged to admit) that Finance can make itself effective through any Government, and is common to all Governments, then we should be able to obtain some insight into the probable trends of international politics.”

“At the present time we live in a false and completely ineffective so-called democracy, really an oligarchy of the worst possible kind. Not only is an open and genuine dictatorship preferable to an oligarchy masquerading as a democracy, but it is a sure and certain outcome of it. I do not believe that the people of these islands will tolerate an open dictatorship, but, unless you take action, an open dictatorship will be tried.”

While ‘an open and genuine dictatorship [is] preferable to an oligarchy masquerading as a democracy’,
Douglas is not arguing in this excerpt that dictatorship would automatically solve the problem. Any kind of conventional political system which is forced to operate under the aegis of a credit monopoly will be co-opted to a greater or lesser degree by the financial powers: “... all visible Governments are mere executives of a dictated policy ...”

We must also be careful not to allow the failure of conventional ‘democracies’ under present circumstances to discredit the ideal of political democracy:

“It can be demonstrated that real democracy is possible; but it must be conceded that a visible dictatorship is preferable to an anonymous tyranny or a manipulated electorate.”

What is the Overriding Political Policy Which Finance Imposes?

If finance has monopoly or near monopoly control over the policy of our contemporary political associations and if it uses this control to enforce a self-serving policy, the question naturally arises: what is the nature of the particular self-serving policy which finance imposes?

The Social Credit answer to that question runs as follows: whenever the Monopoly of Credit is employed to achieve a political end, the credit monopolists invariably transfer, whether consciously or not, the same underlying philosophy from the economic sphere to the political. In other words, finance’s overriding political policy is directly analogous to the policy which it pursues in its economic activities. That policy is what we might term ‘the Monopoly of Power’ or the political centralization of effective sovereignty (i.e., the monopoly of power over policy and of power over the resources needed to implement policy) to the greatest degree possible (i.e., without imperiling the continued existence of the host association) in the hands of an oligarchic elite:

“To put the matter quite shortly, transfer of power almost certainly means transfer of policy. We have seen the transfer of power. What is the policy? Whose is the policy?

The policy is MONOPOLY.”

As a policy, the Monopoly of Power consists in the usurpation of the unearned increment of political association in the service of vested interests. As I explained in my book, Social Credit Philosophy, it is in the nature of oligarchy to artificially control and limit the unearned increment of an association and to then misdirect the resources and activities of associations so that, in exchange for increased access to the unearned increment of association, the interests of an elite group can be served at the illegitimate expense of the common individual members. In the case of political associations, the Monopoly of Power imposes artificial restrictions on the effective sovereignty of the individual over his own affairs and then attempts to harness the energies and resources of individuals by directing them towards aims which disproportionately serve the power monopolists in exchange for increased access to effective sovereignty for the individual.

This comes, however, at a heavy price, for it is this centralization of the control over effective sovereignty in the hands of a few – beyond that which is required by the authentic functional necessities of association – which lies at the very heart of the common individual’s dissatisfaction with political association: “... centralised sovereignty is at the root of the world’s ills; ...” The flip side of centralised sovereignty is servility, to wit, the Servile State:

“The danger which at the moment threatens individual liberty far more than any extension of individual enterprise is the Servile State; the erection of an irresistible and impersonal organisation through which the ambition of able men, animated consciously or unconsciously by the lust of domination, may operate to the enslavement of their fellows.”

The Logical End-Point of the Monopoly of Power

There are inherent limits with respect to the degree to which effective sovereignty can be centralized without severely undercutting or destroying the political association upon which any group of power monopolists depend. In order to transcend these limitations, it is possible for the oligarchic elites to further increase the degree of centralized political power which they hold by expanding their jurisdictions to incorporate more people and more resources.

Taking present circumstances into account, the greatest centralization of political power conceivable would consist in a one-world totalitarian dictatorship, i.e., a single government imposing on the entire globe a general policy of the unlawful subordination of the individual to the group (and hence to those controlling the group) to the greatest possible extent. This is the end towards which the inner logic of an international banking system, ever eager to consolidate and increase its political power, must tend. In keeping with Lord Acton’s law of power and corruption, those who have acquired illegitimate power over others are eager to use their power in order to increase the kind and degree of self-serving power which they can wield. Unlawful power feeds an insatiable lust for domination.

The aim of centralizing power to an ever-greater extent might also be pursued in order to consolidate and protect the advantages which the present regime affords its beneficiaries. Just as thieves are often compelled to continue stealing in order to safeguard or make use of previously acquired ill-gotten goods, and just as liars are often obliged
It must be granted that since an international financial system already exists, an emerging, but presently esoteric, world government is already in place:

“There is, in fact, at the present time in full operation an international government of the world operating through the economic system of every country, not elected, not subject to removal by any of the ordinary mechanisms which we apply to political government.”

This world government does not yet have full control over the traditional governing bodies of the various nation-states. The movement towards the elimination of these governments and their gradual replacement by transnational power blocs such as the EU are undoubtedly an intermediate objective in the pursuit of the final goal:

“... it is to be remembered that the financial system is a centralising system; it can only have one logical end, and that is a world dictatorship. There seems to be little doubt that the temporary headquarters of this potential world dictatorship have been moved from country to country several times during the past five or six centuries. At one time it was in Italy and specifically Genoa, then in the Low Countries and Lombardy, from whence came the Jewish Lombards who gave their name to Lombard Street. During the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries it has unquestionably been in London, but there is every indication that a change of headquarters to New York is contemplated.”

World Government and ‘Conspiracy Theories’

At this point it would be opportune to address a common objection to the preceding narrative, namely, that it cannot be true because it seems to imply some sort of ‘conspiracy theory’. The public, especially in the United States, has been well-trained to believe that any recognition of a hidden conscious intent behind the flow of events is somehow inherently irrational. Nothing happens by ‘conspiracy’; everything is either an accident, a democratically selected outcome, or else the product of blind natural forces, or else to the ignorance, stupidity, pride, and/or concupiscence of human beings. On the other hand, an honest examination of the history of the world clearly reveals that, in both public and private life, some terrible things do happen because individuals and/or groups worked together in secret in order to make them happen. Perhaps the most glaring amongst recent examples of the reality of conspiracy as a causal agency is the set of events which took place on September 11th, 2001. Everyone agrees that what occurred on that day in New York City, Washington, D.C., and over the skies of Pennsylvania was not an accident, the effects of blind natural forces, or the results of a referendum, but was due instead to a conspiracy. Accordingly, the U.S. government’s authorized explanation for what occurred on that day is itself a ‘conspiracy theory’. Disagreement concerning the nature of the attacks is only to be found when it comes to determining whether or not the officially sanctioned conspiracy theory is the most plausible amongst the available alternatives and therefore the most likely to be true.

As far as public life is concerned, it is commonplace for influential individuals to work together behind closed doors (i.e., in secret) to protect, consolidate, and increase their hold over political, economic, and cultural power at the expense of the common individual. Whenever public policies are being determined or implemented without the full knowledge and agreement of the public and against what is truly in their best interests, a political ‘conspiracy’ is at work. Conspiracies such as these have existed at all levels of the social hierarchy and continue to exist. In particular, the hidden operation of what we might refer to as the International Money Power is a political phenomenon which goes back several millennia.

As we have already noted, the exercising of illegitimate power over others very quickly becomes a sort of drug which requires ever increasing ‘doses’ to maintain the metaphysical thrill attached to power-wielding. In the words of Lord Acton “All power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely.” It is not at all necessary to posit extraordinary, i.e., implausible or immensely complicated, ‘conspiracy theories’, in order to admit the existence of secret policy-makers as a major factor in world affairs. It is simply an aspect of the way the world must operate when there is no effective method available by means of which the proper orientation and limits can be imposed on the administrators of social power by the general membership of society:

“At this juncture I should like to meet a probable criticism in advance. I can imagine someone saying, ‘This is another Hidden Hand theory.’ Do not allow such an idea to continue lying in order to keep past deceptions covered-up, so too are the plutocratic elites constrained to follow the policy which has placed them at the pinnacle of the social hierarchy. Regardless of the ultimate motive, every illegitimate increase in the concentration of power moves us, by necessity, in the direction of tyrannical despotism:

“As at present constituted, finance is the pre-eminent agent of policy, and financial control of the world would mean control of the policy of the world; in other words, a world dictatorship.”

(continued next page)
affect your judgment of the facts one way or another. Every theory of events which has any soundness must at the present time be a ‘Hidden Hand’ theory, because events are not controlled by Voting or Parliamentary Debate, but by Finance. A theory is neither more nor less likely to be true because it appears to be romantic, nor does it necessarily involve conscious turpitude on the part of, e.g., Statesmen. If you train a man from youth, you can make him honestly believe anything, and I can assure you that there are very few ‘accidents’ in the rise to power of public men. If you consider the influence of such men as the late Sir Ernest Cassel on the London School of Economics and the care taken to see that high permanent officials have an orthodox training, you will see how subtle this influence may be.”
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TO ALL LEAGUE SUPPORTERS

In the last year, in addition to the familiar journals, books and DVD’s we have moved into a more advanced form of technology to promote the political commentary which is much needed as the events around the world unfold.

Arnis Luks has become proficient in conducting filmed interviews. By using internet facilities, he conducts forums with participants who are in locations distant from his office base. At times two or three guests are involved and at other times an interview with a single guest is conducted. Following the recording, the video is made available to the public via Youtube. Long term supporters, like Betty Luks and Wallace Klink, who have a wealth of knowledge on Social Credit, have recorded valuable lessons which will be very useful in the future as well as now. Other topics have included health matters and Local Government. You will have seen some advertising in the journals regarding the availability of these programmes on Youtube. The development of this feature has been undertaken in the hope that we may reach a younger audience who prefer the electronic age over reading articles.

Taking the technology to the next phase, Arnis is now able to move away from the sophisticated studio at the office. A new lap-top computer has made it possible for him to take his “studio” on the road. A recent trial run proved very successful where Arnis conducted a programme about Responsible Voting. His key point is to not just contact MP’s about an issue but to extend the message by reminding the MP that his responsibility is to the electors and he should not ignore them by promoting contrary policies to comply with an order from other sources. Until there is a shift to truly representing the people, we will continue suffering the rule of worldwide power groups.

To be part of this emerging programme, you only need to contact Arnis Luks at headquarters to discuss suitable dates. You will appreciate his positive message.

Ken Grundy National Director, August 2018 ***