

The "New Times" is a really independent, non-party, non-class, non-sectarian weekly newspaper, advocating political and economic democracy, and opposing totalitarianism in all its forms.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is verging,  
In God's name, let us speak white there is time!  
Now, when the padlocks for our lips are forging  
Silence is crime.  
—Whittier (1807-1892),

# THE NEW TIMES

Vol. 11 No. 39. MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 5, 1945.

## "NEW TIMES" SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Our charges for supplying and posting the "New Times" direct to your home or elsewhere every week are as follows:

Three months, 5/-; Six months, 10/-; Twelve months, £1. HALF Rates for Members of the A.I.F., C.M.F., R.A.N., R.A.A.F.

Payments must be made in advance and sent direct to New Times Limited, Box 1226, G.P.O., Melbourne.

## Will Master War Criminals Be Overlooked?

### War Atrocities Not Whole Story

(A letter to the Editor from Bruce H. Brown.)

Sir, —One of the least recognised calamities of war is the inability of the great bulk of the people to be rational. Their feelings and attitudes are dictated and controlled, firstly by the closeness of their own personal connection with the actual fighting, and secondly, by carefully prepared statements circulated in a publicity field made exclusive by censorship and military controls.

Many of those who have, endeavoured to be realistic through all the vicissitudes of the war period have had difficulties placed in their way—although no one has yet found the means to deprive us of the secrecy of our own minds. Frequently it was necessary to withhold appropriate comment on current happenings, because to have done otherwise might have brought one into conflict with uninformed men temporarily clothed with power far beyond their personal worthiness.

Apart from these conditions, it is only to be expected that all of us have been terribly shocked by the newspaper reports of the atrocities inflicted upon our men and women by members of the Japanese armed forces. These reports have been substantiated to a large extent by the findings of the inquiry conducted by Mr. Justice Webb.

For floggings, death parades, and torture of all kinds suffered by our gallant men and nurses there can be no excuse, and any person properly convicted of such crimes should be brought fully to account in accordance with civilised standards.

That, I believe, is the intention of General MacArthur and Lord Louis Mountbatten, and in pursuing this course they are sure to have the support and approbation of all men and women who have not succumbed to war hysteria and journalistic mesmerism. As for the attitude that the only good Jap is a dead one, and that the whole Japanese race is a blot on the earth, that, of course, is not a new idea. It is very easy to speak that way of our military enemies, and many persons generally do so. The Italians were our gallant and heroic allies in the First World War; but this time they were, at best, merely poor weak things from the soft under-belly of Europe. In the first world-war the Japanese were our faithful allies, and were specially

thanked for the assistance they gave us; but in this war they have been described as a lot of arrogant mountebanks pretending to bring prosperity to the people of East Asia when all the time they were seeking world domination through unspeakable treachery and bestiality.

This sort of talk is invariably associated with military campaigns and is part of the plan to stir up our emotions and generate hatred—but not against the master crooks behind it all.

Because of the frightful treatment to which some of our kith and kin have been subjected we are asked to regard all the Japanese people as individually responsible.

If all the Japanese people are to be held guilty for the war atrocities committed by individual members of their armed forces, then all the Australian people should be held guilty for the acts of misconduct on the part of a few members of our own forces.

I do not accept any responsibility for conditions in certain Australian military camps, but strongly feel that if published reports be true those who were responsible should be brought speedily and effectively to account.

As an Australian citizen I also decline to accept responsibility for the improper

arrest and mistreatment of certain persons allegedly connected with the Australia First Movement; but, although I have no interest in that Movement and know none of those connected with it, I strongly feel that the men who were guilty of such infamous conduct should be brought to public account

In the same way, the people of England could hardly be held guilty for the arrest and imprisonment, without charge or trial, of a loyal member of the British House of Commons (he has since resumed his parliamentary duties!); but if they knew the facts they would probably demand the apprehension and punishment of those who were responsible for that infamous action.

This leads me to the pictures, which have recently appeared in the daily press showing members of the Allied Forces in a condition of appalling malnutrition, caused by neglect as well as insufficient food. Here again, for inexcusable neglect there should be appropriate disciplinary action, and for the deliberate withholding of food the responsible persons should be suitably dealt with.

But we must be careful not to allow our sentiments to be prostituted in the interests of the initiators of war, for the purpose of diverting attention from themselves.

These fellows, it should be remembered, control the international press, and the similarity of the reports in the various newspapers suggest at least a co-ordinated effort.

After the First World War there was a carefully organised campaign to engender and foster hatred against the German people, and a British election was actually won on the "Hang the Kaiser" slogan.

While this was going on the master criminals escaped notice, and were thus permitted to go on with their nefarious work without the general public even suspecting the truth of what they were up to.

A lot of attention is being focused on Hirohito and the sufferings imposed by his

men, but none at all on the fellows who brought about the conditions which put Hirohito and his war lords in the position, to launch the attack on Pearl Harbour.

On Tuesday, September 25, I listened to Air Vice-Marshal Jones giving a lunch-hour address at the Victoria Coffee Palace in Melbourne on the subject of his recent visit to Japan in connection with the signing of the Document of Surrender. He said clearly and definitely that in his judgment one of the main reasons for the unexpected collapse of the Japanese Empire was the fact that the POPULATION OF JAPAN was on the verge of actual starvation. He also said that he took the opportunity to visit some of the country areas in Japan, and that during his journeying the only animal he saw was one goat. Not even a cat or a dog! He assumed they had all been eaten as food. That surely is a grim testimony to the effectiveness of our own blockade, and suggests to me that there is reason to be thankful that so many of our men came out of Japan as well as they did from the point of view of nourishment. It also suggests to me that more credit for the actual collapse should be given to the courageous and effective work of our naval men, especially those serving in submarines, and less credit to the atomic bomb.

War itself is a series of atrocities, and when we have punished the persons who actually did things, or authorised them to be done, contrary to the accented rules of warfare, we still have the more important duty of identifying and punishing the men actually responsible for bringing wars about, and on this question I hope to say more next week.

In the meantime, please give thought to the significance of the fact that strenuous efforts were made throughout the war period to prevent open discussion of two very important subjects—the financial system and World Jewry.

—Yours faithfully, BRUCE H. BROWN,  
189 Hotham Street, East Melbourne, C.2.  
30th September 1945.

## NOTES on the NEWS

**SICKNESS SNOOPERS:** It is pleasing to note that some other weekly papers are publishing the Gestapo clauses of the so-called Sickness and Unemployment Benefits Act. "Smith's Weekly" for September 15 deals with this matter and points out that "under it a government clerk may be empowered to hale all and sundry before him and demand information—just as they used to do in Hitler Germany." The article then asks if this is the New Order for which Australians have fought and died. The same issue of "Smith's" also reminds us that a part of the Australian Gestapo known as "The Reporting Officers' Organisation," is still functioning although the war is over. The article then calls for the destroying of all files and dossiers compiled by these unpaid spies. It is worth noting that "Intelligence" headquarters loudly lamented the disclosure of this Gestapo.

**RAPID RISES:** During the recent 24-hours' railway strike the "capitalist" press allotted considerable space to the rapid rise of Mr. J. J. Brown, State Secretary and General President of the Australian Railways Union. Experience has shown that rapid rises in certain fields, including Unionism, are usually suspicious signs, especially if accompanied by press boost. An examination of Mr. Brown's career fails to disclose any performance of note, but it does disclose that he helped the Communist Party by addressing meetings on behalf of Communist candidates during the State Parliamentary elections. It seems odd that helping the workers' enemy—the Communist Party—is the only explanation for his rapid rise. By the way, the 24-hours' strike was foisted on the workers by the Communist executive.

**BERNARD BARUCH:** Dealing with the raw deal now being handed out to Britain, Don Iddon's New York Diary (Melbourne "Herald," September 20) says: "The unkindest cut of all came from Mr. Bernard Baruch last week when, hiding behind the anonymity of an eminent citizen and elder statesman, he came out vehemently against the British case." It is all to the good that Don Iddon has thus torn aside the despicable disguise of anonymity which Jew Baruch and others use to further their ends. These inspired anonymous statements are often a feature of the daily press, and should be regarded with grave suspicion; no newspaper with any claim to journalistic honesty would permit their columns to be so used.

**CONSCRIPTION CONFERENCE:** Now that Labor has ratified the World Government plot, which involves handing over control of Australian soldiers to the alien world Army, Labor is faced with again betraying its conscription pledge. The coming N.S.W. 17th Labor conference, to be held in November, is to be the scene of the betrayal where the Labor renegades will attempt to carry out the task described as "converting Labor from an isolationist to an international policy." That's a cute way to describe such a treacherous action! Genuine Labor supporters should take immediate action to prevent this betrayal; they should

see that delegates to this conscription conference have very definite instructions to prevent this move to hand over the control of Australian lives to an alien power. Now is the time to act, and individual unionists, by resolutions through Union machinery, can force this matter into the open and defeat the renegades.

**JAPANESE JUNTA:** General MacArthur is reported to have received new instructions in relation to long-range high policy in Japan. Among them is "rigid control for the Bank of Japan," which could mean such a lot, and yet so little. Then comes "the breaking or sharply curtailing the economic power of Japan's famous eight families, who control the bulk of the country's wealth and dominate its economy." From this it would seem that the Emperor and the military clique are only puppets. However, there is no evidence to indicate that said "eight families" are on the list of war criminals. As elsewhere, the real criminals escape; indeed, it would not be surprising if they are handing out the punishment to their puppets.

**MEANS TEST:** The recent Labor political move, making taxation for certain "social services" a specific tax, to offset the "contributory" propaganda has recoiled on them by illustrating and emphasising the injustice and absurdity of the "Means Test." A

(Continued on page 2.)

## U.S. Debt Jumps to 258,000,000,000 Dollars

The U.S. Federal debt for the fiscal year ending June 30 is estimated at almost 258 billion dollars, as compared to a mere 200 billion last year and 48 billion in 1941. Sounds odd in a place like Alberta, where all debt decreased annually from 1936 right through. Now do you see why the press and radio are given strict orders to keep "mum" about Alberta and Social Credit? —The Canadian Social Creditor," 26/7/45.

## Significant Political Pointers

Speaking on the Bill to ratify the United Nations Charter, Sir Earle Page said that the policy of "large-scale reparations (from enemy countries) is, and always has been, wrong."

Is that so, Sir Earle? Rather than accept goods from our enemies and thus increase our standard of living, should we go along quietly with the world-planners and go short of things ourselves in order to rehabilitate our enemies?

We can still win the peace, but not by accepting the views of men such as Sir Earle Page, \*

"I think the most unpopular man here [Canberra] is Dr. Evatt. As Minister for External Affairs, he takes an imperious attitude, perhaps unconsciously, with all foreign legations. Dr. Evatt wants to know about everything, and is often peremptory with the civil servants of the Government; . . .

"On the train from, Canberra to Melbourne Dr. Evatt and John Beasley, Minister for Supply, asked me to sit with them. It seemed strange that they should question me on vital strategy. Their remarks convinced me that they were completely baffled about the whole world set-up.

"Dr. Evatt particularly showed an animus towards the English. No matter what I said, he interspersed his remarks with, that is just the way the English do things."

— American war correspondent Cecil Brown, in his book, "From Suez to Singapore." (1942.) \*

One may not be over-enthusiastic about some of the diplomatic representatives of

## Alberta's Public Debt

Premier E. C. Manning's new refunding scheme has been not only a personal triumph, but a victory for the Alberta Government. It provides for complete retirement of Alberta's bonded indebtedness without increasing the taxation of the people. At the same time, it clears up the obligation of the province to honour its debts—an obligation which, as various members have emphasised in the past ten years, would be met when the province could do so without hardship to its citizens.

— "The Canadian Social Creditor," 2/8/45.

Great Britain and other parts of the British Empire, but it is a suicidal policy for differences of opinion between British countries to be blazoned forth to the world and thus provide further material for those who seek to prove that the Empire is falling to pieces. Dr. Evatt's policy of using the international "capitalist" news agencies to air his grievances is merely helping those who seek to destroy the British Empire, first by creating dissension and then by taking us over piecemeal.

If the component parts of the British Empire don't hang together in this era of world confusion, they will hang separately. \*

"In my opinion, the problem of securing international peace is one for solution not by 50 nations, but by the three victorious Powers, which should lay down a code, and then say to the rest of the world, "This, you shall follow."

Thus spoke that great Labour "democrat," Dr. Gaha, M.H.R., during the debate on the United Nations Charter. Dr. Gaha has probably noticed that one of the victorious powers, Russia, is following his policy in Europe. Another of the victorious powers, America, is attempting to tell Great Britain what policy she shall follow.

It is a great tragedy that the idea of minding one's own business has been relegated to the scrap heap by so many elected persons. \*

Senator J. T. Collins (Queensland) does not like the anti-bureaucracy campaign.

In a recent letter to one of his constituents, he complains, "references to a 'totalitarian State' and 'irresponsible bureaucracy' grossly exaggerate the actual facts." He concludes by saying: "If you have anything specific in your mind you should have the courage to name just one 'bureaucrat' who has abused his position, instead of taking refuge behind vague generalities."

One might point out to Senator Collins (Continued on page 3.)

# THE CASE OF HARLEY MATTHEWS

By J. T. LANG, in Sydney "CENTURY," September 21.

**Now that the blanket of wartime censorship has lifted, it is possible to tell the story of Harley Matthews. It proves It Can Happen Here. It is another angle on Communist methods. It shows how militarism acts. It is a damning indictment of the Government.**

In 1915 Harley Matthews was an original Anzac. World-famous sculptor Epstein used him as his model for "The Spirit of Anzac." Soon after the war he gave up journalism and bought a vineyard at Moorebank, near Liverpool. There he made wine and wrote distinguished Australian poetry for 20 years.

Then in the early hours of the morning of March 10, 1942, the "Spirit of Anzac" was seized by Army Intelligence officers and interned at Liverpool. He was accused of belonging to the Australia First Movement. He denied it. He had never attended any meeting. He did not agree with its platform. All he had done was to write something for its paper, "The Publicist." Still, in he went, leaving his vineyard and his 74-year-old mother.

Later that day, in Canberra, Army Minister Forde told the world a melodramatic story of a plot by the Australia First Movement to assassinate him and other Ministers. Prime Minister Curtin refused to divulge the names of those arrested until charges had been laid.

**But the Communists had all the inside information. They published the full list of names, including that of Matthews. Where did they get the information? Who were the informers?**

Matthews immediately wrote to the Prime Minister demanding to know who had given the Communists his name, and reminding him of his promise. He again emphasised that he had never at any time been a member of the Australia First Movement. He asked that charges be laid. He received no reply.

**The only reason he could think of why he was being treated in such a manner that he had always been a supporter of the Labor Party. He wrote to his local member, H. P. Lazzrini: "A sister of my mother's has sent me word to say that she knew I would come to a bad end if I kept on voting for the Labor Party. I used to think my Aunt had no political sense, but today I am not so sure."**

Meanwhile his vineyard was going to rack and ruin. His stocks were looted. The crop rotted on the vine; 20 years' hard work. But still no charges. No one in the internment camp can tell him why he is in. Letters to Ministers are ignored.

For six months the "Spirit of Anzac" languished in the internment camp. Meanwhile the shock had been too much for his aged mother. She died in August, and Matthews requested the Minister for the

Army to permit him to attend the funeral under parole.

Two days later—on the morning of the funeral—the Camp Commandant still had no word that permission had been granted. Eventually, he was permitted to attend under escort.

Matthews refused to sign a document granting him provisional release. He repeatedly asked that charges be formulated. Eventually he was released without a trial.

Then came the Royal Commission presided over by Mr. Justice Clyne. His finding in the Harley Matthews case reads:

**"Intelligence officers committed a grave blunder in procuring Matthews' arrest and recommending his detention. He served with distinction in the last war and was a loyal subject. Was not a member of the Australia First Movement." The article in the "Publicist" was not in any way prejudicial to Matthews, stated Mr. Justice Clyne. He also recommended that the Commonwealth pay Matthews the sum of £700 as compensation.**

But can there be adequate compensation in such a case? Nothing can compensate him for the mental anguish, the loss of his aged mother, the charge of subversion in wartime.

Nothing can exonerate the Commonwealth Government, which failed to make adequate inquiries into the evidence on which Military Intelligence acted.

If it is possible for Mr. Justice Clyne to give direct finding that Matthews was never a member of the Australia First Movement why was that not admitted in 1942?

For three years Matthews had to live under a dark shadow. He was financially ruined. Now he is being offered a paltry £700 as compensation without a word of regret from those responsible.

**In another case, Martin Watts, the finding was that he was at all times a loyal subject, who had won the Military Medal. He was released in August 1942, but died in July 1944. It is suggested that the Government should pay his widow £400.**

It would be well, indeed, if future Australian history books told the story of what happened to the "Spirit of Anzac" in the war of 1939-45.

absurd and idiotic policy, or moral direction, than that of Full Employment could hardly be imagined; nor, it must be added, a more perfect one from the point of view of those who intend to master us.

Notwithstanding, Mr. Davies does not allude to it, and it is fair to suppose he takes the general view. Yet the policy is bound up with his subject, "The Peril of Secularism." For can a more secular aim be devised than work, not for the sake of wanted goods and services, not even for work's sake; but because of the arbitrary rules of a system, enforced by those who control it, that keep man bound to the ancient curse from which, by his discoveries, resulting from patient research, and obedience to the spirit of truth, he might, by now, so easily be delivered?

**To spend most of your life earning your living, in obedience to the policy of "High Finance," is about as good a secular aim as any that could be devised by those who hope to destroy us.**

The following words of Major Douglas point to the direction that should be given to the people by those who set out to help them in the present crisis. "But it has always been my personal opinion that the force on which we had to rely was not principally propaganda, but rather the awakening of public opinion, once it had been given the lead, to the explanation of the facts of the everyday world."\* (My emphasis.)

Judged from this standpoint, Mr. Davies' article, and the Review in which it appeared, must be counted as having signally failed.

\*Warning Democracy.

## EDUCATION ON SOIL PROBLEMS

(To the Editor.)

Sir,—In response to my letters which appeared in the "New Times," the following is an extract from a letter which I have received from New Zealand:

"As a member of the National Executive of the N.Z. Compost Society, I am very pleased to read in the "New Times" of your efforts to launch a similar organisation in Australia, and wish you every success in your efforts. This is a most vital work. We monetary reformers have been concerned with the problem of distribution, but unless soil fertility and health is preserved, there will be a serious problem of production before many generations. I should be pleased to receive news of your progress in Melbourne."

In the meantime, let me take this opportunity of informing all those correspondents who have written to me that I intend calling a meeting some time during October, particulars of which will appear in the "New Times."

—Yours, etc., W. E. HANCOCKS.

## THE T.V.A. TECHNIQUE

From the "Social Creditor" (Eng.). 23/6/45:—

D'markrazi registered a fresh triumph when Mr. Tom Johnston, the Socialist ex-Secretary of State for Scotland, very suitably cut the first sod of the Loch Sloy Hydro-electric Scheme with a bull-dozer, accompanied by a prayer. It may have been suspected that we do not love Socialists or the "B.B.C.," but we could bear with them better if they didn't pray. Loch Sloy is the first of the "North of Scotland Hydro-Electric Board" projects designed to benefit Scotland by damaging its natural beauty, drying up its rivers and exporting its comparatively insignificant water-power resources to the South of England.

Loch Sloy is about twenty miles from the outskirts of Glasgow, and incidentally is about as "North of Scotland" as Tunbridge Wells is Lancastrian.

The scheme has been torn to shreds technically; it has been opposed by the Dumbartonshire County Council; at the hearing of appeals against it, it transpired that the plans published were not correct. But it doesn't make any difference; d'markrazi wanted it!

## THE THREAT WAS ENOUGH!

Although none were returned, the candidature of Quebec Social Crediters, according to our local information, was most popular. It increased the value of a vote for a Mackenzie King Liberal candidate to fifteen dollars per head, unlimited drinks, and as many joy rides as desired. The French Canadians, in fact, found that Social Credit paid the National Dividend on the spot, without the formality of voting for a Social Credit candidate. The threat was enough!

—"The Social Creditor," 28/7/45.

## CONSERVATIVES COMMITTED HARA-KIRI

"For years, British propaganda and the Conservative Press has heaped praise upon Soviet Russia. Soviet propaganda has been spread throughout the land by Mr. Gollancz and people like the Dean of Canterbury and others. All this propaganda is leading to the undermining of the British national consciousness, and to the benefit of the Communists. Every criticism and every real picture of actual conditions in Soviet Russia has been and still is rigorously suppressed. Nobody knows why the British Conservatives are conducting such a suicidal policy. . . . I wonder if our Soviet ally, in order to retain the good will of the British Conservatives, is kindly permitting the propaganda of the Russian Conservatives to appear in Soviet Russia. It is fantastic to suggest it. . . ."

"They (the Conservatives) are behaving just as their late lamented predecessors on the Continent did."

—"Centropress Bulletin," England, 12/7/45.

# Notes On The News

(Continued from page 10)

storm of protest has been raised, because in effect Labor has said to thousands of voters, "we will compel you to pay taxes for social services, but we will not permit you to receive your money back in the form of social services." The outcry is so great that we are now told that a special committee is to re-examine this potential election atomic bomb. In this connection the secretary of the Public Service Association is reported in the press of September 21 as saying that 62 Federal Members favour the abolition of the Means Test. If this is so, what secret power behind Parliament is preventing the Means Test being scrapped?

**ALIEN ALARM:** In addition to reporting the very unsavoury exploits of an alien attending the Sydney University as a potential teacher, "Smith's Weekly" for September 15 also tells us of a despicable method of propaganda used in advocating a better understanding of foreigners. It appears that a letter containing such a plea appeared in the Brisbane "Courier-Mail" under the signature of "Tobrukite" QX32654; but it was a forgery in so far as the soldier bearing that number had never been outside Australia. Moreover, the soldier concerned complained to the editor about the use of his army number to advocate a cause with which he is not in sympathy, and the editor advised that enquiries are being made about the real author of the letter. It is a dirty trick, which should draw suspicious attention to all letters, which plead for aliens in preference to our own nationals.

**PALESTINE PURCHASES:** The rapid progress in land buying in Palestine is indicated in a report contained in the Melbourne "Sun" of September 21 to the effect that, "the Jewish National Fund, at a conference at Mount Scopus, fixed £5,000,000 as world Jewry's contribution towards the purchase of land for settlement in Palestine. Last year's collection totalled £2,000,000, 60 per cent, of which came from America. The fund now owns 200,060 acres." It is interesting to note in this case the number of absentee subscribers domiciled in U.S., and that the land belongs to the Fund—not to the tiller of the land. Other reports indicate that difficulty is being met in getting working Jews to take up the land. —OBH.

## INSTITUTIONS AND INDIVIDUALS

Speaking at Fettes College, Edinburgh, on Founder's Day, June 30, Viscount Simon (no doubt forgetting he was supposed to be honouring an individual) said: "Institutions are much greater things really than individuals."

We should not venture to assess the relative size of Viscount Simon and any institution, but considered as a generalisation, we can hardly imagine any statement at the same time more fatuous and more significant. The noble Viscount would no doubt contend that institutional Christianity is more important than Christ, the thoroughbred racehorse than the Godolphin Arab, and the Cabinet than the mentality and character of the men who compose it. In so doing, he would be merely expressing his faith in the superiority of the Group Spirit, the "Anima Mundi," the Old Adam, to the "twice-born" self-conscious entity.

It is necessary to emphasise this idea, because every catastrophe, without exception, is directly or indirectly traceable to it. War, industrial strife, poverty—anyone can complete the list—are only possible because some institutional interest is considered superior in importance to free individuality. No institution is merely the sum of the individuals composing it; it is the progressive product of that factor in the make-up of each individual composing it, which serves the purposes of the Anima Mundi, and eventually, it can be broken and dissipated by one Individual. "Be of good cheer, I [not we] have overcome the World."

—"The Social Creditor," 14/7/45.

## A TEACHER OF ART ON SIMPLIFICATION

"A number of readers have suggested that it might be possible to simplify the language employed in those parts of the book written for the child. As far as possible this demand has been met. But the author would point out that there are times when simple writing involves the use of words which may convey to the child a general, rather than a specific, image of the idea to be apprehended. The author has found, in his own classes, that the use of a good dictionary and an encouragement to consult with the teacher in moments of real difficulty, has proved adequate to the needs of the average child."

—O. J. Tonks: "Colour Practice in Schools."

## "BRITISH" BROADCASTING

From the "Social Creditor" (Eng.), 28/7/45: In its 8 a.m. "news" bulletin, Beelzebub's Brethren Calling [B.B.C.] on July 13 broadcast in extenso a resolution attacking the Greek Government, and, without giving any evidence to rebut the overwhelming condemnation of E.L.A.S. by, for instance, our own troops—calling for support of that organisation.

It is evident that some deal was made when the Socialists condescended at high rates to pay to help in the defence of this country in 1940, and that deal included practically unfettered use of the "B.B.C." [a complete monopoly] for Socialist and other Left Wing propaganda. Such a compact is a matter of public concern: and its disclosure should be enforced.

## A PSEUDO-REALIST -AND- A MUDDLED MORALIST

(Concluded from last issue.)

**There is a verse in the Bible that says: "He giveth us richly all things to enjoy." It would be interesting to know Mr. Davies's reaction to it. Would he regard it as one of those prophetic utterances that can always conveniently be relegated to a remote future, and so, happily, need not concern us? To many, at any rate, it must always have seemed like a bad joke.**

The significant words are "giveth," and "to enjoy"—words anathema to Government, and suspect to the "puritan." Both, however, make an exception in favour of "charitable" gifts to the deserving poor. But when it is a case of the gifts of nature, or, as the Christian would say, the gifts of God, which might be bestowed freely both upon the "just and unjust," that is a different matter. These cannot be distributed, but must be classed as burdensome surpluses, or, as "The Times" once chose to express it—"The Malignant bounty of nature;" and this because the arbitrary laws of the economists, backed by the inexorable rulings of the "moralists," decree that a man may not eat unless he "works."

"Men do not create values; they discover them," is a statement of Mr. Davies's with which we can agree. Discovery, however, involves a search for something we believe already exists. It therefore involves a strict and impartial fidelity to, and a correct interpretation of, all the facts, which may lead to discovery. Without this integrity any research must be fruitless, and may be dangerous.

**Furthermore, when Mr. Davies says:—" . . . belief in the reality of a divine, transcendent order, can alone break the Satanic tyranny of 'things' which is strangling Western Civilisation . . ." one is constrained to add that this belief will be powerless to break such a tyranny while we disregard the realities of the temporal order in which it operates to our destruction.**

"Where there is no vision the people perish." Unfortunately, the idea of vision is generally associated with some imagined "Utopia calculated to arouse starry-eyed wonder and admiration. But vision literally means sight—the power to see, and the only vision that can save a people from perishing is a vision of the truth. Mr. Davies does not help us to attain this vision. The "Satanic Tyranny" of "things," which is strangling "European Civilisation" is not due, as he would have us believe, to man's greed, or the "supremacy of expanding appetite;" all the facts of the case are against his conclusion. The policy of Full Employment is alone responsible for this tyranny, by fastening on man the obligation to "work," irrespective of whether the fruits

of his labour (whether in "things" or services) are wanted or not. Nevertheless, the purpose of this policy is not to multiply things, but to deprive man of freedom, and it is a perfect instrument for that end. For Full Employment, being no longer necessary in a power age to produce all we want, is an arbitrary imposition, and can therefore only be achieved by centralised control. Under this control work can be concentrated on grandiose schemes of doubtful worth to the consumer. These schemes will create a multitude of jobs, and with the multiplication of officials, inseparable from Government control, incomes can be distributed for claiming the consumer goods that will be permitted us.

**Thus a policy of Full Employment and comparative scarcity could be harmonised. The scheme is diabolically suited to the end in view. "Satanic Tyranny" would be an apt title for it.**

It is customary today to castigate mankind, and to lay at the door of his moral delinquencies the responsibility for the appalling mess in which he finds himself. All that is required apparently, to right matters, is a change of heart and a readiness to make ever more sacrifices. Mr. Davies has reinforced this view by adding to the list of man's failings "the supremacy of expanding appetite." It is unlikely that his charge will be disputed. For people are, by dint of repetition, convinced they need a change of heart, and must make more sacrifices; even if they are somewhat vague as to the direction of the change, and the nature of the further sacrifices to be exacted from them. Man needs castigating, it is true, and if Mr. Davies had charged him with sheer stupidity, instead of greed, such a charge might have helped towards his enlightenment.

**Man accepts himself as a moral delinquent; but how if he were accused of asinine, not to say criminal imbecility? That might touch his pride, and help to open his eyes.**

"Come, let us reason together," is a Biblical exhortation that should be heeded today. Only by obeying it may we hope to arrive at that vision of the truth that will save us from perishing. In the light of reason, then, it surely can be seen that in an age of increasing industrial efficiency—which, according to Mr. Quintin Hogg, means increasing mechanisation, therefore decreasing need for human labour—a more

# UNDERMINING OF THE STATES' RIGHTS

## Use of Canberra's External Affairs Power

Use of Canberra's External Affairs Power Hereunder we continue publication of the Full text of the important speech by the Hon. R. J. Rudall, M.L.C., Minister of Lands, delivered in the South Australian Legislative Council on July 31, 1945, as reported by "Hansard": —

I do not think I exaggerate the position by saying that the High Court in its original attitude to the Constitution Act did not treat it as an ordinary Parliamentary enactment, but brought to its interpretation a full consciousness of its position as the constitutional arbiter between the Commonwealth and the States, and deliberately refused to accept a position whereby the powers of the States should be merely that residue left after the fullest possible limits of interpretation had been given to the powers of the Commonwealth as set out more particularly in Sections 51 and 52 of the Constitution.

I may be pardoned the presumption of saying that I believe in this method of approach they were acting as it was believed they would act, not only by the framers of the Constitution, but by the voters in the States with whose approval the Constitution Act was passed.

It must have been obvious to everyone that, notwithstanding the tremendous efforts of the framers of the Constitution, grave questions must arise in its interpretation, and I have not the slightest doubt that it was felt that the High Court, in its interpretation, would pay full and proper attention to the federal nature of the Act, and would have due regard to what I may call the underlying purpose of the Act, apart from its strict legal interpretation.

This old method of approach was strongly attacked by Justice Isaacs, and with the death of the original members, the views of Justice Isaacs prevailed in the Engineers' Case in 1920.

In that case, the present method of approach received full judicial approval, and from that year these Sections, 51 and 52, which contain the powers of the Commonwealth, have been given their full meaning, limited only by the express provisions of the Constitution Act, but with the old principle of implied prohibition, or a prohibition implied by the federal nature of the Constitution, entirely discarded.

So far as the powers of the States are concerned the effect has been disastrous. The powers of the Commonwealth have been given the fullest expression, not only to narrow the exclusive powers of the States within ever-shrinking boundaries, but even to destroy the States' powers of taxation without which the free exercise of their other powers becomes impossible.

Let me sum up what I consider the general effect of the judgments in the Goya Henry case:

1. It is perfectly clear that the view of Professor Harrison Moore is wrong and that, although a subject matter may be in itself purely domestic and therefore within the exclusive powers of the States, it may nevertheless be brought within the legislative competence of the Commonwealth Parliament under the external affairs power.

2. That, subject only to the general limitations imposed in the Constitution itself, the external affairs power covers any subject matter indisputably international in character.

3. That beyond this, the Chief Justice and Justice Starke and Justice Dixon did not venture, but were of opinion that it was impossible to lay down at present the exact limits of the power and that such limits could only be ascertained authoritatively by a course of decision.

4. That Justice Evatt and Justice McTiernan were quite definite in their opinion that, subject to the limitations mentioned above, immediately any subject matter becomes the subject of a treaty, etc., it automatically becomes a subject matter upon which the Commonwealth can legislate.

Now all this has been extremely dull, but it is nevertheless vital because, if the view of Justice Evatt and Justice McTiernan ultimately prevails, the consequences so far as State powers are concerned may well be disastrous.

There is not the slightest doubt that if

### DEMOBILISE-THE-BUREAUCRATS CAMPAIGN

(From the Electoral Campaign, 142 Adelaide Street, Brisbane.)

It is rumoured that the Federal Government may spring an early election on the people in December.

In consequence of this we urge all readers to send in at once for as many copies of Eric Butler's latest booklet, "Sack the Bureaucrats and Win the Peace," as they can distribute. This booklet was written especially for the forthcoming Federal Election, and a copy should be in the hands of every elector in the Commonwealth. This booklet gives a clear outline of the action the electors should take if they desire to break the bonds of serfdom now being drawn around them by the international financiers through their agents, the bureaucrats.

Make no mistake about it, another Dark Age is not only possible, it is an absolute certainty unless action is taken now by the people to frustrate this diabolical plot for the enslavement of the people under bureaucratic administration.

Readers, everywhere, should act as suggested before it is too late.

We also ask those Queenslanders who have not yet done so to send in their contributions to our Organisers' Fund. We need money to put organisers in the field and keep them there.

—A. W. Noakes, Hon. Secretary.

the opinions expressed in their judgment prevail, the possibility of some startling innovations present themselves and a tremendous potential danger so far as the powers of the States are concerned is immediately apparent.

We have all been interested in the recent conference at San Francisco and the enormous consequences, which their decisions mean for the world. I wish to draw your particular attention to Articles 55 and 56, which form part of Chapter 9 of the Charter of the United Nations under the heading, "International Economic and Social Co-operation." The Articles read as follows:—

"Article 55.—With a view to the creation of the conditions of stability and well-being necessary for peaceful and friendly relations among the nations, based on respect for the principles of equal rights and the self-determination of the peoples, the United Nations shall prosecute higher standards of living, full employment and conditions of economic and social progress, and the development of solutions of international economic, social, health, and related problems and international cultural and educational co-operation and universal respect for the observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without distinction of race, sex, language, or religion."

"Article 56.—All members pledge themselves to take joint and separate action, in co-operation with the organisation, for the achievement of those purposes."

I understand from press comments that I have read on the matter that Dr. Evatt was very much concerned with the drafting of Article 55, and that certain of the subject matters mentioned were inserted in the document by reason of his persistent argument. We have all read how jubilant he was with the insertion of the term "full employment."

It may, of course, be argued that the wider the solution that can be found with regard to these matters the better it will be for the future peace of the world, although it is difficult to understand how in its domestic policy any nation would not be concerned with these matters from the point of view of the happiness and prosperity of their own people.

The mere inclusion of these matters in the Charter can in no way in itself secure their attainment, but at the same time it is obvious that it would be difficult to refuse their insertion even if they meant nothing more than a pious hope. In the light of the judgments which I have read their insertion may mean much more than a pious phrase so far as the States are concerned.

I have not the slightest doubt that the principles enunciated by Dr. Evatt as Justice Evatt have not been forgotten by Dr. Evatt as the Minister for External Affairs.

## FALLACY OF AUTOMATIC PROGRESS

No fallacy is more dangerous or more widespread than the theory of automatic and continuous progress as the result of the mere lapse of time, and it is easy to see why the Powers behind Revolution seized upon Darwin and canonised him.

The truth, of course, is contained in the words, "Seek, and ye shall find; ask, and ye shall receive." During the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, we have been seeking a particular type of knowledge (machine-tool politics), which we dignify by the name of Science, to the exclusion of a much more important gift, Wisdom; and we have received what we asked for, and we are where we have arrived by the aid of "Science" without Wisdom.

An excellent instance of the type of thinking to which this situation gives rise is contained in a review by Mr. Stuart Chase of Dr. von Hayek's book, "The Road to Serfdom."

Incidentally, Mr. Chase is about as capable of assessing a book of this character as the writer of these notes would be to criticise a treatise on the Massoretic Points, but he has a not unearned reputation for popularising current economic ideas, and Dr. von Hayek is a dangerous menace to the kind of "progress" favoured by "The Nation" (New York), in which the review appears. For some reason, which is contained in the intentions of the Powers rather than in the rules of Logic, "progress," in these quarters, is always to the Left.

We are not concerned with Mr. Chase's arguments, which, without our in the least intending to be offensive, are more suited to a lunch-hour political meeting in a steel works than to a serious review. The point we wish to make is sufficiently explicit in the title, "Back to Grandfather," and the paragraph, "Technocracy, however, jumped a century forward, while Vienna [Dr. von Hayek] jumps a century back."

You see? Grandfather was a fool, and Vienna was vastly inferior to Pittsburg! The millennium starts tomorrow with the Managerial State!

Now the first consideration (which is nearly always ignored) in assessing the truth of this idea is that THE PROGRESS OF THE INDUSTRIAL ARTS CANNOT BE SEPARATED FROM THE SOCIAL UNREST WHICH HAS ACCOMPANIED IT. On the economic plane, it is producer-control, not consumer-demand, which has been dominant, and Mr. Chase, and the planners for whom he speaks, would make producer-

As the Attorney General he led the recent Referendum campaign on behalf of the Labor Party. He did this in various and devious ways at the expense of the taxpayer under the heading of "Post-war Education," to which the Auditor-General of the Commonwealth has very properly taken exception.

His attempt failed and the Referendum proposals were rejected. I do not forget, however, that Dr. Evatt himself regarded the most important subject matter of the list submitted to the people to be "employment and unemployment"—a phrase so far-reaching in its implications that it is almost impossible to define its boundaries. I do not, however, trust Dr. Evatt to be content with the verdict of the people of Australia. In spite of that verdict, I have little doubt that, having failed to introduce that subject matter into the Constitution of Australia by the front door, he is now endeavouring to introduce it by the back door. I ask Dr. Evatt this plain simple question: "If the Commonwealth binds itself by treaty to promote 'full employment,' does he not consider that the Commonwealth would have full power of legislation to carry out the treaty?"

I warn the members of this Parliament and the people of this State that we must be on our guard against any attempt by the exercise of this treaty-making power and its subsequent implementation by Commonwealth legislation to whittle away the legislative powers of this State. The amendment of our Commonwealth Constitution and the increased powers so often sought and so often refused would no longer rest under the sanction of the referendum provisions of the Constitution.

It would be accomplished under the guise of a convenient convention with another country to whom the particular paragraphs embodied in it may have little significance, but which could then be used to by-pass and destroy the protection of the referendum provisions and leave to the States only such dregs of power as this Commonwealth octopus does not desire to draw within itself.

I can only hope that I have been able to make the position plain to Members, and that not only this State, but other States, will keep an ever-watchful eye on those developments which I believe only an unjustifiable optimism would not anticipate. I regret that speaking on this subject has imposed a tremendous burden on the patience of Members, but I wanted to put before the Chamber as plainly as I could just what was involved. I make no apology for doing so, although I realise how much it must have wearied Members to listen. I beg them to study the matter I have dealt with at such length, because I am perfectly satisfied it is of extreme importance to the States and that we are facing a position that we must carefully watch. Unless it is watched and proper procedure is adopted at the psychological moment we may find that under this external affairs power an attempt will be made under which there will be a tremendous alteration in the State's powers, and what we think can only be done by Referendum will be done by means of the treaty-making powers of the Commonwealth.

## Political Pointers

(Continued from page 1)

that the bureaucrats take refuge behind the cloak of anonymity, thus making it rather difficult actually to name them personally. But one has no trouble in naming and indicting such directors of the bureaucrats as Professor Copland, Dr. Coombs, etc.

One judges the bureaucrats by results. The food and housing positions alone are sufficient commentary on their efforts. If one wished to be unkind to these anonymous gentlemen, one could also relate the story of the local Gestapo during World War 2.

Senator Collins is an old man, so perhaps it is a little late in the day for him to grasp the fact that electors pay him to advocate THEIR policies concerning bureaucracy and other issues.

But his age should not save him from the use of electoral sanctions by his electors if he refuses to make demobilisation of the bureaucrats the first item on his agenda at the next Federal Election.

Any well-trained Communist will tell you that the daily press is "pro-fascist" and "anti-progressive." No doubt that was why a recent issue of the Melbourne "Age" had a featured article on Stalin entitled, "A Study in Greatness"! Then there is that great "Tory" paper, the Melbourne "Argus." In each of its last three weekend Literary Supplements a book from the Communist International Bookshop has been favourably reviewed. One of the books attacks the White Australia policy.

"We have no alternative but to ratify the (United Nations) Charter. I can do no more than express my views, and then blindly and stupidly vote like everybody else, in support of something on which I am not very keen."

—Dr. Gaha at Canberra on September 6. Did someone mention something about democracy? —E.D.B.

### ANOTHER KEY-MAN

The "Cape Argus" of May 31 and the "Cape Times" of May 26 give biographical details of Colonel Alfred G. Katzin, of Cape Town, on his appointment to succeed Sir Frederick Leith-Ross" as Deputy Director-General of Finance and Administration in the European Office of U.N.R.E.A. Colonel Katzin, according to the "Cape Times," is brother to Mrs. Hugh Miller, formerly well known in dramatic circles at the Cape as Olga Katzin, who writes under the pen-name of "Sagittarius" in the "New Statesman and Nation." Another sister, Winnie Katzin, is a translator of books from several languages, including Yiddish. Colonel Katzin's father was born in Russia. It was said of him "he looked like Cecil Rhodes and thought like the Book of Ecclesiastes."

The younger Katzin has been economic adviser to the commander at a military headquarters in the Balkans. He is 39 and unmarried. He joined the U.D.F. as a driver in 1940 and went through the East African and Egyptian campaigns and then on to Italy. Later he was commissioned and seconded to the British Army in August 1943, and "attached to the staff on General Services."

### BRITONS NEVER SHALL BE SLAVES?

If the British people, who "Never, never, never shall be slaves," think for one minute that they are just going to "give socialism a try," they are due for a rude awakening. You just CANT "try" National Socialism. When you've got it, you've got it; and you can't get rid of it except by a bloody revolution.

You can't "socialise" bricks and leave the clay on its own. You can't "socialise" tanneries and leave the hides to free enterprise. You can't "socialise" Big Business and leave the little fellow free. There is no plain line of demarcation between big and little business.

You can't "socialise" industry and leave out labour. If land is the chief source of wealth, human labour runs it a close second.

You can't socialise Bill Smith's farm and leave John Brown to run his own.

So when you start "socialising," you must go the whole hog, and when you go the whole hog, then you have National Socialism. And when you have National Socialism, you have the State in complete control of land, industry, labour, and of every man, woman and child in the State.

And don't, for goodness sake, be fooled by the stupid, misleading and utterly false blah about the People being the State. You're not and never will be. The State will be (it always has been) the little clique of bureaucrats and opportunists on top, and you will be a number.

—N. B. James, "The Canadian Social Creditor," 9/8/45.

### COUNCIL PAYS DIVIDENDS TO RATEPAYERS!

"In some districts in Europe forest profits sufficed for Councils' revenues; in fact, not only was it unnecessary there to levy council rates, but one district had actually paid the community a dividend from forest profits."

—"Australian Timber Journal," August 1945.

The Commonwealth Bank makes large profits. It is said to be the People's Bank. Who is getting the people's dividends?

# MASTER PLAN BEHIND HITLER'S PLAN

## The Promoters of the Russian Revolution

By ERIC D BUTLER (Continued from last issue.)

Faced with a presentation of the foregoing facts concerning the Russian Revolution, the Melbourne Communist "Guardian" of July 3, 1942 (then being published illegally), was forced to admit: "That revolution did have the support of outside financiers, not only Jewish."

But, having made this damaging admission, the "Guardian" tried to argue that there was no connection between the first (Kerensky) Revolution in 1917 and the revolution later in the year, in which Lenin, Trotsky and Co. played the leading part.

The evidence that the first revolution paved the way for the second revolution is beyond doubt. Kerensky, or those behind Kerensky, desired the success of the Jewish Bolsheviks. In his book, "Russia Under The Jews," the Russian writer, Petrovsky, says:

"In spite of the proposal of the Procurator to have Lenin, Trotsky and Company arrested, Kerensky gave orders to leave the frontiers open. . . . When the Governor of Petrograd, Colonel Polovtzeff, nevertheless, did arrest Trotsky, Nakhamsko and Samachko, Kerensky himself liberated them and took them in his own car to the Smolny Institute, reserved for the Soldiers' and Workers' Council after the revolution, and after a while set them completely at liberty. The conduct of Kerensky is so strange . . . that his actions cannot be explained except by the fact that an understanding existed between him and the Bolshevik leaders. If it were not for Kerensky and his double game . . . the Provincial Government would perhaps have succeeded in attracting to its support all the sound elements of the army and the people."

But the Jewish Bolsheviks were after complete control for themselves. And plenty of the "all engulfing terror."

Dr. George A. Simons, testifying before the Overman Committee (America) investigating German and Bolshevik propaganda, said:

"I do not think the Bolshevik movement in Russia would have been a success if it had not been for the support of certain elements in New York, the so-called East Side."

Dr. Simons was a resident in Russia for many years, and was there during the revolution.

The London "Times" of March 29, 1919, said:

"One of the most curious features of the Bolshevik movement is the high percentage of non-Russian elements among its leaders. Not less than 75 per cent, are Jews . . ."

In his valuable book, "All These Things," Mr. A. N. Field writes:

"According to the detailed list of the

original Bolsheviks' Government, compiled by the late Victor Marsden, London "Morning Post" correspondent in Russia at the time of the revolution, of 545 principal officials ruling the country 454 were Jews."

Soviet Russia has been usually presented to the outside world by Jewish Ambassadors. Maisky's work in Great Britain right throughout World War 2 needs no comment here. Russia's representatives to the League of Nations were: Litvinoff (Jew), Rosenberg (Jew), Stein (Jew), Markus (Jew), Brenners (Jew), Hirschfeld (Jew), Halphand (Jew), Swanidze (Georgian).

The former Russian Charge d'Affaires in Bucharest, Roumania, M. Theodor Butenko, who fled to Italy because he feared that his return to Russia meant death, wrote in February 1938:

"In place of former capitalists a new 'bourgeoisie' has been formed, composed of 100 per cent Jews. Only an insignificant number of former Jewish capitalists have left Russia after the storm of revolution. All the other Jews residing in Russia enjoy the special attention of Stalin's most intimate adviser—the Jew, Lazare Kaganovitch."

Bearing in mind these facts, it is not surprising to read in that remarkable book, "Report On The Russians," by W. L. White, that when Hitler's armies appeared to be on the verge of crushing Russia, angry Russians were shouting, "Down with the Jews!" Mr. White was a member of Mr. Eric Johnston's party, which toured Russia at Stalin's invitation. The pro-Soviet writers who protested against Mr. White's book did not challenge his facts; they said the facts could only "be understood in terms of change and historical perspective!"

Russia's foreign policy, right up until attacked by Nazi Germany, was based on the hope that an "imperialist" war would leave Europe and the democracies so exhausted that the Soviet idea of control would easily prevail. The Jew, Lozovsky, a former big shot in the Prointern, wrote in his book, "La Vie Ouvriere," that his main work was the overthrow of order in the democracies. His chief, Manouilski, commented on the anticipated Second World War as follows:

"This war will be the most holy that has ever been fought in the history of mankind: a war that will necessarily stir up a whole series of revolutionary outbreaks within the enemy ranks, and which will

break up and demoralise the ranks of imperialism."

(Once again, consider the state of Europe! And take a brief look at the position of the pro-Russians in Australia.)

It must never be forgotten that Soviet Russia joined with Germany after World War 1 in attacking the Versailles Peace Treaty as too severe on Germany. She also helped Germany to hoodwink the Allies by allowing the German General Staff to conduct large-scale training in Russia. German aeroplane plants were also established in Russia. Soviet Russia's non-aggression pact with Nazi Germany in 1939 (after which Molotov and other Russian diplomats attacked Britain as a warmonger) paved the way for the war, which it was hoped, would "break up the ranks of imperialism." Soviet Russia's economic assistance to Nazi Germany when Great Britain was fighting with her back to the wall should need no stressing. But we might consider the following statement:

Germany is now dependent for such oil supplies as she will receive (from Russia) on three Soviet Russian Jews.—London "Daily Sketch," November 2, 1939.

Hitler's policy was a Jewish policy! And the instigators of this policy aren't very interested in how many Russian, German or other nationals are killed as a result of their policies. Right throughout the Russo-German war the Soviet leaders claimed that they did not desire the destruction of Germany. The "Free German Movement" in Moscow contained some of the most powerful of the German General Staff. It is all too evident now that Germany is being brought more and more under the domination of Soviet Russia, which means the domination of International Jewry, and that the Germans are continuing to be used for purposes such as Hitler had in mind. The Russo-German coalition is growing stronger, and behind this coalition is Jewish control. Through revolution and war the Jewish influence has worked steadily towards the complete centralisation of control in Europe and, ultimately, of the world. The British Empire was, and, in spite of World Wars 1 and 2, still is, the main obstacle to this plan for world tyranny.

But the "enemy within" is working away to bring about our downfall. Don't forget the London School of Economics men and their fellow socialist planners, the men of P.E.P. (Israel Moses Sieff, etc.), who looked to a war to force the British to adopt the Jewish idea of planning as already tried in Russia, Germany and Italy. Wasn't it strange how, as soon as the war to introduce planning finished in Europe, Mr. Kenneth Lindsay, General Secretary of P.E.P. from 1931 to 1935, was made secretary of an "all-party" European Reconstruction Committee of Members of Parliament, with Sir Arthur Salter (also of P.E.P.) as Chairman?

Canberra is full of representatives of P.E.P. and similar organisations.

(To be continued.)

## PLAIN SPEAKING BY CANADIAN M.P.

The following letter from Mr. Norman Jaques, the well-known Social Credit member for Wetaskiwin in the Canadian House of Commons, appeared in the "Canadian Social Crediter" of 9/8/45:—

Sir: In your issue of June 28th appears a statement, dated San Francisco, attributed to the "Official United States Treasury Report," as follows:

" . . . Certain Allied business firms, with their friends in our State Dept. Army and the British Foreign Office, are planning to strengthen Germany as a bulwark against Russia. This largely lies at the root of the ticklish Polish question. Russia wants a Poland, which will co-operate against another German invasion. Before Poland was invaded last time, it will be remembered that British business interests were quite willing, even anxious to have the Sudetenland taken away from Czechoslovakia . . . That is why a lot of people in Washington, Moscow and the world at large are watching to see if history will repeat itself . . ."

This proves the Treasury (Shylock) is backing Communism (Marx).

If this quotation really is from an official U.S. Treasury Report, it is damning proof of the world plot of "Shylock" (Morgenthau, Baruch & Co.) and Marx (Moscow) and a typical example of their lying propaganda. For what could be further from the truth than this "smear" against the Poles, who have fought German invaders from start to finish? No people have fought more gallantly against Nazi aggression or have suffered so fearlessly for the cause of freedom as have the Poles and the Serbs, who, as a consequence, are the victims of totalitarianism—German and Russian alike—hatred and lying propaganda.

Chamberlain, having gained a year's necessary time to build up Britain's air force (in spite of Shylock and Marx), Great Britain declared war in 1939 because Germany attacked Poland. What did Moscow do? Made a pact with Germany, and having invaded Poland, proceeded to liquidate all political opposition, to drive two million Poles into Siberian slave camps, most of them to perish, and ordered the Moscow-paid Communist agents in all Allied countries to sabotage the war effort against Hitler. Meanwhile, our Marx "brothers" inspired by Morgenthau, Baruch, Warburg and Co., through the services of the press radio and motion picture, intensified their propaganda against national and international freedom, justice and every other Christian ideal, in favour of world slavery controlled by "Shylocks" world bank, gold standard and police force. ("Hansard," April, '43.)

At the end of the second "war" to make the world free for democracy," the countries "liberated by the "Reds" are

even worse off than they were under the rival Nazi gangsters, while those of the so-called "liberated" people patriotic enough to defend their country's sovereignty and freedom, having been "liquidated" by their "liberators," are branded by our own Marxian "lickspittles" as "Fascists," war criminals and "collaborationists." As Moscow has always declared: "The way to world Communism is over a prostrate Poland." Today, not only Poland, but the greater part of Europe, is enslaved by the "Reds." The Atlantic Charter was a scrap of paper and has been torn up.

"Shylock and Marx"—the International Financiers and the Socialists—are international blackmailers. By means of Shylock's gold and Marxian lying propaganda—backed by "Red" bayonets—the Christian world is being forced to accept the blackmailers' terms of existence—or face further world depressions and another world war. That is the real meaning of Bretton Woods, Dumbarton Oaks and San Francisco—in which agreements, the word "justice" does not even appear. —Norman Jaques.

### ON THE FOOD FRONT

From the "Social Crediter" (Eng.), 7/7/45: Colonel Llewellyn informs an irritated public that the large amount of food, which Denmark is supplying to us, will not improve our food situation—it merely replaces that which we are pouring into the Continent—Germany, probably. What IS the game? Our overseas exchange system is said to be strained to breaking point. Why are we piling up an adverse balance, e.g., in Denmark? We hesitate to believe that Lord Keynes, or whoever provides our economic wisdom, is mad enough to wish us to work for nothing for Denmark "to provide full employment." If that is the bright idea someone deserves to be shot for it, and sooner or later, somebody will be. We are informed on direct authority that eggs in British-occupied Germany are 1d each, butter is plentiful and cheap, the shops are stocked with luxuries, and wine, beer and liqueurs are available to practically anyone who can pay their (by British standards) extremely moderate price.

### BY KIND PERMISSION

"There'll always be an England"—by kind permission of Professor Laski and the London School of Economics.  
—N. B. James, "The Canadian Social Crediter," 9/8/45.

## AN ACTIONIST'S LETTER

(To the Editor.)

Sir: I wish to thank you for the second parcel of free sample copies of the "New Times," which have already been distributed. If you would post me another lot, I promise to place them to advantage.

Nearly all of the copies I have distributed house-to-house in two streets in my district, previously having marked out in red-pencil the headlines of articles, which I consider, of arresting importance.

The special articles and general information which appear in the "New Times" I consider to be of paramount importance; far too important, in fact, for a limited readership.

At the risk of earning the appellation of "ear-basher," I make a point of discussing information which I have read in your columns to at least one new "victim" each day, and wind up by telling them where they can read the truth for themselves. Then I present them with a copy of the "New Times," which I keep folded in my pocket for this purpose.

I hope you will publish this letter and that it may suggest to other readers one method of actively co-operating with you in your campaign for Australia by making thinking people aware of what is really going on, and at the same time giving the paper an urgently needed circulation boost—needed, I might add, in the sense that Australia needs the "New Times."

The ten shillings enclosed I would ask you to accept as a small contribution to "New Times" post-war fighting funds.

—Yours, etc., L.G.C., Elsternwick.

## REVOLT AGAINST CIVILISATION

If any proof were needed that the present condition of the world is fundamentally an attack upon civilisation and culture, and only superficially the outcome of economic causes (that is to say, an artificially produced economic crisis is utilised to pull down the traces of a finer life), Vienna and Austria provide it.

As we have previously suggested, Vienna marked the high water of European culture: gay, amusing, fastidious, hospitable, beautiful. The City of "The Blue Danube" has been the target since that fateful murder at Serajevo, 1914. Today, looted by the Russians, half-demolished, half-starving, threatened with a typhus epidemic, it is a monument to "progress."

Cromwell, the ugly prototype of an ideology of envy, hatred, malice, and all uncharitableness, was the first dictator to wreck and destroy beautiful works and buildings for sheer hatred of them. Our socialist elementary schools have raised up a nation of Cromwells who better their instruction. —"The Social Crediter," 4/8/45.

## IN A DANGEROUS DREAMLAND

From the "Social Crediter" (Eng.), 23/6/45: The suggestion advanced by Sir Stafford Cripps, and in a slightly different form, by Mr. Ernest Bevin, that we must have a Socialist Government to please Russia would be funny if it did not indicate the curious and dangerous dreamland in which Socialism does its thinking. If a Stalin-dominated Government came into power in this country (and the only way to "please Russia" is to take her orders) it would take about three months to liquidate Sir Stafford, Mr. Bevin, and the rest of them.

These are the people who, with the able encouragement of Jewish publishers and "economists," velled for war against Germany when they had ensured the disarmament of "Britain" and the arming of Hitler. We presume the "appeasement" of Russia, now that the war-like strength of Great Britain and the Empire is greater than it has ever been, and perhaps greater than it will ever be again, while Russia is temporarily at the weakest point which can be envisaged, is something else again.

## THE HOLLYWOOD MENACE

LOS ANGELES, July 12. —(A.P.)—State Senator Jack B. Tenney, yesterday said Hollywood was headquarters for a dangerous plot to overthrow the United States Government, and announced membership of an Un-American Activities Committee of the California State Senate.

He said the committee would work closely with the United States House of Representatives in a projected investigation of Hollywood.

"When acting Chairman John E. Rankin (Dem.-Miss.) said that one of the most dangerous plots to overthrow the Government has its headquarters in Hollywood, he was not wrong," Mr. Tenney said in a newspaper interview. "Our investigations since 1940 and intensified in the last year, have borne this out."

"All of our records, showing a steady growing rise of Communist activities stemming from Hollywood, are being offered to the Congressional committee, and we will co-operate with its investigators in every possible way."

—"The Canadian Social Crediter," 26/7/45.

## STALIN PROMOTES HIMSELF

Marshal Stalin, having decided that he is a person of outstanding merit, has conferred upon himself the new (in Russia) title of Generallissimo, which has a fine Fascist ring. But the idea has charm and logic, and Pooh-Bah was not much before his time when he commented on the declaration, "Such is the Law"—"It is, I made it so." After all, to whom is the Supreme Potentate in the Supreme State to look for a little recognition of his own merits, if not to himself?

—"The Social Crediter," 7/7/45.

Printed by M. F. Canavan, 25 Cullinton-road, Hartwell, for the New Times Ltd. McEwan House, Melbourne.