The Free World is Fighting For Its Very Existence By Arnis Luks

There is a direct link between the George Soros controlled Open Society Foundation and Lord Mark Malloch Brown, the former UN deputy secretary general and UK minister and president of Smartmatic voting systems which is tied into the Dominion Voting scandal playing out in the United States presidential election court cases. I was directed to this website (https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/newsroom/patrick-gaspard-to-step-down-as-head-of-open-society-foundations) and also this website (https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/12/george-soros-appoints-lord-mark-malloch-brown-former-president-smartmatic-lead-open-society-foundation/) and here (https://gellerreport.com/2020/11/smartmatic-caught-lying-soros-owned-lord-mark-malloch-brown-confesses-to-license-agreement-between-smartmatic-and-dominion-in-2015-interview.html).

The first task I undertake for any major information-website is to look at the encoding of the software. I noted some websites utilise nationbuilder software which in my mind is similar to social media like Twitter and Facebook. The players behind nationbuilder collate massive amounts of information, similar to flybuys that are stored on massive databases, that support the owners of the website in their pursuit of market research and things of this nature. Information is king you could say. Who owns nationbuilder software I don’t know. But I understand that the more you harness information the more power you yield over people you’re attempting to influence.

This information harvesting is a two way street. Those who control any software are also privy to that same information, plus the further possibility of knowledge of strategy of political movements from the same resource. So on this basis alone, I hold grave concerns for any political entities that access market research of this nature.

The Dominion Voting fraud playing out in the American courts causes my initial suspicion and concern in regard to the Queensland and New Zealand elections to be reinforced to the point I now hold grave concerns for the integrity of the voting systems currently deployed across Australia and New Zealand.

In United States the manipulation and fraud of those systems is by state actors. The Communist Party of China and the CIA of the United States are working in concert to bring about election results that suit their political purposes. The corruption across both major political parties colluding together to manipulate the public has metamorphosed into one of ‘state actors' of a nation colluding with other state actors from another nation - both east and west working together to achieve a common result. We are living through a cesspool of lawlessness and corruption.

What can be done about the corruption and the subversion of our constitutions and the rule of law?

How do we inject into the public consciousness thoughts of redeeming the situation, turning it back, reorientating to some sort of normality. Are we meant to only hold our ground or actually take civilisation forward in our own act of redemption? The first step is to state with a clear voice the truth of the situation, what is actually going on. paraphrased below

Whitaker Chambers in his A Letter To My Children circa 1952, at the time bearing witness against Alger Hiss, wrote: that there were two irreconcilable faiths of our time - collectivism and freedom - coming into direct conflict in the persons of these two conscious and resolute men. Neither would nor could yield without betraying, not only himself, but his faith. Both knew in this instance, only in the destruction of one or both of the contending figures, just as both men had been taught, can only end in the destruction of one or both of the contending forces. The tragedy of the Whitaker Chambers - Alger Hiss case will have been for nothing unless men of today understand it rightly, and from it the world takes hope and heart to begin its own tragic struggle with the evil that besets it from within and from without. Unless the world faces the fact that the whole world is sick unto death.
The world has reached its turning point of a crisis that has been building across many generations. In the last century it has been reached in blood, sweat, tears, havoc and death, of two world wars. The chief fruit of the First World War was the Russian Revolution and the rise of communism (collectivism) as a national power. The chief fruit of the Second World War was the arrival of the next to the last step of the crisis with the rise of communism (collectivism as both: communism and capitalism) as the only world power. History is likely to say that these were the only decisive results of those two world wars. The power of communism embodied in China and the Soviet Union, is roughly equal to the power of the capitalist world. We are at a stage of total crisis - religious, moral, intellectual, social, political and economic. It is a crisis of the whole world - the free but also the collectivist. Collectivism, which claims to be the solution, is itself a symptom and an irritant of the real crisis.

Both communism and capitalism make some profound appeal to the human mind. That which binds them across all nations, all languages and all classes, in defiance of religion, morality, truth, law, honour. The weakness of the body and the resolution of the mind, even after death is a simple conviction: “it is necessary to change the world”. The lure of collectivism lays in its power to hold convictions and to act on them. It is an unfailing power to move men. Its promise was whispered in the first days of creation under the tree of the knowledge of good and evil: “you shall be as gods”. Under this spell is a vision of man without God. A vision of man’s mind displacing God as the creative intelligence of the world.

The position of man, once more the central figure of creation, not because God made man in his image, but because man’s mind makes him the most intelligent of all the creatures. Copernicus displaced man as the central factor of the universe by proving that the Earth was not the central planet of the universe. Collectivism restores man to his sovereignty by the simple method of denying God.

It challenges man to move by the force of his rational mind to end meaninglessness and give it purpose and a plan. This challenges him to prove with the imposing of his rational mind, world order, abundance, security and peace. It is a vision of materialism. The tools to turn this into reality are science and technology excluding all supernatural factors in solving problems.

This same vision is shared by millions who are not necessarily communists. It poses the question: if man’s mind is the decisive force in the world, what need is there for God? Henceforth man’s mind is man’s fate.

This century, unlike last century which was of world wars to develop and foster collectivism, is a war of faith. Man can therefore rule the world by his own reason - the power of his own mind? Or will man acknowledge God as creator of all and humbly relying on His great mercy, bring about His kingdom on earth as it is in heaven?

Freedom is in need of the soul and nothing else. It is in striving toward God that the soul strives continuously after a condition of freedom. The soul is the guarantor of freedom. It is the only guarantee. External freedom is only an aspect of interior freedom. Religion and freedom are indivisible. Without freedom the soul dies. Without the soul there is no justification for freedom. Every sincere break from being a collectivist is a religious experience. This break is the political expression of the perpetual need of the soul whose faint stirring he has felt within. God or man, soul or mind, freedom or communism?

Faith is the central question of this age. Owen Barfield refers to this as “meaning”, faith of the existence of God which gives purpose to every human life.

William Blake wrote of Urizen - ‘you reason’. In this area of thinking, your reasoning can dominate your thought processes to the point where you neglect to consider the outworking of your thoughts in the real world.

Iain Gilchrist, author of “The Master and His Emissary” refers to this right hemisphere thinking as embodiment - placing your thoughts in the real world.

Roderick Tweedy - “The God of the Left Hemisphere” brings Blake and McGilchrist together within his own.

The wrestling between 'the reasoning thought of man as the highest being' and the 'observation of the real world, recognising purpose and design - God', is the main question for this age.

Anatoliy Golitsyn in his “Love Letter to America” written under the pseudonym Tomas Schuman, declares that the West - the free world, is at war with communism. But the West is unaware of this war until recently. The battle lines have been drawn in the United States presidential election, over the fraud involved by both major political parties and state actors from both east and west - CIA and CCP. The fraud is simply another tool to obtain the Communist objectives. The legitimacy or legality are of no concern to those pursuing this ultimate power.

End of Paraphrasing

Further Reading:

The Perestroika Deception - Memoranda to the Central Intelligence Agency by Anatoliy Golitson
Many would be surprised to learn that the collapse of the Berlin Wall was a gesture to confuse the free west that Communism had failed. It achieved this purpose, and in fact communism then spread across the entire world. The free world is undergoing the final stages of demoralisation. This occurs immediately prior to the final state of crisis in which the hard-line Communists move in and take over the machinery of government.

For this past year we have been subject to inordinate propaganda from the mainstream media. The mainstream media have been instrumental in censoring any dissenting views as to the current state of affairs. They are the handmaid of finance. Referring to them as prostitutes, with the inference as being a whore, is subjective.

Our elections have been subverted by state actors, and all governments are acting in concert to enslave the population of the entire world under a capitalist communist nexus of world government. A system where the oligarchs (central bankers in particular) will rule the world and 7.8 billion people will be slaves. This is what our elites are putting in place. Whether they achieve this goal will be determined by divine intervention and sufficient individuals responding to the challenges of this age. It is that straight forward.

End times theology is a warning to the world of all times that if you turn away from godly things - the good - this is what is going to happen. We’re witnessing these things happening because we have turned away from the good. To stop these things from continuing to happen we must turn back towards the light of the world.

American lawyer and freedom fighter Sidney Powell is going against the swamp of corruption within the American administration. Sidney paints a vivid picture of the cross-sectional corruption so deeply immersed within the American administration here: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LTV1Y_QuZOM&feature=emb_rel_end](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LTV1Y_QuZOM&feature=emb_rel_end)

The current and real government of America is one of Plutocracy, rule by the rich. In a rare surfacing of this hidden hand of power behind government, Carroll Quigley, who claimed to have direct access to the administrative documents of this same elite, wrote the work “Tragedy and Hope” on the basis that the significant part played by these power elites needed to be recorded for posterity.

In a similar fashion of this rarely surfacing information about this power operating behind the scenes, Michael Gawenda in his work titled The Powerbroker sets the record straight of some elements of the plutocracy controlling behind the scenes Australia. For at least 45 years Australia’s senior politicians of all persuasions have been courting all these people of power.

Knowing of the existence of this power elite won’t change anything. In fact knowing about the elite can actually produce a contempt towards those who do not know. The consequence of knowing needs to be answered honestly as one of action, incarnation - on earth as it is in heaven. I don’t want an insurance policy called Christianity, I want the truth and to live this life more abundant. So living it out means seeking Truth - the here and now, of sorting out this cesspool of corruption.

Sydney Powell shows this corruption is in all political persuasions and well immersed within other power movements. How do we respond to these power movements and the corruption inherent within it?

The continuing deconstruction of our culture is a direct consequence of the void of preserving of our culture. We do not know who we are, nor do we have meaning in our life, due mostly to this drifting, like flotsam in the ether, to any and every new religious fad.

I found meaning through the Christ - faith and education, actually re-education. By seeking out historical works I uncovered a Christian history of where our people had gone in their search for Truth. In this uncovering I restored self worth, a calling to live the life abundant.

I have highlighted before, the deconstruction of our culture and the loss of self worth is part of a long-term strategy to demoralise us as people. Whether it is our servicemen, who deserve our full support and admiration, our explorers or adventurers or scientists or politicians. They all deserve our admiration for having brought us thus far. The process of deconstruction again includes our national anthem. Bad enough that by prime ministerial decree in 1972 we changed our anthem from God Save the Queen to an un-worded version of Advance Australia Fair, but the deconstruction of everything of worth within our culture continues to the point where there are no longer any roots, no cultural hook to hang our hat on.

It is the modern educator, journalist, activist and politician, tools of this plutocracy, who are working tirelessly to deconstruct us as a people. Not only must these people be resisted but we must reclaim our rightful heritage from the commissars of woke. We must take our civilisation forward to stand under our God of plenty. I have deliberately selected articles within this issue to assist in your re-orientation back, to find "meaning".

At Head Office we are looking at taking a step back from our usual rate of work, not a step away. If there is a pressing need to continue on we shall. Have a peaceful, family orientated and holy Christ Mass from all the staff of ALOR Head Office. ***
THE GREATEST DRAMA EVER STAGED
IS THE OFFICIAL CREED OF CHRISTENDOM By Dorothy L Sayers

Official Christianity, of late years, has been having what
is known as “a bad press.” We are constantly assured
that the churches are empty because preachers insist
too much upon doctrine—“dull dogma,” as people call
it. The fact is the precise opposite. It is the neglect of
dogma that makes for dullness.

The Christian faith is the most exciting drama that ever
staggered the imagination of man—and the dogma is
the drama. That drama is summarised quite clearly in
the creeds of the Church, and if we think it dull it is
because we either have never really read those amazing
documents, or have recited them so often and so
mechanically as to have lost all sense of their meaning.

The plot pivots upon a single character, and the whole
action is the answer to a single central problem:

What think ye of Christ?

Before we adopt any of the unofficial solutions (some of
which are indeed excessively dull)—before we dismiss
Christ as a myth, an idealist, a demagogue, a liar or a
lunatic—it will do no harm to find out what the creeds
really say about Him.

What does the Church think of Christ? The Church’s
answer is categorical and uncompromising, and it is this:
That Jesus Bar-Joseph, the carpenter of Nazareth, was in
fact and in truth, and in the most exact and literal sense
of the words, the God “by Whom all things were made.”

His body and brain were those of a common man; His
personality was the personality of God, so far as that
personality could be expressed in human terms. He was
not a kind of demon or fairy pretending to be human;
He was in every respect a genuine living man. He was
not merely a man so good as to be “like God”—He was
God.

Now, this is not just a pious commonplace; it is not
commonplace at all. For what it means is this, among
other things: that for whatever reason God chose to
make man as he is—limited and suffering and subject to
sorrows and death—He had the honesty and the courage
to take His own medicine.

Whatever game He is playing with His creation, He has
kept His own rules and played fair. He can exact nothing
from man that He has not exacted from Himself. He has
Himself gone through the whole of human experience,
from the trivial irritations of family life and the cramping
restrictions of hard work and lack of money to the worst
horrors of pain and humiliation, defeat, despair and death.

When He was a man, He played the man. He was born
in poverty and died in disgrace and thought it well worth
while.

Christianity is, of course, not the only religion that has
found the best explanation of human life in the idea of
an incarnate and suffering god. The Egyptian Osiris
died and rose again; Aeschylus in his play, The Eumenides,
reconciled man to God by the theory of a suffering Zeus.

But in most theologies, the god is supposed to have
suffered and died in some remote and mythical period of
pre-history.

The Christian story, on the other hand, starts off briskly
in St. Matthew’s account with a place and a date: “When
Jesus was born in Bethlehem of Judea in the days of
Herod the King.” St. Luke, still more practically and
prosaically, pins the thing down by a reference to a piece
of government finance. God, he says, was made man
in the year when Caesar Augustus was taking a census
in connection with a scheme of taxation. Similarly, we
might date an event by saying that it took place in the
year that Great Britain went off the gold standard.

About thirty-three years later (we are informed) God
was executed, for being a political nuisance, “under
Pontius Pilate”—much as we might say, “when Mr.
Joynson-Hicks was Home Secretary.” It is as definite and
concrete as all that.

Possibly we might prefer not to take this tale too
seriously—there are disquieting points about it. Here
we had a man of Divine character walking and talking
among us—and what did we find to do with Him? The
common people, indeed, “heard Him gladly”; but our
leading authorities in Church and State considered that
He talked too much and uttered too many disconcerting
truths. So we bribed one of His friends to hand Him over
quietly to the police, and we tried Him on a rather vague
charge of creating a disturbance, and had Him publicly
flogged and hanged on the common gallows (of that day-ed),
thanking God we were rid of a knave.”

All this was not very creditable to us, even if He was (as
many people thought and think) only a harmless crazy
preacher.

But if the Church is right about Him, it was more
discreditable still; for the man we hanged was God
Almighty. So that is the outline of the official story—
the tale of the time when God was the under-dog and got
beaten, when He submitted to the conditions He had laid
down and became a man like the men He had made, and
the men He had made broke Him and killed Him.

This is the dogma we find so dull—this terrifying drama of which God is the victim and hero. If this is dull, then what in Heaven’s name, is worthy to be called exciting?

The people who hanged Christ never, to do them justice, accused Him of being a bore—on the contrary; they thought Him too dynamic to be safe. It has been left for later generations to muffle up that shattering personality and surround Him with an atmosphere of tedium. We have very efficiently pared the claws of the Lion of Judah, certified Him “meek and mild,” and recommended Him as a fitting household pet for pale curates and pious old ladies.

To those who knew Him, however, He in no way suggested a milk-and-water person; they objected to Him as a dangerous firebrand. True, He was tender to the unfortunate, patient with honest inquirers and humble before Heaven; but He insulted respectable clergymen by calling them hypocrites; He referred to King Herod as “that fox”; He went to parties in disreputable company and was looked upon as a “gluttonous man and a wine-bibber, a friend of publicans and sinners”; He assaulted indignant tradesmen and threw them and their belongings out of the Temple; He drove a coach-and-horses through a number of sacrosanct and hoary regulations; He cured diseases by any means that came handy, with a shocking casualness in the matter of other people’s pigs and property; He showed no proper deference for wealth or social position; when confronted with neat dialectical traps, He displayed a paradoxical humour that affronted serious-minded people, and He retorted by asking disagreeably searching questions that could not be answered by rule of thumb.

He was emphatically not a dull man in His human lifetime, and if He was God, there can be nothing dull about God either.

But He had “a daily beauty in His life that made us ugly,” and officialdom felt that the established order of things would be more secure without Him. So they did away with God in the name of peace and quietness.

“And the third day He rose again”; what are we to make of that? One thing is certain: if He was God and nothing else, His immortality means nothing to us; if He was man and no more, His death is no more important than yours or mine. But if He really was both God and man, then when the man Jesus died, God died too, and when the God Jesus rose from the dead, man rose too, because they were one and the same person.

The Church binds us to no theory about the exact composition of Christ’s Resurrection Body. A body of some kind there had to be, since man cannot perceive the Infinite otherwise than in terms of space and time.

It may have been made from the same elements as the body that disappeared so strangely from the guarded tomb, but it was not that old, limited, mortal body, though it was recognisably like it.

In any case, those who saw the risen Christ remained persuaded that life was worth living and death a triviality—an attitude curiously unlike that of the modern defeatist, who is firmly persuaded that life is a disaster and death (rather inconsistently) a major catastrophe.

Now, nobody is compelled to believe a single word of this remarkable story. God (says the Church) has created us perfectly free to disbelieve in Him as much as we choose. If we do disbelieve, then He and we must take the consequences in a world ruled by cause and effect. The Church says further, that man did, in fact, disbelieve, and that God did, in fact, take the consequences.

All the same, if we are going to disbelieve a thing, it seems on the whole to be desirable that we should first find out what, exactly, we are disbelieving.

Very well, then: “The right Faith is, that we believe that Jesus Christ is God and Man. Perfect God and perfect Man, of a reasonable soul and human flesh subsisting. Who although He be God and Man, yet is He not two, but one Christ.”

There is the essential doctrine, of which the whole elaborate structure of Christian faith and morals is only the logical consequence.

Now, we may call that doctrine exhilarating or we may call it devastating; we may call it revelation or we may call it rubbish; but if we call it dull, then words have no meaning at all.

That God should play the tyrant over man is a dismal story of unrelieved oppression; that man should play the tyrant over man is the usual dreary record of human futility; but that man should play the tyrant over God and find Him a better man than himself is an astonishing drama indeed. Any journalist, hearing of it for the first time, would recognise it as News; those who did hear it for the first time actually called it News, and good news at that; though we are apt to forget that the word Gospel ever meant anything so sensational.

Perhaps the drama is played out now, and Jesus is safely dead and buried. Perhaps. It is ironical and entertaining to consider that once at least in the world’s history those words might have been spoken with complete conviction, and that was upon the eve of the Resurrection. ***
THE ETERNAL CHRIST IN THE COSMIC STORY

By Rich Heffern

Evening Post, U.S.A., as quoted in the March, 1979 issue of Behind The News, South Africa, said:

"But all that talk about “Judaico-Christian tradition” is one of the most successful public relations triumphs of the century . . . . But, as a Jew, and a Rabbi, obviously I don’t accept that view. I don’t see Christianity as the exquisite culmination of a long and painful evolution. I see Christianity, to use Santayana’s phrase, as a ‘paganisation’ of monotheism, something with which I can have no real sense of kinship. The end result of the Judaic concept of monotheism is monopoly".

The Christian concept of unity through diversity is reflected in the Doctrine of the Trinity. Douglas directed attention to the Athanasian Creed concerning the trinitarian concept of God, pointing out that it was a brilliant theological exposition of the nature of reality, and that when political and constitutional systems reflected that reality individuals found their associations much more fruitful and harmonious.

Douglas instanced the growth of constitutionalism in England in accordance with the trinitarian concept, the result being the House of Commons, The House of Lords, both spiritual and secular, and the Crown.

The development of Christianity owed more to the Greek influence than it did to the forerunner of Judaism, Pharisaism. The New Testament references to the Decapolis, or Eastern Galilee do not give an adequate picture of its dominating Greek character. The Greek influence in the region started with the soldiers of Alexander the Great from 382 B.C. onwards. Under the Romans the Decapolis saw a flowering of Greek culture in its highest forms. The Romans ruled the region through the Greek language. Greek names were used, as witnessed by the names of most of Christ’s disciples.

It is almost certain that Christ and His disciples knew Greek. Writing in his Historical Geography of the Holy Land, George Adam Smith observed:
The Decapolis was flourishing in the time of Christ’s ministry. Gadara with her temples and her amphitheatres, with her arts, her games and her literature, overhung the lake of Galilee and the voyages of her fishermen. A leading Epicurean of the previous generation, the founder of the Greek anthology, some of the famous wits of the day, the reigning emperor’s tutor, had all been bred within sight of the homes of the writers of the New Testament. We have ample proof that the Kingdom of God came forth in no obscure corner, but in the very face of the kingdom of this world. Irrespective of where Christ had appeared, He was faced with the problem of how to detach himself from all local influences in order that His universal message for all mankind could be presented. And yet He had to work through the culture and institutions of the world in which He emerged. He would have been faced with the same situation if He had appeared in Persia, Greece or Rome.

Without making use of the culture and traditions of the world in which He grew up, how could Christ communicate His message to His Disciples so that they in turn could carry it to the whole world? Christ was well versed in the teachings of Judaism, and the works of the Jewish prophets, but in referring to them insisted that there was “a more excellent way.”

Christ entered the Synagogues, not because He accepted any part of Judaism, but because they were at that time a type of local public forum where He could preach His Message. The Jewish leaders so feared that message that they plotted to have Christ crucified. Christ’s denunciation of these leaders was couched in language which today would have Him called before some “race relations” or “anti-discrimination board”:

Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for you cleanse the outside of the cup and of the plate, but inside they are full of extortion and rapacity . . . . Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for you are like whitewashed tombs, which outwardly appear beautiful, but within they are full of dead men’s bones and uncleanness. So you also outwardly appear righteous to men, but within you are full of hypocrisy and iniquity . . . . You serpents, you brood of vipers, how are you going to escape being sentenced to hell?

Although it is an historical fact that the Jewish hierarchy rejected Christ’s revelations of reality, of God, the essential nature of Christ’s teaching has been distorted by those who have failed to understand that Christ had to use a method of expression suitable for Eastern minds. A limited culture and the Judaic influence made it difficult for Christ’s disciples to grasp the principles He sought to teach. Thus the use of parables to encourage consideration of an illustration of a principle.

It is obvious that many have missed the primary meaning of the principle illustrated in the parable, while others have failed to grasp that the statement of a principle does not of itself indicate how the principle should be applied in all circumstances.

Nothing so dramatically demonstrated the fundamental cleavage between Christ’s teachings and the institutionalism and legalism of Judaism than Christ’s reaction to the charge that He had been violating the Sabbath. “The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath.” The Christian view therefore insists that all institutions exist to serve the individual.

In his first book Economic Democracy, Douglas left no doubt that he implicitly accepted the Christian concept: Systems were made for men, and not men for systems, and the interest of man, which is self-development, is above all systems. Douglas later stressed that this truth also applied to legal systems.

In his Merchant of Venice the great English dramatist
Shakespeare brought out the contrast between the Christian concept of law, which gave rise to English Common Law and the Judaic stress through Shylock on the 'letter of the law' as distinct from the 'spirit of the law'.

Christ challenged a religious system of a kind which sought to govern the life of the individual down to every detail. A reading of The Talmud explains why so many Jews are attracted to central planning, irrespective of what label it carries.

Christ understood the Law of the Jewish prophets and Jewish traditions. But He did not appeal to them to establish His authority. When He asked his disciples, “But Who say ye I am?” it was the outspoken Peter who said, “Thou art Christ, the Son of the Living God.” Peter was warmly praised for his answer. Christ rejected the suggestion that He was the Jewish Messiah who would lead a revolt against the Roman power.

He taught that God’s will should be done on earth. But how? He did not teach submission to a distant Deity, an external authority, but to an interior one.

GOVERNMENT VACCINATION PROGRAMS:
CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY By Judy Wilyman PhD

This article has also been published in the Masters of Health Magazine (1 December 2020) – ‘Government Vaccination Programs: Crimes Against Humanity’ and on the Principia Scientific International website (1 December 2020) – ‘Vaccine Safety and the Precautionary Principle.’

In Australia legal action has started against the government – Prime Minister, Scott Morrison and federal and state health ministers – for the fraudulent use of PCR tests to create the appearance of a pandemic and for the implementation of lockdown measures, including coercive vaccination, which will harm human health – COVID Fraud: Lawyers File action against Corrupt Australian Government.

Governments and individuals/organisations who are promoting or enforcing false and misleading health information without the knowledge of long-term health effects in genetically diverse populations are committing a crime against humanity. It is also a crime to mandate or coerce any vaccine (drug) on a population in government policy because all medications have different side-effects in different people due to our genetics. This is a fundamental principle of medical ethics: fully informed consent without coercion, manipulation or pressure.

In addition, to the normal risks that all vaccines pose to many pre-disposed individuals the new COVID19 vaccine includes new and untested technology that will allow people to be monitored for their health status and movements. This will be recorded on an e-health card that will be used to limit your travel or other participation in society. This has already been introduced for children in Australia with the NJNPay/Play policies and now it is being enforced on adults with the new COVID19 vaccine.

It is also a crime that healthy people are being tested with a PCR test that does not diagnose disease and then these healthy people are being labelled as a ‘case’ of COVID19 that is reported in the media or they are quarantined if the test is positive; yet there are many false positives with this non-standardised test.

This fraudulent use of the PCR test has now been confirmed in the Portuguese Courts – The Portuguese Court Rules PCR tests as Unreliable and Unlawful to Quarantine People.

There is a video – Warning for Humanity: the COVID-19 Vaccine – that describes the new technology that is in the COVID-19 vaccine (fast-tracked and untested for safety) that will enable governments to collect our biometric data (personal identification) after vaccination.

Any government that uses coercive measures to enforce the use of a medical drug on the population is committing a crime against humanity. This is due to the diversity of our genetic-makeup, yet governments are ignoring this science (epigenetics) to force the COVID19 vaccine on all international travelers who wish to fly on Qantas airlines. A policy that is expected to be adopted by other airlines soon.

This is for a vaccine that has been fast-tracked onto the market and it includes new technology that will remove our privacy and for which we do not have any long-term safety data. There is no independent analysis of the claims of safety or efficacy of this vaccine prior to
being mandated for travellers’ in December 2020. This is critical information to have before coerding people with a medication that has known risks for many people. A senior research scientist with extensive knowledge in the regulation of drugs, Dr. Saeed Qureshi, made the following comment about the many COVID19 vaccines being developed, in his article titled ‘Should FDA and other authorities approve the SARS-Cov-2/COVID-19 vaccines? – A scientific perspective.’: 

‘Arguably, there appears to be no need, at least on an urgent basis, for developing a vaccine or any other new therapies for the illness showing mild flu-like symptoms, which could be handled with already developed and available medications. Clinical trials have been conducted without scientifically valid study designs based on vague endpoints, and invalid analytical (PCR) tests that ought to produce useless conclusions and products.’

An analysis of the end-points and main issues with the clinical trials of Pfizer’s COVID19 vaccine.

In Australia, this COVID-19 vaccine will be one of many vaccines that are now used coercively to deprive Australians of their right to participate fully in society.

There are 12+ vaccines that have already been mandated in coercive policies for children as well as for adult employment and welfare benefits from government programs. These can be expected to be added to the adult e-health card for mandatory use in the near future. These coercive policies are a crime against the population due to the known serious adverse health outcomes (and death) that occur after many people are vaccinated. Our genetics pre-dispose us to many chronic illnesses and these illnesses have been increasing significantly in the population in direct correlation to the increased use of vaccines for decades.

Whilst the government claims ‘correlation does not prove causation’ it is still incumbent on the government to use evidence-based medicine in the design of health policies. Dismissing this evidence as ‘a coincidence’ is not evidence-based medicine, and it is a crime against the people because it will result in significant harm/death to an unknown number of people.

PORTUGUESE COURT RULES PCR TESTS AS UNRELIABLE AND UNLAWFUL TO QUARANTINE PEOPLE

https://greatgameindia.com/portuguese-court-pcr-tests-unreliable/

The court stated, the test’s reliability depends on the number of cycles used and the viral load present. Citing Jaafar et al. 2020, the court concludes that “if someone is tested by PCR as positive when a threshold of 35 cycles or higher is used (as is the rule in most laboratories in Europe and the US), the probability that said person is infected is less than 3%, and the probability that said result is a false positive is 97%.”

..This case concerned the fact that four people had been quarantined by the Regional Health Authority. Of these, one had tested positive for COVID using a PCR test; the other three were deemed to have undergone a high risk of exposure. Consequently, the Regional Health Authority decided that all four were infectious and a health hazard, which required that they go into isolation. The court’s summary of the case to rule against the Regional Health Authority’s appeal reads as follows:

“Given how much scientific doubt exists — as voiced by experts, i.e., those who matter — about the reliability of the PCR tests, given the lack of information concerning the tests’ analytical parameters, and in the absence of a physician’s diagnosis supporting the existence of infection or risk, there is no way this court would ever be able to determine whether C was indeed a carrier of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, or whether A, B and D had been at a high risk of exposure to it.”

It is also important to remember PCR was invented as a way to create copies of genetic material. It was never intended to be a diagnostic tool.

The standard coronavirus tests are throwing up a huge number of positive cases daily. These tests are done based on faulty WHO protocols which are designed to include false positives cases as well.

This fact about false positives of PCR Tests was first noted in public by Dr. Beda M. Stadler, a Swiss biologist, emeritus professor, and former director of the Institute of Immunology at the University of Bern:....

..So if we do a PCR corona test on an immune person, it is not a virus that is detected, but a small shattered part of the viral genome. The test comes back positive for as long as there are tiny shattered parts of the virus left. Correct: Even if the infectious viruses are long dead, a corona test can come back positive, because the PCR method multiplies even a tiny fraction of the viral genetic material enough [to be detected]. ***