

The "NEW TIMES" is a really independent, non-party, non-class, non-sectarian weekly newspaper, advocating political and economic democracy, and opposing totalitarianism in all its forms.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is verging,
In God's name, let us speak while there is time!
Now, when the padlocks for our lips are forging,
Silence is crime. —WHITTIER.

THE NEWTIMES

Volume 13. MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1947 Number 40

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Our charges for supplying and posting the "New Times" direct to your home or elsewhere every week are:
Three months, 5/-; Six months, 10/-;
Twelve months, £1.
Payments must be made in advance and sent direct to New Times Ltd.,
Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.
(Registered Office: 343 Little Collins St., Melbourne, C.I. Phone: MU2834.)

The British Revolution Provides a Vital Lesson

Australians Must Note

Australian electors can learn much from the Revolution now taking place in Great Britain. Probably the most important lesson to be learned is the manner in which the Socialist Government has been able to proceed towards its totalitarian objective — or, rather, the objective of its masters! — without any written Constitution to limit its increasing usurpation of power over the individual.

Here in Australia the Federal Constitution, in spite of its weaknesses, has placed severe limitations upon the Canberra totalitarians. Thus the persistent attacks by all types of Federal Governments, Labor and non-Labor, to weaken the Constitution.

We have never tired of stressing the important fact that the non-Labor Federal parties have advanced the totalitarian idea as well as the Socialists.

When Mr. Menzies and Mr. Casey inform us that, once the banking system is nationalised, a change of Government will not result in denationalization, they are admitting that a change of political "representatives" does not mean a real change in Government.

"Pink Socialism"

The British writer, L. S. Amery, writes in his "Thoughts on the Constitution": "What we call a change of Government is in fact only a change in the small, if important, element which is required to direct the general policy, while recruiting for it parliamentary and public support, or at least acquiescence." All modern centralised Governments are in the hands of the "advisers" who are the real deciders of major policies.

This has been particularly obvious in Great Britain where the British "Conservative" Party at its recent Conference adopted with few dissentients an industrial policy embracing central planning, the retention of controls, and a "modified" form of nationalisation.

This policy has been termed "pink socialism."

From all the reports reaching us from Great Britain, it is obvious that the "Conservatives" have accepted without question the idea that they can only obtain office if they put forward some modified form of Socialism. Like the "anti-Socialists" in this country, the British "Conservatives" have been so bankrupt of clear-cut constructive principles that they can merely ask a populace corrupted with years of propaganda to believe that they can run Socialism much more efficiently than the Socialists!

De-Nationalisation "Is Impossible"

Mr. Eden says that once nationalisation takes place, de-nationalisation is impossible. It may, of course, be true that once centralisation of power reaches a certain stage effective revolt is made well nigh impossible. However, if, as the British "Conservatives" now blatantly admit by the adopting of their Socialist Industrial Charter, power once centralised by one Government cannot be decentralised by a succeeding Government—the same argument now being advanced by Mr. Menzies and Mr. Casey in this country—the elector should be asking the following questions: —

If, as all the party politicians now admit, a change of political "representatives" does not result in power being decentralised, why bother changing the politicians?

What action can I take to prevent this centralisation of power proceeding any further?

These questions can only be answered satisfactorily by a consideration of the maintenance of a written Constitution, which strictly limits the power of Governments.

Education On Basic Principles

The maintenance of such Constitutional protection that we still have left in this country depends upon the state of public opinion. It is obvious, therefore, that the rallying of public

opinion upon this vital issue is urgently necessary if our civilisation is to be saved.

What is required is an educational campaign on fundamental principles for a society of genuinely free individuals. The fact that the "anti-Socialists" in Great Britain, like the "anti-Socialists" in Australia, rather than face up to this fundamental matter, seek to persuade the electors that they can handle centralised power much better than their "opponents," should convince all thinking people that there is no salvation in a mere party approach to the issue under discussion.

"Human happiness was increased by the ability of the individual to select his requirements from a variety of sources." That refreshing statement was made by Mr. F. G. Freman, President of the Commonwealth Chamber of Fruit and Vegetable Industries.

He also stated: "Government orderly marketing and control brought in its train a limitation of this selection, because certain types of commodities, such as apples, pears, shirts and other things had disappeared." That is an accurate description of the result of socialism, alias controls, rationing, planned economy, high taxation, etc.

BRITAIN'S BURDENS

Apart from the physical burdens being placed on the long-suffering Britishers, they are now to have heavier taxes imposed on them. The reason given for these taxes is to "grab some of their money before the new restrictions on the home market make themselves felt." In effect the position is this: they have received money for producing goods which have been taken away from them by way of exports, and now they are to have their money taken from them in "proportion to the goods that have been taken." It's hard to believe that such a crude confidence trick could be put over. But it has.

The new taxes are to be mostly "indirect," which are not so easily observed, because the Government is afraid of breaking down workers' incentives. It is much easier to let them handle the money, then to take it from them through "prices. That's what results from a London School of Economics policy under a so-called "workers'" government. That is only another donkey and carrot story, plus the fact that the donkey also gets a physical whacking for good measure. It's likewise happening here.

PRICES PROSECUTIONS

Press items often feature small businessmen being fined for breaches of Price Fixing regulations, but now and then there are less prominent reports of Prices officials being fined or dismissed for corrupt practices. Just recently there was the case of the Magistrate who refused to accept the evidence of a paid Government informer, and, as reported in the Melbourne "Herald" of September 15, he was gaoled for accepting bribes.

These departments are a haven for crooks because it gives them protection of the law without the checks, which are part of the regular police system. The sooner such wartime departments are disbanded the better for all concerned, and the sooner we will obtain adequate production at reasonable competitive prices.

POLLUTED POLITICS

The action of the Victorian Legislative Council in forcing an election is undoubtedly an abuse of its functions, even though the move will be welcomed by many people,

It may be asked: What has all this got to do with the nationalisation of banking, financial reform, or any other type of reform?

The answer is simple: No reform is possible in the face of centralised power.

The basic idea underlying a Constitution is that there should be a specific limit to the power of any man or group of men over other men.


Necessity Of Written Constitution

This limit has got to be widely understood. Certain fundamental rights have got to be guaranteed to the individual. No game is possible without some rules, however simple. In the absence of Constitutional rules, which clearly define the relationships between Central Governments and local Governments, and individuals and Governments, the way is open to gangsterism.

Unless we preserve the rules there is no hope of introducing any type of reform in the face of increasing corruption by the power-lusters. Because there are no written rules in Great Britain, the revolution is proceeding much more rapidly than in this country.

The lesson should be clear to all thinking Australians: All those politicians who will not assist in a

positive defence of the Federal Constitution should be treated as the enemies of our British way of life.



R. G. CASEY
Is He A "Pink Socialist"?

England Has Newsprint For "Soviet News"

In the House of Commons on August 4, Sir E. Graham-Little asked the President of the Board of Trade why, in view of the cut in newsprint enforced upon British newspapers, the "Soviet News" continues to receive, undiminished, an allocation of newsprint which allows it to continue the issue of a four folio page journal daily, and if he will review this position.

Sir S. Cripps: The allocation for this publication, in common with other foreign Government-sponsored journals which have been specially licensed to be published under the Paper Control Orders, is fixed on the advice of the Central Office of Information at a minimum quantity considered appropriate, and this quantity is constantly under review.

—"The Social Creditor" (Eng.), Aug. 16, 1947.

"PLANNING" CHAOS IN A BIG WAY!

If you have still any doubts as to just what the "Big Idea" (via the planners) is all about, check these extracts from a recent report of the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organisation on the question of world food "shortages": —

1. "Even though the United States has poured 28,000,000 tons of grain into the world's food bin in an unprecedented flood of exports, total food supplies still are from 6,000,000 to 10,000,000 tons short of full requirements . . ."

2. ". . . The weak link in planning to meet the overwhelming need for greater production, both now and in the future, is the fear of surpluses," declared the report in a reiteration of previous warnings.

3. "Bluntly put, the reason many hang back from expanded production is **the fear that shortage of foreign exchange will reduce demand for imports; that in any case demand will shrink when rehabilitation demands have been met; or again, the synthetics will displace many farm products.**" (Our emphasis—Ed. "C.S.C.")

Co-relate these three extracts, then you will not be surprised to learn that, following the conclusions drawn from the report (by "experts," of course), the main item on the agenda at the forthcoming conference at Geneva will be a discussion on "Taking over international allocation of foods and fertilisers. . ."

Every policy is based on a philosophy. The philosophy behind this policy is a philosophy of EVIL.

—"The Canadian Social Creditor," Aug. 21, '47.

—OBH.

THE RECORD OF THE ANTI-BRITISH POLICY

(Radio Talk by ERIC D. BUTLER, over 3 CS, Colac.)

In a famous speech made in 1942, Mr. Winston Churchill indicated very clearly that he realised that there was a plot to liquidate the British Empire.

Mr. Churchill said: "Let me... make this clear, in case there should be any mistake about it in any quarter . . . I have not become the King's First Minister in order to preside over the liquidation of the British Empire. For that task.. . someone else would have to be found. . ."

It is very obvious that there are many powerful groups only too happy to liquidate the British Empire, and, judging by the appalling results we see all around us, they are being all too successful. We have dealt often with the power of the International Jew and his destructive political and economic policies.

We are now going to deal with the most important of "the moves made to reduce the British Empire to its present plight."

World War I

During World War I, as during World War II, a highly centralised Germany was the tool of Jewish strategy. Such was the power of the International Jew during World War I that the German-Jewish financiers of Wall Street, New York, not only dominated the American Government, but through their German connections, also dominated the German Government.

While Mr. Paul Warburg, of the famous Kuhn, Loeb & Co. banking group, was financial adviser to the Wilson Government in America, his brother, Mr. Max Warburg, was playing a leading role in advising the German Government.

When, in 1917, the British Government was in such a desperate situation that they had to approach the American Government for assistance, it was the Jew, Rufus Isaacs, later Lord Reading, who "represented" the British Government. He negotiated in America with the Warburgs and other members of the German-Jewish financial groups.

Although all the details have never been published, it is certain that the financial loan granted Great Britain as a result of the 1917 negotiations between powerful International Jews, was only granted on terms, which permitted the Jewish International Financiers to obtain control of Great Britain's domestic policies.

The Bank Of "England"

In 1920 Mr. Montagu Norman, previously a member of an American banking firm, became Governor of the Bank of "England." He was advised by two American financial experts connected with the Jewish dominated Federal Reserve Bank in America.

It is essential that we understand that Great Britain emerged from the 1914-18 war with her industrial system greatly improved, her agriculture in good heart, and with every material prospect of growing independence for all British citizens.

But within a few years of Mr. Norman taking control of the Bank of "England," British industry was paralysed by the credit restriction policy, which was implemented.

It will be recalled that the Versailles "Peace" Conference was dominated by the same International Jewish financiers who had advised Governments on both sides of the fighting lines during World War I.

They insisted that Germany be kept centralised and not decentralised as suggested by the French and the British. This insistence that Germany be kept highly centralised is particularly sinister when we examine subsequent developments in Germany, developments which led to Hitler and the second World War.

Subversive Institutions,

Apart "from Mr. Norman taking control of the Bank of "England" after World War I, there was also the establishment of the notorious London School of Economics, which played a major role in destroying the British Empire.

Another subversive institution brought into being after World War I was the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Like the Fabian Socialist London School of Economics, it was also financed by Jewish financial groups, who obviously knew what they were about. The destructive work of the Institute of International Affairs can be best judged by the following extracts from a speech made by a Director, Professor Arnold Toynbee, who said at a gathering of internationalists in Copenhagen in 1931: "If we are frank with ourselves, we shall admit that we are engaged on a deliberate and sustained and concentrated effort to impose limitations upon the sovereignty and the independence of the fifty or sixty local sovereign independent States. . . . I will not prophesy. I will merely repeat that we are at present working discreetly but with all our might to wrest this mysterious political force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local national States of the world. And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands. . . . The fifty or sixty local States of the world will, no doubt, survive as administrative conveniences. . . ."

In other words National Governments everywhere shall be merely administrative agencies for implementing a policy laid down by one international group, the inter-

national group being the International Jews.

Unfortunately for the international plotters, the peoples of the world were not very keen to give up control of their own affairs, so they had to be subjected to suitable conditioning.

The Great Depression was initiated by the German-Jewish financiers in America in 1929. Great Britain and the rest of the Empire were brought into line.

Political and Economic Planning

In 1931 there came into being in Great Britain another sinister Jewish-inspired organisation known as Political and Economic Planning, or, as it is generally known by the initials, P.E.P. It issued some documents together with the statement that those using the material in these documents should do so without acknowledging the source.

P.E.P. was dominated for many years by Israel Moses Sieff, the "British" chain-store monopolist and Zionist. P.E.P. advocates large scale planning along Russian lines. The technique of infiltration adopted by P.E.P. was so successful that Mr. Sieff's ideas made rapid progress and were actually adopted by allegedly Conservative Governments.

The same ideas were introduced into all parts of the British Empire and the United States. The New Deal introduced by the Roosevelt regime started during the Great

Keys To Current Events

SIGNIFICANT NEWS SURVEY

International

U.N.R.R.A. Spies

Spies in U.N.R.R.A.—One of many very good—or it should be very bad—reasons for the failure of the originally finely-conceived world welfare organisation was stated without any mincing of words by the former British representative in Germany, Lieut.-Gen. Sir Frederick Morgan. He declared that it was packed with spies; that the Russian "security police" (the N.K.V.D.) "placed agents in every category of U.N.R.R.A. employment, even down to what were called Class 2 employees—that is, displaced persons who were paid by U.N.R.R.A. for doing work round the camps." The N.K.V.D. also "placed agents among the refugees streaming into Germany from Eastern Europe." Such agents had three objectives, Sir Frederick explained. First, to spread disaffection and discontent among displaced persons to embarrass the British and American occupation authorities. Second, "the typical N.K.V.D. job, which is to identify the home relatives of displaced persons who refuse to return to Soviet-dominated lands, particularly Poland, the Baltic States and Yugoslavia." Third, to ferret out information of a military character. Hence, of course, the loud Com. howls everywhere when Uncle Sam, who was footing most of the bill, and J. Bull with him, decided to call the good thing off.

—Sydney "Bulletin," June 18.

Australia

The State Banks

Altogether a worthy institution [the State Savings Bank], and if the worst came to the worst it could be expanded.

Indeed, if Federal "Labor" succeeded in its efforts to wipe out the trading banks, there is nothing in the Commonwealth Constitution to prevent this Savings Bank going in for general banking business. It would have an unassailable right to undertake this business within the borders of South Australia. Could it establish and maintain branches for general business in other States? It might. Although the Commonwealth Parliament is authorised to make laws "for the peace, order and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to . . . State banking extending beyond the limits of the State concerned" (subsection 13 of Section 51), and although it is provided also (Section 109) that "when a law of a State is inconsistent with a law of the Commonwealth, the latter shall prevail, and the former shall, to the extent of the inconsistency, be invalid," there remains Section 92 . . . "Trade, commerce and intercourse among the States . . . shall be absolutely free." And Section 92 comes in Chapter IV—Finance and Trade.

—Sydney "Bulletin," Oct. 1.

Depression years. It can therefore be seen that the Great Depression, initiated by the Jewish international financiers created the ideal conditions for the crippling of the small businessman and the farmer and the imposition of Government controls as the first essential step towards complete State control.

Under War Or Threat Of War?

However even the terrible depression years did not result in the idea of central control and the destruction of individual sovereignty being advanced sufficiently. In fact there was a growing tendency to revolt against centralised control, particularly financial control.

In 1938 'P.E.P. made a very significant statement, that it was only in war or under threat of war that the British people would accept large scale Government planning. Here was a direct intimation 'by the International Jew that another crisis in the shape of another military war was necessary in order to get the British people to give up control of their own lives.

We can now see why Germany was kept centralised and why International Jewish finance did all in its power during the Armistice years to build Germany up while the British Empire was progressively weakened. The Second World War was used to extend the control over the British Empire by the International Jew. Great Government bureaucracies were built up and controlled by Socialists and Communists.

Army "Educational" Services were set up in all British countries and used to condition the troops everywhere to an acceptance of the idea of more and more centralised Government planning. When Dr. H. V. Evatt, close friend of the Jewish Communist, Professor Harold Laski of the London School of Economics, and associate of powerful Jews in America, attempted to further the Jewish policy in Australia by centralising all power at Canberra by means of the 1944 Referendum, it is significant to recall that the biggest "Yes" vote recorded was that of the members of the Armed Forces.

What Elliott Said

America is purse-proud, and has not learned that money is not the only thing in life. This was stated yesterday by Mr. R. D. Elliott at the luncheon of the Federal Institute of Accountants.

Attacking America for its present role, Mr. Elliott said it was not helping Britain in its difficulties. In every part of the world some American interest was fanning hate against England. Mr. Elliott said it was the opinion in England that the Marshall plan would not be carried through.

Predicting England's rise again to world leadership, Mr. Elliott said there was a wonderful opportunity now for Empire co-operation, particularly in food supplies. Possibilities for food production in Australia were wonderful. Now was the time for Australia to make an inventory of what she could provide for export to aid England. —Melbourne "Age," Sept. 24.

Mr. R. D. Elliott is an ex-Senator, owns a chain of provincial newspapers, is a close friend of Lord Beaverbrook, and has just returned from overseas.

Great Britain

Million Complaints Per Month For B.O.T.!

Sir Stafford Cripps, President of the Board of Trade, has grimly announced that his Department receives 1,225,000 letters of complaint every month. No doubt this record will be beaten, unless the shortage of paper, which includes notepaper, persists. For grievances accumulate and seem to grow worse the longer they are endured. Do all the letters get answered? Perhaps, at further expense of paper, the correspondents are anaesthetised by a retaliatory shower of printed slips, like those we get from the Telephone Manager, or from any other public servant (as they are called), to whom we write, begging for urgent aid in the repairing line. "The matter is receiving attention," says the slip. By whom? Very often nothing happens. Somebody's power of attention is fatigued. Further application is rewarded by another repetitive slip; not by one declaring that the matter is still receiving attention. The attention is fixed, and, apparently, futile. And presumably all but very obstinate complainants tire first.

—Richard Jennings, writing in "Nineteenth Century," August 47.

Banks in England

The banks, for example, have lined up behind Government policy. Since nationalisation of the Bank of England, they have come to regard themselves as rather on the borderline between public and private institutions. Consequently, bank chairmen no longer fulminate against the misdeeds of the Government. They tend to behave like civil servants, lest they become such.

—From "News Review" (Eng.), and quoted in "Life Digest," Oct. 1947.

The Record Of Events

It was the corrupting influence of socialist and communist propaganda during the war years, which brought the Socialist Governments to power all over the world. The British Socialist Government is the chosen tool of International Jewish Finance. The present "Dollar Crisis" is the latest move in the war against the British Empire by the International Jew.

Already our Canberra Socialists are getting into line to further the policy of more controls, more sacrifices, and more regimentation. And all the while the British Empire is flooded with undesirable refugees from Europe and elsewhere.

The record of events we have outlined in this talk is not a bright one—but it is essential that we understand the real reasons for our present desperate plight. When enough people have, this understanding, then, and then only, can effective action be taken to obtain salvation.

WHAT MENZIES SAID IN 1942

Early in the anti-bank nationalisation campaign, Mr. Menzies urged electors to write to their Members of Parliament about the matter. But he didn't continue advocating the electoral pressure idea for very long. Mr. Menzies' views on representative Government can be judged by the following statement made in 1942:

"Many electors believed that the function of their Member was to ascertain what a majority of his electors desired, and then to plump for it in Parliament. A more stupid and humiliating conception could hardly be imagined." (Vide Melbourne "Argus," July 25, 1942.)

Mr. Chifley obviously has the same idea as Mr. Menzies, which provides further striking evidence of the similarity in outlook of the Party leaders. The present comparative apathy of the electors is the result of the party politicians having persuaded the electors over a period of years that they should vote passively for a party once every three years. Genuine self-government will never be established until more electors initiate action to bring their Members of Parliament under firm control of their electors, irrespective of whether Mr. Menzies and others find this "humiliating" or not.

SOCIALISM AND THE PROPERTY QUESTION

Where the Socialist differs fundamentally from the Christian view of man is in his low estimation of human status.

The Christian stands for the unconditional right of every man to enjoy the freedom which is conferred by private ownership because he believes that it is the sacred duty of every child of God to develop in his life and work the particular facet of the divine image in which he has been made.

Men are not all endowed with creative gifts in the arts but all men are able, given the opportunity, "to create a home with a personal character, to plant their parents' favourite flowers in their own gardens, to choose according to their own taste the furniture for their rooms and the crockery for their kitchens."

Stepun argues, like Eric Gill, that every man is a "special kind of artist" but for most men the only opportunity to express their personalities, to impress their particular image of God on the unformed material of Nature, can only come through the loving care devoted to their private property.

Socialism and Christianity are one in their condemnation of the concentration of property in a few private hands, but whereas Socialism aims in theory at the abolition of private ownership, Christianity must aim, for much deeper reasons, at the universal distribution of property which is true Socialism, for "what could be less Socialistic than to deprive the majority of men of the possibility of any creative activity?" Socialists and Christians should unite their forces in the common fight against monopolistic capitalism, but this implies a wholesale rethinking of the Socialist position.

If what has been enjoyed by a privileged few is to be extended to all men, this will not be done by abolishing capitalism but by replacing a monopolistic by a pluralistic capitalism. If Socialists can shift the emphasis of their thinking from the second to the first term of "monopolistic capitalism," then there will be far more common ground between Socialists and Christians.

The fact that a good thing such as landed property, with all that it means in the way of organic local associations with the people, fields and woods of a particular locality, family memories and childhood joys, should have been enjoyed by a privileged few does not mean, as Socialists imagine, that this good thing is really an evil thing.

It is still a good thing, the Christian and Socialists should combine in a common effort to make the good things widely available, not abolish the good things and thereby impoverish poor and privileged alike.

—The English "Tablet," June 7, 1947

ENGINEERED WORLD DESTRUCTION

By HENRY H. KLEIN.

Note: This article is written by a Jew about the Jews. Reprinted from "Tomorrow" (Eng.), June 1947, it was first published in 1945.

There is not a great deal here, perhaps, with which the regular reader of our columns is not already familiar. But the story from a courageous Jew who dared to challenge the Jewish Hierarchy, adds further authenticity to the material evidence, which from time to time, we have consistently published. —Editor "NT."

(Now that the worst world war is over, it is well to know who caused it, and why. For that reason I have written this article. —Henry H. Klein.)

Two thousand years ago, Jesus tried to save Jewish civilisation. He advocated the law of God and Moses, the law of nature, the law of limitation—limiting excessive private fortunes by law. The Jewish Sanhedrin, composed of some of the worst money gangsters and political crooks of Palestine, condemned Jesus. They charged him with sedition against the Roman government and had him convicted and executed by the Roman governor. Palestine was a province of Rome. That was the beginning of the end of Jewish and Roman civilization and the beginning of Christian civilization.

For more than thirty years I have advocated the law of God and Moses—the law of limitation. I have been urging a capital tax, limiting excessive private fortunes by law. During all that time and since the publication of my first book "Standard Oil or The People" in 1914, the word "limitation" as applied to excessive private wealth, has been kept out of public print though the law of limitation applies to everything in life, including life itself.

The Protocols

For more than thirty years, the people have been humbugged about economics. They have been fed on false doctrine such as communism, socialism and single tax, in order to confuse their minds and make straight thinking impossible. This is in accordance with the plan outlined in the famous or infamous document known as the "Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion," published after the meeting of the first Zionist Congress held in Basle, Switzerland, in 1897. Whether a copy of the Protocols was stolen from Theodor Herzl, known as the "father of Zionism," or from the files of the Congress, it is not definitely known. Nor is it definitely known whether Herzl or Asher Ginsberg, a rabid Zionist at that time, wrote them. They were first published in Russia in 1897 and again in 1905 by Sergius Nilus, a Russian professor. A printed copy of the protocols was lodged in the British Museum on August 10, 1906.

Why is knowledge of the contents of the Protocols important now? Because they outline a detailed plan for the destruction of the Christian world and for its conquest and control by a handful of Jews known as the Sanhedrin, and because most of it has already been accomplished. All that is left to complete the conquest is for the Sanhedrin to openly declare its power over all the governments. The first step towards completing the conquest was taken when the United States Congress adopted the so-called United Nations charter, which created the world super government, outlined in the Protocols. The next step will be taken when other nations do the same thing and provide military forces for the so-called Security Council created by the charter, to oppress and suppress the peoples of all nations. When this is done, the rulership of the Sanhedrin over the world will be complete. The United States, Great Britain and Russia are already under Sanhedrin control, though the people of these nations do not know it.

What is the Sanhedrin?

What is the Sanhedrin and of whom does its membership consist? The Sanhedrin is a body of self-appointed and self-anointed Jews who presume to run all Jewish affairs and to control all Jews.

Jesus challenged their presumption and their power in His day and He seriously interfered with their graft when He drove the moneychangers out of the temple in Jerusalem. That act summed up His entire career—He was an indignant, earnest reformer. The Sanhedrin had reached the lowest depth of corruption. The murder of Jesus ended its criminal reign for a time.

The Jews as a mass did not understand what was happening; they had been misled by the Sanhedrin and the rabbis. The Sanhedrin consisted of seventy men who ruled civil affairs. They had also previously ruled criminal affairs which power was taken from them by the Roman government. There was also another Sanhedrin consisting of twenty men, who ruled religious affairs.

Jesus fought both of them.

The Sanhedrin today consists of more than seventy men. Walter Rathenau, who is said to have been the richest and most influential Jew in Germany before he was murdered in 1922, is quoted as having said that 300 men rule the world and that each one knows the other. Benjamin Disraeli the only Jew to be prime minister of Great Britain, said in his book "Coningsby," published nearly 100 years ago, that the world is ruled by men other than those the people think. That statement is put into the mouth of a character called "Sidonia"

who is supposed to be Lord Rothschild. In 1806, Napoleon Bonaparte brought the leading Jews of France before him to settle questions involving titles to real estate.

Sanhedrin Follows Protocols

If the Sanhedrin is the power that has ruled the world during the past fifty years, then everything that has transpired during that time is understood.

It was in accordance with the plan outlined in the Protocols that both revolutions (1905 and 1917) occurred in Russia; that we have had two world wars; that the stock markets of the world collapsed in 1929; that we have had world anti-Semitism and that we even now have the threat of world revolution uttered by the blatant "socialist" Harold J. Laski of London, the pal of Felix Frankfurter, who says that revolution will come to the United States.

The Sanhedrin then is only another name for the "Learned Elders of Zion" who are implementing all the steps in the programme outlined in the Protocols.

The Jews as a whole know nothing about this programme, nor do they know anything about the Sanhedrin. The Protocols say we keep in the shade. All that the Jewish people know is that they have been herded together like sheep and that they are subject to every form of shakedown that can be devised; and they have been shaken down plenty in recent years.

The Sanhedrin programme is backed by the money lords of the world. The Rothschilds were behind it from the beginning. They backed Herzl. The Rockefeller and others of great wealth in all countries followed suit. Even directors of the great world utilities monopoly Sofina, were compelled to go along for war after their man Chamberlain was forced out of the Prime Ministership of Great Britain in 1940. Chamberlain wanted to preserve peace in Europe.

Why So Powerful?

Why is the Sanhedrin so powerful? Because it has accumulated a vast store of wealth and because in their madness for world power, its members stop at nothing. Many prominent persons in the United States, who opposed their programme, died suddenly. The Protocols says we will exterminate those who oppose us; they will die as if from natural causes.

When will this world madness end?

When the people realise what is going on and end it, or, when there is no one left who dare oppose the Sanhedrin. The Protocols refer to all persons as "subjects" under Sanhedrin rule and they refer to Christians (Goyim) as cattle. Civilisation has circled the globe and reached its highest point in our time and in our country. A long period of darkness will follow, the same as followed the murder of Jesus, unless we prevent it. Corruption has again reached its lowest depth.

The Extent Of This Madness

I realised the extent of Sanhedrin madness when I represented as counsel one of the defendants in the so-called sedition trial in Washington, D.C., during 1944. I realised that Native Americans were being persecuted because they exposed the Protocols and in order to prevent the people from realising that the nation was being dragged surreptitiously into war.

In the first paper that I filed in court for my client in January 1944, I intimated that the prosecution originated in the brain of "British minded Felix Frankfurter." It was Frankfurter who kept Roosevelt under control to do the Sanhedrin bidding. Roosevelt had no choice. He had too many "Panamas" (financial scandals) and "dark stains" in his career and the Protocols say that only persons with "dark stains" or "Panamas" in their lives will be selected for high public office, so that they can be kept under control or exposed if they refuse to follow orders.

My 18 charges for Roosevelt's impeachment which were before Congress since January 23, 1943, were not acted upon because of the influence of "Barney" Baruch over Congress. Baruch's influence extends to the army and navy also.

Control Of The Press

Why have all the machinations of the Sanhedrin succeeded in the face of a so-called independent press? No daily newspaper in the United States is free from Sanhedrin control, nor is any large magazine or book publisher. Twenty-five years ago, the publishers Putnams, wanted to publish the Protocols. They were firmly reminded that if they did so they would be forced out of business and ruined. The plates of the book which they had prepared after the type was set, were sold to a junk dealer. Since then Sanhedrin agents have bought all copies of the Protocols that could be


found, printed in any language. It was a capital offence in Russia under Kerensky, in 1917, to own a copy. Copies in English are scarce. The Protocols were originally written in Hebrew and in French and translated into Russian, then into English by a British newspaperman who spent years in Russia as correspondent. They were also translated into German.

In 1935, an effort was made in Switzerland, to judicially suppress the Protocols. Four boys were arrested charged with selling "obscene" literature. The only obscenity in the Protocols is the impudence of the author whose cynicism and cold-bloodedness surpass belief. The Protocols claim that the "elders" control all publications in Europe and this control has been extended to the United States and other countries where the money gang and the Sanhedrin rule.

In the United States, the newspapers have suppressed vital truths for many years. The Sanhedrin and the money gang can't stand for truth in journalism, nor for honesty and independence among Jews.

How does the Sanhedrin exert its great power over the press? Largely through the control of advertising and bank credits; sometimes through spies and traitors in newspaper offices. Putnams ignored personal threats until their bank credit was threatened; then they considered the interest of their stockholders and surrendered in order to remain in business.

The Sanhedrin money gang controls the radio and the movies and no person can get on the air unless he is "right" and no pictures can be exhibited unless approved.



BERNARD BARUCH
Wields Tremendous Influence

Bernard M. Baruch, now 77, still is playing a more important hand in Washington affairs than is generally known. For example, he was in Washington as key U.S. consultant on the British-U.S. loan negotiations. He is also being considered as a possible coordinator of all the reports now being compiled on the Marshall plan. Whether he is given this job will depend largely on whether Truman feels, as some do, that Baruch has become too critical of the British Labour Government's policies since his last visit to England.

—"Newsweek" (U.S.A.), Sept. 8.

The suppression of the truth and the control of the press is clearly set forth in several of the 24 Protocols and particularly in Protocol 12 where control of the press under Sanhedrin rule is outlined.

Jewish Propaganda

It has puzzled many persons including Jews, to see all the publicity about Jewish affairs in the newspapers. For years newspaper offices have been flooded with propaganda articles from scores of so-called pro-Jew agencies. Tens of millions of dollars have been collected from Jews and Christians for and because of this publicity and the programme is to keep on publicising.

Most so-called pro-Jewish organisations are only propaganda agencies. There are scores of such agencies supposedly fighting anti-Semitism and scores of them boosting Zionism. So much wealth has been collected for these two ostensible purposes in recent years, that Judaism and Zionism seem to have become the most lucrative rackets in the United States, as many decent Jewish businessmen can testify. We must keep anti-Semitism alive say the Protocols, even though we sacrifice some of our own people. Anti-Semitism or anti-Jew has existed from the time the Sanhedrin caused Jesus to be murdered and the Jews blamed.

The "Smear" Technique

From the time I appeared as counsel for one of the defendants in the so-called sedition trial, I have been a target for Jewish animosity, stirred up by Sanhedrin agents. Jewish newspapers and periodicals called me a Jew hater and Jew baiter and branded me anti-Jewish. They even falsified my career of sixty-six years of which all but the first two years were spent in New York City, and blackened me so much that I received dozens of threats by mail, telephone and telegraph. One Jewish woman in Brooklyn wrote that she would like to cut my throat and one of the alleged leading Jews wrote me from the Waldorf-Astoria Hotel in New York City, that I have already been "provided for." I put the text of that letter into the record of the court in Washington.

Not only was I threatened, but three attempts were made to knock me off physically during the past two years and many attempts were made to poison me. So heartless are Sanhedrin edicts

that members of my own family were forbidden to have social intercourse with me though I raised them from childhood.

This edict is in accordance with Protocol 17, a few lines of which read as follows: "Just as nowadays (50 years ago) our brethren are obliged at their own risk, to denounce to the Kahal, apostates of their own family, or members who have been noticed doing anything in opposition to the Kahal, so in our kingdom over all the world, it will be obligatory for all our subjects to observe the duty of service to the State in this direction." (Hitler was only an imitator.) The word Kahal is Kehillah in Hebrew. It is the local branch of the Sanhedrin in every community where Jews live, through which they are controlled without their knowledge.

Not only was I threatened with death and ostracised from my "family, but I was even read out of the Jewish ranks by such self-important Jews as Rabbi Stephen S. Wise in New York City and by others who presume to "own" the Jews.

Wise even read me out of the Jewish "party" (he is an active politician) and his weekly publication "Congress Weekly" owned by the American Jewish Congress, published an article characterising me as a "modern renegade" like Johann Pfefferkorn who, a few hundred years ago, according to the article became a Christian and "betrayed Jewish secrets."

I didn't know that Jews had any secrets. I thought everything was open and above board. If these "secrets" are the Protocols, then I can understand. At any rate, I am suing Wise and the Congress and Jewish and English newspapers for libel and may find out what these secrets are.

One thing I found out and that is that Judaism and Zionism are being worked as rackets by professional Jews and some Christians. Naturally, I became a target when I interfered with their graft. Political Zionism has superseded spiritual and cultural Zionism and has produced large sums of money for those manipulating it. What has become of all this wealth? The Protocols say that in two days we can produce enough gold for any purpose.

The Palestine Question

There is something sinister about all this pro-Jewish publicity. It was not anticipated by sincere Zionists that Zionism would hide a plot for world control by a handful. That was not thought of by those who favored Palestine as a homeland for Jews who wanted to live there.

That was the ideal of Zionists, but the movement has gone far beyond that stage. It is definitely linked with the Sanhedrin plot to rule the world. The Balfour declaration in 1917 did not contemplate the creation of a Jewish nation in Palestine. It says that Great Britain looks with favour on the establishment of a homeland for Jews there.

Any further attempt to set a Jewish nation in Palestine will no doubt meet violent resistance from the Arabs there who consider themselves the inheritors of that country, particularly since their self-determination and protection were guaranteed by Great Britain in 1915.

Hundreds of millions of dollars have been collected from Jews throughout the world for Palestine during the past fifty years. What has become of this vast sum? Some of it has been spent for land and construction there; some for overhead; some for private purposes; a large part of it for propaganda to keep the agitation alive and the golden stream flowing, and some of it went in graft. "Back to Zion" is a magic slogan among the Jews and the Dead Sea in Palestine is the magic spot that will produce the Golconda of wealth that will further reinforce the efforts towards world rulership. The value of the minerals and chemicals in the Dead Sea is estimated in trillions of dollars. Some of it is already being extracted by leading Zionists and others.

The Use Of Force

The Sanhedrin believes in force. The Protocols say that force rules the world. The atom bomb is the acme of force. Is it the symbol of Sanhedrin power? Will the plan of the Sanhedrin succeed? Jews have been sold on the idea that they are the chosen people. Chosen for what?—to lead the world to destruction. So far, the Jews have themselves been led to destruction.

Is the Sanhedrin the mad Pied Piper leading the Jews to destruction? Two thousand years ago, Sanhedrin corruption and criminality led the Jews to destruction by the Romans. Regeneration came years later through Jesus. Regeneration will come again when Jews, Christians and Arabs realise that they are of the same flesh and blood and that they have been brought on this earth not to destroy one another, but to live in peace and happiness.

THE MELBOURNE SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSE

Last Tuesday night was the last night of Mr. Eric Butler's second Social Credit Training Course. Mr. Butler has expressed great satisfaction with the results obtained from this second course. All Melbourne "New Times" readers are requested to note that the third course will start on Tuesday, October 28. All intending students are asked to let Mr. Butler know that they will be taking the course.

CHIFLEY'S CONTROL OF NEWSPAPER POLITICS

(The following article from the Sydney "Century," of September 19, 1947, provides information that gives an all-too-clear indication why the big newspapers are dealing comparatively quietly with the Bank Nationalisation issue. The heading is ours. —Editor "NT,")

Newsprint politics have dominated the editorial policies of all leading newspapers during the past few weeks. Prime Minister Chifley couldn't have timed his newsprint reduction proposals better. He has had the newspapers of the Commonwealth just where he wanted them.

As a result they have gone quietly on the Bank Bill. Nothing has been left undone that might be done to present the Government's case as favorably as possible.

Even the larger country newspapers have taken their cue from the city. They also are affected by the proposed cuts. So they have made it abundantly clear that they bear no animosity to Prime Minister Chifley.

Contrast the present attitude of the newspapers to their virulent campaign against the Lang Government.

Recall the hysteria and the hate. The faked photographs. The faked documents found in a waste-paper basket in Parliament House. The daily hymns of hate.

The "Daily Guardian" with its front pages screaming hate. The "Herald" putting in the boot in its news columns.

Even the advertising columns were closed to the Labor Party then. The Directors of Associated Newspapers at a special board meeting decided that no advertising would be accepted from the Labor Party.

All that could have happened to Chifley—only for newsprint. Chifley holds all the cards on that front. He can arbitrarily reduce all imports. That would compel the newspapers to rely upon the inadequate Tasmanian supplies.

Then there is the internal fight amongst the big shots. On the principle of splitting any possible opposition, Chifley has skillfully created the position where the proprietors are warring between themselves.

Ezra Norton and Frank Packer are on the losing end of the fight. Chif. is sticking to Rupert Henderson of the "Herald" and Eric Kennedy of the "Sun."

Cuts are to be applied on the basis of circulations at the beginning of the war.

The Packer and Theodore organisation will have a real headache. Their "Women's Weekly" has reached the almost astronomic circulation of 750,000 per week. That is an all-time Australian record.

Even though the size of the paper is not so great as the pre-war production, circulation has almost doubled and still rising. In addition, both the "daily and Sunday "Telegraph" have also doubled their circulation figures.

If Chifley keeps to his threat to cut back newsprint consumption to the early war years, there will have to be wholesale trimmings on Consolidated Press productions.

Ezra Norton's chief worry is the "Mirror," which also has had a meteoric rise on the circulation front. Started during the war, any basis earlier than the current year will react most adversely. In addition both Frank and Ezra have invested heavily in book production also to be affected by the cuts.

On the other hand the "Sun" had huge papers prior to the war, while it has suffered at the hands of the "Mirror" invading its then monopoly of the afternoon field.

Probably the "Sun" is in the position today where it is using much less than its newsprint license. At the same time "Woman" has jumped up in circulation.

One proposal is to increase the price of both "Woman" and the "Women's Weekly" to 4d to meet the problem of rising costs and controlled circulations with less advertising revenue.

Apart from putting its plan for a Sunday edition into the pigeonholes once again, the "Herald" like the "Sun" will probably profit at the expense of its competitor in the circulation war. There will be no cuts in circulation and almost none in advertising space.

At all events Rupert Henderson and Eric Kennedy appear to have the inside running with Chifley.

Never has the "Herald" been so attentive to seeing that the Government gets a good run in its news columns.

In addition there have been the special articles from Jacky Ferguson, Bill Taylor, Oscar Schrieber, and Lloyd Ross.

Before newsprint politics came into their own, the article in the "Catholic Weekly" would have been front-page news. Instead it was back-paged.

In addition the possible effect of the article was discounted quickly by background stories in the news columns.

The question that now arises is what Ezra and Frank will do when they realise



PRIME MINISTER CHIFLEY
Newsprint Controller

that they have been left high and dry. Although they have both assisted the Government in the past, they now find that Chif. is off-side.

They cannot expect assistance from the rank and file of Caucus even if the rank and file counted for anything in the political set-up at Canberra. Lobbying will do no good.

In good time they will find that the only way to gain the respect of a hostile Government is to make it fear you. At present, the Government neither respects nor fears the newspapers. It is openly contemptuous of them.

That is not strange. Even the most casual examination of the political columns is enough to show the sycophantic attitude of the newspaper nabobs towards the Government. They have tried to live on the favors of the new style political princes.

They have tried to be Machiavellis. When they wake up and start fighting, politics will again be interesting—that is if they haven't forgotten how to fight after so many years of fat living.

That Christmas Present

Christmas will soon be here and you will be wondering about that present for your friend—or your boy or girl.

Why not make books your choice for Christmas presents? No matter what your requirements, don't forget that the "New Times" Specialty Book Service can help you.

Why not save yourself trouble later by ordering your Christmas presents NOW?

Build Your Own Home

Any intelligent, energetic man can build his own home in his spare time. He can build the first section in about 18 months and move in with his family, completing the house in a further 2½ years. In this way he can save approximately £1000 in building costs. Hundreds of amateurs are building their own homes today. Tomorrow thousands will be doing it. "Build Your Own Home" (price 10/-) is a book, which explains clearly the step-by-step procedure. If you want more particulars of this unique book, send stamped-addressed envelope for free 16-page booklet.

Home Builders' Advisory,
Box 4397, G.P.O., Sydney.

Copies of "Build Your Own Home" may be purchased from the "New Times" by calling at the office, 5th Floor, McEwan House 343 Little Collins St., Melbourne, or by writing to New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne, and enclosing remittance for 10/5.

SHARE APPEAL TO CLOSE IN ANOTHER TWO WEEKS

MAKE YOUR APPLICATION FOR SHARES NOW.

All those readers who have not yet subscribed to our £1,000 share appeal, but who desire to do so, are requested to note that our expansion campaign makes it essential that the appeal finish in two weeks.

Four hundred readers are required to take an average of one share each in order that we obtain the £1,000 share capital desired.

Shares are £1 each, and, if necessary, may be obtained by the payment of 2/- per share upon application and 2/- per month.

WORLD HEGEMONY OF NEW YORK

There must be many students of affairs, however, who have an uneasy feeling that, while the issues are confused in the minds of the general public—and doubtless in the minds of our hapless governors—the confusion is not universal that the chaos gathering about the British Empire is no more accidental than, let us say, the abrupt cessation of Lend-Lease. Some may even doubt whether the British Government is still in control of events.

When Hitler's Germany moved towards economic self-sufficiency, the will to war on the part of American finance-capitalism was second only to Hitler's own will to war. United States policy was then defined as being unalterably opposed to any system, which interfered with the free flow of goods and capital across national frontiers.

Yet here is Britain preparing to go through the motions of following in Hitler's economic footsteps and not even the smallest atom bomb has been flourished in her face! "What's cooking?" More accurately, perhaps, one should ask: "What has been cooked and is now about to be served?"

... Britain, the British lands beyond the sea and Western Europe are all to be allowed a few months of acute hunger and want—a softening-up process, during which the craving need for dollars is calculated to become unbearable. It is confidently predicted that when Congress meets to discuss the situation in the New Year—perhaps long before then—the politicians of the debtor nations will be queuing as mendicants outside the American Treasury and the American State Department.

There will be no further haggling over terms, for the face-saving formulae will already have been devised at the American-dominated European talks in Paris and the American-dominated Imperial talks in London. The economic world hegemony of New York, except for rebellious Russia, will be complete.

—"Truth" (Eng.), Sept. 5, 1947.

BOOKLETS TO READ

Obtainable from the United Electors of Australia, 343 Little Collins Street, Melbourne.

"The Answer To Tax Slavery." A way out of the debt system. 1/1 posted.

"The Mysterious Protocols." The master plot related to present-day events. 2/7 posted.

D.S.C.M. (VIC.) WOMEN'S GROUP

The D.S.C.M. (Vic.) Women's Group meet on

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 17,
at 2.30 p.m.

in Room 8, The Block, Elizabeth St., Melbourne.

Afternoon tea will be served at 4.15.

All ladies interested are cordially invited.

Now Out!

"THE REAL COMMUNIST MENACE"

by Eric D. Butler

Price 1/7d post-free

In view of the widespread interest in the Canadian Royal Commission's Report on Communist espionage and other activities, this booklet, the main portion of which is the most important section of the Canadian Report, should be given the greatest possible circulation.

Only a limited edition of this booklet has been published, so readers desirous of obtaining copies should order immediately. Order from "New Times" Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"SOCIAL CREDIT AND CATHOLICISM"

By George-Henri Levesque, O.P., Professor of Economics, Laval and Montreal Universities, Dominican House of Studies, Ottawa.

Introduction by Eric D. Butler. Price 1/1 (post free). Order now from: New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

The Results Of Free Enterprise

We point to the simple fact that the free enterprise of the private enterprise nations has simply overwhelmed and swamped the nations that depended on government ownership whenever they have come into conflict with those nations. —J. H. Blackmore, Canadian Social Credit M.P.

Our Book Service

Readers can always order their books through the "New Times" All prices include postage. The following is a short list of some of the important books we have in stock.

"I Planted Trees" by Richard Baker, 16/11d. A book for all tree lovers and those who want to know of the importance of trees to our civilization.

"Soil and Civilisation" by Elyne Mitchell, 4/8d. A beautifully written book by a woman who sees the basis of civilisation being destroyed by the destruction of our soil.

"Pay Dirt" by Rodale, 21/5d. An excellent introduction to the subject of organic farming written by one of America's foremost authorities.

"Ploughman's Folly" by Faulkner, 10/2d. Probably the most controversial book on agriculture ever written. The author attacks the working of the soil by deep mould-board ploughing.

"Reconstruction by Way of the Soil," by Wrench, 19/11d. The author contends there can be no real reconstruction in society unless there is a different conception of soil as the living basis of civilisation.

"An Agricultural Testament" by Sir Albert Howard, 26/6d.

"Farming and Gardening For Health or Disease," by Sir Albert Howard, 19/9d.

The above two books are, of course, the great classics of organic farming and gardening. Not only every farmer, but every gardener should get these two books while stocks are available.

"From Vegetable Waste To Fertile Soil," by M. E. Bruce, 4/3d. Outlines a quick compost system. Particularly suitable for gardeners.

"Your Daily Bread," by Doris Grant, 8/-. An excellent book showing how to make wholemeal bread. Recipes for wholemeal scones, etc., also included.

"Napoleon of Hotting Hill" by G. K. Chesterton, 1/10d.

"The Club of Queer Trades" by G. K. Chesterton, 1/10d.

Two typical pieces of fiction by Chesterton. Social Crediters will really enjoy them.

"Years of Victory," by Arthur Bryant, 5/4d. Reduced from 15/- A great book by one of England's foremost historians.

"The Earth—Our Mother" by B. A. Santamaria, 10/3d.

"Australia's Entail," by A. O. Barrett, 6/3d.

Order from "New Times" Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Another ENWITE Speciality

[Regd.]

SOLVIT

For Clean, Easy Stripping

of

PAINT, LACQUER,
VARNISH

Etc., from Any Surface

NO DIFFICULT NEUTRALISATION!
WILL NOT HARM FABRIC OR WOOD

ASK YOUR HARDWARE STORE
FOR IT