

The "New Times" is a really independent, non-party, non-clots, non-sectarian weekly newspaper, advocating political and economic democracy, and opposing totalitarianism in all its forms.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is verging,
In God's name, let us speak while there is time
Now, when the padlocks for our lips are forging,
Silence is crime.
—Whittier (1807-1892)

THE NEW TIMES

Vol. 11. No. 8. MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, MARCH 2, 1945.

"NEW TIMES" SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Our charges for supplying and posting the "New Times" direct to your home or elsewhere every week are as follow:

Three months, 5/-; Six months, 10/-; Twelve months, £1. HALF Rates for Members of the A.I.F., C.M.F., R.A.N., R.A.A.F. Payments must be made in advance and sent direct to New Times Limited, Box 1226, G.P.O., Melbourne.

More Than Military Victory Will Be Needed

The Lessons of Hard Experience

By JAMES GUTHRIE, B.Sc.

As the Second World War approaches its end in Europe, such questions as the identity of master war-criminals behind the Hitler Gang and the means of preventing a Third World War become topical conversation. In discussing such problems of high politics the question of obtaining accurate information is the chief difficulty of interested people.

The modern newspaper has an incredible amount of matter packed into its sheets, and over the span of one year the sum total of what is published in the various newspapers and magazines is truly staggering.

But the "information" on international affairs, which comes to us through the international news agencies, seems to me not only to be designed to prevent any useful line of search for the truth, but to be deliberately designed to lead readers on to false tracks. In other words, the international news service is not a news service at all, but propaganda.

Thus, in spite of an incredible supply of so-called information on a vast variety of subjects, citizens are sorely in need of that kind of information, which a commander of an army is always seeking. The Army calls it "intelligence," and it means accurate information of the right kind at the right time, and at the right place, and which will enable the right action to be taken.

Information, which does not enable the right action to be taken, is valueless, and is not really information. That is why statements by political Party Leaders are so effective in preventing people from taking the right action.

The syndicated articles, sponsored by the international press, which attempt to make ignorant people believe that there would now be no war if it had not been for that one man, Hitler, is a sample of what I mean by propaganda as opposed to information. The "news" items, of course, are selected to uphold this belief.

The tremendous and constant stream of

publicity given to all totalitarian ideas and socialist leaders by the wealthiest international organisations and publicity organs should be a warning to experienced men that the picture of world politics painted for our benefit does not fit the facts.

Although we are fighting this war against one of the most poisonous types of government the world has ever seen, nevertheless in Australia, England and America, the same type of Government is being erected speedily and surreptitiously under cover of "war emergencies"—and is being supported wholeheartedly by some sections of the people, who claim to represent—yes, and even to monopolise—the idea of democratic government!

Herein lies the great menace to the British peoples.

It should be remembered that although the British people formed the solid core of resistance to Germany in the last war, were fighting for three years before America came into the war, and were fighting after Russia left the war, England was reduced to a fifth-rate power after the war by the same international gang which financed German rearmament! It should be remembered, too, that although France and England, after the last Armistice, wanted Germany de-centralised and broken up into its original States, the International Gang refused permission.

The Great German General Staff has been intensely organised ever since Bismarck's time; it was linked to Finance, Industry, Education (so-called), etc.; but the full extent of its great power over the people was only made possible by the Socialists

of Germany, who, like our Socialists in Australia, falsely taught the people that they couldn't have security AND individual freedom, and that security was better than freedom. Without the Socialists there could be no Hitler.

After the last Armistice, part of the Officer Corps of the German General Staff went to Russia to train for the Second World War. Some of their financial counterparts went to New York, there to join the High Command of International Finance. The tentacles of this financial octopus have stretched into every country in the world. A foreign "watcher" was sent to the Bank of England—and the tragic decline of England after the last war seems to show that this evil genius was no dumb spectator.

The International Gang, since the last war, has definitely set out to form a chain of central banks and to give power over each entire country to those banks; and, finally, to link up all the central banks under one World Bank completely under their control. (The fact that a central bank is given a national name—e.g., Commonwealth Bank—should not deceive people into believing that the people as a whole have any control over the bank, as, practically speaking, they have not had the slightest control.) In case any State does really decide to control its own financial policy, an International Air Force, to teach

such a "rebel" its lesson, is included; in the Plan.

Substantial financial control from abroad of such countries as Australia and England has also been obtained through "foreign-exchange" and over-developing the export trade. That is why, in the foreign cables, a great deal of space is given to the export trade. If a country can be induced to be dependent on anybody but itself, it becomes an easy prey for those controlling "foreign-exchange." A great country becomes like a poor little wage-slave in the City—quite helpless unless somebody gives him a piece of silver or a piece of paper with a number on it.

A great country like England or Australia could not be reduced to penury—as they were in the "Great" Depression—unless the people had been doped for years by false teachers. The London School of Economics was the instrument chosen to do this work of undermining and of betrayal, and its students still hold key positions in the administrations of Australia, England, America and Canada. Most of the Socialist intelligentsia gets their dope from this source, which in turn got it from Germany.

You and I cannot see very far into the future; we, like countless generations before us, must feel our way bit by bit; we may not always know which turn to take, (Continued on page 4.)

Significant Political Pointers

It is essential that the Australian people clearly understand that, far from being opposed to Monopoly, the Labor-Socialist' fuhrers are prepared to work hand in hand with it. Social crediters have been attempting to crystallise this fact in the minds of the people for some time, and not without some considerable success.

The unholy alliance between these Labor-Socialists and Monopoly was brought into the open for all to see during the last Federal Parliamentary session at Canberra. And, significantly enough, it was a small section of those referred to by Mr. Ward and others as "reactionaries," who were responsible for forcing Dr. Evatt and other Socialists to associate themselves publicly with Monopoly and defend it. Socialists and Labor apologists will find the full facts in the debate on the Aluminium Industry Bill on November 29, recorded in Federal "Hansard."

It must have been a painful experience for Mr. Eddie Ward to hear Mr. Archie Cameron say:

"The Labor Party is the dispenser of gifts, the creator of monopolies and the producer of trusts, combines, cartels, and practically everything else which its policy has condemned from beginning to end."

Even Mr. Spender appeared to realise all of a sudden that he was on the wrong side during the Referendum;

"It is about time that the public realised that the [Labor] Government is not governing the country; but merely carrying out the behests of the industrial organisations which stand behind it."

Didn't Mr. Spender know that W. S. Robinson supported a "yes" vote at the Referendum?

But it was the speeches by Messrs. Abbott and Anthony, which were responsible for evoking Dr. Evatt's warm support of Mr. W. S. Robinson in particular, and the Collins House and Bailieu group in general.

Mr. Abbott in an able analysis of the companies interlocked in the worldwide aluminium monopoly, said, among many other interesting things:

"Even in Nazi Germany, Aluminium Limited of Geneva—which until some time in the war was tied up with Australian Aluminium Company Proprietary Limited—held large interests, particularly through interlocks with the German I. G. Farben Industrie, the great German dye, chemical and metal trust. It will, therefore, be seen that the controlling interests in Australian Aluminium Company Proprietary Limited represent two of the oldest and strongest members of the great international combine. . . . Curiously enough, the present Government has a particular plan for cultivating combines of this sort. Only recently, we were told that Cortaulds Limited is to be established in Australia. . . . It is a part of the great world rayon combination, and prior to the war had cartel agreements with the rayon combine in the United States of America, as well as with that other monopoly, I. G. Farben Industrie. . . . These are the interests to which the Australian industry is being handed, and without proper protection."

Mr. Anthony gave some interesting personal details about Mr. W. S. Robinson and his interests:

"This [aluminium project] has been

largely carried through because of the influence of Mr. W. S. Robinson. I say this on the evidence of the Premier of Tasmania, which cannot be denied by the Attorney General [Dr. Evatt]. If Mr. W. S. Robinson is the influential figure behind this and is also the controller of the Aluminium Company of Australia, and a director of the Aluminium Companies of the United States of America, Great Britain and Canada—the lot are associated—there is room to look at the project with suspicion. We want to know why he has influenced the Government as he has."

Dr. Evatt avoided this question by asking a question designed to show that Mr. Robinson also "advised" the Menzies Government. Which he undoubtedly did as stated by Mr. Anthony:

" . . . He [Robinson] has been identified with almost every political party which has been served by the financial group that he represents."

Needless to say, Messrs. Abbott and Anthony got little support from their colleagues. In fact, Mr. Holt rushed frantically to the defence of Mr. Robinson. His defence revealed some interesting facts concerning the Robinson family. He stated that Mr. W. S. Robinson was at one time financial editor of the Melbourne "Age." Also: "Mr. W. S. Robinson has become an international financial figure." Well, well!

So we find the "international financial figures" and the Socialists moving closer together. Messrs. Abbott and Anthony should be thanked for clarifying—perhaps inadvertently—an issue, which is so fundamental. Australians must realise that the present Federal Government is rushing us into World Monopoly. Every day brings forth evidence of it. The major issue before us is to preserve our national sovereignty by opposing and exposing all who seek to destroy it.

—E. D. B.

U.N.R.R.A. v Nations

"NATIONAL VIEWS SUPPRESSED AT U.N.R.H.A. PARLEYS."

"Sydney, Friday. —Delegates to the conference of the United Nations' Relief and Rehabilitation Administration (Far Eastern Division) had reluctantly to discard their national outlook several times during today's deliberations. On the surface there was complete agreement, but throughout there was inevitably an undercurrent of national feeling, which was suppressed often with some difficulty. Following the lifting up of the conference to a high level of policy by the Australian Minister for External Affairs (Dr. Evatt) yesterday, there was a reaction today when the conference had to deal with many matters of detail." —Brisbane "Courier Mail," Feb. 17.

NOTES on the NEWS

So, Dr. Evatt is to go to the "World Security" Conference at San Francisco on April 25, which is part of the plot to enslave the world by means of World Government. Evatt's activities since he entered politics leave no doubt that he was chosen to sell-out Australia to the master-Planners. No mandate or directions have been given by the people to betray us in this manner, and it is vital that strong protests be made immediately to every Federal Member, insisting that Australia shall not be committed in any way to any sort of World Government proposals until they have been submitted to the people by Referendum. Act now—tomorrow may be too late—and write again and again.

PLANNED PARENTHOOD: In a speech to the "Planned Parenthood Federation" (New York), Dr. Schuman stated that "a mere mass increase of population was not needed, but rather an increase managed so that not only quantity but quality would be forthcoming." Of course, this proposed extension of Planning was duly featured by the daily press—from which fact it will be observed that Hitler's ideas are being kept alive and fostered. If this suggestion were not so preposterously in defiance of nature, it would be interesting to know what the standard of quality would be and WHO would determine the standard. This sort of thing is practised in animal breeding—we already have planned pigs, etc. One of the biggest dangers in the proposal is that the Planners might be selected as the "standard."

HOUSING HORRORS: Speakers at the Melbourne Town Hall meeting on the housing question dealt adequately with the horrors of the situation—and then meekly passed a pious resolution directed to Mr. Curtin! The only indication of determination or useful action came from a R.A.A.F. member of the audience, who suggested that in each electorate a day (preferably Sunday) should be fixed for a public meeting,

Govt. As Landlord

"CANBERRA, Sunday. — At least three soldier's wives living in Government-owned houses in Canberra had received from the Department of the Interior notices to quit, said Dr. L. W. Nott, of the Australian Capital Territory Advisory Council today. Two of the husbands were prisoners of war, and the third was fighting in the Solomons. Reason given for the notices was that the wives were behind in rent payments. Dr. Nott said the cases would come before the court on March 14, and he would fight strenuously to prevent an eviction order."

—Melbourne "Sun," Feb. 26.

at which the local members of Parliament should be reminded of the grave housing situation. That's an idea worth acting on. It is useless sending resolutions to Mr. Curtin, who at best only represents a small section of West Australia. More than that, it is NOT the function of electors to petition their political servants; it is their function to intimate clearly what they require, and it is then the function of the politicians to comply forthwith.

POLISH PARTITION: Having regard to the sell-out of Poland at the Yalta conference, the following history is of interest: In 1921, by the treaty of Riga, Russians and Poles agreed on the "boundary question. In 1935 a non-aggression pact, which was to last until 1945, was made between the Soviet and Poland. On September 17, 1939, Russian troops invaded eastern Poland, and on September 20, 1939, the Germans and the Russians divided Poland. In September 1941, after Germany attacked Russia, Poland re-established friendly relations with Russia, and the Soviet recognised the integrity of Poland's territory. Now that Germany has retreated from Poland, Russia is claiming the territory, which it had under treaty with Germany late in 1939. In addition to this, the so-called Lublin Government is reported to include five Russians, while the remainder is Polish Communists who have been connected with the Red Army. And so we find the Communist troublemakers again on the scene. While this is going on, our Polish allies are fighting for liberty on the Italian front and elsewhere.

LIQUOR LOGIC: Recently a correspondent in the Melbourne "Herald," commenting on the proposal of the North Melbourne Methodist Synod to place the liquor traffic under public "control," brought forth some interesting facts. He pointed out that Carlisle (Scotland), under public control, headed 136 municipalities for drunkenness, and that Dr. Bratt, the author of the Bratt (Continued on page 2.)

PLANNING AND THE POWER OF THE DIVIDEND

By BEATRICE C BEST, in the "Social Creditor." (Concluded from last issue.)

In what does a man's right or rights fundamentally consist? Christ said, "I came that ye might have life, and that ye might have it more abundantly." The Christian, then, at least, will be constrained to admit that man has a right to live. This he cannot do without access to the means of life.

In a primitive community in which the labour of every man is necessary to produce enough for all, the right to work—that is, to work for a living*—and the right to live are practically interchangeable terms, and "Full Employment" is in harmony with economic fact. But in a community like our own, the "cultural inheritance" of man's work, intelligence, inventions, and discoveries, going back through the ages, has culminated in our modern power production age. The result is that it is now possible to produce not merely a sufficiency, but an increasing abundance with less and less labour. Therefore the insistence on the right or duty of every man to earn a living ("Full Employment") is in direct opposition to economic fact, and the outcome of such insistence is what we should expect to find, and what we do find—confusion worse confounded leading to chaos, and war!

These things characterise an inheritance, (1) It cannot be earned. (2) It is an inalienable right, and thus differs from the dole, or gifts of charity. (These are in the nature of a concession, and, depending on the will of the giver, can be withheld, and are therefore alienable.) (3) It lays on the inheritor the responsibility both to claim it, and to care for, and preserve it. It is, in part, therefore, in the nature of a trust.

Today this inheritance can only reasonably be distributed in the form of a "National Dividend," for money is the easiest and most efficient way of laying claim to it.

It may help to clarify the issue here to ask why the ordinary citizen saves and invests. Not, it may be confidently asserted, because he wants to own or control the means of production, nor because he is necessarily specifically interested in the nature of the enterprise in which he does invest; but solely because investment is the only way open to him to acquire an independent income which will enable him at some future time to be free to do as he likes. It is, incidentally, a measure of the jaundiced way we have come to regard man that "to do as you like" is so often assumed to mean to act in a selfish or anti-social way.

It is therefore to the "cultural inheritance"—or otherwise "the unearned increment of association"—that we must look to effect the transformation of the overriding power of the few over the many—the nature of which is Satanic—to the "only true focus of power," namely, the individual—nature of which is Christian.†

It may be asked here what is to prevent the "State," or those who use it to exercise their lust for power, from undermining, by secret and insidious ways, this freedom, even if successfully won. Is not that happening now to the few freedoms we have won in the past? "The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance," we shall be told. This is a fatiguing and boring prospect; to have to stand forever with your foot on the neck of the enemy! But this statement must be understood as relating to the specific freedoms that man has won and lost in the past. It does not relate to freedom itself by reason of the fact that man never has been free. For man is a social being as well as an individual, and a society that is divided into bond and free is not a free society. True freedom therefore cannot be experienced within it; any more than true health can be experienced in the body if part of it be diseased. The effect therefore that true freedom would have on man is unknown: belief in its efficacy is an act of faith.

There is a fundamental difference between having freedom and being free. Recently we have lost certain hard won freedoms and also acquired the promise of four new freedoms—as a gift! A slave has freedoms. If he has an indulgent master they may be pretty considerable, and give him the illusion of freedom. But should his master die, or come to sell him, the illusion would vanish. It is a mistake, therefore, to suppose that by increasing his collection of freedoms man is, or may eventually become free, for these freedoms, held precariously, are concessions made by, or wrested from, the powers that be and depend upon such powers; they partake more of the nature of privilege. But true freedom depends on truth ("The Truth shall make you free"), and thus is in the nature of things, and is absolute and inviolable.

Lord Acton's words have an important bearing on this issue. "Power tends to corrupt," he said. But it corrupts not only the wielders or holders of power, but also those over whom the power is exercised. It is a malignant growth, and no part of the body politic can escape contamination.

History, therefore, seen in the light of the struggle for freedom, is the record of man living in a state of corruption, and, in consequence, presents a picture of him and his

*Though it should not be lost sight of that in any economy there is always the "given" thing—the raw material. Man is not a creator.

†All these things, and many more, have convinced me that one of the fundamentals of genuine Christianity is that the only true focus of power is the Individual. —C. H. Douglas in "Programme for the Third World War."

activities seen, as it were, in a distorting mirror. This picture no more represents the truth of man, than the portrait of a man in the grip of some terrible disease can be said to represent his real likeness. (The question as to how, why, when or where man succumbed to this baleful power, and his responsibility therefore, does not affect the argument. The picture is still distorted and unnatural. Besides there are too many innocent victims. It is notable that Jesus did not condemn but "had compassion on the multitude.") If this be accepted, it follows that all philosophy is falsified to the extent that its judgments and its teachings are based upon the assumption that this distorted picture represents the truth about man and his nature. Teaching based upon such an assumption has had far-reaching and fatal results, of which one is distrust of human nature. In consequence people are easily led to believe that innumerable laws and regulations are required to keep order, and are thus discouraged from demanding an enquiry into, and the removal of the conditions or causes that make for disorder.‡

‡There must, of course, be rules in a community; but these should be framed on the pattern of the "Rules of the Road"—enabling rules, arising out of the exigencies of a real situation. The simplest example is the rule that you may only drive on one side of the road, which enables you to drive where you like, and without which driving, except for a single person, would be impossible. To contend that such rules are an infringement of freedom is merely perverse.

A NATION-WITHIN-A-NATION PROBLEM

(To the Editor.)

Sir, —The object of this letter is to bring to your readers' notice the Doctrine of Inclusion and the Doctrine of Exclusion.

We now understand that in association human beings can be more effective than in isolation. This "increment of association" arises wherever individuals successfully associate for a common purpose. In human society the "increment of association" is a most important factor, and in a large measure it is the desire to reap this "increment of association" that draws men and women together into autonomous communities.

Of the doctrines, which govern the flow of the "increment of association" from society to the individual, one may be called the Doctrine of Inclusion, and the other the Doctrine of Exclusion.

Where the Doctrine of Inclusion is fully operative there is no arbitrary discrimination in favour or disfavour of any individual or group.

Inclusion is most naturally practised in a society, which is homogeneous, i.e., a society formed of the same race, of the same culture, the same way of life, holding generally to the same or similar religion and philosophy.

It is the holding of these things in common, which is the hallmark of a Nation.

International Jews blind themselves against all perception of the reality and fundamental existence of this homogeneity which constitutes a Nation, and they practise Exclusion.

A Nation is as much an expression of true and real relationship as is the family on a smaller scale. In fact, the Nation may be regarded as an extended family.

Internationalists are striving both to destroy family life within each Nation and destroy the sovereignty of Nations. Special attention is being given to the destruction of the sovereignty of Britain and the Dominions.

Where the Doctrine of Exclusion is in operation there is discrimination in favour of some section, which is very often composed of individuals of alien origin.

The individuals of such an alien section determine that while they shall accept all increment of association, which flows to them from the community generally, they shall not reciprocate. Instead, they determine, wherever possible, to direct such flow only to the benefit of members of their own section. Thus, when an individual of such section succeeds in capturing a position of high office, he endeavours to use his elevation to further the interests of his own section. The result of this immoral and inequitable interference with the normal and just flow of the increment of association is to rapidly advance the interest of this peculiar alien race or group at the expense of the general community. This may be truthfully said of the Jews.

Unfortunately, however, for the final success of this exclusive group, there comes a time when the general community becomes conscious of the advantage that these intruders have been able to secure for themselves; it becomes a matter of general observation that members of such an alien section occupy positions of power and authority out of proportion to their numbers.

Action and Reaction are equal and opposite, and automatic.

No people have practised the Doctrine of Exclusion more persistently and consistently than the Jews, and no people have so consistently reaped a bitter harvest of retribution. "Anti-Semitism" is only the natural and inevitable reaction against "Semitism."

With some this distrust has led to a distrust and fear of freedom. "Freedom for what?" they ask suspiciously. The question is essentially meaningless; for, as already suggested, freedom is not a possession in the sense that it is something you get, to do something with. It is an integral part of personality and its disallowance is more in the nature of a violation than a theft. The proper answer to the above question is freedom to be, or perhaps to find myself; an answer that is met with even more suspicion than accompanied the original question.

Freedom to be yourself involves the need to be able to exercise freedom of choice, and the pre-eminence of the "National Dividend" lies in its power to endow man with this freedom, and to deliver him from the tyranny of money, and of those who control and use it to direct man, and his thoughts and activities, into ways inimical to the human spirit.

He would be a bold man who, after four years of war fought ostensibly for freedom, would dare to suggest that, after all men were not fit to enjoy it. But there are those who, while giving lip service to the idea of freedom, have quite other ends in view, one being contained in the statement that: "We have started from the position that only in war, or under threat of war, will a British Government embark on large scale planning."¶ These people are using the war to lead, cajole, or drive the people into their planned and the servile State, and will fight tooth and nail against the power of the Dividend to deliver the people from this fate.

They will be opposed by those Christians who believe in Freedom, and are able to see in the National Dividend the embodiment of a common heritage of such ancient lineage that it may be regarded as a fitting symbol of the universal Brotherhood of man, and also, and without which the idea of brotherhood is meaningless, a symbol of the all-embracing Fatherhood of God.

¶And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands. —Professor Toynebee, 1931. "P.E.P.'s Journal for October 4 1938."

Notes On The News

(Continued from page 1.)

system of "registered drinkers" in Sweden, admitted publicly, six years after his system was introduced, that "it was in ruins." Of the persons convicted for drunkenness in Sweden under the Bratt system, 87% had no permit to drink at all. Once more experience shows that Planners and the "State" are powerless unless the people approve the plan. If they do, they will adopt the idea without interference to their liberties from either Planners or the "State."

COMMUNIST CONSPIRATORS: Further information on Communist treachery was contained in the Melbourne "Herald" of January 12, which reported that the Greek trades union delegation to Mr. Leeper said that they wished to "express the thanks of the workers of Greece for their deliverance from the tyranny of the Communist Party." They added that the Communists had taken over many trades union offices by force, and had shot 114 duly elected officials since the German withdrawal. The treachery and brutality of the Communists in Greece (and elsewhere) should discredit these power-lusting conspirators all over the world; it should convince every decent person who listened to their pretence of seeking the workers' welfare, and convince even their duped followers, that only death and destruction and dictatorship can ensue from the Communist policy in action.

RATIONING RACKET: It was predicted in these columns some time ago that the practice of empowering trades union secretaries to hand out extra coupons for heavy work clothing would lead to a racket. Well, it has. "Smith's Weekly" for February 10 quotes a case of an employee who cannot get such coupons because his union secretary won't endorse his application. Although the victim's union dues are paid to date, there's a matter of a fine of 10/- for not attending a meeting, which has not been paid, and so the Fascist-minded union secretary is holding out on the ration tickets.

TEUTONIC TABOOS: A recent press article dealing with the German "herrenvolk mentality" says that "in the German character the unquestionable authority is what counts for most." Isn't it odd to observe how the Communists, certain clergymen, and many public men, also keep telling us how important the "State" is. The Germans are merely the instruments of the "State," just as the Communists want us to be (although they pretend to oppose Hitlerism). The Germans "believe it is their destiny to dominate Europe." This philosophy applies also to, and is apparently copied from, the Jewish "herrenvolk." But the international Jews go one better and believe it is their destiny to control the whole world. And the Jewish deity is authority, just the same as the Fascists and the Communists—the latter, of course, being inspired by the Jew, Marx. However, there is usually a difference in method. Whereas Hitler and the Communists resort to armed force, the international Jews prefer control of the world money supply, "news" channels, etc. Fascism and Communism pave the way for the Master Plan.

OPEN OPPORTUNITY: During the past few weeks quite a number of interesting viewpoints have been noticed in the "Letters to the Editor" columns of the daily press, and it is quite clear that openings exist here for the presentation of new economic views. Most subjects can be used to carry such ideas, and it is a great pity that more people with the right idea fail to take advantage of this opportunity. Certain papers confine their columns to flippancy subjects, but even in such instances, criticism of this policy can be directed to the Directors of such papers with a view to having more important subjects admitted. This is an activity that every reader can undertake. What about it?

CLAREY'S COLONY: The President of the A.C.T.U. is reported in the Melbourne "Herald" of January 23 as having received a cablegram from the chairman of the Jewish Labor Committee in New York (Mr. Adolph Held) expressing appreciation of the A.C.T.U. move to permit Jewish refugees to enter Australia. It will be remembered that Mr. Clarey was feted in New York, and on his return to Australia, by Jewish advocates of creating a Jewish colony in the Kimberleys (W.A.), and that although the Federal Government rejected the proposal because of the dangers of racial colonies being set up, yet Mr. Clarey attempted to raise this question again. If this so-called Labor stalwart fought for the workers' cause as he does for aliens he would be an asset to the Labor movement. Perhaps his employers (the workers) will ask him where he stands in this connection? —O. B. H.

"A GIFT FROM PANDORA"

Garet Garret, regarded as "the clearest expositor of economics in the United States," makes these interesting remarks about that magic abstraction, bank credit, in his recent book, "The Bubble that Broke the World":

"Of all the discoveries and inventions by which we live and die, this totally improbable helix of credit is the most cunning, the most liable, the least comprehended and, next to high explosives, the most dangerous. All that the bankers themselves really know about it is how it works from day to day. Beyond that, it is a gift from Pandora."

MASTER-PLAN BEHIND HITLER'S PLAN

"Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion"

With Commentary by ERIC D BUTLER (Continued from last issue.)

PROTOCOL NO. 3 (Continued)

"The goyim have lost the habit of thinking unless prompted by the suggestions of our specialists. Therefore they do not see the urgent necessity of what we, when our kingdom comes, shall adopt at once, namely this, that it is essential to teach in national schools one simple, true piece of knowledge, the basis of all knowledge—the knowledge of the structure of human life, of social existence, which requires division of labour, and, consequently, the division of men into classes and conditions.

"It is essential for all to know that owing to difference in the objects of human activity there cannot be any equality, that he who by any act of his compromises a whole class cannot be equally responsible before the law with him who affects no one but only his own honour. The true knowledge of the structure of society, into the secrets of which we do not admit the goyim, would demonstrate to all men that the positions and work must be kept within a certain circle, that they may not become a source of human suffering, arising from an education which does not correspond with the work which individuals are called upon to do. After a thorough study of this knowledge the peoples will voluntarily submit to an authority and accept such position as is appointed to them in the State. In the present state of knowledge and the direction we have given to its development the people, blindly believing things in print, cherishes—thanks to promptings intended to mislead and to its own ignorance—a blind hatred towards all conditions, which it considers above itself, for it has no understanding of the meaning of class and condition.

"This hatred will be still further magnified by the effects of an economic crisis, which will stop dealings on the exchanges and bring industry to a standstill. We shall create by all the secret subterranean methods open to us and with the aid of gold, which is all in our hands, a universal economic crisis whereby we shall throw upon the streets whole mobs of workers simultaneously in all the countries of Europe."

COMMENT:—

Not only have the controllers of the International Banking system been able to "throw upon the streets whole mobs of workers simultaneously in all the countries of Europe": as a result of the great increase in their stranglehold on the financial policies of all countries during and after the First World War, they were able in 1929 to have workers all over the world thrown out of work and thus made suitable victims for the teachings of the Communists, Nazis, Fascists and other totalitarians. The evidence of the financial cause of the Great Depression is available to all those who desire it. It is only necessary to state here that Mr. Louis T. McFadden, ex-President of the Pennsylvania Bankers' Association, and for twelve years Chairman of the U.S.A. House of Representatives' Banking and Currency Committee, speaking in the U.S.A. Congress on December 15, 1931, summed up the cause of the depression in the following words:

"It was not accidental. It was a carefully contrived occurrence—the International Bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they could emerge as rulers of us all."

Mr. McFadden also made it clear on many occasions that he believed that Jewish financiers such as the Warburgs were the key controllers of policy in International Finance. It is significant to note that the pouring of money into Palestine, principally by New York Jewish financiers, in order that Jews could establish a centre for World Zionism and continue to try to push the Arabs out, did not cease with the world depression in 1929. That the cause of the world depression was a shortage of finance imposed on the Governments of all countries of the world has been inadvertently admitted by no less an authority than Dr. Sokolow, President of the Jewish Agency, who wrote in September 1932:

"Palestine is probably one of the few countries, the public revenue of which does not reflect the crisis which forced Governments of other countries into drastic retrenchment. It is generally recognised that this is mainly due to the large funds flowing into Palestine as a result of Jewish settlement work."

Dr. Sokolow discreetly neglected to mention why all the peoples of the world except the Jews in Palestine were short of "large funds" for development work. There was certainly no desire by either the workers or the "capitalists" to "bring industry to a standstill."

Protocol No. 3 continues as follows:—

"These mobs will rush delightedly to shed the blood of those whom, in the simplicity of their ignorance, they have envied from their

GET THESE BOOKLETS

Obtainable from the United Electors of Australia, 343 Little Collins St., Melbourne:

"Programme for the Third World War."

By C. H. Douglas. A survey of existing factors, which must lead to another war if they are not remedied. Price: 2/7d, posted.

"Reconstruction on Christian Principles."

An open letter to the Archbishop of Canterbury. Read this and see that your local clergymen receive copies. Price: 7d, posted.

"Federal Union Exposed." This will help you to understand the immediate urgency of resisting the World Government plot. Price: 1/4d, posted.

fomer state, and it has laid down its plenipotentiary powers at our feet.

"Remember the French Revolution, to which it was we who gave the name of 'Great': the secrets of its preparations are well known to us for it was wholly the work of our hands."

COMMENT:—

There is so much evidence dealing with the prominent part played by the Jews and secret societies in the creation of the conditions, which made the French Revolution possible that it is impossible to mention it here. The Revolution in France that paved the way for the military despotism of Napoleon (see "Jewish Encyclopedia" under "Napoleon the Great" in order to learn how this military despot was helped by Jewish financiers) was assisted by the financial policy pursued by the bankers. It is significant that the French Rothschilds did not lose one penny as a result of the Revolution.

Disraeli was under no delusion about the part played by his fellow Jews in the European revolutions. In "Lord George Bentinck" he wrote:

"It was neither parliaments nor populations, nor the course of nature, nor the course of events that overthrew the throne of Louis Philippe . . . the throne was surprised by the Secret Societies, ever prepared to ravage Europe."

Disraeli and the author of the "Protocols" had exactly the same views about European revolutions!

(To be continued.)

WORLD GOVERNMENT VERSUS REALITY

Now, when the idea of World Government is so dangerously near to final realisation, the following extract from the works of the late A. R. Orage, written in calmer times, is well worth recalling:—

Modestly confessing that he has "little or no organising power" and is "deficient in most of the qualities of a leader of men," Mr. [H. G.] Wells nevertheless puts forward a conception of world government, which would require the organising ability, and leadership of a caste of archangels to carry into effect.

Apart, however, from the compensatorily megalomaniac character of the plan itself—which, incidentally, is surprisingly similar to that of the apocryphal "Protocols of the Elders of Zion"—the central assumption of Mr. Wells' dream is the denial of the natural fact of nationality.

To Mr. Wells and his very considerable following and company, the existence of nations is a deplorable fact of history, but not an acceptable fact of nature. Nations are, but hadn't oughter be. Hence the proposed society, which he calls X, that is to undertake the ordering of the world, must declare itself "in absolute opposition to the continued existence of separate sovereign Governments in the world," and, of course, to this end, aim at creating a single centralised world banking organisation and a world money.

There can be no doubt whatever that Mr. Wells' support of a world-dictatorship is based upon a strong appeal to the cosmopolitan group of financial "Samurai" now

intriguing for the world's throne; but if, as we believe in common with ordinary humanity, nationality is as natural a fact as individuality, and one of the essential characteristics of the species Man, then not all the efforts of all the would-be dictators of the world will be able to eradicate it or even suspend its action for more than very brief and bloody periods.

We are not so chauvinist as to deny the attraction of the idea of a World Society of Nations, even, if it be possible, of a World Commonwealth of Nations. The emergence, hesitating and amorphous as it is, of a British Commonwealth from the shell of a British Empire, is perhaps a shadow of a possible future.

But the difference between a world of nations in intelligent and voluntary co-operation and a world of functional groupings subservient to a Super-State composed of self-selected, all powerful neurotics, is exactly the difference between a harmonious society of free individuals and a society based on slavery and sanctioned by force.

Fortunately for the world, however, both individuality and nationality are constants in the essence of the human race. They may both, and they both do, involve troubles of various kinds; but, in the long run, objective progress is conditioned by their acceptance and conscious use.

FICTIONS AND FACTS ABOUT RUSSIA

William Henry Chamberlin, who KNOWS Soviet Russia, devoted a "Harper's" article to correcting errors perpetrated by writers who profess to be mines of information on that subject. There are so many of these persons at large, and their wild and whirling fancies have been published in so many parts of the earth that it would be beyond the capacity of any one man to debunk the lot; but within the limits Chamberlin had to impose upon himself he did a most workmanlike job. Among the writers from whom he quoted are Dorothy Thompson, Emil Ludwig, Walter Duranty, Leland Stowe, Joseph E. Davis, Arthur Upham Pope and Anon, (N.Y. "Nation" and "Life"). Howlers and Chamberlin corrections are subjoined:—

Dorothy Thompson: Stalin was born in a tribal society ruled by feudal princes. In his childhood the masses of the people of Greater Russia were serfs who could be beaten by their masters and sold. [There is not the slightest evidence in any biography of Stalin that he ever owed allegiance to any tribal prince. Serfdom was abolished in Russia in 1861. Stalin was born in 1879.]

Arthur Upham Pope: Stalin is a Russian workingman. [His only trade or occupation before the Revolution was that of a professional revolutionary. And he is a Georgian.]

Dorothy Thompson: The Soviet Union consists of six Federated Republics. [The number of Union Republics in 1939 was 11. Since that time the Soviet Government has proclaimed the existence of five more.]

Walter Duranty: Tsar Nicholas II. came to the throne through the untimely death of his elder brother. [He had no elder brother. He succeeded his father.]

Leland Stowe: Suvorov defeated Charles XII. of Sweden at Poltava. [Peter the Great defeated Charles XII. of Sweden at Poltava in 1709. Suvorov was born in Moscow in 1729.]

Joseph E. Davis: In the Duma, the legislative bodies created by one of the Alexanders, almost a third of the members were priests. [The Duma was established after the proclamation of a Constitution by Nicholas II on October 30, 1905. The largest number of priests elected to membership of any of the four Dumas was 46 out of 422.]

Joseph E. Davis: Total revenue (profits) from industry was approximately 51 billion roubles in 1935 and 62 billion roubles in 1936. [The contribution to the national budget from the profits of industries (all State-owned) was 1.7 billion roubles in 1935, 32 billion roubles in 1936. Mr. Davis

apparently confused two very different figures: gross value of industrial production and revenue from the profits of nationalised industries.]

Emil Ludwig (in "Stalin," published 1942): Bolshevism is a form of society under which everyone is working seven hours a day, reduced to six in case of dangerous occupations. For 80 p.c. of the workers every sixth day is free, for the other 20 p.c. every fifth day. [The eight-hour working day and the seven-day working week were made the rule in Soviet industrial establishments by Government decree in June 1940.]

"Life" (Soviet issue, March, 1943): Evil genius was Tsarina's friend, the malevolent priest Rasputin. . . . Alexander III died of terror. [Rasputin was never ordained as "a priest . . . Alexander III died of kidney trouble.]

"Nation": The Western Powers, ganging up on the struggling Soviet Union, compelled it to sign the Treaty of Riga in 1920. [There is no evidence from Soviet or other sources that the Western Powers interfered with the Polish-Soviet negotiations that led up to the Treaty of Riga. It was signed on March 18, 1921.]

—Sydney "Bulletin," Feb. 7.

WHERE IS THIS PROGRESS?

"Perhaps the most sinister feature of the present situation everywhere is that it is supposed to be 'modern' and therefore inevitably 'progressive.' Measured by any standard other than that of gadgets, civilisation has receded more in the last fifty years than it advanced painfully in the preceding two hundred. Serfdom, which was only partial, was abolished in Russia in 1861. It is now universal in Russia, and absolute."

—"The Social Creditor," England."

OUR COMMON INHERITANCE

(Condensed from "Vers Demain," Quebec. Translated by Marie L. Bernadou.)

A Drummondville man, working a farm, had to make a drain. He was asked twelve dollars an hour for the use of a special machine with two men to work it. The machine worked nine hours to do 54 acres, in very difficult soil conditions. It therefore cost 108 dollars, an average of two dollars an acre.

If a day labourer had accepted the job at six dollars an acre it would have seemed cheap; but it would have been much dearer than the machine, as it would have taken 54 times as long, or needed 54 men, whereas the machine needed only two men for a much less arduous task.

In wartime, the machine is welcome, but in peace, the unemployed man curses it. In the depression, with T. Bouchard as Minister of Public Works, did we not see men harnessed instead of horses? Mr. Bouchard can boast he was not only a supporter of Full Employment, but tried to put it in practice.

The professional economists say the Post-War Problem is one of EMPLOYMENT. But why cannot the machine do the work? Why cannot the man rest or devote himself to higher tasks? Oh, no! He will starve, they say, unless he works for a salary; there will be a great World DEPRESSION.

But to whom does the progress of the machine belong? Does it belong only to the inventor or owners of the machine?

The machine is the result of science accumulated from one generation to another, and this inheritance is a common social capital belonging to all, and each ought to draw his share of the inheritance . . . by a dividend in fresh moneys created for that purpose.

So [in the above example], instead of 52 unemployed men, we would have 52 "shareholders," glad to see the machine work. The two men working the machine would be "shareholders" too, and have a dividend, but would receive their salary also for their work. The machine would increase the production value of the soil, and under a Social Credit system everyone would be pleased, since all would benefit. Instead of destroying the products that the earth supplies in great abundance, there would be purchasing power available for the sale of the products.

—Edmond Major.

THE BANKS AND THE DEPRESSION

The private Banks of Australia, in a newspaper advertisement, are disclaiming all responsibility of the Banks in bringing about the depression.

In a new work by R. G. Hawtrey, Undersecretary of the British Treasury, there is much evidence to the contrary. In "Economic Destiny," Mr. Hawtrey writes:

"Depression has been caused in the past by deliberate action in the monetary sphere. In the years 1920-22 and 1929-32, measures were taken in England and America for the explicit purpose of diminishing the flow of money and counteracting inflationary tendencies by inflicting depression on the world."

The first condition of making competition institutions function is that monetary authorities should refrain from this disastrous action. A sufficiently stable flow of money can be obtained, Mr. Hawtrey says.

CARTELS AND SIR C. BAILLIEU

On December 5, 1944, Sir Herbert Williams asked the President of the Board of Trade in the British House of Commons "why an exit permit was granted to Sir Clive Baillieu to attend the International Business Conference at Rye, New York; and whether the views of His Majesty's Government are in any way represented by the Report presented by him in favour of the continuation of international cartels?"

Mr. Dalton: "Sir Clive Baillieu attended this Conference as one of a number of representatives of British trade organisations, in response to an invitation issued by various trade associations in the United States. Nothing said or done at the Conference in any way commits His Majesty's Government."

Sir H. Williams: "Is this gentleman connected with any firm that belongs to international cartels?"

Mr. Dalton: "I could not say."

AUSTRALIA'S WAR COST

Up to June 30, 1944, the war had cost £1,654,000,000.

Public loans met £660,000,000 of this expenditure. Taxation provided £527,000,000.

The remaining £467,000,000 was marshalled and supplied by the Commonwealth Bank in the form of credit (charged up as debt).

IF YOU HAVE HAD ENOUGH—

of the erstwhile "Nationalist" Party, with its attempts to emulate the chameleon—of the Labor Party, with its oscillations between Communism and private enterprise—of the banking sham-fight—of the centralisation of power, and real government by remote control—

READ THE "PROGRAMME OF THE AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE'S MOVEMENT." Obtainable at city booksellers or Hon. Secretary, Melbourne Branch, 203 Tooronga Road, E. Hawthorn. (Price: one shilling.)

"WATCHMAN" ATTACKS SOCIAL CREDIT

(A letter to the Editor from Bruce H. Brown. Continued from last issue.)

Sir,—There was venom in the broadcast address given by "The Watchman" on 11/21/45. This may have been produced in part by the nature of the letter he had received from Mr. Worrall, but I think it appropriate to recall that "The Watchman" was an unsuccessful candidate for the electorate of Flinders at the 1940 Federal elections.

On that occasion, he opened his campaign at Dandenong, and although he made great efforts at showmanship, the meeting was not a political success. Members of the audience have informed me that he was no match at all for the much maligned "monetary reformers," and that he was so trounced by them that he refused point blank to answer questions. One of the questions put to him was—"Are you the Mann who advocated the deportation of monetary reformers?" Other questions related to the proper functions of Parliament and the principles of democracy, subjects on which he appeared to be ill informed. His experience on that occasion may still be a vivid and unpleasant memory.

From the terms of the reply sent by "The Watchman" on 5th February to Norman Worrall's criticism [published last week] it has doubtless been observed that Mr. Mann intimated that he had read and studied Social Credit. Consequently, if it can be shown beyond doubt that he has been telling his listeners that Social Credit means the bringing about of conditions which in fact could not occur under the application of Social Credit principles, then it must be clear that his "study" was very superficial, his mentality peculiar, or his regard for truth small.

After receiving so many inquiries on 12th February, I telephoned Mr. Mann and asked if he would oblige me with a copy of his address. He stated he was not in the position to comply with my wish as the "Listener In" had first call on his script. He thought it probable, however, that the full talk would be published in that journal the following Thursday, but if such were not the case, he would be willing to allow me to have the script for sufficient time to make a copy.

The talk did appear in the "Listener In" which was issued on 15th February, and after reading it I thought it advisable to ask Mr. Mann to confirm its accuracy. He replied that it was taken from his script and was correct as published. I then told him that I intended to write a public criticism of it and would send him a copy with my compliments.

For the benefit of those who do not see the "Listener In," I quote the report in full as it appeared in that journal: headlines, introduction, and all, viz:—

"BANKING CONTROL MEDDLING"

"WHAT HAPPENED IN ALBERTA"

"In view of the Commonwealth Government's banking policy, which has aroused much criticism of late, the following talk which 'The Watchman' broadcast from 3UZ recently is of great topical interest. He attacked the Douglas Social Credit System and outlined the fate of the social credit experiment tried out in Alberta, Canada, in support of his attitude, to what he termed 'new-fangled economic fallacies.' **'By The Watchman' in a Broadcast from 3UZ:**

"Those who have been carried away by new-fangled economic fallacies have been endeavouring for a long time to deny and discredit the British banking system, developed by a long process of trial and error and proved by accumulated experience.

"At last, however, they thought they had found a joint in the armor through which they could mortally wound the banks. They pounced on the subject of 'credit,' which they said was being abused by the banks; as a matter of fact, they seemed to confuse 'credit' with 'money.'

"In order that everyone should have more money than they had earned, they evolved a conception of 'social credit' (as distinct from bank credit) which, as administered by the State, would give everyone 'credit for nothing' and so put in the hands of citizens certain payments or 'free gifts' of credit in the form of currency from the community or State bank which should be established, so as to increase each individual's purchasing power.

"This is the real meaning of the agitation of which we hear so much, that the 'people's bank should be controlled by the people.' The outstanding attempt at exposition of this idea was that propounded by one Major Douglas, and known as the Douglas Social Credit System."

"ALBERTA'S EXPERIMENT"

"Since mere argument concerning the theory of this scheme is generally disregarded by the enthusiastic advocates of it, and 'the proof of the pudding is in the eating,' they generally fall back for support upon the declaration that it was actually put into operation in the Canadian Province in Alberta in August, 1935, when the Social Credit Party, under Mr. William Aberhart, won a sweeping political majority at the polls—and they claim that its success in that province has proved that the Douglas system is sound. The essential and vital ingredient of Aberhart's programme was the promise of the issue of free credit of 25 dollars a month to each adult member of the community.

"The only reply to this claim by the advocates of Social Credit is that it has not succeeded in Alberta as they allege, because as a matter of fact the Aberhart Government found it impracticable.

"The Treasury Branch System, inaugurated as a kind of State bank to operate the scheme, has incurred a big debt of millions of dollars. Its 'prosperity certificates' which

people eagerly accepted because they thought they were 'something for nothing' they would not take in payment for their own farm products, nor as payment for work on unemployment relief schemes.

"The province's maturing loans have been allowed to fall into default despite any capacity to pay afforded by taxation, and by 1943 such default in capital amounted to 31,000,000 dollars, and the default in interest to 22,000,000 dollars.

"Aberhart died in May, 1943, and left an estate of 57,000 dollars. Most of the cash (his real estate was outside Alberta, in the province of Vancouver) was deposited in the chartered banks, which his system attacked, and only a little was in Alberta Treasury branches.

"He was succeeded in the Premiership by Ernest C. Manning, a protégé and disciple of Aberhart, who has since been engaged in trying to clear up the mess left by the Social Credit banking system, but he is doing this by the application of those 'orthodox' methods which the system discredited.

"Much play is made of the fact that in August last year an election was held in which Manning's Government gained a larger majority than the one gained under Mr. Aberhart in 1940, which is claimed as a great victory for Social Credit.

"But the chief opposition was the Co-operative Commonwealth Federation, the extreme Socialist Party which has been making headway in Canada, and the defeat of the

THE DISC HARROW TO THE RESCUE!

Every wide-awake farmer and horticulturist is likely to be interested to hear of the experiments conducted by Mr. Edward H. Faulkner, of Ohio, U.S.A., and described in his book, "Plowman's Folly" (University of Oklahoma Press, 1944).

Mr. Faulkner's main contention can be indicated by quoting some sentences from page 40 of the book:

"Improved crop yield . . . and arrested erosion are attainable by the simple procedure of abandoning the ancient practice of ploughing organic matter under, and substituting instead the effective practice of leaving the matter on the surface, or working it in from the top. . . . With surprising suddenness, the soil which is supposedly ruined will respond with bumper crops providing it is supplied plentifully with organic matter properly incorporated into the surface."

In any area where the rainfall is reasonably assured, the procedure outlined in the book could be given a trial; and everywhere, presumably, the principles outlined are likely to hold good.

Mr. Faulkner's method was to sow a crop of rye, and when it was well grown, but still green, to put a disc harrow, suitably weighted, across the field sufficiently to cut the rye plants to pieces and force the pieces down just under the surface of

latter was reported in American papers as due to the fact that the Albertans said they did not want to change a light case of chicken pox for a bad case of smallpox.

"The London 'Economist,' in November, 1943, declared that as an economic theory Social Credit was dead in Alberta—as dead as Aberhart; and politically the movement is dying out. It has certainly, in ten years, made no progress to commend it."

Taking the headlines and introduction first, I would say that if "Banking Control Meddling" will give us "what happened in Alberta" then I am in favour of the meddling. But the fact is that there has been no change whatever in the control of banks in Alberta, and that the wonderful results obtained for the people of that province have been obtained despite the opposition of the banks, the Canadian Government, and the Privy Council. To say that "The Watchman" attacked the "Douglas Social Credit System" is to say that he attacked something that did not exist; for there is no such thing as "The Douglas Social Credit System." In the same way, to say that he "outlined the fate of the social credit experiment tried out in Alberta" is to utter a lie, for Social Credit has NOT been tried out in Alberta at all, and he did NOT outline what had been accomplished there. Every attempt to apply Social Credit principles has been prevented by the Canadian Government or the Privy Council, as clearly pointed out by Mr. Worrall in his letter to Mr. Mann ("The Watchman"). It may be that Mr. Mann was not Responsible for the headlines and the introductory note, and so we may throw that part of the gross misrepresentation in the face of the unknown "journalists" who sell their souls to the newspaper proprietors.

—Yours faithfully, BRUCE H. BROWN,

189 Hotham Street, East Melbourne, C.2.

February 25, 1945. (To be continued.)

OUR FAITH IN OURSELVES

"There's no reason why any God should believe in us if we do not believe in ourselves . . . We have gone through a long and nauseating phase when crabbing was associated not only with originality but with piety. That attitude has been at its worst during this war, when many meetings organised ostensibly to praise Russia or China, for example, have simply served as cover for decrying Britain. I don't know what the word 'imperialism' means, nor do most of the people who bandy it; but if it involves some confidence that the inhabitants of the British Commonwealth are decent people who have done a great deal more good than harm in the world, the Church would do well to instill it. Without the revival of this faith, which, like charity, begins at home, we will get none other, either healthy or viable. The professional denigrators must therefore be religiously avoided, if harmony is to be attained. Their masochism is the food of the British Pharisee, who, in turn feeds the even more unpleasant, but more excusable, American Pharisee. The harm thus done needs no stressing. It is a strange form of righteousness that, in fear of self-righteousness, takes refuge in slander ultimately revealed as self-righteousness after all."

—Lord Vansittart, in "Lessons of My Life."

THE WAY OF BRITISH JUSTICE

Magistrate Denounces Gestapo Methods

"PERTH, Tuesday, —'You might be able to do things like that in Germany, but you can't do it here,' said Mr. W. J. Wallwork, S.M., to members of the Provost Corps in dismissing a case in Perth Police Court today.

"Gordon Melville Ward of Maylands, was charged with having on February 9 unlawfully assaulted Major Thomas Duncan Cunningham by striking him with his clenched fist. Ward said several members of the Provost Corps entered his home about 3 a.m. and awakened his family to question them about his brother, who they said was an Army deserter. Ward was told he would have to go to headquarters, and was kept in a room with a cement floor and nowhere to sleep till 9 a.m. When he asked for blankets he was told he would be given some if he said where his brother was. He overheard one of the men say that Major Cunningham would make him talk, and they would give him and his parents the third degree."

—Melbourne "Sun," Feb. 14.

Throe hearty British cheers for Mr. Wallwork!

INCREASED RATES

Protests against increased rates assessments are loud in the Melbourne municipalities of Sandringham, Camberwell and Fitzroy. In the latter case it has been suggested that Fitzroy be amalgamated with the Melbourne City Council. This would mean a surrender of local sovereignty. Surely there are at least a few ratepayers in the Fitzroy municipality who know what to do—and are prepared to do it. Expert advice is available to those who desire it.

POST-WAR RECONSTRUCTION

Last year "Rydge's Business Journal" offered big cash prizes for the best "Peace Plans" submitted by any Australians, service personnel or civilians. Some social crediters contributed papers; but, needless to say, these were not acceptable to those judging them. Dr. Coombs, the London School of Economics socialist and leading Australian planner, was one of the judges!

We can specially recommend the paper submitted by Mr. John Macara, prominent Sydney social crediter, as one of the most able theses on post-war reconstruction we have yet read. It contains excellent material for those concerned with fighting the post-war schemes being distributed liberally by the socialist planners.

Copies of Mr. Macara's paper can be procured from The Electoral Campaign, 296 Pitt-street, Sydney. The price is 6d per dozen, post-free.

BRITAIN'S BUREAUCRATIC BURDEN

"How many planners are we going to have? How long is bureaucracy going to hang on to its powers? How long are we going to have a Civil Service composed of more than 1,400,000 full time civil servants, which was about the figure at the end of last year, as compared with 600,000 odd before the war?"

—Sir J. Wardlaw-Milne, in the British Parliament, December 1, 1944.

THE "NEW TIMES" IS OBTAINABLE AT ALL AUTHORISED NEWSAGENTS

Printed by M. F. Canavan, 25 Cullinton-road, Hartwell, for the New Times Ltd., McEwan House, Melbourne.