

# THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

VOLUME 15, No. 45.

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 1949.

SIXPENCE WEEKLY

## DR. EVATT SUPPORTS ZIONIST POLICY

Speaking at the Pleasant Sunday Afternoon, Wesley Church, Melbourne, on October 30, Dr. H. V. Evatt extolled the United Nations as the great hope for the future of the human race. He is reported by the Melbourne "Sun" of October 31 as having claimed that one of the "successes" of the United Nations was the settling of the "dispute" in Palestine. Dr. Evatt's persistent attitude on the Palestine issue leaves no doubt that this power-luster is prepared to serve the Zionist-Communist conspiracy that is wrecking Christian civilization today.

It is surely hypocrisy of the worst possible type for Dr. Evatt to claim in a Christian Church that the United Nations "settled" the Palestine question. It is necessary to recall that the infamous partition of Palestine is the only major issue upon which the Soviet and the other big powers have been able to agree. The Soviet supported partition because it had a vested interest in supporting Zionist aggression. Allegedly established to prevent aggression, one of the first acts by the United Nations was to aid and condone Zionist aggression against the Arabs who had occupied Palestine for centuries. Dr. Evatt has never on any occasion drawn attention to the appalling fact that one of the results of the "settlement" he endorses was an army of nearly one million Arab refugees.

### **Petrol and Palestine**

Apart from undermining British prestige throughout the whole of the Middle East, the Palestine "settlement" has, ironically enough, had some connection with petrol rationing in Australia. One of the largest British refineries in the world, the one in Haifa, has been idle for months because the Iraq Government refuses to allow the pipe line to Haifa to be used. If the Haifa refinery were operating, the supply of sterling petrol would be enormously increased. Australian electors should question Dr. Evatt on this matter during the coming Federal Elections.

### **Loyalty to U.N.**

They should also remember that Dr. Evatt's role in the Palestine tragedy is not the only evidence of his willingness to serve the Zionist-Communist conspiracy. His insistence that Australia's foreign policy must be dominated by loyalty to the United Nations can only mean that loyalty to King and Empire is considered of secondary importance. This attitude is not surprising to those who are aware of Dr. Evatt's anti-British bias.

When Dr. Evatt paid his first visit to London during the war, the *London Observer* commented: "Like Cripps, Evatt is obviously a Socialist intellectual. He is, in fact, a friend of such left-wing thinkers as Justice Felix Frankfurter in the United States and Professor Harold Laski here." Laski and Frankfurter were close friends, and both supported the Zionist conspiracy.

### **Communism Supported**

Dr. Evatt has always sought to apologise for the Communists. When the British took strong action in Greece in order to prevent a Communist coup after the Germans withdrew, Dr. Evatt violently disagreed with Churchill's policy. In 1945, Dr. Evatt said: "Does Russia intend aggression? Having no clear evidence to the contrary, and having during the last four years come to know some of Russia's greatest statesmen, I take the view that the Soviet Union's policy is directed towards self-protection and security against future attack."

Speaking at Canberra in 1946, Dr. Evatt attacked British policy before the Second World War and deliberately falsified history by claiming that this policy had prevented Russia from entering into an alliance with Great Britain before 1941.

## Questions on World Government

1. How would voting power be arranged in this world federation? In terms of population, so that the United States, for example, would have approximately six percent of the votes and other large powers would have proportionately small representation? Or in terms of productivity, with preponderant power in the hands of less than 20 percent of the world's people who produce 75 to 80 per cent of its wealth?

2. What would limit the exercise of world police power over disarmed nations, or protect a minority against tyrannical action voted by a majority of nations under the sway of groups representing only a small portion of their own people?

3. What would prevent the seizure of the administrative machinery of a world government and its military power by totalitarian forces? Lenin seized power from a struggling new democratic regime and then used force to suppress a representative congress; Hitler maneuvered himself into power under the democratic Weimar constitution and then seized total control.

—Warren R. Austin, *The Reader's Digest*, November 1949.

In a recent speech at Canberra he minimised the Communist menace in China, and claimed that it had been grossly exaggerated.

The Palestine "settlement" which Dr. Evatt boasts about was a settlement forced upon the unfortunate Arabs by force of arms. Most of the arms used by the Zionists were bought from Russian satellites in Europe, the money being provided by the American Zionists whose hatred of all things British is well known. Dr. Evatt is well aware of these facts, which only serves further to demonstrate that the sooner this willing tool of the creators of the present world unrest is removed from public life, the better it will be for the entire British Empire.

## OUR POLICY

1. The preservation of Australia's sovereignty as a part of the British Empire, and the exposure of all internal and external groups which attack that sovereignty.
2. The preservation and extension of genuine local government.
3. The preservation and strengthening of all Constitutional safeguards for the purpose of protecting fundamental individual rights.
4. The encouragement of all activities designed to bring Governments under more effective control by the electors.
5. The preservation and extension of genuine free, competitive enterprise and private ownership, and opposition to all Monopoly, whether it be "private" or State.
6. The support of a financial policy which will (a) permit free enterprise to make available to all individuals an increasing standard of living and greater leisure for cultural pursuits; (b) result in no further increase in the community's indebtedness and the sound business practice of gradually reducing existing debt.
7. Recognising that the basis of any sound economy is agriculture, the encouragement of agricultural policies which will ensure the preservation and building up of soil fertility by organic farming and gardening; and the prevention of soil erosion and the protection of forests and watersheds.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is verging,

In God's name, let us speak while there is time!

Now, when the padlocks for our lips are forging,

Silence is crime.

WHITTIER.

# TO THE POINT

## First Bitter Fruits

One of the first bitter fruits of Mr. Chifley's devaluation policy is the increased price of petrol. Further increases are predicted for the near future. As 75 percent of Australia's total petrol consumption is used for commercial purposes, increased petrol prices must result in an intensification of the inflation menace.

The "experts" claimed that devaluation was necessary in order that Great Britain could earn more dollars. But recent reports from overseas indicate that Great Britain's dollar earnings have not increased since devaluation. Who is benefiting from devaluation? A correct answer to this question reveals the identity of the international gangsters working to "liquidate" the British Empire.

It should never be forgotten that devaluation was insisted upon by "Wall Street"—i.e. by the Zionist international financiers. These financiers have been directly and indirectly connected with every major catastrophe of this century. It is to be hoped that the results of devaluation will compel the British peoples everywhere to deal drastically with these enemies of the British Empire.

\* \* \* \*

## Monopoly and Credit Policy

Centralised control of credit policy has been largely responsible for the ever-growing concentration of economic power over the past 100 years. The Socialists and other totalitarians are well aware of this fact. Thus their bitter opposition to any suggestions for decentralising control of credit policy back to the individual. Nationalisation of banking simply means a completely centralised credit policy and its use to further the centralisation of economic power. The former chairman of the British Fabian Society, Professor G. D. H. Cole, was very frank about this matter when he wrote:

"We must find the most skilled and competent administrators in our ranks and put them in to manage the banks for us . . . . And these administrators must themselves be thorough Socialists. They (the banks) would have to keep in close touch with socialised industries and give preference to such industries in the supply of credit."

Dr. H. C. Coombs, Governor of the Commonwealth Bank, and educated at the Fabian Socialist London School of Economics, has the power under Clause 27 of the 1945 Banking Bill, to compel the trading banks to give preference to those industries which he favours.

It would be instructive to learn what the Liberals and Country Party propose to do about this matter if successful at the coming Federal Elections.

\* \* \* \*

## S.E.C. Monopoly

It is significant to note that the Victorian State Electricity Commission has ordered six more diesel-operated generating sets for two new power stations to be built at Warrnambool and Shepparton. This provides further evidence that the S.E.C. is determined to establish a

monopoly of electric power in Victoria. Many local governments already have their own diesel generating units, and there is no reason why any new diesel plants should not be made available either to private enterprise or to local governments.

\* \* \* \*

## Jewish Standards

The "Ravdell Case" in Melbourne should be causing the gravest concern to every Australian who desires to maintain British and Christian standards in this country. The Melbourne "Argus" of October 31 quotes Melbourne police as saying: "We are dealing with a terror campaign that is entirely foreign to anything hitherto experienced in Victorian crime history." This statement was made after the bombing of the home of Mr. R. Monahan, K.C.

In a recent article in the Melbourne "Herald", written by a Special Correspondent in France, serious charges are made concerning the manner in which the controllers of the I.R.O. are arranging for most undesirable individuals to be sent to Australia under Mr. Calwell's immigration scheme. There is no doubt that the technique of infiltration is being used to destroy this and other British countries from within.

## Production of Men

Agriculture "should be encouraged not only for its production of food, but also for its production of men."

—Christopher Hollis, British Conservative M.P., in his latest book, *Can Parliament Survive?*

\* \* \* \*

## American Welfare State

The following extract from *The Tablet* (England), of October 27, 1949, draws attention to the fact that the Welfare State also threatens the American people:

It was Mr. Bevin himself who, in his last speech in the House of Commons before the recess, declared that one of the reasons for the dollar crisis is that the United States itself has now become a Welfare State, just as much (he said) as Great Britain:—

"This so-called Welfare State has developed everywhere. The United States is as much a Welfare State as we are; only it is in a different form. One of our difficulties in the balance of payments today is the fact that the United States, in carrying out its welfare policy, has given basic prices to agriculture."

A correspondent in these pages last week wrote of another development in the United States, in the field of education, where the same tendency is to be seen more strikingly at work, towards the extension of the powers of the Federal Government in order to build up a unitary educational system. There are likewise projects for insurance and health services, and those who feel dismay at the way in which the visit of Mr. Bevin and Sir Stafford Cripps to Washington has been preceded by a violent American Press campaign against the activities of the Government in this country should remember that in a large degree the intention has been not so much to attack this country as to flog the Administration at home. It is all intended as

a solemn warning to Mr. Truman that the Republicans (finding themselves by an historical paradox the champions of State rights against the pretensions of the Federal Government) will resist similar experiments in centralized benevolence.

\* \* \* \*

## Local Hospitals

Mr. Gullett, M.H.R., in the Federal Parliament on October 11, 1949: What are really required in this country at the present time are more small local hospitals and more decentralization, instead of nationalization and centralization, which seem to be the Government's objects. After all, we have had operating in this country for years a scheme, which, although it is not extensive enough, is, in principle, very excellent. Probably most honorable members have in their electorates, as I have in mine in the Oakleigh Community Hospital, a system that provides an excellent service to local people. Such a system, as honorable members know, is maintained partly by government grants, partly by receipts from patients, and partly from amounts raised by local drives for funds. Such hospitals have their own doctors, equipment and buildings. They also have a proportion of free beds available for patients who cannot afford to pay for service. Finally, those institutions are run at a profit. Last year the Oakleigh Community Hospital made a profit of £500. The provision of more hospitals of that kind is the real need in this country. We require more small local hospitals rather than more great hospitals in metropolitan areas to which people must come from all over the State, leaving their relatives and home surroundings. The big hospitals are run at enormous cost and, in Great Britain, have proved to be the reverse of efficient. Honorable members opposite have time and time again risen in this chamber and attacked private hospitals and the medical profession, which, they have said, should be brought under the control of the State. When honorable members opposite themselves get sick do they rely on the service that they want the people to have? Three honorable members opposite have fallen sick in the last year. How many of them went to public hospitals and insisted on the provision; of the Pharmaceutical Benefits Act being followed in their particular cases? Not one! What may be good enough for the people is apparently not good enough for those honorable members.

## State Monopoly

It is surprising that the denouncers of monopoly should never worry about that supreme monopolist, the State. The State is the most perfect of all monopolists. It is invulnerable. Its clientele is a fixed one, being under its jurisdiction and compelled to take the State's services at whatever price the State cares to put on them. It has long been axiomatic that Justice and Defence, those basic activities of the Sovereign, must be monopolised by the State. But in addition to Justice and Defence, the modern State continually embraces fresh functions, which it derives from commercial enterprises. In doing so, it places the price of these services beyond the assessment of the market.

—The Outlook.

## Communist Activities of Charlie Chaplin

In much the same cart as Paul Robeson is Charlie Chaplin, who has Communist connections such as are not known to the general public. His recent record is given in *The Defender Magazine* for August, published in Wichita, Kansas, and the following is a summary of the Communist fronts with which he is connected, according to Senator Harry P. Cain, of Washington: —

On November 14, 1942, *Pravda*, the official organ of the Soviet Communist Party published a telegram of congratulations from "Charlie" Chaplin.

The *Daily Worker* of June 16, 1947, reports that "Charlie" Chaplin signed a protest on behalf of Eugene Dennis and Leon Josephson, two Communists convicted of contempt of Congress.

On June 4, 1947, Chaplin signed a statement on behalf of Gerhart Eisler, notorious Comintern agent in this country, who is now under conviction for contempt of Congress. The *Daily Worker*, of May 15, very aptly stated that Chaplin was a friend of Eisler's.

The *Worker* of September 19, 1948, reports that Chaplin received the praises of Ilya Ehrenburg, one of the most notorious Soviet propagandists.

According to the *Washington Post* of December 10, 1947, Chaplin wrote a letter to Pablo Picasso, French Communist painter, on behalf of Hans Eisler, a deported Communist agent.

Chaplin was a sponsor of the National Council of American-Soviet Friendship, which is listed as a Communist front by the Attorney General of the United States, by the House Committee on Un-American Ac-

## Gov. Control and Petrol Supplies

Mr. W. Walkley, managing director of Ampol Ltd., said last night that French petrol was available to Australia, but would be lost unless a price rise was granted.

He said the company had had an option on 22,500,000 gallons of French petrol, but this option had expired at 8 o'clock last night.

"There's a possibility we can get a renewal of the option, but we cannot import this petrol at present Australian prices," he said.

Mr. Walkley said the French company which had already contracted to supply 9,500,000 gallons, was backed by the French Government.

The company's London agent, Mr. H. Stevinson, said he believed Australia could get enough petrol from sterling areas to avoid rationing.

Mr. Stevinson said: "There is plenty of sterling petrol available from "European sources, but you've got to be quick to buy it."

Mr. Stevinson suggested that the Commonwealth Government should issue open licences to import sterling petrol.

*Argus*, Melbourne, Oct. 26.

We won't get the petrol. The Bureaucracy through Price Control and Exchange Control will see to that.

tivities, and by the California Committee on Un-American Activities.

Chaplin was a sponsor of the People's Radio Foundation, which is a Communist-front organisation attempting to infiltrate the radio industry. It is cited as a Communist subversive organisation by both the Attorney General of the United States and the California Committee on Un-American Activities.

Chaplin has been a contributor to the magazine *New Masses*, an official organ of the Communist Party, which fortunately is now defunct.

Chaplin was a speaker on the Artists' Front to Win the War, a Communist-front organisation, cited as such by the House Committee on Un-American Activities and the California Committee on Un-American Activities.

Chaplin was a generous contributor to the Wallace campaign last year, along with other equally notorious Communists and fellow travellers.

It may be added that Charlie Chaplin has lived many years in the United States without becoming a citizen, and it is a wonder that America still puts up with his presence seeing that under present conditions he would be more at home in Palestine.

—*The Patriot*, Eng., September.

## Bureaucracy in Action

### HOW AUSTRALIA LOST 4,500,000 GALLONS PETROL

At last night's N.R.M.A. general meeting, the secretary (Mr. H. E. Richards) gave these details of Ampol's negotiations for permission to import 4,500,000 gallons of petrol from Poland.

August 22: Ampol applied to Department of Customs for permission to buy Polish petrol consignment.

August 25: Ampol wrote Department of Customs requesting an answer to its application.

August 31: Telephone call to Controller-General of Customs (Mr. Turner), requesting decision.

September 2: Ampol's London agents cabled: "Must have immediate decision. Cannot extend offer any longer."

September 2: Telephone call to the Prime Minister (Mr. Chifley) for answer to application.

September 6: Ampol received telegram from Mr. Chifley approving application.

September 7: Ampol's London agents cabled that because of delay Polish vendors had sold cargo elsewhere.

—*The Daily Telegraph*, Sydney, Oct. 19.

### D.S.C.M. MEETING

Thursday, November 17

at 8 p.m.

Room 8, 1st Floor

The Block

(Elizabeth St. Lift)

"Social Credit Personalities"

Address by Miss Greig, who has recently returned from Great Britain.

## The "Committee Mind"

The Council of Europe (a P.E.P. conception), which pursues its deliberations in Strasbourg, is labouring under the distinct disadvantage that it is not, in any sense, a Council of Europe. Thus it merely provides ammunition for Communists to direct against the inevitably disunited structure of that continent. It is folly to blind ourselves to these facts, and encourage men like Lord Layton, Lord Beveridge and Lord Boyd Orr to persist in their childlike faith in the "committee mind." It should be abundantly clear that the "committee mind" produced all the chaos, which has tortured Europe since the end of the First World War. Could the "committee mind" have resulted in the glorious voyage of H.M.S. Amethyst; or even the delightful invasion of the "Hugin"? It can only produce the type of chaos exemplified by the Germany of today. Yet this weak approach to problems has become so popular during the last thirty years that few Englishmen can even visualise an effective answer to the autarchy of Stalin's Russia; and it is becoming generally accepted that the liberation of eastern Europe — and, indeed, of Russia herself — is impossible without war; all realising full well that war never was, and never will be, a solution to anything.

—*London Views and Tidings*, Aug. 11.

## Food for Thought

America's surplus food stocks are owned and hoarded by the Government. The Government, you see, buys surplus produce from the farmers to support their prices and thus maintain their abundant prosperity. The Government's stocks include 59 million pounds of dried eggs, 245 million pounds of milk powder, 15 million pounds of cheese, and 87 million pounds of butter. Some 120,000 pounds of grapes and thousands of pounds of other foods have been fed to pigs.

However, casting surplus foods to pigs is no solution. America has a big surplus of pigs, too.

—*The Argus*, Melbourne, Nov. 2.

## A Common Origin

Professor W. Macmahon Ball, Professor of Political Science at Melbourne University, in a toast to U.N. Day, said that it was wrong to believe that the Soviet was the root of all evil in the world today.

"In our time there have been three catastrophes — two world wars and a work depression. Neither the Soviet Union nor Communism was responsible for any of them," he said.

—*The Sun*, Melbourne, Oct. 2

Quite so, Professor. What he does not explain is the common origin of the three catastrophes, the Soviet Union and Communism. They all derive from the policy of centralisation of power, the principle exponent of which is the Jew. Needless to say, the activities of the Professor and his ilk are principally concerned with advancing this policy.

New Times," November 11, 1949 — Page 3

# THE NEW TIMES

Established 1935

Published every Friday by New Times Limited, McEwan House,  
343 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, C.I.

Postal Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Telephone MU 2834.

VOL, 15

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 1949.

No. 45

## "DEMOCRATIC CONTROL"

*Speaking in the Federal Parliament on October 7 of this year, the Prime Minister, Mr. Chifley, said: "... the people of this country had endorsed that act (the 1945 Banking Act) by returning this Government to office at the 1946 general election—if it can ever be said that the people, by re-electing a political party to office, endorse all its actions in respect of legislation. I shall allow that there may be some doubt about whether the re-election of a party is necessarily an endorsement of all the legislation that that party has introduced and had had approved while in office."*

*In the above statement Mr. Chifley has, no doubt unconsciously, drawn attention to one of the major weaknesses of the present party political system. If, as Mr. Chifley admits, the mere act of electing a Government does not mean that the electors have any control over that Government's legislative programme, where does the much-praised "democratic control" start to operate? What control, if any, do electors have at present by voting for Governments, which then can do as they like for three years? The only really effective control of Federal Governments in Australia is the Federal Constitution. But ways and means are being devised for circumventing it.*

*Perhaps the best approach to the question of how the political system, particularly voting, might be reformed in order that electors could exercise a more effective control of their Members of Parliament is to examine briefly what happens in the economic sphere under free, competitive enterprise. The consumer controls the production system by the exercise of what can be described as his money vote. When he purchases one type of shoe in preference for another type, he is actually casting a vote. Now, with the money vote, the consumer only votes on one issue at a time; the businessman cannot compel him to take along with certain articles he requires a number, which he does not like. The individual accepts personal responsibility for the exercising of his money vote; and he is also in the position to ensure that producers give him what he requires or accept the consequences.*

*The basis of free, competitive enterprise is personal responsibility.*

*It can be taken as axiomatic that no successful political system is possible unless it is also based upon the principle of personal responsibility. The first step is to ensure that electors are personally responsible for the policies of the Party, which they elect to office. Secret, irresponsible voting should be replaced by open, recorded, and responsible voting. If every elector knew that he must accept personal responsibility for his political vote, he would then take as much interest in the proposals of Parties as he does in the proffered goods of the businessman when he goes shopping. Responsible voting would sound the death-knell of the party system as it operates at present.*

## AMERICAN TEA

(BRING a Gift and BUY a Gift.)

**SATURDAY, DECEMBER 3rd**

Starting at 2.30 p.m.

**At the Home of Mrs. G. a'BECKETT,**

14 TINTERN AVENUE, TOORAK

*Come and buy your Christmas Gifts*

**Refreshments**

**Strawberries and Cream**

—Organised by the D.S.C.M. (Vic.) Woman's Group in aid of their Funds.

## Potatoes Again

New spring potatoes will be in reasonable supply from Wednesday, when it will be legal for growers to market them.

The lifting then of the November 1 barrier on the sale of the spring crop will end one of Melbourne's worst potato shortages for which most growers say the Potato Board is to blame.

A "Herald" reporter who asked retailers and growers why potatoes were short found most were dissatisfied with the board.

They said that under free marketing potatoes would sell cheaper and not bear the effects of long storage.

—*The Herald, Melbourne, Oct. 29.*

Please note the fact that it will be legal for growers to market new potatoes as from November 2. It is high time these food monopoly Boards were abolished. It is no argument to state that a majority of growers sanction them. No section of the community, large or small, should be allowed to create a monopoly, and to make matters worse, obtain State sanctions for it. This policy of monopoly derives from the refusal of governments to institute financial rules that would enable all wanted goods and services to be distributed in a free market. We, of course, in Victoria, have a Liberal Government devoted to free enterprise.

## U.N.O.

U.N., world's hope, has done well, says Chief Justice (Sir John Latham).

—*The Sun, Melbourne, Oct. 25.*

God help the world. Sir John may be a capable jurist, but the above statement makes us doubt his political acumen. Sir John, like other supporters, points to Palestine as an example of the success of its work. U.N. may have stopped the fighting, but only after it had underwritten the aggression of International Jewry against the Arab.

To us, U.N. is nothing more than a gathering place for well-paid International Socialists and fellow travellers plotting to overthrow national sovereignty for world government, the ultimate tyranny.

## SIGNOR DE GASPERI AND SOCIALISM

"You create an all-powerful and impersonal State machine which you will never be able to stop. Socialism, like Stalinism, will crush man . . . Your enormous State machine has no other brake than the morality of the individuals who direct it, the durability in each of their Christian respect for the liberty of others. You think this to be already achieved. I myself, on the other hand, think them very fragile . . . to such an extent that the structure of Christian society should, I think, be orientated towards the guarantee of that liberty to the individual, and of the liberty of enterprise."—Signor de Gasperi, Prime Minister of Italy, in discussion with Sir Stafford Cripps, reported in *Le Monde*.

Responsibility for all election comment in this journal is taken by W. J. Carruthers, of 32 Kendall Street, Ringwood.

Printed by W. and J. Barr, 105-7 Brunswick Street, Fitzroy, N.6., for New Times, Ltd., McEwan House, Melbourne, on whose authority these articles appear.

# Straight from Israel's Mouth

By ADMIRAL SIR BARRY DOMVILLE

From "Tomorrow" (Eng.), April, 1949

(Continued from last week)

## Jewish Demands

On June 28, 1946, the World Jewish Conference, the American Jewish Conference, and the Board of Deputies of British Jews, agreed upon a joint series of demands to be sent to the Council of Foreign Ministers then sitting in Paris. Two of these demands were: (1) Enactment of legislation outlawing anti-Semitic and racist activities and similar acts of incitement to racial and religious hatred and discrimination. (2) Punishment of those who had committed crimes against the Jews.

The Jews found the "peace-makers" rather chary about calling special attention to their demands in the various Peace Treaties, as that would be undemocratic — the old stumbling block. Dr. Goldmann and Mr. Easterman wrote to Mr. Vishinsky as follows: "Should Your Excellency still feel unable to reconsider your decision on the amendments which have been adopted in the Commissions, may we respectfully suggest that your Delegation state in the course of the Plenary Session the reasons which Your Excellency was good enough to express to us during our interview, viz., that it is unnecessary to insert in the Peace Treaties specific clauses safeguarding Jewish rights because these rights are protected by the United Nations Charter and anti-Semitic policies and activities cannot be regarded as falling within the domestic jurisdiction of any State, and may, therefore, be stopped by the intervention of the United Nations." An interesting sidelight on Mr. Vishinsky and his mischievous propensities. This would be a nice little keg of gunpowder to drop into the functions of the United Nations, giving unlimited scope for interference in the affairs of the nations, and requiring a large measure of abrogation of Sovereign rights, which would enable undesirable busybodies to poke their noses into other people's business, whenever they felt so inclined. The Jews hold a special position in the U.S.S.R., and there is no doubt that the Communist ideology offers the greatest appeal to International Jewry, as giving the best chances for establishing that system of world control after which its leaders hanker. In Palestine the interests of East and West are being played off against one another; they have met here in spite of Rudyard Kipling. What colossal fools we have been!

## "War Criminals" Trial

As regards the "war criminals," the Jews played a large part in the unsavoury proceedings at Nuremberg and elsewhere, as was only to be expected. These trials were known to the American Army as "Operation Jackson," because Justice Robert Jackson was Chief Counsel and Prosecutor. Undismayed by the lack of precedent for the enquiry he proposed to conduct, he stated: "Unless we are prepared to abandon every principle of growth for International Law, we cannot deny that our own day has its right to institute customs and to conclude agreements that will themselves become sources of a newer and strengthened International Law"

(page 265). These ideas were embodied in the Charter of the International Military Tribunal, and it is to the fertile brain of Mr. Jackson that we are principally indebted for the subsequent scandalous proceedings, which have left an indelible blot on the names of the nations associated with them, besides creating a most undesirable precedent which some of the authors may still live to regret. I should not be surprised to hear that Chiang Kai Shek was feeling a bit uneasy already.

I have made no attempt to review this interesting book, but have merely extracted a few of the salient points from its 381 pages in order to illustrate the disturbing features to which I wished to draw attention. There is a great deal of important material upon which I have not attempted to draw; some very distressing facts, and a good deal of propaganda, as was only to be expected in a book designed to combat anti-Semitism.

## Their Own Worst Enemies

The Jewish tragedy is indeed a deep one: they are their own worst enemies. They cannot persuade the world to accept them at their own valuation, and this offends them and makes them more arrogant, and assists to produce the anti-Jewish feeling about which they complain so bitterly. With their demand for nationhood I am in hearty agreement, although paradoxically they regard the nationalism of others as their worst enemy; not themselves. A difficult mentality for others to even begin to understand.

I do not think that Jewish aspirations will ever be satisfied in Palestine. Good cannot come out of evil, and the whole concept and execution of the rape of Palestine have been loaded with fraud and dishonesty, and were as evil as they possibly could be. I do not believe that the Moslem world will ever settle down peacefully with this unwanted neighbour. However, we are only concerned today with the immediate future, and those interested in world politics should certainly read "Unity in Dispersion." To be sure, they are not likely to find it in the library or at the bookshop, as I expect that the customary measures have been taken to prevent the British public from obtaining a copy. That is only one more of the evils that we have got to try and eradicate before we can begin to feel that we are really free. No publisher's name is mentioned, as the book is published by the World Jewish Congress-Copyright, all rights reserved.

—To-morrow, April '49

## "SOCIAL CREDIT AND CATHOLICISM"

By George-Henri Levesque, O.P., Professor of Economics, Laval and Montreal Universities, Dominican House of Studies, Ottawa.

Introduction by Eric P. Butler.

Price 1/11 (post free). Order now from: New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

## ERIC BUTLER'S BOOKS

*The Enemy Within the Empire*, 10d  
Over 30,000 copies of this book have been sold in all parts of the English-speaking world. Carefully documented, it is essential for those who desire to understand the background of the present world situation. The policy of the International Financiers in using the Bank of "England" to cripple the British Empire" while helping Hitler is dealt with in detail. The origin of what is now known as the "Financier-Socialist" plot is revealed. This book is generally regarded as one of the author's finest works.

*The Money Power Versus*

*Democracy*..... 10d.  
Well worth having if only for the comprehensive selection of statements by various authorities concerning the "Money Power." The main thesis of this book is to show how the "Money Power" has prevented the introduction of genuine political and economic democracy. The author shows how the electors must unite to bring their political institutions under control for the purpose of obtaining the results they desire.

*The Truth About Social Credit*, 1/1  
An excellent introduction to the subject of Social Credit for the beginner. It shows how Social Credit is far more than a "funny money" scheme. The author makes it clear that bank nationalisation and "State control of the issue of credit" has got nothing to do with Social Credit. Social Credit financial proposals are outlined clearly and simply. The 1945 Banking Legislation is revealed as a major part of the policy of totalitarianism being imposed upon Australians.

This valuable book must be in the armoury of every Social Credit warrior.

*Democracy Flouted* 7d.

The full text of a radio script prepared by the author while a member of the Armed Forces. Although the A.B.C. considered the script one of the finest submitted by a member of the Army, a Communist in a key position in Army Education had the talk banned after all arrangements had been made for its broadcast.

*A Defence of Free Enterprise and The Profit Motive* . . . . 7d.  
A brilliant exposition of the control of the production system by the money "vote."

*Constitutional Barriers To Serfdom* 7d.

All prices listed above include the cost of postage. Order from *New Times Ltd.*, Box 1226L., G.P.O., Melbourne.



## Planning the Earth (IX)

By GEOFFREY DOBBS

We continue this important essay from last week. Our copy for this week is from *The Social Crediter* of October 22.

"The jungle of individualism" is a curious choice of phrase with which people try to discredit a Society not centrally Planned. Usually it is used to denote a state of recurrent financial crises and chronic insecurity, bearing all the signs of central Planning, such as that which occurred between the Wars; but let that pass. What seems to escape notice in this "scientific" age, when "ecology" is all the rage, is that the jungle is a *balanced* community. From the point of view of the living things, which dwell in it the jungle is the only place where they can live and develop their proper character. Normally, of course, the word "jungle" suggests the primeval forest in which human beings have little or no part; but let mankind be added to it and allowed to play its part in the community, and in the course of time we get a gradual transformation of the "jungle" such as that which, in a thousand years or so, transformed the north temperate broad-leaved forest into the English countryside.

That is the true "jungle of individualism" — the product of countless millions of acts of planning of varying scope by *responsible* individuals, each man planning his own and having to abide by (and live with) the consequences. It is noticeable that Planners if they have the opportunity, usually prefer to live in it, but how it came into existence must be a complete mystery to them. For though they may live in it, they certainly are not *of* it; the term "jungle", as applied to the free actions of their fellow men, clearly reveals that. The implication is that, just as mankind has the right to cut and clear, to dominate and Plan the lowlier organisms of the jungle, so the Planner has the right similarly to treat the lowlier masses of humanity. And he hasn't! nor is the "right" absolute in either case.

### Diabolical

Indeed, the treatment meted out to animals and to human beings by their managers is becoming too similar to be pleasant. No sooner has artificial insemination been tried on cattle than it is extended to human beings. To quote Sir John Russell in his Presidential Address to the British Association at Newcastle (August 31, 1949):

"It is estimated that by suitable dilutions the number of cows inseminated per bull could rise to 10,000 or even 15,000 per year.

"We may yet live to see bulls, other

than a small *select* (my italics) aristocracy, become unwanted anachronisms."

On the other hand, of the human male we read<sup>5</sup>:

"... A fecund donor... could with ideal conditions, produce 400 children weekly (that is, about 20,000 annually).

"... It seems desirable to limit the number of children any one donor should be allowed to have, lest the risk of marriage between sibs not known to each other should assume dangerous proportions. For this reason we have set an arbitrary limit of 100 children for each donor—not yet attained by any one donor."

From the same article we learn also that the donors are *selected* by the clinic, but "The prospective parents should never be aware of the identity of the donor." Also that: "to most balanced men the task of donation is unpleasant", and that there is danger of the introduction of infection, and of abnormal sperms, into the womb. In fairness to the medical profession, it should be mentioned that this article was followed by a lengthy correspondence in the *British Medical Journal* in which a good many doctors condemned the whole business as disgusting and immoral; but there was a surprisingly large "progressive" faction, which defended it on "social" and "scientific" grounds. One writer ventured to use the adjective "diabolical", and perhaps he was nearer the mark than he knew. What may interest medical men and women engaged in this pursuit is that they are carrying out the precise function attributed by an earlier age to the demons known as incubi and succubi(6). That, no doubt, will give them a great deal of amusement! but no consideration of faith, of reason, or even of his own declared knowledge, are likely to deter a Planner from the fascination path of Planning, quite literally in this case, other people's lives.

### No Limit

It is hard for normal sane people to realise that these things, which a generation ago were regarded as mere flights of satire, are actually happening in the world; or that there is no *limit*, except that set by the awful retribution of nature, to the lengths to which those obsessed by the craving for centralised power will attempt to go. If these men and women acknowledge no power superior to themselves, if they think, as they do, that mankind is the Boss of the Universe, and that they are the Bosses of mankind, if the word "sacred" is to them, as it is, superstitious nonsense, then it is

useless to expect them to treat anything as sacred, inviolable, inalienable, or to set any limit upon the power of the World Monopoly for which they strive. It is the most fatal delusion to imagine that the thing will somehow moderate itself. Such moderation as is now exerted is entirely to be attributed to the division and separation of powers, which yet survive in the world. The strengthening of this division and separation against the forces of Monopoly, is the first duty of all who desire peace or the survival of human dignity; and that means, broadly speaking, the courageous defence of every traditional, non-aggressive power against which public opinion is being organised, as well as the separation of more recently developed powers (e.g. the Trades Unions) from the State, and of the State from the Superstate.

### Responsible Ownership

But above all it means the defence of the land, the ultimate basis of all separation of powers—the land in decentralised, separate, *responsible* ownership. It means a steady, unflinching, struggle against collectivisation, and especially its first stage, Committee control; the defence of every field, every farm, wood, valley, hillside, mountain range, region, against remote control by monopolies, with their *irresponsible* Planning, and against the industrialisation of the land, just when industry is losing its spirit and its incentive. Not merely a dumb, blind, purely instinctive, even if sound reaction always in retreat against the conscious, informed, organised, determined action of the Planners, who know what they want, and will stop at nothing to get it, even though it is evil; but a resistance equally conscious, better informed, more determined and courageous, because it knows what it is doing, and that it is essential to save the world.

### Local Initiation

The great strength of the Kingdom of Heaven is that it is not a totalitarian State; it works when and where and to the extent that it is tried; it cannot be "ruined by a few recalcitrant objectors", they simply and automatically contract themselves out, thus strengthening the remainder. The place to start saving the physical world from the evil things, which are destroying it, is to start saving the place you live in—the hillside above you, or the stream at the bottom of your garden. The way for the Welsh, at the present time, to start saving the world, is for them to save the Welsh Highlands; but unless there is some grasp of the great issues at stake it is easy to lose heart and determination.

The momentum towards centralisation is so great that there are sure to be many more retreats and disappointments before the corner is turned. But that is no reason for letting things go. It will never stop of itself, except, indeed, through the prolonged effect of wholesale disaster and

(Continued on page 7)

# Planning the Earth

(Continued from page 6)

catastrophe; but to rely upon that is suicidal.

## **Corrupted by Power**

So long as there was room for honest doubt, the Planners were rightly given the benefit of it, and resistance was necessarily sporadic, and limited to special cases, supposed to be blemishes in an otherwise wholesome policy for the good of mankind. But there is no longer room for honest doubt. The evils, which beset and threaten us are not mere blemishes, they are part of a consistent policy; and those who consciously support that policy must either call evil good, or deny that it exists, which amounts to saying that good and evil are one. For those who cannot do either of these things the path is clear; confusion and bewilderment are left behind. It is not that the whole world is mad, but certain men are bad; not merely weak and liable to fall into error like the rest of humanity, but corrupted by power in their purpose and philosophy. It may well be said that they have been offered all the kingdoms of the earth, and have not jibbed at the price. The road to hell is not paved with good intentions, but with "good" intentions, which are just the opposite.

This can be at first a frightening and unwelcome conclusion, but it is infinitely preferable to the conclusion that appalling evils can arise from good policies, or the hypocritical pretence of all bullies and tyrants that it is those who resist their will and their aggression who are responsible for the evil results which follow. To share in these is to destroy one's own integrity. The prospect of opposing such a concentration of evil power is somewhat intimidating, particularly when it is realised that it holds control of finance, upon which depends, in large measure, our access to bread and butter and the other things we need. But, fortunately, there are, at least

in the Western Hemisphere, counterbalancing powers, which so far have protected us. Our survival depends upon their survival, and their survival depends upon us. The British Empire and Commonwealth is the largest of them.

## **The Big Idea**

All this being admitted, it is also true that a great deal of the immense structure of power which looks so intimidating is no more than a vast balloon of propaganda and mass hypnosis concealing the essential weakness and internal conflict which besets all monopolies. That is perhaps why they feel no confidence in themselves until they have moulded matter to their purpose in a big way—*big* dams, lakes, factories, skyscrapers, roads, bombs, bangs—*bigness* is essential, but it is never big enough. No size can ever give stability to a mass balanced upon a single point;

and that is a realistic picture of a monopoly.

## **Strength in Dispersal**

The struggle has been described as between all the brains and abilities which can be bought against all those, which cannot be bought; but that does not mean that the real alternative to monopoly is merely another group of men plotting for power. The strength of the forces on our side is of quite a different nature to that of the Planners. Its strength lies in its dispersal; to centralise it is to betray it. Anyone who has tried to keep the weeds down in a garden knows what a power the Planners have pitted themselves against; but it is possible for men, by conscious and determined and unremitting effort to master temporarily the growth of unconscious and lowly plants. It is even possible for conscious and determined men to treat their fellow men as if they were

(Continued on page 8)

# Whole Health

By B. WINTER GONIN, M.R.C.S., L.R.C.P.

From "The Guild Gardener," England.

**The ills we suffer from nowadays are partly physical and partly mental. Since food is the prerequisite and the essential to continuing life and growth, it is obvious that wholeness of health in the human must depend on wholeness of health in the source of his food—the soil.**

Whole health derives from a wholly healthful soil; all toxic processes—physical and mental—stem from ill conditioned, and therefore, unhealthy soil, all the way from the initial cold and chronic catarrh to the ultimate manifestation of the toxic process—cancer.

To separate man from his environment is artificial and an error. Since the interior of an animal receives no radiance from the sun directly, an animal must use the sun's radiance stored in the atoms and molecules of the protoplasm of its food, viz.: the plant.

These terrestrial activities presuppose a healthful soil, one in which no artificial manures whatever have been used—active lime, phosphates, nitrates, sulphate of ammonia, etc.—for these destroy the soul of the soil by killing off the protozoa; metazoa, earthworms and bacteria as well as the mycelium of the mycorrhizal association of the fungi with the plant, denying it the assistance of mutualism, or symbiosis, with consequent loss of assimilability and digestion of their proteins.

Without artificials there will be no pests; they act only as tonics and stimulants, at the cost of life, whereas soil enriched with properly organised compost of vegetable and animal wastes causes the soil to pulsate, respire and live.

The loss of natural fecundity of the soil is the first step to its erosion and so to starvation, which is the most imminent threat to civilisation that the earth presently portrays.

Continual use of artificials, poison sprays, fungicides, insecticides—the whole gamut of the contents of the agricultural bag or tin—is the direct precursor of the death of the soil, and the inescapable factor of disease in plant, animal and human.

Food from soil so treated is "dead" food—devitalized, bastard food, unfit to sustain whole health, whereas food raised

from scientifically organised, composted land is "live" food—fully oxygenated, mature, containing the embryo of life and able to reproduce itself indefinitely, a thing which no seed or grain raised from artificially fed soil can do.

"Live" food, eaten raw to the extent of 75 percent intake, will produce a human with complete immunity to disease and resistance to all toxic chemical and bacterial invasion, provided always that this food is grown in deeply cultivated, fully oxygenated, humus-filled and animal-manured soil, generating accessory growth factors — auxins and hetero-auxins — and where no application of artificial manure has been made.

Properly made compost of vegetable waste and animal dung and urine confers the highest conceivable benefit upon the soil and upon vegetation. Apart from its value in supplying nutrients to plant hairs and rootlets, it stimulates root growth perhaps by radiation, perhaps as a catalyst, but most certainly by stimulating the activity of the soil fungi which feed upon the humus in the soil; it is the product of their metabolism which is of such vital importance to the complete and balanced food of the plant. One of the most noxious of "dead" foods—noxious both to animals and to humans fed upon such animals—is processed food concentrates, which are completely devitalised and completely harmful, invariably contributing chronic disease in both.

So all toxic processes can be shown to stem directly from the initial untreated cold to chronic catarrh, and so all the way from fibrositis, neuritis, arthritis, enteritis, mastitis, contagious abortion to tubercle and cancer which is the deadly end of all things.

## THE FARM EXCHANGE

(J. E. Harding & A. E. Webb)

If you should think of coming to Central Queensland to live, we shall be glad to advise upon, and assist you to find, Farming, Grazing, Business or House Property. We are Farm Specialists, both having had extensive practical farm experience. Write to us about your needs. Social Crediters will be very welcome. Central Queensland has much to commend it.

## THE FARM EXCHANGE

Real Estate Agents, Auctioneers, Valuers.

DENHAM ST., ROCKHAMPTON, C.Q.

Phone 3758.

After Hours 3199 and 2161

# Fair Comment

By JOHN WELLER

Viscount Craigavon in a recent dinner of the Radio and Television Retailers' Association Ltd., described the B.B.C. as "Communist-infested, making it one of the greatest menaces to our national security."

"We are appalled by some of the un-British broadcasts being put on by the B.B.C."

In England the (anti-) British Broadcasting Corporation has, of course, an absolute monopoly of the air. In Australia a slight check is provided by the commercial stations, but as Section 90 of the Broadcasting Act gives the Government power to cut them off the air at a moment's notice if they broadcast anything the Government might take exception to, they take great pains not to offend. Meanwhile, we can tune in to "our" national stations of the "Australian" Broadcasting Commission at regular intervals and hear the unbiased views of such fine New Australians as Professors Julius Stone (Stein), H. W. Arndt and W. G. Friedmann. Stone comes from Harvard; Arndt and Friedmann come from the London School of Economics. Occasionally, a native may be heard—such as Professor McMahon Ball. Oh, I nearly forgot, he did a course at the London School of Economics.

It is true that Socialism is merely a label, and one that can mean many things to many people. Mr. Keon, Victorian M.L.A., for instance, has even identified it as being consonant with the greatest

## Planning the Earth

(Continued from page 7)

lower organisms and to impose their will upon them, so long as they remain unconscious of what is being done. But let consciousness awake—let them find themselves dealing with a consciousness and intelligence and determination as great as their own, and they cannot succeed indefinitely. It is hard enough to keep plants down, but to keep men down who know what they are about is, in the end, impossible.

There is a specific and effective reply to every plan and plot and trick which aims at centralisation of power. The key to it, the one word which Planners cannot abide when used in its correct relation, is *responsibility*.

(To be continued)

(5) *British Medical Journal*, January 13, 1945, in an article based upon practical experience by Mary Barton, M.B., B.S., Kenneth Walker, F.R.C.S. and B.P. Wiesner, D.Sc.

(6) The following is taken from the *Malleus Maleficarum*, the great Catholic attack on sorcery published about 1490, and quoted from Charles Williams' book on *Witchcraft* (Faber & Faber, 1941):  
". . . and however much, in a horrid parody of the holy substitutions of love, they may convey seed from one living being to another—here the succubus to receive, there the incubus to deliver—yet one thing they cannot do: they cannot themselves beget. They have no formative energy, either in the heavens above or in the earth and the hells beneath. The child born of the transferred seed is the child of the man whose seed has been transferred. The child of a wizard and a witch it may be; it is not and cannot be the child of the Devil."

It is evident that a.i.d. is not quite so modern as thought to be.

measure of free enterprise! " But it does not seem open to question by any serious student of the Socialist Movement that it means the centralisation of power into the hands of the State (i.e., those controlling the State), and the consequent stripping from the individual of his personal rights. Whichever way it is argued that is incompatible with the Christian Teachings of the Nazarene. "Christian Socialism" is contradiction in terms and no inspirers of the Socialist Movement have ever seriously contended that Christianity and Socialism were not incompatible, except to provide an expedient for the infiltration and destruction of the Christian Church.

From Karl Marx, who said that religion was the "opium of the people," to the present day, the leading Socialists have been clear on the matter. For example,

"The association of Christianity with any form of Socialism is a mystery. No good Socialist can be a Christian."—From "The Ethics of Socialism," p. 52, by Belfort Bax, English Socialist leader.

"The Ethics of Socialism and Religion are directly opposed to each other."—American Socialist Party—1912 Convention, p. 248.

"It is our duty as Socialists to root out the faith in God with all our might.

"Nor is anyone worthy of the name who does not consecrate himself to the spread of Atheism."

—Liebnecht, German Socialist leader.

And the leading backers of Socialism such as the Rothschilds, Cassel, Wernher, Laski are all non-Christian. As Jews, they are pursuing a policy, which is implacably anti-Christian.

But, some trusting soul will tell you, isn't Sir Stafford Cripps a fine example of a good Christian gentleman. Cripps is about as "Christian" as Cromwell and his Puritans who (with their shadowy backer, Manasseh-Ben-Israel) were responsible for destroying the Merrie England which was to a not inconsiderable extent a result of the influence of the Christian Church.

## Dollar Question

Sir, —If the world could, overnight, forget its obsession with, dollars and foreign exchange, and were to return to the old, honest system of barter, would the nations be any worse off than now? Would they starve amid the world's plenty because they had no dollars or sterling? Of course not!

On the contrary, they would at once realise the artificiality and absurdity of the present "dollar crisis"; manufactures and raw materials would presently gravitate to wherever they were most needed, and genuine free trade—the exchange of surpluses—would follow.

Because the U.S.A. holds two-thirds of the world's industrial capacity, she must, under present financial rules, find ever-expanding markets for her vast output. On the other hand she has little need for imports, hence her tariff barriers. If she will not buy, how are U.S. loans and Marshall aid ever to be repaid? By American investments abroad, by which eventually

Another ENWITE Speciality

## SOLVIT

For Clean, Easy Stripping  
of

PAINT, LACQUER,  
VARNISH

Etc., from Any Surface

NO DIFFICULT NEUTRALISATION!  
WILL NOT HARM FABRIC OR WOOD

ASK YOUR HARDWARE STORE  
FOR IT

she will own most of the assets of the countries involved.

That this "American" policy emanates from the financiers of Wall Street is evident from the fact that a main condition of granting the first "American" loan to Britain was that she should sign the infamous Bretton Woods Financial Agreement, thus surrendering her financial and economic independence. Their recent objection to a simple two-way trade agreement (without dollars) between Britain and Argentina is another proof.

So long as we believe that dollars are essential to life on this planet, so long will Britain and the rest of us remain in the toils of the international money lenders.

MARY H. GRAY.  
—*The Advertiser*, Adelaide, Sept. 15.

## CODNER BROS.

Builders and  
Joinery  
Manufacturers

HOMES  
AND HOME  
SITES  
AVAILABLE

Wheatsheaf Rd.,  
GLENROY