

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O. Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

VOLUME 16 No 31.

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY AUGUST 4, 1950

SIXPENCE WEEKLY.

Is Communism Inevitable?

The Great Challenge

Two weeks ago we examined the background of the Korean incident, and stated that unless there was a more widespread understanding of the events which led to this incident, there was little hope of defeating the challenge of Communism. This week we desire to draw attention to the fact that the retreat of the American forces in Korea is merely part of a much greater retreat: a retreat extending over the political, economic, financial and propaganda fronts. We must successfully engage the enemy on all these fronts, or we are doomed.

Without going back to the treacherous events which paved the way for the Second World War, it is possible to see now that the enslavement of Poland—the war allegedly started to save Poland!—Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Rumania, Yugoslavia and China stemmed from the policies agreed to by Roosevelt at Yalta and elsewhere. Roosevelt was merely the front for Communist traitor Alger Hiss, pro-Communist Professor Frankfurter, and other Zionist Jews who were his personal “advisers.” Surrounded by the same type of “advisers,” President Truman’s post-war policies have merely enabled the Communist conspirators to consolidate their position. If it were really desired to have a military “show-down” with the Communists in the East, surely the time to have made a stand was before Nationalist China was overwhelmed? At least Kai-Shek and the Chinese Nationalists had an army and equipment far superior to the South Koreans. But Kai-Shek was betrayed and even now his offer of a comparatively large number of well-trained troops for fighting in Korea has been refused.

The Communist “Line”

Whatever the faults of Kai-Shek and his regime, and they were undoubtedly many, a Communist victory in China has not only not removed the faults, but has vastly extended the base for the Communist conspirators. A study of the method of betraying the Chinese Nationalists reveals the manner in which the Communist traitors in the U.S.A. and other countries are able to operate so successfully. Hitler realised the value of propaganda as a major instrument in warfare. But Hitler was an amateur compared with the Communists. Long before the Communist victories in China, large numbers of Communist dupes were mouthing the Communist Party “line” that the Chinese Communists were merely “agrarian reformers.” It is interesting to recall that Professor Copland came back from China preaching this “line.”

A Successful Technique

In the following comment from the American publication, Not Merely Gos-

sip, of May 24, 1950, a very excellent explanation is given of the successful technique used by the Communists on the propaganda front.

“The real story in the *Amerasia* case . . . concerns a propaganda technique . . . which the Communists employ admirably. The tip-off appears in testimony to the Hobbs Committee (*Congressional Record*, May 22, 1950 pages 7-49) given by Mr. Larsen, when asked about the circulation of *Amerasia*. ‘Two thousand,’ said Larsen, or something like that, but the magazine hits vital spots. That is the danger of a magazine like that. Do not let the figure fool you. Do not let such figures fool you. The Communist strategists in this country are infinitely smarter than the conservative publishers of mass magazines and newspapers. They know that the most effective way of influencing public opinion and policy is the indirect method. If you influence the people who in turn shape opinion and policy, your task is accomplished. These key people (‘the vital spots’), in turn sway the masses through the various media of publication.

“The creation of *Amerasia* was only part of the scheme. The Communists and fellow travellers also infiltrated the Institute of Pacific Relations, with a small but important membership and with two small circulation publications. Thus the Reds captured the whole ‘expert’ apparatus for ‘informing’ and influencing American opinion on policy in the Far East. These publications went to the colleges, to members of the Government bureaucracy, to journalists, and others who sought to understand and explain the complicated field of Far Eastern politics. Thus young, naive college instructors, ‘analysts’ in Government offices, editorial writers and staff members of big magazines took as gospel truth, or were at least strongly affected by, data which the Communist machine put out. And so, a thoroughly anti-Communist publisher of a large-circulation magazine remained blissfully unaware that his own employees commented on Far Eastern matters with the ideas of *Amerasia*—in short the publisher was ignorant of the fact that he was in effect

publishing the Communist Party line. Unfortunately, there was no other periodical—of small, ‘expert’ circulation which could have given a true picture and counteracted *Amerasia*, *et al.* Thus, the public swallowed such frauds as ‘Chinese Communists are merely agrarian reformers, and our Far Eastern Policy came to disaster.

“This coup of the Communists via *Amerasia* is no isolated case. Communists and Socialists have followed the same strategy in the field of domestic policy. Some magazines of small circulation exert far more influence than periodicals with

(Continued on page 8)

OUR POLICY

1. The preservation of Australia's sovereignty as a part of the British Empire, and the exposure of all internal and external groups which attack that sovereignty.
2. The preservation and extension of genuine local government.
3. The preservation and strengthening of all Constitutional safeguards for the purpose of protecting fundamental individual rights.
4. The encouragement of all activities designed to bring Governments under more effective control by the electors.
5. The preservation and extension of genuine free, competitive enterprise and private ownership, and opposition to all Monopoly, whether it be “private” or State.
6. The support of a financial policy which will (a) permit free enterprise to make available to all individuals an increasing standard of living and greater leisure for cultural pursuits; (b) result in no further increase in the community's indebtedness and the sound business practice of gradually reducing existing debt.
7. Recognising that the basis of any sound economy is agriculture, the encouragement of agricultural policies which will ensure the preservation and building up of soil fertility by organic farming and gardening; and the prevention of soil erosion and the protection of forests and watersheds.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is verging,
In God's name, let us speak while there is time!
Now, when the padlocks for our lips are forging,
Silence is crime. WHITTIER.

TO THE POINT

The Communist Line

Professor McMahon Ball, of the Melbourne University, has joined the ranks of those advocating that Communist China be admitted to the "United" Nations as a preliminary to discussions with Soviet Russia on the Korean incident. This is exactly what the Communist conspirators are also advocating. Professor McMahon Ball outlined his views in an A.B.C. radio talk on Sunday, July 23.

This Socialist Professor was educated at the notorious London School of Economics, and, like most Leftists, supports every policy which strengthens the position of the Communists. He says that "The Communist Government at Peking is the Government of China, not the Nationalist Government on Formosa." Presumably, if the North Korean forces gain control of the whole of Korea, they should then also be admitted to the "United" Nations, as have the Communist Governments of Poland and other countries suffering under the Red despotism!

So far from stopping war, the "United" Nations has been used by the Communist conspirators to further their policies of aggression everywhere. It is well to recall that the Communist agent, Alger Hiss, Roosevelt's personal adviser, played a leading role in the creation of the "United" Nations. The greatest contribution the peoples of the British Empire can make towards defeating Communism is to withdraw immediately from the "United" Nations and to make it clear that, while preserving their own sovereignty, they will co-operate with any other liberty-loving peoples like the Americans, who desire to resist tyranny wherever it shows itself.

Outbidding The Socialists

Are there any Victorian Liberals who understand and believe in the fundamental principle underlying the idea of an Upper House elected on a restricted franchise? If so, they have not been heard—or has the press not reported them?—during the controversies concerning Mr. Hollway's recent proposal virtually to destroy any value the Victorian Legislative Council still possesses.

Victorian Liberals are frankly stating that their proposed "democratic reform" for the Legislative Council will pay political dividends in the form of increased electoral support. Here is another example of the "anti-Socialists" trying to outbid the Socialists and the Communists.

If an Upper House is merely going to be a "rubber stamp" for the Lower House, why bother wasting money and effort on an Upper House? The underlying idea of Upper Houses is that, like a written Constitution, they give some protection to the individual against unwanted legislation which the Lower House may seek to impose upon him. Upper Houses were originally conceived as a method of dividing political power, of having a balancing power which would prevent the establishment of the Divine Right of Governments.

Upper Houses generally have not the power, as many people erroneously believe to thwart permanently the decisions of the Lower House.

But they can insist that decisions which in their opinion they consider opposed to the individual's interests, are referred directly to the electors by an election. Surely this is genuine democracy?

Sound Comment

The statesmen, largely British, who created Belgium as a political entity, knew not only that the two races must have a king, but that the king must have a real position in the constitution. And it was because his position was a real one through the reigns of all four sovereigns that the Liberals, Socialists and Communists made their concerted drive five years ago, for they would all like power to reside undivided in the Lower Chamber, according to true Jacobin theory. The Flemish Catholics, who are so solid for the monarchy, are quite right in thinking that the single assembly, the kind of constitution in which neither a second Chamber nor a monarch has any effective power to act as a counterpoise and check, is one singularly dangerous to the liberties of the people. Hitler was able to come to power perfectly legally because the Weimar Constitution had this character, and had received it with the full blessing of Western statesmen, as truly democratic, whereas his march to power would have been a matter of extraordinary difficulty if really constitutional monarchies had remained in the different German States. — *The Tablet* (England), June 3, 1950.

Decentralisation

"The public are not being taught how errors made by large business are immensely more destructive and harmful to the public than were the petty mistakes and follies of individual owners when each person's mistakes helped to educate his neighbour."

— *Responsible Enterprise Assc.*, U.S.A.

Who Was Lying?

In the *Press* of October 28, 1949, the opening of the Election Campaign by the National Party was fully reported. Mr. S. G. Holland was the speaker. Mr. Holland said, "Some statements cannot go unchallenged." Then he quoted from a statement by Mr. Peter Fraser (then Prime Minister) as follows: "The Prime Minister said—and he knew it was wrong—that the National Party would abolish "subsidies." Would not Mr. Holland's comment on Mr. Fraser's statement about subsidies convey but one possible meaning to his audience—that the National Party, if elected would not abolish subsidies? And he added force to his own misleading denial by quoting Mark Twain as saying, "Truth is such a precious article, let us be economical in its use"; which also explains, perhaps, his statement that "Steps would be taken to reduce the cost of living"!

— *New Zealand Social Creditor*, June 1950

The above item of news directs attention to one question we have persistently asked: "Does the mere act of voting at elections give electors any effective control over their elected representatives?" It is obvious that until this question is faced up to, there is

little hope of stemming the totalitarian tide.

* * * *

A Pertinent Question

Over the radio on Friday last, Mr. Menzies was reported to have said on his arrival in London that to cope with 200,000 new migrants a year Australia would need to import £200 million worth of "CAPITAL EQUIPMENT" a year.

Now this is an altogether extraordinary statement, but it is one that has been made previously by other Cabinet Ministers.

From where can such economic advice come?

The total value of Manufacturing Plant and Machinery in our whole national economy is shown in statistics to be only about £250 million and this provides for the occupation of over 900,000 workers and for the needs of a population of nearly eight million people. Fully half of this plant and machinery would have been produced in Australia. It is extremely doubtful if much more than £100 million worth of it was imported.

Our statistics also show that for each breadwinner there are two dependants. An average cross section of 200,000 migrants would contain roughly one-third wives and one-third children, leaving a net gain of breadwinners of both sexes of about 65,000. If all went into secondary industry and if each one were allotted the same value of plant and equipment as is related to the 900,000 odd present employees namely, about £275 worth, they would require something less than £20 million worth of capital equipment, and of this £20 million worth fully half would be made in Australia. Actually, therefore, some £10 million worth of capital equipment might be required from overseas to deal with migration intake of some 200,000 persons year.

Having regard to the increased costs of machinery and plant over the past four years, which have risen like everything else, we could perhaps double that figure to £20 million, or even £25 million, but that is as far as we can possibly go.

Naturally, many of the migrants will go into agriculture and transport, and probably not more than half will go into secondary industry, but no other section of industry is more mechanised than is the manufacturing section.

— *Canberra Letter*, July 19, 1950.

American Comment

The outstanding fact of the present situation is that United States policy cares no more for the interests of the once-Great British Dominions, except to see that they become and remain insignificant, than for say, those of Portugal. If the British are so infantile as to "fall" for one confidence trick after another, that is their business. To do the Americans bare justice, the camouflage is now perfunctory. Brigadier General Bonner Fellers, U.S. Army, put the matter quite shortly: "Our decision participation in two wars and our leading role . . . gives us the right to dictate a New World (his emphasis) strategy."

— *Human Events*, Washington.

British Labour M.P. Opposes Schuman Plan

It is indeed a remarkable thing to note that British Socialist Members of Parliament are vigorously attacking the Schuman Plan and other schemes of centralisation in Europe. Apparently these Socialists only favour their own brand of centralisation. However that may be, the following speech by Mr. Crossam in the British House of Commons on June 26 makes some very excellent points:

... "There are many reasons why we are opposed to federal union. The Commonwealth has been mentioned and it is the overwhelming reason. We cannot be a member of a federation of Europe, or even a confederation of Europe, Switzerland is a confederation, and remain the motherland of a Commonwealth of independent nation states because the notion of Commonwealth is to achieve national independence, whereas the notion of federal union is to supersede nationalism by a new super state. We cannot combine the two. We ought to tell Europe if we think so, even if we upset the Americans and lose Marshall Aid. But the Americans are our friends, and it is high time they were told that there is no chance of federal union. If they are going to cut off Marshall Aid because of that, they must cut it off, but I do not think they will do it because they appreciate honesty rather than double talk.

Our pamphlet did that. It was a party pamphlet which gave party political reasons why the Labour Party would never consent to the federal union of Western Europe. . . I want to turn to the Conservative views on the supra-national authority. I agree with my hon. and learned Friend the Member of Hornhurch (Mr. Bing) that we are in something of a paradox. The Conservative Party for five years have been denouncing control and the upsetting of the price system, and now they suddenly say, "We want a complete and iron control, clamped by just 12 men, upon all Governments, on iron, and steel and coal." (Interruption.) Hon. Members opposite cannot have been listening to the Debate, but I have been listening to Conservative after Conservative saying that he wants success for the Schumann Plan. (Hon. Members: Who says so?) We have been told that the Conservative Party is in favour—(Hon. Members: Who says so?) I cannot answer while the shouting continues.

The right hon. Gentleman said it, and every following speaker said that he wishes the Schuman Plan well and wants to accept the principle; and the principle of the Schuman Plan is that an authority shall be set up under which there shall be an iron price control, under which all production shall be centrally planned, under which there shall be no more free enterprise in iron or coal. Why should the party opposite, which up till now has stood for free enterprise, and has dilated against the control of iron and steel in this country, be delighted when it can find a control which is not subject to this Parliament, but subject to no Parliament at all? Then it is perfectly all right.

I have one explanation. Hon. Gentlemen opposite are very used to international controls which are not subject to Parliaments. Many of them have taken part

in arranging them.—(Hon. Members: "Which?")—We will mention tin and rubber. There are one or two hon. Gentlemen opposite who in their back lives took part in tin or rubber price-fixing, and they say, "All this is international. This is really the stuff." That is what we are accustomed to. We have never had that in any Parliamentary control, and now that we have the Schuman Plan that is perfect because there is no Parliamentary control. I suggest that that is a very undemocratic reason for approving of a non-democratic authority. Is it really a sound principle of democracy? I ask the Liberals. The Liberals are saying, "We want a complete destruction of free enterprise and free trade altogether, and we want a monopoly, a State controlled, and super-State controlled monopoly," to which every American, if it happened in America, would have to apply the Sherman Anti-Trust Act, because it would be illegal in America.

Here are our Liberal friends saying that this is the solution in Europe, to institute a bigger control, a remoter control, a more central control, than anything dreamt of by a Socialist Government in this country, and to remove any vestige of democracy from it. Let us remember that that is the one point which M. Schuman made clear, that the authority shall not be subject to Parliament. Hon. Members may say, "We are going to change all that," but it is worth noticing that that was the one point which M. Schuman was not going to have changed in the three weeks of discussion before the Conference. In all seriousness I do not believe that a supra-national authority or high authority of this kind will do. . . What we must not do is to believe that we can rely on these negotiations breaking down between the Germans and French and our coming in to save the conference. My greatest fear is that, for overwhelming reasons of French prestige and German national policy, a treaty could very easily be signed between those two nations which might exclude us for ever.

Therefore, I agree with the right hon. Member for Warwick and Leamington on the danger of Western European affairs being nominated by the Germans, for that is what any Franco-German cartel would mean. It would be a return to German domination of France such as we had under Vichy. A distinguished German diplomat over here said to me the other day, "After all, we ran France for five years. We are not going to worry about the terms that are signed. We sign first and change after." We cannot sign like that, but we have to face that danger, and I do beg the Chancellor of the Exchequer and the Prime Minister not to wait until the conference is concluded before putting forward their practical proposals—not to wait until Franco-German agreement has been reached.

It seems to me absolutely vital that the
(Continued on page 8)

ERIC BUTLER'S BOOKS

The Enemy Within the Empire, 10½d.
Over 30,000 copies of this book have been sold in all parts of the English-speaking world. Carefully documented, it is essential for those who desire to understand the background of the present world situation. The policy of the International Financiers in using the Bank of "England" to cripple the British Empire while helping Hitler is dealt with in detail. The origin of what is now known as the "Financier-Socialist" plot is revealed. This book is generally regarded as one of the author's finest works.

The Money Power Versus Democracy 10½d.
Well worth having if only for the comprehensive selection of statements by various authorities concerning the "Money Power." The main thesis of this book is to show how the "Money Power" has prevented the introduction of genuine political and economic democracy. The author shows how the electors must unite to bring their political institutions under control for the purpose of obtaining the results they desire.

The Truth About Social Credit, 1/1½
An excellent introduction to the subject of Social Credit for the beginner. It shows how Social Credit is far more than a "funny money" scheme. The author makes it clear that bank nationalisation and "State control of the issue of credit" have got nothing to do with Social Credit. Social Credit financial proposals are outlined clearly and simply. The 1945 Banking Legislation is revealed as a major part of the policy of totalitarianism being imposed upon Australians. This valuable book must be in the armoury of every Social Credit warrior.

Democracy Flouted 7½d.
The full text of a radio script prepared by the author while a member of the Armed Forces. Although the A.B.C. considered the script one of the finest submitted by a member of the Army, a Communist in a key position in Army Education had the talk banned after all arrangements had been made for its broadcast.

A Defence of Free Enterprise and The Profit Motive 7½d.
A brilliant exposition of the control of the production system by the money "vote."

Constitutional Barriers To Serfdom 7½d.
All prices listed above include the cost of postage. Order from *New Times Ltd.*, Box 1226L., G.P.O., Melbourne.

The New Times

Established 1935.

Published every Friday by New Times Limited, McEwan House,
343 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, C.I.
Postal Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Telephone: MU 2834.

Subscription Rates: 25/- Yearly, 13/- Half-Yearly, 7/- Quarter.

VOL. 16.

FRIDAY, AUGUST 4, 1950.

No. 31.

THE TAXATION DESPOTISM

The following story, which concerns one of our supporters, emphasises how the taxing system is one of the major instruments used by the modern centralised State to do practically as it likes to the individual:

Some time back a taxpayer received a letter from the Taxation Department asking that he forward a taxation return for the year ending June, 1949. The taxpayer explained that he had not done so because his income for that year was less than £120, but was informed that he still must submit a return. This he did, the return showing that he had earned only just over £100 for the year. This low income was the result of months of serious illness and a long period in hospital.

When the taxpayer received his assessment, he was amazed to find that not only had he been fined £5 for a late return, but that he was also taxed on an income of over £300. Needless to say, the taxpayer refused to pay the amount asked and requested to know what right the Taxation Department had to take it upon themselves to increase his income for the purpose of assessment. The Department replied and quoted the following from the default section of the Income Assessment Act:

"If (a) any person makes default in furnishing a return or (b) the Commissioner is not satisfied with the return furnished by any person, or (c) the Commissioner has reason to believe that any person who has not furnished a return has derived taxable income, the Commissioner may make an assessment of the amount upon which in his judgment income tax ought to be levied, and that amount shall be the taxable income of that person for the purpose of the last preceding section."

As pointed out by this taxpayer, what is the use of submitting a taxation return if the Taxation Department can arbitrarily increase the income and then impose taxation upon it? In this case the taxpayer has requested that an investigator be sent to examine his books and affairs; that he has no fear that any investigation will reveal any dishonesty on his part. At the time of writing no investigator has been sent, and the taxpayer is still refusing to submit to the demands of the Taxation Monopoly.

It is, of course, argued that the Taxation Department must have arbitrary powers similar to those referred to above, otherwise far too many people would not pay all the taxation the Government and its instruments demand that they should. But the very fact that the overwhelming majority of the taxpayers do all in their power to reduce their taxation contributions, is indisputable evidence that the taxpayers are not in favour of being increasingly stripped of their money; that modern taxation is a totalitarian imposition which the taxpayers attempt to resist in every possible way. They have long learned that the mere act of voting at elections has no effect concerning taxation policies, or, for that matter, any other policies.

What About Malaya?

Mr. Calwell and Dr. Evatt say they are very concerned about Australia's lack of support for the United Nations - which has never been very united - in Korea. But it is significant that they have never displayed any great enthusiasm for practical assistance to our British kith and kin in Malaya.

These Britons, in their long fight against Communist terrorists, have surely been making just as vital a contribution to the defence of Australia as are American forces in Korea.

—ERIC D. BUTLER, Campaign Director, League of Rights.

—*The Herald*, Melbourne, July 26.

More Public Servants

Mr. Fadden's attempt to justify the steady expansion of the Federal public service—an expansion which he trenchantly, criticised when an Opposition member - merely directs attention to the fact that the present Government is pursuing a Socialist policy of rapidly increasing the scope of Federal activities and encroaching upon fields of activity which genuine anti-Socialists believe should not be the province of the Federal Government.

There are many who loosely criticise the too-rapid growth of the Federal public service without having the courage or logic to point out that this growth can be curtailed only by reducing Government activities in those fields where the individual can best look after his own interests.

Mr. Fadden has no hope of reducing taxes, preventing inflation or curtailing the growth of the Federal bureaucracy while Mr. Casey and his growing team of planners continue their grandiose schemes of "National Development."

—ERIC D. BUTLER, Campaign Director, Vic. League of Rights. —*The Age*, Melbourne, July 26.

New Times Dinner

All "New Times" supporters who intend to attend the Annual Dinner, at The Wattle, 374 Lt. Collins Street, Melbourne, on Friday, September 29, at 6 p.m., are requested to contact Mr. R. H. Weller, C/o Box 1226L., G.P.O., Melbourne, immediately. It is essential to know well in advance the number who will be attending the Dinner, in order that catering and other arrangements can be made.

This year's Dinner is being held during Show Week, in order to suit country supporters. It is confidently anticipated that this year's Dinner will be the best yet. Good food, good drink, and excellent entertainment are guaranteed. All "New Times" supporters are invited to enjoy an evening they will long remember.

Apart from the social aspect of the Dinner, it will provide an excellent opportunity for the presentation to all supporters of a brief report of the past year's activities.

The "Common Revolutionary Front"

The war in Indochina, which has now been going on for more than two years, best illustrates the ease with which the Economists are able to manoeuvre in Asia. The Kremlin has obviously decided to increase its support to Viet Minh and to use the issue of "colonisation and independence" to drive a wedge through South East Asia, all the way down to Singapore. Viet Minh alone is not the issue. If Indochina goes Communist, Burma and Thailand are bound to follow, and Malaysia and Singapore, and, possibly, Indonesia and the Philippines, will constitute the next target of direct Communist attacks. India, outflanked and deprived of its normal sources of food might then collapse, and thus the entire balance of world power might be further altered. It is a big prize, certainly worth to Moscow more investments in armaments and financial aid. But even if the investment does not yield its full value, if Viet Minh does not win—as long as the war continues France's recovery in Europe is indefinitely delayed, both militarily and economically so long as fighting goes on in Indochina, and it is difficult to see how it can stop, even if the French succeed in temporarily pushing the rebels across the frontier—there will be continued uneasiness in India and Indonesia.

That the war in Indochina is linked with the Communist work of subversion in European France is a very good example of how the Scientist Klaus Fuchs has been convicted of

original doctrinaire strategy of the permanent resolution is applied, step by step, in both the colonial and the European countries. In a lecture on the foundation of Leninism, delivered at the Svenlov University in 1924, Stalin once more expounded the thesis of the united front of the Western proletariat with the "exploited" colonial peoples.

"The victory of the working class in the developed countries and the liberation of the oppressed peoples from the yokes of imperialism are impossible without the formation and the consolidation of the common revolutionary front. The formation of a common revolutionary front is impossible unless the proletariat of the oppressor nations renders direct and determined support to the liberation movement of the oppressed peoples against the imperialism 'of its own country,' for 'no nation can be free if it oppresses other nations' (Marx)."

Where France is concerned, the Communists have indeed succeeded in forming this "common revolutionary front": in French Indochina international Communism fosters a rebellion and gives it moral and material support; in France, international Communism induces a large of section of Frenchmen to sympathize with the rebellion, and to throw into the sea weapons which are needed by the French army.

The Tablet (England) May 27, 1950.

Behind the Mysterious Fuchs Case?

treason in Britain, and is now serving sentence. But the most amazing aspects of the case were mysteriously kept from us by our "free press."

On March 11 of this year *The Ensign* disclosed that in 1946 Fuchs' name was found in a notebook belonging to one of the spy suspects implicated in the Canadian Espionage Probe, and this information was sent over to the Washington and London security authorities. The British Government and Canadian External Affairs Department recently denied this, but have now admitted its truth.

They reported in *The Ensign*, Fuchs name did not only appear on the list of the suspected spy (who was later acquitted), but Ivor Gouzenko, the Soviet Embassy cipher expert whose testimony launched the investigation and convicted the spies, knew Fuchs was involved. Col. Bogov had told Gouzenko that both Fuchs and Dr. Allan Nunn May (now imprisoned as a spy in Britain) had been considered by the Soviet for assignment to Canada and the U.S. in a spy role.

Both British and Canadian authorities who knew in 1946 that Fuchs had fled Germany as a Communist, and Canadian authorities knew he had been a nuisance during his internment at Farnham, Que, in -----because of his efforts at Communist -----thing.

"Moreover, *The Ensign* can now disclose, a MI5 (British War Office counter-espionage branch) agent interviewed Gouzenko at some considerable length in 1946. He could have continued the interview as long as he wished had he been inclined to do so. But *The Ensign* was told he did not evidence much interest."

The *Ensign* says editorially: "The whole story of what patronage Dr. Fuchs enjoyed in high places in Britain, who brought him to Britain, who whipped his naturalization papers through in record time after he had been interned in Canada as an enemy-alien and known Communist, and who protected him for so long after the facts of his Soviet associations were known, still remains unanswered."

Likewise, those responsible for securing citizenship for such known subversives as Sam Carr (Schmil Kogan, Cohen, etc.) and Fred Rose (. . .) and securing key positions for others mixed up in spy work in Canada, have not yet been revealed.

And it would seem that since the death of the late Norman Jaques we have not got one politician in Ottawa with the courage and integrity to expose and face up to the ugly truth.

Social Crediter (Canada), June, 1950.

Nonsense

Speaking at a Rationalist Society Dinner on July 26, given in honour of Bertrand Russell, Professor W. A. Osbourne, of the Melbourne University, said: "Lord Russell is an anomaly—an hereditary peer who has achieved high eminence. We don't expect hereditary peers to achieve distinction along any line of human endeavour."

To say the least of it, this statement is a most unscientific one—particularly so from a member of an organisation which is always talking about the "scientific approach." We understand that Professor Osbourne takes an interest in agricultural pursuits. It would be interesting to know whether he derides the hereditary factor in plant and animal breeding.

The Works Of C. H. DOUGLAS

In a review of his latest full-length work, *The Brief for The Prosecution*, the *English Truth* states that C. H. Douglas is undoubtedly one of the greatest minds of this century. In his various works he has provided a penetrating analysis of finance, government, philosophy, constitutionalism, &c. Those responsible members of the community who are concerned with the present increasing plight of the world must study Douglas if they desire to know what must be done to save our civilisation.

The following list of Douglas's works are available:

- Social Credit* 6/6
Written in 1924, this is one of Douglas's earlier works.
- Credit, Power, and Democracy*, 6/9
An analysis of certain aspects of the credit system.
- The Brief for the Prosecution*, 11/
Douglas's latest full-length work. A brilliant exposure of the international groups which worked to destroy the British Empire between the two world wars.
- The Big Idea* 3/2½
Exposes the forces working towards World Domination.
- The Programme for the Third World War* 2/2½
This book is particularly appropriate at the present time.
- The "Land For The (Chosen) People" Racket*, 2/2½
Deals with the politics of the land.
- The Tragedy of Human Effort* 1/1½
One of Douglas's most outstanding addresses on the principles of human association.
- The Policy of a Philosophy*, 11½d.
An address dealing with the definition of Social Credit.
- Realistic Constitutionalism* . 1/1½
A special address given to the Constitutional Research Association, London. This address must be read by every individual concerned with the protection and extension of constitutional safeguards of individual rights.
- The Realistic Position of the Church of England* . . . 1/2½
A "must" for every Christian.

All prices listed above include the cost of postage.

NEW TIMES,
Box 1226 L., G.P.O., Melbourne.



Artificial Fertilisers and Cancer

(CONTINUED FROM LAST WEEK)

A detailed study of the nitrogen cycle is bound to achieve notable results. A vast field of work is lying ready to hand. A scientific explanation of the conflict between practice and science in the leguminous crop is needed. These plants must have humus before sowing to produce full crops of seed or to enrich the soil when they are used as green manure. The root nodules do not suffice and appear to be but a means of preventing nitrogen starvation.

As new methods of research are devised at Cardiff, for synthesizing and recording the activities of the soil population, it is not too much to expect that this new unit will produce results which will accomplish much more than the explanation of successful practice. It may lead farming into new paths.

One danger will have to be avoided. The workers must not be hampered in their quest by obsolete ideas on organisation and overlapping. There can be no progress in these matters without freedom. The liberty and the means to follow the gleam whither-soever it may lead must be provided.

— *Soil and Health*, England.

It is rather strange that the first reported outbreak of poliomyelitis in 1840 in Germany was only one year after the steel roller method of milling white flour was adopted in Vienna. By 1890, poliomyelitis grew to epidemic proportions.

Cancer v. Protein

In the *N.Y. Herald Tribune* there appeared a series of 15 articles by Lester Grant, called *The Challenge of Cancer*, representing a scholarly survey of the best existing medical thought on every known aspect of cancer. In it he states: "Cancer appears to be a disease in which there is a definite derangement in protein synthesis."

This has been conclusively shown in work done at the Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston. It is the protein in the nucleus of cells that is involved. One of the latest theories in the attempt to find a cure for cancer is to get radio-activity right into the cell nucleus.

The purpose of this article will be to show how much more effective it would be to feed the body protein of the highest quality and in necessary amounts so that the cell could be healthy in the first place. A healthy cell may not go cancerous.

Importance of Protein

Protein, along with carbohydrates and fats, is utilised by the body as a source of energy, but in addition to this, protein alone builds new tissues. All the most important parts of the body, our organs — the heart,

liver, etc., mainly are composed of proteins. The enzymes, the catalysts that digest our food, are proteins. They create the necessary hormones. Our blood is a solution of protein molecules. The antibodies, which are called forth to protect the body when disease comes, are large protein molecules. The red and white capillaries of the blood are protein. Protein is the chief substance necessary for life.

It is not surprising, therefore, that when cancer occurs, a disease that involves a general breakdown of the cell's functions, it should be caused by a derangement in protein synthesis. You generally hear the statement made that the cause of cancer is not known, but in the light of what I have written thus far, that statement is erroneous, because it is now definitely known that cancer is caused by a derangement in protein synthesis. However, medical science has not yet decided what is the cause of the disturbance in protein synthesis.

The problem is narrowed down, therefore, to a question that involves protein. We should not stray too far from that cause in our quest for the secret of the prevention of cancer.

A Revolutionary Theory

A well-known English research worker in the medical field, J. E. R. McDonagh, in his book, *The Universe Through Medicine*, who has projected a revolutionary theory that cancer is related to protein, gave a special statement with regard to it to Sir Albert Howard, who quoted it in *The Soil and Health*, as follows:

"*The Nature of Disease*. Every body in the universe is a condensation product of activity. Every body pulsates, that is to say, it undergoes alternate expansion and contraction. The rhythm is actuated by climate. Protein is the sap of plants and in the blood of animals is such a body, and it is also the matrix of the structure in the former, and of the organs and tissues in the latter.

If the sap in plants does not obtain from the soil the quality nourishment it requires, the protein *over-expands*. This over-expansion renders the action of climate an invader, that is to say, climate, instead of regulating the pulsation, adds to the expansion. The over-expansion results in a portion of the protein being broken off and this broken-off piece is a virus. The virus, therefore, is formed within, and does not come from without, but protein damaged in one plant can carry on the damage if conveyed to other plants.

The protein in the blood of animal and man suffers the same damage if it fails to obtain the quality food it needs.

In animals and man a third factor enters, and that is an invasive activity of the micro-organisms resident in the intestinal tract. The activity causes still further expansion, and the tissue or organ damaged is the one which originates from that part of the protein which is made to undergo the abnormal chemico-physical change, hence there is naturally only one disease, and this is regulated by the damage suffered by the protein wherein the host's resistance lies. As a result of the micro-organisms in the intestinal tract having played an invasive role for so long, they have in addition given rise to micro-organisms which can invade from without, but from these few remarks you will see that micro-organisms do not play the causative role in disease with which they are usually credited."

Sir Albert Howard commented upon it as follows:-

"According to this view of disease, the heart of the subject must reside in the proteins. If these are properly synthesised in the plant, their disease-resisting powers first protect the crop and are afterwards duly handed on to the animal and to man. If, therefore, we see to it in our farming and gardening that the effective circulation of protein from soil to plant, and then to livestock and mankind is maintained, we shall prevent most of the departures from health that is to say, disease — except those due to accidents or to abnormal climatic conditions.

"The synthesis of proteins in Nature is intimately bound up with the nitrogen cycle. The proteins made in the green leaf represent the last phase in this nitrogen cycle between soil and plant. When these proteins are manufactured from freshly prepared humus and its derivatives, all goes well; the plant resists disease and the variety is, to all intents and purposes, eternal. But the moment we introduce a substitute phase in the nitrogen cycle by means of artificial manures like sulphate of ammonia, trouble begins which invariably ends with some outbreak of disease and by the running out of the variety.

"A simple explanation of the relation of soil fertility to health is thus provided. All my own experiences and observations fall into line with this principle. The cure by growing the affected plants in freshly prepared compost, of virus trouble in crops like strawberries, raspberries, tobacco, and sugar-cane, is explained. Imperfectly synthesised protein is then replaced by normal protein.

"In all future studies of disease we must therefore, always begin with the soil. This must be got into good heart first of all and then the reaction of the soil, the plant, animal, and man observed. Many dis-

(Continued on page 7)

Artificial Fertilizers and Cancer

(Continued from page 6.)

eases will then automatically disappear. Only the residue will provide the raw material for the studies of the diseases of to-morrow.

"Soil fertility is the basis of the public health system of the future and of the efficiency of our greatest possession—ourselves."

Recently the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University announced that they had isolated a virus in breast cancer cases of mice. Viruses also bring about such diseases as infantile paralysis and influenza. Since viruses are proteins we see again the need for getting to the bottom of the protein cycle of soil and plant to man.

Artificials Produce Less Protein

Dr. William A. Albrecht, head of the Soils Department of the University of Missouri, has been drawing attention in recent years to the fact that *artificial fertilisers cause the plant to produce less protein and more carbohydrate*. In the *Ken-----*, "Wisconsin Evening News" of May 1, 1948, he says: "During the past 10 years the protein concentration of feed grain in the corn belt has dropped from approximately 9.5 per cent to 8.5 per cent." This is a 10½ per reduction in protein content in only 10 years. What will the protein content be 10 years from now if this tendency continues? Albrecht is one of America's greatest agricultural scientists of the present day.

"Animal sterility, too, is an increasing problem. Crop juggling with bulk as the objective, has brought more fat and energy producing forages and feeds into our system of land use. With them have come increasing animal deficiencies. We are not apt to see declining soil fertility as the basic cause. Instead we are likely to build a false hope on artificial insemination and other contrivances of the breeding business.

"Seemingly, only by more disasters will we eventually come to see that soil fertility is the source of vitally needed protein. Protein not only represents the power of reproduction, but the power of growth itself.

"Life is not passed from one fat globule to another, nor from one starch grain to another, but only from one protein to another

protein molecule. Can a dentist see good permanent teeth being laid down in the jaw line of a foetus (unborn child) when the mother's diet is deficient in minerals and protein? Can he find sound teeth in school children when carbohydrate bulk predominates in their diet because of its lesser cost and easier storage . . . ?"

Excess Potash

There seems to be a definite relationship between magnesium and potash wherever it is found whether in the soils, rocks or other places. Where there is an over-supply of potash there is always an under-supply of magnesium and vice versa.

Schrumpf-Pierron studied the cancer statistics for France in relation to the rock structure underlying its soils. It worked most uncannily. Wherever he found an excess of potash, there he discovered less magnesium and more human cancer cases. Wherever he observed a minimum of potash he found a maximum of magnesium and less cancer cases.

Dr. Paillard, of Paris, said: "Potash was said to be a wonderful manure, all the fields in the world are saturated with it; the result is, nowadays, the ground is progressively exhausted and the nations are starving. Potash neutralises the magnesium which is necessary for antidoting the poisons of food; the lack of magnesium generates fear. We are living in a century of fear. On the other hand, I remind you that in all cases of tuberculosis the concentration of potassium in blood is about twice the normal."

Many may have read recently of a discovery of a blood test for detecting cancer reported by a group of physicians of the University of Chicago. The test is based on noting in the blood a protein disturbance usually found in cancer cases which reduces the ability of the blood to clot. When I read of it and saw again that cancer was related to protein, I became thoroughly convinced that a study must be made of the quality and quantity of protein in foods, and the effect of chemical fertilisers on protein. Feeding experiments, under scientifically controlled conditions, should be entered upon as soon as possible to study the effect of food produced by the organic method on cancer patients.

Farm and Garden Digest, June, 1950.

Itural Research Council is beginning to emancipate itself from the N.P.K. mentality. A step forward in agricultural research has been made. At long last the soil is being studied as a vast biological complex in which myriads of active organisms are competing with one another for the available supplies of food materials and establishing between themselves a dynamic equilibrium which is constantly changing with every alteration in the physical, chemical and climatic environment. Side by side are the absorbing areas of the roots of crops. Compared with this ceaseless activity, the chemical analysis of the soil at some particular moment and the transient effect of a sudden deluge of chemical manure can be but of secondary interest.

It was, however, only a question of time before the Agricultural Research Council would come to realise that the investigation of manurial problems would have to be directed into fresh channels.

An independent Unit of Soil Metabolism has been started at University College, Cardiff, under the direction of Dr. J. H. Quastel, F.R.S., who in a recent lecture delivered to the Royal Institute of Chemistry, has explained in detail the purpose of this project.

This lecture leaves no doubt about the wisdom of the Agricultural Research Council in deciding to break gaol and to make a fresh start. In the essential act of organisation — the selection of the man or woman to direct research — they appear to have found just the man for the task. Few scientific papers have given me so much pleasure as this lecture. A break with the Rothamsted tradition has been made. The soil is being studied as a living whole; the biological, physical, and chemical aspects have been merged

(Continued on page 8)

The Work of the Soil Population

By Sir Albert Howard

Notwithstanding the fact that the chief agencies employed by Nature in her management of the soil are living things, little attention has been paid by the modern experiment stations to the life of the soil.

As is well known, the existence of this soil population—earthworms, fungi, bacteria, and protozoa in particular was not fully realised when the Broadbalk wheat plots were laid down at Rothamsted some hundred years ago. These arose out of Liebig's ideas and were designed to prove that a mixture of chemicals could replace farm-yard manure.

As is so often the case in permanent experiments of this type, the investigators forged their own chains and put their minds in bondage to a preconceived idea. They duly discovered

the things they set out to find. They did more. They started Rothamsted along the wrong road: they enthroned the NPK (Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium) mentality, on which the artificial manure industry was founded, and they have kept in blinkers such bodies as the Ministry of Agriculture, the Agricultural Research Council, the agricultural colleges, the agricultural press, and the advisory services for the farmer. Incidentally, the worship of the Broadbalk results and the misuse of the higher mathematics have reduced Rothamsted to a condition of complete sterility—to an example of what to avoid.

But in this dismal man-made picture a ray of light is appearing. The Agricu-

THE FARM EXCHANGE
(J. E. Harding & A. E. Webb)
If you should think of coming to Central Queensland to live, we shall be glad to advise upon, and assist you to find, Farming, Grazing, Business or House Property. We are Farm Specialists, both having had extensive practical farm experience. Write to us about your needs. Social Crediters will be very welcome. Central Queensland has much to commend it.

THE FARM EXCHANGE
Real Estate Agents, Auctioneers, Valuers.
DENHAM ST., ROCKHAMPTON, C.Q.
Phone 3768.
After Hours 3199 and 2161.

"New Times," August 4, 1950 — Page 7

IS COMMUNISM INEVITABLE?

millions of readers. Serious, well-written, couched on a high plane of presentation, subtly intertwined with philosophic dissertations, provided with well-documented facts and figures—these 'liberal' publications go to those who shape opinion: editorial writers, teachers, preachers, lecturers, radio commentators, bureaucrats, etc. Many of these people, in all innocence, relay either the Communist line or the Fabian Socialist line, or one or another of the live issues of the day. The Left has learned the technique of the dissemination of ideas as the Right has never done. This is the story which is infinitely more important than the cops-and-robbers pursuit of this or that subversive official."

Similar Technique in Australia

Here in Australia the Communist "line" is advanced in exactly the same way as described above. The advocacy of internationalism and the consequent weakening of local loyalties and patriotism, suits the Communists admirably. And yet every major daily newspaper in Australia is doing its best to-day to use the Korean incident to further the "United" Nations idea, never apparently stopping to remember that it was Communists like Alger Hiss who played a leading role in creating this Communist inspired organisation.

Many of the foreign affairs "experts" writing for the papers are either undisclosed Communists or they have absorbed the Communist Party "line" unconsciously. Similar "experts" like McMahon Ball and Professor Julius Stone on the A.B.C. are also doing great damage to the genuine anti-Communist cause. The same press which only last year was allegedly concerned about the menace of Socialism, is now competing with the radio to give the greatest possible publicity to the advocacy of centralised world power by Socialist Bertrand Russell. Although claimed to be a great logician, Russell, who has on occasions attacked centralised power, the basic feature of Communism, now supports the greatest possible tyranny—a World Government. And he is also so naive as to suggest that there can be some kind of "agreement" with the Communist leaders. This type of dangerous nonsense serves to weaken the resolve of the people of this and other Western countries to be

realistic and face the fact that their whole way of life is under attack, from within as well as from without, and that they must place their trust in their own efforts and not attempt to pass their responsibilities to some international organisation over which they have not the slightest control.

A Rekindling of Faith

The British Empire and the British way of life was built up, and became such a power for good in the world, simply because the British peoples had faith in themselves. Communist and Socialist propaganda has had as one of its major objectives the sapping of that faith. A rekindling of this faith is one of the basic essentials for victory in the war against Communism. But will the highly centralised organs of publicity allow themselves to be used for this purpose? No. Let us give merely one example: On July 13, at the Melbourne Town Hall, well-known radio personality Norman Banks gave an address to the Royal Empire Society, in which he said that "the inadequacy of school indoctrination and the apparent disinterest of the press and radio have contributed over the past 25 years to a decline in our patriotic fervor in relation to the British Empire."

In the course of his excellent address, Mr. Banks made some practical suggestions for dealing with the problem as he saw it. Now, although the press usually quotes speakers at the Royal Empire Society's luncheons, as far as we are aware, Mr. Banks's address was not even mentioned. If it was, it was done so inconspicuously that it escaped our attention. The press is too busy preaching the gospel of the "United" Nations, etc., to lend it support to any suggestion for making the British Empire strong and sovereign again.

And it is because all the major organs of propaganda are being manipulated by the Communists, the Socialists, and their fellow-travellers, to bemuse, and divide, and betray the community. This is why it is a matter of life and death that the influence of this journal be extended more rapidly. We appeal to all supporters to realise the seriousness of the position and for each individual to do what he can as soon as he can—even if it is only supporting us financially—while we still have the opportunity to fight on. Time is not on our side.

The Work of the Soil Population

(Continued from page 7)

into a single undertaking. Synthesis has replaced fragmentation.

At the new centre an important discovery has already been made. This advance refers to one of the trace elements of the soil—manganese—minute quantities of which are needed for the work of the green leaf. It has been shown that this element can only enter into the plant through bacterial action. In a manganese-deficient soil the addition of more manganese by itself makes no difference. The soil organisms must first play their part.

The role of the soil population will not be restricted to manganese. It is certain

to play an important part in the supply of phosphorus. Some years ago I had occasion to study a soil containing only a small fraction of the minimum amount of phosphorus considered essential for a crop. Nevertheless, this soil was remarkably fertile. It maintained a population of over 1,200 to the square mile, and exported every year large quantities of seeds, cattle, and human labour; no phosphorus was imported; the soil made no appreciable response to phosphates. Practice and science were thus at loggerheads. The most likely explanation lies, I think, in the effectiveness of the root systems of the local flora in combing the soil for minerals down to twenty feet below the surface, and to the fact that the small quantities of phosphorus present are maintained in the organic condition.

USE **ENWITE** specialities

TEXIT waterproofing compound.
SOLVIT paint remover. No difficult neutralization.
AQUALAC wood putty. For good class cabinet work.
BRYNAC. The enamel for resisting water, acids and alkalis.
FERROSOL. Rust killing paint. In all colours.
RUSTEX. For removing rust from motor bodies and metal work.
THERMEX. Silver paint. Can be made red hot without discolouring or coming off.

Manufactured by:
ENWITE PTY. LTD.
84-86 Cromwell Street,
Collingwood, Vic.
PHONE: JA 5967

**CODNER
BROS.**

**Builders and
Joinery
Manufacturers**

**HOMES AND
HOME SITES
AVAILABLE**

**Wheatsheaf Rd.,
GLENROY**

British Labour M.P. Opposes Schuman Plan

(Continued from page 3)

British proposals—for instance, the answer to the 40-page document which has reached London from Paris, I think, this morning—should be given as soon as possible in, concrete terms, showing the concrete nature of the compromise proposal we are going to put forward, a proposal which would enable there to be an executive, that is to say, a civil service freed from Government interference, and yet responsible to a democratic authority. It is not beyond the wit of man in Britain to devise that compromise. . .