

The "New Times" is a really independent, non-party, non-class, non-sectarian weekly newspaper, advocating political and economic democracy, and opposing totalitarianism in all its forms.

EVERY FRIDAY **THE** PRICE—FOURPENCE
NEW TIMES

Vol. 10 No. 12 MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 1944 Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by post as a newspaper.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is verging,
In God's name, let us speak while there is time!

Now, when the padlocks for our lips are forging,
Silence is crime.

Whittier (1807-1892)

The Attack on the White Australia Policy

It Suits Tojo—What About You?

The "White Australia Policy" is the latest target for attacks by our leading Planners. "When these Planners and the powerful groups behind them start to attack any traditional policy of the people, it is time to examine closely what is proposed and consider its probable effects."

The White Australia Policy is based on the belief that the entrance of Asiatics or other coloured peoples into this country would mean the elimination of the present predominantly Anglo-Saxon population and our way of life.

The Communists have always been opposed to the White Australia Policy, and, judging by recent statements, will probably attempt to have pressure brought to bear through the International Trade Union Conference to be held in London in June, significantly enough, the Australian Workers Union, which so far has resisted Communist control, and is outspoken in its support of the White Australia Policy, has refused to send delegates to the London Conference.

Not only are the Socialistic Planners advocating the abolition of the White Australia Policy; their friends controlling the ---ier Financial Policy in this country are also in favour of extending internationalism here. It is alarming to note that Mr. Curtin has failed to rebuke some of his own appointees, such as Dr. Lloyd Ross, when they officially attack our basic immigration policy. There appears to be no doubt that the pressure is being applied by those internationalists who love to talk about "the masses." To them, the "masses," apparently the bigger the number, are only regarded as raw material to be planned, pushed around, and experimented upon.

Considerable evidence has, from time to time been brought forward in these columns, proving that this war was produced for the purpose of destroying British culture and permanently enthrone the International Jewish groups, who believe and say they are destined to rule the world, as controllers of the new "World Order."

It is significant that, while the International Jewish Oligarchy and those groups permeated with the Jewish philosophy advocate the mixing of races as part of the programme of internationalism, the Jews themselves endeavour to keep their own race free from outside influence as the result of mixed marriages. They are especially realistic in their attempt to

preserve their own culture. We must be equally realistic.

The idea of mixing different peoples and their cultures is similar to suggesting that, if you have several good soups and mix them together, you will get a super-soup. Usually you get a swill. It has been claimed that America has been a racial "melting pot."

There is no doubt that the population question is one of Australia's big problems. But an idea is being encouraged, by certain people, that there are some peculiar inherent virtues in big populations. Writing about this notion in "The Big Idea," C. H. Douglas says:—

"Now the first point to observe is that it finds no support in history. . . . Greece, Rome, Venice, Spain, Holland, England, all of them small, have all, in their turn, set the fashion to civilisation, and, in every case, their eminence has not only been in the midst of far greater, and in many cases, opposing populations, but has, for the most part, been most clearly marked at a period when the disparity in numbers was greatest."

Shakespeare's England had a population not greatly in excess of Victoria's present population. The biggest tragedy concerning our population is the fact that artificial economic conditions, and a cynical outlook on life resulting from them, have resulted in the frustration of one of the most fundamental human desires; to have children. This frustration must be overcome; but it is not going to be overcome, as some suggest, by encouraging millions of European immigrants whose birth-rate is in most cases as low as our own.

From the point of view of developing a real political and economic democracy in this country, the entrance of large numbers of politically inexperienced or "fascist"-conditioned refugees from war-torn Europe would be a terribly grave liability. It has been hard enough to educate our fellow Australians!

The rate at which our population could thoroughly absorb even small numbers of such Europeans would be very slow. We already have the example of the Italians in North Queensland and elsewhere. One must admit, of course, the fact that we

have absorbed into our community people from European countries in very small numbers. But one strongly suspects that certain power-lusting Planners would be delighted to have several millions of politically inexperienced and "fascist"-conditioned Europeans to push around, and to make it easy to push US around. And one suspects that that is why they would like to see millions of Oriental immigrants here.

It is rank hypocrisy to suggest that bringing even 10,000,000 Asiatics into this country would relieve, to any appreciable extent, the alleged "population pressure" in Eastern countries.

The standard of living in every Eastern country could be raised immeasurably by the application of modern scientific production methods. But a sane financial policy is required. Well there is little we can do about other countries, but we can put our own house in order. By so doing we could set a practical example for other countries. This is the greatest contribution we can make to the saving of civilisation and the solving of the problems of Eastern countries. But to allow our sympathies for the Indians or other Orientals to be used to let them in here, and reduce us to the standards of Oriental countries, is criminal nonsense.

The philosophy behind that idea is the same philosophy which has given rise to the pernicious policy that, because some of our people live in slums, others should not be allowed to live in nice houses. Those with a little economic independence

must preserve it if they are going to save themselves and their less fortunate fellows. And that is the attitude we must adopt towards the suggestions that we abolish our White Australia Policy.

Apart from the economic and political aspects of our present immigration policy, there is another point just as important. C. H. Douglas deals with it in "Programme For The Third World War":—

"Such ideas as 'fairness,' 'decency' and what we might call the realistic Christian virtues convey nothing to the Oriental as such. Perhaps I may with advantage observe at this juncture that many Orientals compare very favourably with many Europeans on every ground. That does not invalidate the main contention, which is that the Oriental has virtues of his own, but they are not in the main the same virtues as those of the European. . . ."

Most thinking Australians are not snobbish or insulting when they uphold the White Australia Policy; they don't look down on the Indians or the Chinese or others because they have differently coloured skins; but they honestly face the FACT that the Oriental way of life is not our way of life.

Australians must realise that we can only preserve and develop our British culture in this country by a natural increase from our own stock. To do this we must develop full control of our own institutions and make Australia a real functioning democracy; ensure that economic conditions do not prevent young Australians from getting married and having children when

(Continued on page 4.)

NOTES on the NEWS

As a result of the people's pressure on their political representatives, the Federal Government has been compelled to release for housing purposes some materials and manpower which they insisted was not available. However, the Government has yet to realise that what the public demands is the removal of all such restrictions on manpower and materials; in other words, that the Government stand aside and let the people get on with the job. The paltry sop offered, of 300 homes in Victoria, merely to quieten some of the homeless, will not be accepted as sufficient. The politicians must learn that their job is to obey their electors, and electors must learn that their job is to instruct their paid servants.

COMMUNISTS CHALLENGED: A correspondent in the Melbourne "Herald" of February 16 endorsed a broadcaster's suggestion that "provision should be made to send members of the Communist Party to Russia to fight for that country." The correspondent made the point that "this would be a splendid opportunity for Communist leaders and 'second-frontiers' to come into the open and display their sincerity by organising a Communist Brigade." So far the Communists have failed to adopt the suggestion; it's a wonder they failed to think of such an excellent method of demonstrating their sincerity.

POWERS PLOT: The Melbourne "Age" of March 17 devoted its leading article to advocating a betrayal of the people: urging the State Premiers to surrender the "powers" without consulting the people through a referendum. In the same issue it heavily featured Drs. Coombs and Lloyd Ross (both Planners) and others at the Heidelberg Town Hall, who were extolling the benefits of the totalitarian way of life made possible by the transfer of power over the people's lives to the Planners. Now we know where the "Age" stands.

MANAGED MARRIAGES: The Press of February 21 informs us that the U.S. authorities are now empowered to carry out certain investigations regarding impending marriages between U.S. servicemen and Australian girls. Home conditions of both parties may be examined to see that there is not too great a disparity between the couples, and to make sure that the "buddy" will be able to support a wife after the war. From this it seems that the authorities (whoever they are) do not overrate the "nous" of the Yanks; they evidently can trust them to fight, but not to marry. Maybe after this, the famous Statue of Liberty will be fitted with a shroud.

GESTAPO GIRLS: Mr. Archie Cameron's charges against the Government of employing "Gestapo Girls" to tap civilian phone calls indicate that Hitler might even have something to learn from our Labor champions. Of course, there may not be anything sinister about this practice; it may merely be part of the post-war "employment for all" plan, and the girls may be undergoing training as intelligence squads to detect inefficient phone conversations. In any case, is it not written of the "New Order" in "Protocol" No. 17: "In our programme, one-third of our subjects will keep the rest under observation from a sense of duty to the State. It will then be no disgrace to be a spy and informer."

Go to it, Curtin, Evatt and Co., you are faithfully carrying out the Plan!

IRISH INDEPENDENCE: Dealing with the press controversy on Eire's neutrality, Archbishop Mannix objected to Mr. Curtin presuming to speak for Australia in this matter, and suggested that he doubted if he even spoke for his Cabinet or his Party. Irrespective of the merits or otherwise of the question (which, after all, is a question entirely for Eire to decide), it focuses attention on the dangerous practice of politicians presuming to speak for people who have not been consulted. It is a fundamental of democracy that nobody presumes to speak for others unless specifically authorised to do so by the individuals concerned.

LEISURE LOGIC: The Vice-President of the U.S. Automobile Workers (Mr. W. Reuther) has attacked the work-mania by stating that "as a result of technological advances, U.S. enjoyed a much higher living standard with a 40-hours week than she had under the 60-hours week. The use of full productive potential would enable the present standard to be maintained with a 34-hours week." Curtin, Evatt and Co.

(Continued on page 2)

Vital Question Raised in Parliament

International Currency and Gold Standard

In the House of Representatives the Member for Reid (Mr. C. A. Morgan) has questioned the Federal Treasurer (Mr. J. B. Chifley) about this important matter. Here are Mr. Morgan's questions and Mr. Chifley's answers:—

Mr. Morgan: "Has the Treasurer observed reports in the press during recent months that there have been negotiations of a secret or semi-secret character in regard to the international currency and a reversion to the gold standard in the post-war period, as well as statements that certain Allied countries, namely, the United States of America, Great Britain, and some British Dominions, have agreed to revert to the gold standard, that Russia has, at least unofficially, agreed thereto, and that Australia now is expected to agree? Will the Treasurer indicate if these negotiations are official on the part of the Allied Nations, or do they represent merely a meeting of representatives of international finance, and will he state

whether the Australian Government has been consulted in the matter?"

Mr. Chifley: "I am aware that discussions have taken place in London and Washington on the subject of currency stabilisation after the war. They have been on what is known as an expert level—Governments as such have not participated. The Australian Government has been represented by a delegate, and is being represented at the present moment. In the discussions that are taking place between officials representing the British Dominions and other component parts of the British Commonwealth of Nations, governments have not been in any way committed. The Australian Government has not considered the acceptance or rejection of any proposal."

Mr. Morgan: "I take it that Australia will not be committed without the matter being referred to Parliament?"

Mr. Chifley: "The Government is not committed to anything at all; there have merely been discussions among delegates from the various Dominions. They have been considering formulas submitted by Lord Keynes, and by Dr. White, of the American Treasury."

Mr. Morgan: "The report states that it is expected that Australia will agree to the proposals."

Mr. Chifley: "People sometimes expect a lot of things that do not happen. The fact is the Australian Government is not committed to the acceptance or rejection of any proposal."

[Note Mr. Chifley's evasion of Mr. Morgan's second question!—Editor.]

Another Memo for Dr. Evatt

The Nazi (National Socialist) revolution abolished every vestige of the independence of the various states of Germany. All the self-administering bodies were abolished.

This is true of State Governments, Provincial Governments, County Governments and even Municipalities."

—Dorothy Thompson.

Quoted in "The Social Creditor," England, January 29, 1944)

OPEN LETTER TO MR. CHIFLEY, M.H.R.

Dear Mr. Chifley.—The following statement, attributed to you, was published in the Melbourne "Sun" of March 15—

"If some regimentation is necessary to ensure social security in preference to the economic individualism of the old order, then I am for regimentation, and I make no bones about it."

The same news item reported you as saying, in the course of a reply to remarks by Opposition members regarding the principle of freedom to change jobs, that, prior to the war, in your own electorate, you had seen 2000 men trying to get one job.

The foregoing statements were made during the debate on the Constitution Alteration Bill. You also declared your "one regret" to be that "the powers do not go far enough or last long enough."

Of course, the mental attitude revealed by those statements is indicative of what, to be charitable, I should term the widespread confusion of thought to be found even among "our leaders," resulting in the misstatement of what is involved in a particular problem. Did one not wish to be charitable, it would be quite legitimate and no more than just, to make mention of the frequency with which the facts of a given situation are misrepresented in order to divert attention from realities to abstractions. The individuals guilty of such acts—and they are many, and are to be found in places high, and not so high—thus aid and abet the perpetuation of what has aptly been termed the root of the tragedy of our age, namely, the perversion and exaltation of MEANS into ENDS IN THEMSELVES.

Your statement, "If some regimentation is necessary to ensure social security, etc.," implies that such regimentation IS necessary. It further implies that social security cannot be co-existent with the degree of individual liberty hitherto enjoyed; that "economic individualism" is the cause of social insecurity; and, that regimentation is the only remedy for the scourge of insecurity and the many evils which are the by-products thereof.

Such a viewpoint is entirely fallacious. REGIMENTATION CAN BE PRODUCTIVE ONLY OF GREATER INSECURITY. Why? Because it will result in the destruction of individual initiative and personal independence, which are the very foundations of social security and progress.

In communities supplied with modern industrial plant and organisation capable of furnishing a super-abundance of the material things upon which man is dependent, it is utterly false to say that "social security" can be had only by the surrender of individual freedom. No, Mr. Chifley. Such speciousness will mislead only the mentally indolent or mesmerised. Social security CAN be ensured—if your Government REALLY wants to ensure it—by distributing to every individual, unconditionally, as an inalienable right, a regular basic INCOME.

In view of surveys of productive capacity carried out by competent technicians, there is no longer any need to doubt the practicality of making such a distribution. But, of course, to do so would not be in keeping with the declared policy of your Government, which is the imposition of the Slave State—euphemistically referred to as "full employment."

In order more fully to apprehend the great threat to personal liberty which is involved in the proposal to grant wider powers over the individual either to your own or future Governments, it is desirable to consider your own statements quoted herein in conjunction with those made by Dr. Evatt during the debate on the Bill on February 11, 1944—

GUARD AUSTRALIA'S INDEPENDENCE!

In view of the all-too-obvious fact that the Japanese and their allies are not the only dangers to the freedom of the Australian people to govern themselves, even to the customary extent, without overseas dictation, we strongly urge all Australian democrats to write, while there is yet time, to their respective representatives in the Federal Parliament. A sample letter is reproduced hereunder, and copies of it, printed in large, clear type, are available from the "New Times" office at 1/6 per 120, post free:—

Mr. M.H.R.,

Parliament House, Canberra, A.C.T.

Dear Sir,—I respectfully request you as my representative in the Commonwealth Parliament, to OPPOSE by all means in your power, ANY move that might, in the slightest degree, hand over Australia's sovereignty, freedom-of-action and independence, in regard to financial or other matters, domestic or external, to ANY sort of international authority.

I have in mind, particularly, recently-announced proposals for an "international currency" (possibly based on gold), proposals for an international government or "Federal Union," and proposals for an international "police force."

I am very alarmed at the possibility that we may have been already partly committed, without the consent of Parliament or the people, to something of the kind. I shall be obliged if you will find out, as soon as possible, whether this is so, and let me know without delay.

Yours faithfully.....

"Many powers could be abused, as I indicated earlier. I want the House to consider these powers, irrespective of the possible abuses of them and of the political policy that may be carried out by, any particular Parliament that happens to be constituted over a period of years."— "Hansard," page 149.

"The powers," which, to your regret, "do not go far enough or last long enough," include power to send anyone wherever some bureaucrat may think fit, with or without the consent of the individual, power to do the same things in peace as in war (at present, in war, THERE IS POWER TO IMPRISON PERSONS WITHOUT TRIAL, and Dr. Evatt admits the possibility of powers being abused by Governments) and power to restrict production. (This last should be a really valuable contribution toward the ensuring of social security. With its corollary, the destruction of production, it proved of inestimable benefit to millions of under-nourished and UNPAID people during the depression years!) When regard is had to the powers mentioned in the preceding sentence, one is amazed by the monumental moderation of your Government in being content—for the time being—with the acquisition of those powers. Yes, Mr. Chifley, why not arrogate powers which go further and last longer? Why not, for instance, obtain power to re-establish the Inquisition, power not merely to imprison without trial, but to "liquidate" political opponents in a like manner? The Beveridge Plot is a promising beginning toward the revival of the Inquisition, and Dr. Blunt, Bishop of Bradford, could probably supply some helpful advice regarding the "liquidation" idea. In a foreword to a publication entitled "Christians in the Class Struggle," Dr. Blunt wrote the following:— "Is it not obvious that if we are to have Socialism—real and permanent Socialism—all the fundamental opposition must be liquidated (i.e., rendered politically inactive) by disfranchisement, and, if necessary, by imprisonment."

In view of your ambition to acquire powers which go further and last longer, you might be glad to co-opt Dr. Blunt, though possibly a "Christian leader" who subscribes to his great Christian ideals might be found among Dr. Blunt's local brethren! Incidentally, Adolf Hitler appears to be gaining converts in the most unlikely of all places. Judging by utterances such as that of Dr. Blunt, Hitler's gospel of totalitarianism has won him more disciples than he imagines. However, just as Saul of Tarsus became Paul, so, it seems, can the modern Pauls be transformed into Sauls.

"EXTERMINATION" OF JEWS IN EUROPE

(An extract from DOUGLAS REED'S latest book, "Lest We Regret.")

(Continued from March 10 issue.)

The other evidence of "extermination" consisted of two documents. The first was a Note sent in December, 1942, by the Polish Government to the Allied Governments. According to the newspapers it began by drawing attention to "the methods employed by the Germans in order to reduce the population to virtual slavery and ultimately to exterminate THE POLISH NATION," (The Jews of Poland refuse to consider themselves part of the Polish nation; but this Note was published under such headlines as "Persecution of the Jews.")

The second document was published in December, 1942, by the "Inter-Allied Information Committee"; it gave "a general picture of the persecution of the Jews by the Germans." (This is seemingly not on public sale, and I rely on newspaper summaries, published under such headings as "The Foulest Crime on Earth.")

In respect of this document, and without disrespect to it, I must mention again that verification is impossible in war-time. Its contents were published throughout the press without any word of editorial cautions. Here are two of its statements:—

"Of the 86,000 Jews living in Yugoslavia on April 6, 1941 (the day of the German invasion of that country) only 1000 remain alive; the rest have been brutally murdered" (a Sunday newspaper). I hope to recall that statement in a few years' time when facts can be ascertained.

"On May 15, this year, the German Governor of Belgium published a decree tantamount to an order of extermination of all Jews residing in Belgium. Men from the age of 18 to 60 and women from 20 to 55 were obliged, on pain of removal to a German concentration camp, to accept any form of work offered them by the Labour Exchange, no matter what their health, family obligations, or business" (several newspapers).

If that is "extermination" WE are being exterminated in this country [Great Britain] by our Labour Minister, who wields similar powers! All NON-JEWISH Germans, Belgians, Frenchmen and the rest are subject to exactly that German compulsion. If THAT is "extermination," the Belgians were exterminated in the last war by a German Jew, Walther Rathenau, later Foreign Minister, who on September 16, 1916, wrote to propose to General Ludendorff "the solution of the Belgian labour problem, which can be achieved only if the 700,000 workers there are brought on to our domestic labour

I suppose I am occupying myself with matters which are "too high" to be comprehended by my undeveloped mind? And I should be humbly content to leave such things to potentates, politicians, and directors-general, etc.? I do wish, however, that some one of these great men could explain in unambiguous terms why it is that man's increasingly complete conquest over the natural forces of the universe, by means of which it has been made physically possible to abolish economic insecurity, has resulted in a correspondingly greater degree of insecurity. I should also like to ask, how it can be possible by suppressing those dynamic forces inherent in the spirit of individual man, by which the aforesaid conquest has been made, to usher in a better order of society?

The 2000 men you saw trying to get one job were a symptom of maladjustment. They were not really looking for a JOB. They were looking for an INCOME. Given the income, they knew quite well that the goods they needed were available. Those goods, moreover, had been produced without the assistance of your 2000 men. They were in the unfortunate plight in which you found them—and millions of others here and elsewhere were similarly placed—because power production had rendered their services unnecessary. The absurd paradox of the situation lay in the fact that they had to go hungry and ill-clad because there was an abundance of things to eat and wear—there was so large a quantity of those things which all the so-called "unemployed" wanted, and which had been produced with relatively little human labour, that none of them could get what no one wanted, viz., work dredgery.

The majority of troubles and sorrows suffered by humanity are brought about because the system under which we exist is opposed to truth. They are NOT caused as you and your colleagues represent because Governments lack power to regiment

Regimentation cannot rectify the maladjustment to which I refer. Only a policy based on truth and reality can. And, Mr. Chifley, your Government is NOT putting forth such a policy. It is now fully possible to confer upon all our people complete economic security WITH freedom. Why, then, does the Government withhold from the people what is their birthright? A Fifth Freedom—freedom to act, to choose, or to refuse—MUST be added to the "Four Freedoms. Without it, they will be a hollow mockery—they do not go far enough or last long enough."

I strongly suspect, Mr. Chifley, that the masters whose ends your Government is serving, would not permit the Fifth Freedom to be added, would oppose by all means and any means, the bringing to fruition of the ideal of FREEDOM IN SECURITY.

Upon this great principle hangs the issue as to whether our civilisation shall be regenerated or shall be allowed to drift on to complete extinction. Where does your Government stand in relation to this overshadowing issue?

—Very truly yours, J. BRADSHAW.

Notes on The News

(Continued from page 1.)

appear to have overlooked the Labor objective of shorter hours—not forgetting the workers right to select his own occupation instead of being bossed around by a post war C.C.C. (Curtin's Concentration Camp.)

FOOD FRONT: In the midst of meat rationing, and heavily advertised food campaigns, a report from the Melbourne "Age" of March 8 informs us that between 4,000 and 4500 cases of tinned sausages, spaghetti, stead-and-kidney pudding, camp-pie, peas, cheese, coffee and beans, were dumped in the sea off Sydney Heads. Men engaged in loading the stores claimed that some of the food was fit for human consumption, and asked why shipping space was used to return goods unfit for consumption from ---- ward areas. Doubtless the bunglers responsible will be able to pass the buck and save those responsible from being dumped.

MILK MENACE: Arising from a debate on maternity and health in the British House of Commons, as reported in Melbourne "Sun" of March 10, Mrs. M. Tate declared that "a vast proportion of Britons were tired, pallid and listless. Poverty was not the only cause of malnutrition, she said, "another was pasteurised milk, of which the best qualities were killed." It would do no harm if this par. was forwarded to State Members, with the suggestion that the "Pasteurisation Bill" be repealed to prevent the same situation developing here.

FLYING FORECAST: Air transport experts forecast week-end trips from Australia to London in the world's best (British built) planes—day and night flying a -- presses doing 2000 mile hops—and comfortable hotel beds for passengers. Very---- but how many people will have the financial means to enjoy these possibilities? Will those who produce the planes, the thousands of workers who make it possible? It is certainly physically possible but will it be financially possible. That's the important question.

EXPORT ERRORS: An illuminating press item, discloses that, under the "New Order British banks will participate in building up export trade after the war by establishing selling organisations in overseas markets. Another item points out that "close behind the Allied invaders of Europe will be the biggest wardrobe in history in addition to huge food columns." Hav-- regard to the sustained distress, hardship and sacrifice of the British people, all this makes curious reading, and it would seem that they are going to win the war, and the peace, for the conquered.

—O.B.H.

ANOTHER SOCIAL CREDIT M.P. FOR OTTAWA

In the important by-election for the Canadian Federal Constituency of Red Deer (rendered vacant by the death of Mr. Alfred Speakman, so-called Independent, but really Socialist), the Social Credit candidate was returned and the C.C.F. (Socialist) candidate was eliminated on the first count (Proportional Representation transferable vote).

The seat was regarded as a test case. Mr. Manning, in accordance with the election truce, had recommended election by -- clamation to the Independent candidate but the C.C.F. refused, under the impression that they could win under their own name in a constituency which had not supported Social Credit, and which was deprived of the outstanding personality Mr. Speakman. The result of their miscalculation, besides increasing the Federal representation of Social Credit at Ottawa, is an indication that the link between the Socialist C.C.F. and international Big Business is being realised.

—The Social Crediter," Jan. 15.

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN NOTES

(From The UNITED DEMOCRATS' Headquarters, 17 Waymouth Street, Adelaide.)

PENSIONERS' CAMPAIGN: Mr. J. Fitzgerald, President of the Prospect Branch of the Old Age Pensioners' Association, is initiator of this campaign to secure a better deal for pensioners, reports that he has received a letter of encouragement from Senator Dorothy Tangney, in which she expresses the hope that the Government will soon do something to comply with this request of the pensioners.

NATIONAL DIVIDENDS: Doubtless Social Crediters will have recognised the Pensioners Campaign as the thin-end-of-the wedge in our drive towards National Dividends. There are only two alternatives to our present pseudo-democracy based on the debt system—Democracy along Social Credit lines or some form of Socialism (i.e., dictatorship). If we are to see Social Credit in operation we must establish the fundamental principle of distributing purchasing power which will not be loaded into the price system. Therefore, we urge all Social Crediters to get right behind this campaign to secure for all persons of pensionable age an unconditional minimum of ---- per week.

BOOKS: Have you read the following "The Answer to Tax Slavery," by C. Barclay-Smith, price 1/-; "Social Credit-The Only New Order," by Wm. Stones, price 6d. Problem or Swindle?" by K. Tavander, price 9d. (All plus 1/4d, postage.)

—F. BAWDEN, Hon. Secretary

AUSTRALIA'S GREAT POST-WAR PERIL

(A letter to the editor from BRUCE H BROWN. CONTINUED FROM LAST ISSUE.)

Sir, --It was quite true that in 1938 "either by accident or design a Jew had come to a position of foremost importance" in France, Russia and Britain, and that "in the hands of these non-Aryans lay the very lives of millions." This statement appeared in "The American Hebrew" of June 3, 1938. And what an eloquent commentary. It was on our simple belief that in each of the countries mentioned it was the will of the PEOPLE that prevailed!

It was also true that certain other Jews (e.g., Bernard Baruch, Felix Warburg, Mortimer Schiff, Henry Morgenthau, Felix Frankfurter) had come, either by accident or design, to positions of foremost importance in the United States, and it is well to remember that in the same year there was consternation in certain circles in that country when Mr. Chamberlain, as a result of the Munich discussions, succeeded in obtaining a little breathing space for Britain.

There is evidence which suggests that Britain was intended to be at war a year earlier than was actually the case, and that she was to be defeated. That part of the plot failed, and those who know the facts appreciate the role played at that time by the British Prime Minister. These facts will become better known as the years pass.

And if Mr. Henry Ford told the truth when he spoke, as reported in "The Jewish World," of 5/2/22 (quoted last week), then we begin at least to get a pointer to the nature and identity of the dark forces mentioned by the late Woodrow Wilson. You remember his words.

"Some of the biggest men in the United States in the field of commerce and manufacture are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know there is a power so organised, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above a whisper in condemnation of it."

We have also seen that men who have sought to expose this "power" have suddenly died. "Pointing the bone" is only second rate compared with this power over life and death.

The men I have named are not Christians, and Christian principles mean nothing to them. Notwithstanding this, many leaders of Christian Churches strenuously seek to have them protected from criticism and exposure. These men, who have no country, but seek to control all countries, are fighting like demons to weaken our sovereignty over our own affairs and to bring about centralisation of power. Their plans are afoot in all supposedly democratic countries, and we need to take seriously to heart the warning of Mr. L. D. Byrne, Technical Adviser to the Alberta Government, in his address of 10/5/43 to the Rocky Mountain House Board of Trade. He pointed out that we face an ugly situation, and said:

"Every violation of the principles of Christian teaching, every step towards the centralisation of power at the expense of democracy, and every weakening in the bonds which bind together the great brotherhood of British nations is a victory for the evil thing which is striving to encompass our enslavement and destruction."

According to Mr. Henry Ford, certain Jews controlled the world through control of gold. There is plenty of material to show the truth of this, and some of it has already been given in these letters. This knowledge should increase our interest in the doings of Mr. Henry Morgenthau, Secretary of the United States Treasury, who is doing his utmost to get us tied again to the Gold Standard. If he succeeds, the controllers of gold will again become controllers of the world. Who controls the Gold? Why do you think the late Sir Henry Strakosch was so generous to certain public men? He was a South African gold king!

And who are the men closely associated with Henry Morgenthau? We immediately think, of course, of Baruch, Schiff, Warburg, and Frankfurter. Who is Frankfurter? He is the "legal" man right in the bosom of President Roosevelt. The "Daily Sketch" of 13/6/42 published the following from its New York correspondent:

"It has sometimes been said here that Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter has more to do with guiding the war-time destinies of the United States than anyone except the President himself."

Now let us quote the "London Observer," commenting on the visit to London by Dr. Evatt. It said this:

"Like Cripps, Evatt is obviously a Socialist intellectual. He is, in fact, a friend of such Left-wing thinkers as Justice Felix Frankfurter in the United States and Professor Harold Laski here."

That is a pretty good tie up with the inner Council of World Jewry! The significance is greater when we remember that Professor Laski is a Manchester Jew, connected closely with the London School of Economics, and that all of them are preaching the same "objective" after the war, viz., "Full Employment" and general regimentation, when all genuine democrats are seeking more leisure and more freedom! The "Inner Council" leaves nothing to chance, does it? In the book "I Believe—The Personal Philosophies of Twenty-three Eminent Men and Women of Our Time," Professor Laski acknowledges the intimate relationship

ship between himself and Felix Frankfurter in these words:—

"What I have had, too, in affection from Felix Frankfurter is greater even than he has given me in insights; more than that I could not say." (New Times, 27/11/42).

We may not have the benefits of the "insights" referred to, but we are gradually coming to the position from which we can reach our own conclusions as to why our so-called servants are trying to IMPOSE foreign ideas. That attempts are being made to impose plans which have been concocted outside Australia can no longer be seriously denied, and in view of the prominent part being taken by Dr. Evatt in these attempts, it is reasonable to ask how

THE MONEY SYSTEM OF THE U.S.S.R.

"Would it meet our needs?" asks the Canadian weekly paper, "To-day and To-morrow" (Edmonton), from which this informative review is reprinted:—

It has been generally known that the Soviet Government in Russia, in common with the Governments of most other nations, have centralised their money system by establishing a central bank. Because they have somewhat deviated from certain conventions, it has been sometimes assumed that "Social Credit and Communist, or Socialist ideas on money are practically alike." But, are they? It is true that like Social Credit proposals contain some fundamental changes in financial policy, but that does not mean to say that ANY set of changes in banking and finance can be associated with Social Credit. There have been many instances of this faulty reasoning, and the assumption that Social Credit; has anything in common with Communist finance is one of them.

Our attention has been drawn to a book, published in the United States last year

A PUBLIC DEBATE

"Should the Increased Powers be Granted to the Commonwealth Parliament?"

MELBOURNE TOWN HALL
Thursday, March 30 8 p.m.

Speakers for the Affirmative:

IAN MILNER (Political Science Lecturer)
BRIAN FITZPATRICK (Writer and Trades Hall Economist)
CHAS. ADKINS (Vice-President, A.N.A.)

Speakers for the Negative:

F. C. PAICE.
K. J. KENAFICK (Independent Labor.)
NORMAN OBRYAN (Young Nationalist.)

Seats Free. Booking (optional, charge 6d.) at
Allan's

—Authorised by Club of Political Thought,

entitled, "The Russians," by Albert Rhys Williams. The author has written a number of books upholding the activities of the present Government of Russia, as he does in this one, and claims a first hand knowledge of the facts from his own observations, besides having them confirmed by recognised authorities. In any case, we can assume that his statements are prepared for the purpose of putting these matters in the best light from the point of view of advocates of the existing regime in Russia.

The following are among some of the statements which the author makes in endeavouring to show what a splendid banking system Russia possesses:—

Page 156—"In both countries (Russia and U.S.A.), banks cash cheques, float loans for the Government, grant credit."

Page 157—"To begin with, their money system is secured by precious metals, largely because the outside world uses gold as a standard of value."

Of course, the writer goes on to state that, besides the reserves of gold and silver behind their currency, there are the tremendous stocks of commodities which have been produced by the community. But, cannot the same be said of any nation's currency?

However, it will be noted that the bulk of the money for Government expenditure in Russia comes from the well-known method of "sound" "orthodox" finance—that of taxation—indicated as follows:—

long he has been a friend of Felix Frankfurter, to what extent has he communicated with this friend, what was the nature of their personal discussions in U.S.A. and with what other members of the Jewish inner group has he discussed Australian affairs. The giving up of personal freedom is not a British idea, and it is not in harmony with the teaching of Jesus. Where, then, did Dr. Evatt get his inspiration or orders for the advocacy of it? The people of Australia have not instructed him to do so, but the International Financiers are very keen on it.

According to "Hansard" for 25/2/44 Mr. Abbott stated that Dr. Evatt, speaking at the recent Summer School, in Canberra, of the institute of Political Science, referred to abandonment in the post-war period of the right of a man to choose his own vocation, and said that it was "ONLY ONE OF THE FREEDOMS WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE GIVEN UP."

That is not what my younger son has died for, and it is not what my other son is in the A.I.F. for. What I should like to know is the name of the person or persons who told Dr. Evatt that certain freedoms would have to be given up, what authority they had for saying so, and what authority he has for taking instructions from them?

—Yours faithfully, BRUCE H. BROWN,
189 Hotham Street, East Melbourne, C.2
March 19 1944 (To be continued.)

A TIMELY WARNING

"We National Socialists have never maintained that we were representatives of a democratic viewpoint. We have openly declared that we only made use of democratic means to gain power, and after the seizure of power we would ruthlessly deny to our opponents all those means which they had granted to us during the time of our opposition."—Goebbels.

Compare with the pronouncements of Socialist leaders everywhere. You have been warned!

A DARK MYSTERY

In February, 1943 a Post-War Policy Committee was set up by the Vancouver Board of Trade, in September 1943, it issued an admirable Report, of which four of its conclusions are as follows:—

- (1) The system places arbitrary limits on production.
- (2) The money-issuing institutions exercise an over-riding power in the economic sphere.
- (3) The system generates a chronic and increasing shortage of purchasing-power or effective demand.
- (4) The system provides no income for the people whose labour is being progressively displaced by power-driven machinery.

The Report was very favourably received but very inadequately reported.

But then, in the words of a "feature" article in the "Edmonton Bulletin," by Harold L. Weir, entitled "The Dark Mystery of Vancouver's Forgotten Report":

"... the situation suddenly, changed. Copies of the report began to disappear. They were withdrawn from circulation and called in wherever possible. An atmosphere of dark mystery began to overhang the whole affair. What happened no one knows. But it seems to be clear that this excellent and intelligent and far-seeing report was in process of being done to death by men who had been told to do it to death."

Write to the Vancouver Board of Trade Vancouver, Canada, and ask for a copy of the Report, and see what answer you get.

OPEN LETTERS

Copies of the first two of a series of monthly Open Letters to the Australian People—one to U.A.P. and U.C.P. Supporters, and the other to Australian Farmers—are available from the U.E.A. Office, 343 Little Collins St., Melbourne, or from the Secretary of the Association to Defend British Culture, 71 Jordan St., Malvern, Victoria, which association is issuing these Open Letters.

The price is 1/6 per dozen, plus 3d. per dozen postage. Buy and distribute your copies NOW, and keep up the good work!

THE SOCIAL CREDIT MOVEMENT OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA

The next meeting of the S.C.M. of S.A. will be held on Thursday, March 30, at 8 p.m., in the United Democrats' rooms, 17 Waymouth-street, Adelaide. After the general business is attended to Mr. D. J. Amos will lecture the members on "How Australia Can Be Built Up to a Great Nation and Defend Herself Against World Evils." Members are requested to bring all interested friends. —J. E. Burgess, Hon. Secretary.

"New Times" Subscription Rates

Our charges for supplying and posting the "New Times" direct to your house or elsewhere every week are as follows:

Three months, 5/-; Six months, 10/-; Twelve months, £1. HALF rates for Members of the A.I.F., C.M.F., R.A.N., R.A.A.F.

Payments must be made in advance sent direct to New Times Limited, Box 1226 G.P.O., Melbourne.

"WHY BIG FINANCE BACKS SOCIALISM"

By JAS. GUTHRIE, B.Sc.

This new booklet contains broadcast talks, and it is hoped that all readers of the "New Times" will help to give it wide publicity

7d. per copy or 4/6 per dozen, posted

Obtainable from

THE ELECTORAL CAMPAIGN
101 Collins Street, Hobart, Tasmania

THE "NEW TIMES"
IS OBTAINABLE
AT
ALL AUTHORISED
NEWSAGENTS

"New Times," March 24, 1944—Page 3

WORLD CURRENCY BASED ON GOLD?

(From a Speech by NORMAN JAQUES, Canadian House of Commons, July 24, 1943.)

I wish to add a few words to those of the hon. member who has just taken his seat. He has made one of his valuable criticisms of financial matters. I should like to tackle this question from a different angle and I want to assure you, Mr. Chairman, that even though I may seem to, I am not wandering from the subject. This is a world proposal, and one cannot very well discuss a world proposal unless he uses a world wide approach. I should like to go back a few months to April 1, when an article was published in the Ottawa "Citizen" headed, "New Authority To Guide Affairs." It went on to state:—

"A supra-national authority with power to settle questions of immigration, natural resources, treatment of minorities, repudiation of debts, finance and other questions was predicted by B. K. Sandwell of Toronto in an address before the Ottawa branch of the League of Nations Society."

At that time I brought those remarks to the attention of this House and of the Government, and I asked if they were not seditious in the opinion of the Government. At once I was assailed in the press as a Fascist, as a moron, as a Jew-baiter, as one who filled his mind with such rubbish as the "Protocols of Zion" and the A plus B theorem of Major Douglas, and they even went so far as to couple the two together. I was surprised at the notoriety which I had achieved, shall I say so easily, on that occasion, until a few days later when the following was published in the Ottawa "Citizen." The despatch is dated "Washington" and says in part:—

"Secretary Henry Morgenthau disclosed to-day comprehensive Administration plans to stabilise post-war currencies AND FIX THEIR VALUE IN TERMS OF GOLD. The plans involve:—

"1. Creation of an international stabilisation fund by the United Nations and their associates.

"2. Fixing the value of currencies in terms of gold.

"3. An agreement among participating countries not to devalue their currencies without consulting other members of the stabilisation fund.

"Both plans entail the establishment of a stabilisation fund to be managed by an international bank, vitally important because it would mean that participating countries would LOSE CONTROL OVER THE VALUE OF THEIR CURRENCIES for world trade purposes. This control would be vested in the bank, NOT IN A PARLIAMENT OR A CONGRESS, and if a country wanted the rate lowered or increased IT COULD ONLY APPEAL TO THE BANK, not taken action itself."

When we speak in terms of gold it is well perhaps to ask ourselves who are the owners of these gold reserves the greater part of which are piled up underground at Fort Knox. I suppose the vast majority of the people of this country, and perhaps of the members of this House, fondly imagine that that gold belongs to the people or, if you like, to the Government of the United States, but I am informed on the highest authority that eighty-five per cent, of that gold is owned and controlled by private interests. I will go farther and say that it is those interests that are behind this scheme to stabilise world finance in terms of gold.

Mr. Isley (Finance Minister): May I ask who is the highest authority?

Mr. Jaques: I am not going to give names. I make that statement and I stand by it.

Mr. Isnor: That is no good.

Mr. Jaques: I am told by well-informed Americans that anybody in the United States who understands finance understands that as well as they understand anything.

Mr. Isley: I am informed that the gold at Fort Knox is all owned by the United States and is shown in the Treasury statements. That is why I asked my hon. friend for his authority for his statement to the contrary.

Mr. Jaques: I am perfectly willing to stand by that statement, and if it can be proved otherwise, that is all right.

Some hon. members: Oh!

Mr. Blackmore: You have your chance to disprove it. Come along with your proof.

Mr. Jaques: I am perfectly willing to let my reputation stand by that statement. The Federal Reserve system in the United States no more belongs to the Government of the United States than the Government of England belongs to the Government of Britain. I say that both Governments are run respectively by those banks.

Mr. Isley: I did not say that the gold was owned by the Federal Reserve Board.

Mr. Jaques: By the Federal Reserve system.

Mr. Isley: No, nor by the Federal Reserve system. I said it was owned by the Treasury.

Mr. Jaques: When I brought to the attention of the House this policy of encouraging Canadians to surrender their loyalty to the Crown and give it to this supra-national authority, at once I was accused of indulging in such foolishness as reading the "Protocols of Zion," which were described as absolute nonsense. I am going to read two of them to see how far they are absurd when applied to this matter under discussion.

Mr. Isley: I must rise to a point of order. When an hon. member gets so far afield as to read the "Protocols of Zion" I must take exception. That is more than latitude allows.

The Chairman: There is a rule which is hard to apply in committee against repe-

tion. I would hope at this stage the hon. member would not go over old ground which has been thoroughly covered many times this session. I believe it is the wish of ninety-nine per cent, of the members of this House that we get down to business.

Mr. Jaques: It says this:—

Mr. Isley: I object. I do not base my objection on grounds of repetition, but on the ground that on an item for departmental administration he cannot read into the record some fraudulent or forged Jew-baiting documents which are called the "Protocols of Zion."

Mr. Jaques: I have not mentioned the word "Jew," but I am going to read this because it is absolutely pertinent to what I have to say.

The Chairman: I do not know that I can rule it out of order, but surely no member at this time would wish to overload "Hansard" after the thousands of pages we have had on the general subject. I do not want to hurt anybody's feelings, but surely there should be a limit somewhere.

Mr. Hansell: Might I just say on the point of order, Mr. Chairman, that you have been most fair to everyone this session. The hon. member for Westaskiwin has taken less time than almost any other member of the House. He has spoken only occasionally and then forcefully, in my opinion. He has been waiting for many weeks for this item to come up. This is the last speech he will make this session. He lives and moves and has his being in this particular subject and pays little attention to anything else that goes on in the House. I trust that the committee will bear with him for the remainder of the time at his disposal, perhaps only twenty minutes, and then we can go right on with the item.

Mr. Isley: That is an appeal to sympathy or something of the kind.

Mr. Hansell: It is the truth.

Mr. Isley: I would be disposed to meet the hon. gentleman along those lines, but we must not get too far away from the rules of the House, even on grounds of mercy. We must stay within shooting distance of them. The hon. gentleman apparently was attacked by some paper or editor for some remark he had made in the House, and he wants to make a reply to that attack.

Mr. Jaques: Nothing of the kind. If I like to make myself ridiculous. I do not see why anybody else should worry about it.

Mr. Isley: I do not mind that.

Mr. Jaques: Then what is the Minister worrying about?

Mr. Isley: I am worrying about the infringement of the rules of the House.

WANTED: MODERN WILLIAM COBBETTS

(An extract from DOUGLAS REED's latest book, "Lest We Regret.")

Consider William Cobbett, who for forty-five years strove, with raging anger against the things which were to be done to England between 1809 and 1943. He saw them all before they happened. With Enclosure going on around him, he rode his Rural Rides and clamoured against the spoliation of the countryside which he foresaw as clearly as if the future opened to him, against the human hives which were being allowed to sprawl and straggle over the land, and particularly against "The Wen," his prophetic name for London. His was a lone voice; but he never ceased to cry, and was heard. But for him, we might have lost the greater half of freedom a century ago.

I am grateful to the executrix of the late G. K. Chesterton, and to the publishers, Messrs. Hodder & Stoughton, for permission to quote the following extract from his excellent book, "William Cobbett," published in 1925:—

"Cobbett was NOT merely an angry and antiquated old farmer who thought the country must be going to the dogs because the whole world was not given up to the cows. Cobbett was NOT merely a man with a lot of 'nonsensical notions that could be exploded by political economy' a man looking to turn England into an Eden that should grow nothing but Cobbetts Corn. What he saw was not at Eden that cannot exist, but rather an inferno that can exist, and even that does exist. What he saw was the perishing of the whole English power of self-support; the growth of cities that drain and dry up the countryside; the growth of dense dependent populations incapable of finding their own food; the toppling triumph of machines over men; THE SPRAWLING OMNIPOTENCE OF FINANCIERS OVER PATRIOTS; the herding of humanity in nomadic masses whose very homes are homeless; the terrible necessity of peace and the terrible probability of war; all the loading up of our little island like a sinking ship; THE WEALTH THAT MAY MEAN FAMINE, and the 'culture' that may mean despair; the bread of Midas and the sword of Damocles. In a word, he saw what we see, but he saw it when it was not there. And some cannot see it even when it is there."

Cobbett gave his whole life to the battle IN England, and above all to those two vital objectives: the freedom of the land and freedom from wrongful imprisonment. He fought for them in England, in France during the French Revolution, in America just after the American Revolut-

Mr. Jaques: I am not, I believe in the habit of breaking the rules. I quote from the "Protocols":—

"We shall replace the free market with stabilised control of foreign exchange by which we can fix industrial values to suit ourselves. All industry will come to depend upon us, bringing stupendous power to us. In our hands is the greatest power in the world—gold: in a few days we can procure from our vaults any quantity we may please."

Having said that, perhaps I may be allowed to quote from a speech which was made in the Congress of the United States by Mr. Smith, one of the congressmen from Ohio. Speaking on Monday, April 12, 1943, on this United States plan for stabilisation of currency, he said:—

"We are apt to be led to believe from the newspaper, radio and other reports that this scheme emanates from a few individuals. Mr. Harry B. White, special assistant to the Secretary of the Treasury, is being credited as the prime mover of the United States contribution to the plan. Professor Maynard Keynes is being credited as the prime mover of the British contribution to this plan.

"Now, of course, we would be naive indeed if we were to believe that the officials of our Treasury had undertaken this task on their own initiative, or that Professor Keynes, and perhaps a few of his associates, had inaugurated their part of the scheme on their own accord.

"We may rest assured that back of this move are the most powerful international moneyed interests and financiers of the world. Furthermore, we may be certain that world power-politics are playing a prominent role in promoting this movement.

"Upon first sight the Keynes plan and that of Mr. Morgenthau may appear to be quite different from each other. It would not be surprising if this impression had been received by many from reading the brief news despatches describing the two proposals. . . .

"Both drafts embrace the idea of a completely politically managed international currency.

"Both contemplate the surrender by the individual countries to the international monetary power of a large part, if not all, of the very heart of national sovereignty: that is, mastership over monetary and credit resources.

"Section 8 of the Constitution provides that Congress shall coin money AND REGULATE THE VALUE THEREOF. . . .

If Mr. Morgenthau and the forces back of him should be able to get around this provision of the Constitution, then the last vestige of our great charter of liberty will have disappeared. All hope of restoring it would be gone, and the totalitarian state would be complete.

"No, I cannot believe that the American people have as yet been beaten into such abject submission that they will allow this to happen."

These are the words of a congressman spoken in Congress. But, unfortunately, neither the American people nor the Canadian people are permitted to learn the truth of these things.

THE ATTACK ON THE WHITE AUSTRALIA POLICY

(Continued from page 1.)

they so desire; take steps to re-discover those fundamental Christian virtues such as the recognition of the importance of the individual personality and family life; and finally we must free ourselves from the deadly influence of that objectionable Oriental, the International Jew, and his culture as imposed upon us, per medium of Hollywood with its sordid exploitation of sex and advocacy of false standards of life. Then there will be no need to worry about our population. There will be a healthy increase in accordance with individual desires.

Unless we can re-discover our own culture we are certainly on the road to a Planned Death in which people will continue increasingly to refuse to create new life because they lack faith in themselves and the future.

If we passively allow pressure to be used to force Australia to surrender the White Australia Policy, we are doomed. No time should be lost in informing parliamentary representatives of our opposition, and stressing a determination to preserve our national sovereignty against all plots and plans to destroy it.

—ERIC D. BUTLER

"POWER POLITICS AND PEOPLE'S PRESSURE"

By L. S. BULL.

THIS NEW BOOKLET TELLS . . .

- HOW dictatorship in Australia was narrowly averted.
- WHY the Constitution will again be attacked.

- WHO are the planners.

- HOW you can help defend Australian freedom.

ORDER YOUR COPY NOW.

Price, 1/1, post free, from The Electors of Australia, 5th Floor, McEwan House, 343 Little Collins Street, Melbourne.

BOOKLETS BY C. H. DOUGLAS

Now on sale: "Programme for the Third World War." Price: 2/-, plus 1/2d. postage. Also available "The Land for the (Chosen) People Racket." Price: 2/-, plus 1/2d. postage.

And: "The Big Idea" (Second Edition). Price: 2/6, plus 1/2d. postage.

Obtainable from: The Democratic Federation of Youth, 3rd Floor, 296a Pitt Street, Sydney.

GET THE FACTS

What is Democracy? What is Totalitarianism? What is Communism? What is Socialism? What is National Socialism? What is Fascism? What is the choice before us?

All these questions are answered, briefly and clearly, but in a fundamental way, in the excellent pamphlet, "DEMOCRACY AND THE ISMS," which is available from The United Electors of Australia, McEwan House, 343 Little Collins-street, Melbourne, C.1. Price: One shilling per dozen (postage 1/2d.).

ERIC BUTLER'S BOOKS

(Obtainable from New Times Limited, Box 1226, G.P.O., Melbourne.)

"THE ENEMY WITHIN THE EMPIRE." A short history of the Bank of England. Price, 9d. Postage 1/2d. (6/- per dozen, post free.)

"THE MONEY POWER VERSUS DEMOCRACY." The best "hand-book" for Australian democrats. Price, 9d. Postage 1/2d. (6/- per dozen, post free.)

he continued to write, for another seven years, as a fierce and independent critic who could neither be corrupted nor cowed.

Then came the crisis. The Government took powers of wrongful arrest. It suspended the Habeas Corpus Act and introduced the Regulation 18B of its day. Cobbett the chief prey, escaped to America.

He returned a popular hero, and until he died maintained his robust and independent criticism of public affairs, when he thought this necessary. When he was nearly seventy, the Government tried once more to break him, by bringing him before the Court of King's Bench on a charge of inciting rural disorders. He defended himself and the charge collapsed, covering the Government with ignominy. During his last years, when he was an Independent Member of Parliament for Oldham, they abandoned hope of intimidating this honest and turbulent Englishman, who would not suppress the fears he felt for England as he saw the seeds of decay being planted.

But for such a man, and his like, we would not for so long have kept our peerless right of freedom from arrest and wrongful imprisonment.

To-day Cobbetts fight has to be again, if we are to check the retreat from freedom and win the battle IN England. [and Australia!—Ed., "N.T."].

Printed by M. F. Canavan 25 Cullinton-road, Hartwell, for the New Times Ltd., McEwan House.