

THE NEW TIMES

Vol. 20, No. 24.

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1954.

ONE SHILLING & NINEPENCE FORTNIGHTLY.

THE CHURCHILL MYTH A British Criticism

When Sir Winston Churchill recently celebrated his eightieth birthday, no effort was spared to convince the people of the world that Sir Winston was "the greatest Englishman who ever lived." But there are many loyal Britishers who regard Sir Winston as one of the greatest disasters the British peoples have ever suffered — a disaster because, while apparently standing for the preservation of the British Empire, he has allowed himself to be used for the destruction of the British Empire.

It was Lord Acton, famous for his observation that all power tends to corrupt, who made the provocative statement that all great men have been evil men. We have much pleasure in re-publishing from "Candour," the British Views-Letter, the following penetrating commentary upon the Churchill myth by Mr. A. K. Chesterton, M.C.:

What greeting has "Candour" to offer Sir Winston Churchill on the occasion of his eightieth birthday? The question, to judge by the amount of advice we have received, is one upon which many subscribers have speculated, not least those who hold that our whole attitude towards the man is misconceived. "Criticise him by all means," they say to us, "but do it subtly. Wrap it up. Interlard it with praise. Otherwise you only infuriate his worshippers." The advice is sincere, even though what our advisers term subtle we would regard as deceitful and destructive of our entire political purpose. True enough, we do infuriate the idolaters, but in our view the minds, which can be reached only when the sting is taken out of a denunciation, are not worth reaching. Let those who recoil from the harshness of our strictures call us bores and ingrates if they please: at least they shall not in justice call us sycophants.

There is, however, no sycophancy in the greetings we now offer Sir Winston. We wish him many happy returns of the day. The wish is sincere, for we have no personal animosity towards him. May he live to be a hundred, longer if he so desires. Our only proviso is that the many more years, which we hope he will enjoy, are spent in painting, in writing, in bricklaying, in all his genuine skills-of, which, we add with profound conviction, statesmanship is not one.

There could be an alternative proviso, but unfortunately it lies so far from every likelihood that to wish for it would be to for a miracle. It is that Sir Winston, at his advanced age, should turn his back upon the disastrous trend of his life's work, and do that which the innumerable admirers who have contributed to his Birthday Fund, including those three thousand old ladies who with loving hands have knitted for him scarves, gloves and sweaters,

assume without a single tremor of doubt that he is doing — preserving the Empire and so helping to make secure the British future.

Were it not that the nation is hypnotised by Churchill's fame there could scarcely be concealed from its knowledge the stupendous fact that he is doing precisely the opposite, that — in spite of earlier assurances — he now presides over the actual dissolution of the British Empire. Were that grim and terrible truth understood, would the Birthday cheques be pouring in, would the poor be contributing their shillings or their pence, would those devoted hands be knitting woollen comforts for his gracious acceptance? I do not know the answers to those questions. Like many readers of this little journal I stand baffled and appalled in contemplation of the schizophrenia, which afflicts our nation. Facts no longer have the force of facts. Truth no longer matters. All that matters is the myth — in this case the fantastic, reason-destroying myth of Winston Spencer Churchill.

We are driven ignominiously out of Egypt by a comic-opera military junta backed by American dollars. Churchill spins a web of words for the beguilement of our people and our rout is at once construed as a great Imperial triumph. The same verbal smoke screen has covered our scuttle from the Sudan. We are allowed back, a mangy jackal in the wake of a mangy Wall Street lion, to enjoy a two-fifth share in our own Persian oil industry, with Yanks and Dutchmen as our supervisors, and this is another thunderous Churchillian victory. The obscene fiasco of setting up Negro governments to try to hold their own in supersonic age is carried a step further by an administration which can have no doubt of what the ultimate result will be, and this, too, is a further laurel for the

Churchillian brow. While twenty battalions of British boys hold Communism at bay in Malaya, the Churchill Government busily prepares to hand over the people of Malaya to the anarchy and chaos which is dignified by the name of "independence" — "within the Commonwealth", of course! — so that the terrors of the Malayan jungle will be reinforced by the man-made hells of the jungle of high finance. Yet in no way is the Churchill myth diminished. It is not diminished when our crack troops for fifty years are placed outside the control of Her Britannic Majesty and the people of
(Continued on page 10)

OUR POLICY

1. The preservation of Australia's sovereignty as a part of the British Empire, and the exposure of all internal and external groups which attack that sovereignty.
2. The preservation and extension of genuine local government.
3. The preservation and strengthening of all Constitutional safeguards for the purpose of protecting fundamental individual rights.
4. The encouragement of all activities designed to bring Governments under more effective control by the electors.
5. The preservation and extension of genuine free, competitive enterprise and private ownership, and opposition to all Monopoly, whether it be "private" or State.
6. The support of a financial policy which will (a) permit free enterprise to make available to all individuals an increasing standard of living and greater leisure for cultural pursuits; (b) result in no further increase in the community's indebtedness and the sound business practice of gradually reducing existing debt.
7. Recognising that the basis of any sound economy is agriculture, the encouragement of agricultural policies which will ensure the preservation and building up of soil fertility by organic farming and gardening; and the prevention of soil erosion and the protection of forests and watersheds.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is
verging,
In God's name, let us speak while there
is time!
Now, when the padlocks for our lips
are forging,
Silence is crime.

WHITTIER.

THE LESSON OF "SOCIAL CREDIT" PARTICIPATION IN THE NEW ZEALAND ELECTIONS

(Concluded from our last issue.)
by Borge Jensen.

"To increase the scope of a problem is not to solve it; and should Ottawa eventually fall to a party majority nominally Social Credit (in itself a most unlikely contingency) no impairment of the financier's power of VETO would have been effected . . . Moreover, if a Party operating under the Social Credit label were under any sense of need to pursue the matter further, it must then go "On to the United Nations." Stretching credulity to the limit and assuming that stronghold was gained, the Assembly would at once be faced with a Soviet as well as the Wall Street VETO. CAN ANYONE IMAGINE SOCIAL CREDIT EMERGING FROM THIS, ALBERTA'S STRATEGY?" —Hewlett Edwards, in "Alberta, 1953".

"Naturally, Alberta and British Columbia come into the picture, but even this may turn out to be for the best. The effect of it all is that virtually no person in the country can truthfully say that they have never heard of Social Credit . . . one press leader warns both parties that after the next election they may both of them be in opposition; and recommends a full, un-biassed inquiry into what caused the large number of voters to forsake the 'status quo'."

—Letter from a prominent N.Z. Social Crediter.

"The New Zealand Government is so shaken by the large vote the Social Credit Party got at the elections on November 13 that it has decided to consider setting up a special investigation into proposals for monetary reform . . . the final state of parties is: Government, 45 seats; Labor, 35."

—"The Herald," Melbourne, November 30, 1954.

"Is there any real doubt that they (the voters—Ed.) turned away mainly because the Government fail to hold, let alone restore, the purchasing power of the £ . . . let the party ask itself this question: Would 110,000 people have voted for Social Credit if the value of the pound had been held stable? . . . the relationship of the Cabinet with the rank and file needs consideration. It has been supposed that in the Parliamentary party's caucus there has been equality of opportunity for free and frank discussion and criticism. The opportunity theoretically exists, but the public has had some reason to wonder whether the practice matches the theory, whether, for example, it has been easy to discuss financial questions without seeming to attack the party's leader, who is also Minister of Finance."

—"The Auckland Star," November 22, 1954.

Secrecy is of the essence of party-political pseudo-Democracy. The electors vote in secret; the meetings of Cabinets take place in secret; International Conferences where "National" party politicians

meet to confer on world affairs are surrounded by layer upon layer of secrecy.

Our enemy works us, makes us move and do his bidding, in exact measure as we absorb his anti-natural un-Godly ideas. It is in the realm of ideas that we must begin to challenge his supremacy: as long as a Churchill, a Menzies, or a Holland can retain acceptance for the idea that the Secret Universal Vote is the hallmark of Democracy, the Devil is safe and "Communism" bound to win.

In "The Edmonton Bulletin," Alberta, there appeared the following letter on July 3, 1948, which bears out the point we are trying to make:

"The irresponsible secret ballot, allied to politics at the present time, is a sure guarantee that a steady drift into a state of bureaucratic slavery will continue. That there has been such a steady drift over the last forty years, and that all "democratic countries are rapidly approaching the situation which exists in Great Britain, can hardly be denied... all political parties move inevitably towards socialisation since "the popular mind" has been conditioned to believe that there is no other alternative . . . in effect, ballot-box democracy is becoming the same thing as ballot-box totalitarianism. The first term in practice is coming to mean what is correctly defined by the second."

And in support of this line of argument we quote this passage from "The Australian Social Crediter," July 24, 1948:

"There seems to be only one argument in favour of the secret ballot, and that is to prevent intimidation. But intimidation is a criminal act, to be met by open enforcement of law. The Communists perform one of the innumerable services to the Socialists by discrediting the open ballot; but that game is obvious enough, and should be challenged for what it is. It is now more important to gain open responsible voting than it ever was to secure the Just Price."

If exposure is the first step towards imposing our will on our enemy, the next might well be to deprive him of the weapons he is using against us. Our perverted party-political mechanisms must be remoulded, and the various "spanners" which

have been thrown into our potentially democratic institutions must be located and removed: our Constitution must, as Douglas says in "Realistic Constitutionalism", "be freed from the weeds that are choking it". That the closely related power-mechanisms of Finance and Ballot-Box "Democracy" are two of the most deadly of these "weeds", few intelligent political observers would deny, and it might not be amiss to take a brief historical survey of their development in what is perhaps the most favoured and, potentially, most important of the British Dominions. (1)

"There are many features, political, economic and social, in the N.Z. situation which are not explicable by familiar causes. There are few portions of the earth's surface so favoured by nature in climate, natural resources, indigenous and immigrant population and, in general, insulation from troublesome and unfriendly neighbours . . . Yet N.Z. has a negative migration balance . . . N.Z. is riddled with occultism and Freemasonry . . .

"Doubtless, because of its potentialities, it has always been carefully controlled by Jewish finance, with the result that its export 'per capita' is the highest in the world, yet its exchange is, or was, at a discount of 25 percent with Sterling. All of this aspect is explicable by the usual phenomena of financial exploitation: but the reason, for instance, of the settlement in N.Z. of a certain Dr. Felkin, and the foundation of a Temple of the Rosicrucian Order (Rosecroix) is not so obvious. To those of our friends in N.Z. who feel that their affairs require overhauling, and are at a loss to account for the amazing collapse of the very promising N.Z. Social Credit movement, we suggest that some attention to this line of investigation may be helpful."

—"The Social Crediter," February 2, 1946.

Following this suggestion, we shall now proceed to investigate some of the occult factors of the New Zealand political situation without some knowledge of present picture must remain blurred. The Jewish Encyclopedia is our authority following:

"The settlement of Jews in New Zealand dates from the establishment of British Sovereignty in 1840. Among the first emigrants was John Israel Montefiore. [The Montefiores were connected with the Rothschilds by marriage and business ties. In Australia, Jacob Montefiore assisted as Director of the Bank of Australia in the creation of the 'States' of South Australia and New South Wales. —Ed.]

"It was not until the seventh decade of the 19th century when gold was discovered in the Otago district that Jews were drawn to N.Z. in considerable numbers. The Jews in N.Z. have never aggregated more than 1,600 individuals **but in spite of this fact they have assisted in shaping the country's policy.**" (Our emphasis.)

Amongst the early Jewish policy-makers, Sir Julius Vogel was the most prominent and was, in fact, a living embodiment of the dictum that "control of finance and news is concentric". As Premier of the Dominion from 1876 to 1881, as founder of the system of Governmental Life Insurance and Public Trusts Offices,

(1) Where no source is given, the quotation "The Social Crediter", England.

(Continued on page 3)

THE NEW ZEALAND ELECTIONS

Continued from page 2

the N.Z. Mail Service, the London New Zealand Cable, as editor of the first N.Z. daily, "The Daily Times", and proprietor of "The Otago Witness", he, and the political-financial power groups he represented, helped to lay, as it were, the rails along which the train of New Zealand public affairs has moved ever since. (Consultation of more recent editions of Jewish Year-books establishes the fact that the Jewish grip on "News" on the widest sense, remains as firm as always, and explains the viciousness of the current attacks on the doctrine of Social Credit in the N.Z. Press before and since the elections.)

Perhaps we may seek the reason for the almost indecent haste with which Jewish financiers proceeded to monopolise banking journalism and Government in New Zealand in the unique place held by the Dominion in the British Empire:

"With fundamentally one of the finest populations in the world, the pick of the English and Scottish emigration, and a native stock, the Maori, unique in its assimilability, the New Zealand population was stagnant. Why? The answer is easy—Finance — Socialism. One of these days New Zealand will be a centre of world civilisation, but it has a lot of house-cleaning to do first, beginning with Mr. Nash, and proceeding to the local Freemasonry Racket."

So let us begin with the Nashes, Savages, etc ("Labor") and proceed to the political representatives of the local Freemasonry Racket ("the Nationalists").

The responsibility of those N.Z. groups who have exploited Social Credit in the partly political game, for two decades of permanent Labor-Nationalist administration is clearly of the greatest, and is a matter of historical fact.

Nothing is more dangerous than "a little knowledge": it was men who were acquainted with Douglas's ideas, people who called themselves Social Crediters who, equating Social Credit with Socialism, assisted the first Socialist Government to power in N.Z. in 1935:

"Of all the countries which have, in the last fifteen years, made Social Credit ideas a serious political issue, New Zealand had the greatest opportunity to carry them to brilliant success. Instead, New Zealanders alone identified them with the Labor Party. To say that the result has been pathetic is true but not comprehensive. It is not merely that a large body of enthusiastic and capable Social Crediters has failed completely to achieve any result. But it is, in addition, demonstration almost frightening in its completeness that these so-called Labor Parties are everywhere the tools of the clever and unscrupulous group who, according to Walther Rathenau, rule everything and appoint their successors."

It has happened that when "Labor" politicians from different parts of the British Empire meet, they have been startled at the similarity of "their" respective programmes for the betterment of the masses. "The Edmonton Bulletin", of November 23, 1943, informs us that:

"Mr. Coldwell (leader in the Federal Parliament of the C.C.F., or Socialist-

'Progressive' Party—Ed.) described the organisation of the C.C.F. Party in 1932 (in Regina, Saskatchewan). 'Shortly after the organisation meeting', Mr. Coldwell said, 'I met a New Zealander called Walter Nash, who showed me the programme of the New Zealand Labor Party, and I was amazed to find that almost point for point and sometimes even word for word the policy of the party was identical with our newly organised party.' "

"The Social Crediter", of February 5, 1944, continues the story:

"By a further amazing coincidence, it was in 1932 that Social Credit propaganda became a serious threat to both the U.F.A. (the United Farmers of Alberta—Ed.) Government and the Coates Government in New Zealand . . . New Zealand is a sovereign Government on paper, and by some still unexplained manoeuvre, the whole weight of the New Zealand Social Credit movement was thrown behind the New Zealand Labor Party with which it has nothing in common. Sir Otto Niemeyer, sent out to deal with the situation, is credited with the remark, for which we cannot vouch, that there was no cause for anxiety so long as Mr. Nash was supported in the position of Finance Minister. Just why Mr. Nash was in Regina in 1932, and his meteoric rise, are unexplained."

In the following year of 1933, when "Hitler" came to power in Germany, and Roosevelt became President of the U.S.A., and the P.E.P.-planners (directed by Mr. Israel Moses Sieff) increased their evil pressure on British politicians of all parties "Social Credit in the Antipodes obtained such advertisement as has never been remotely approached anywhere else in the world with the possible exception of the short period following the 1935 Alberta election. . . .

"The failure to capitalise the situation was due to two simple reasons. The first was the determination to ignore the existence of international conscious forces aiding the 'Labor Party' to institute 'Socialism', and a pathetic belief that they could be so 'used'. And the second was the usual, fatal, error of mistaking recruiting for fighting. . . .

"No considerable body of reasonably intelligent people will permit themselves to be recruited and kept recruited for a purpose which accomplishes nothing. They either fade out, or turn into teashop coteries. If such a body is convinced that only political 'Office', with all the handicaps involved will enable it to achieve results, which is not our view, it has no alternative but to compete for 'office' with all the handicaps involved. If instead of announcing its objective as a non-stop run to Berlin willing to take a few trenches on the way, it generates the power, which only comes from achievement. The worst enemy of effective effort is the pestilent nuisance who has a perfectly good reason for never taking the next trench. He is always supported by the enemy."

And then, in 1948, when the countries of Europe celebrated the centenary of their Liberal-Masonic ("Nationalist") Revolutions which paved the way for local editions of Ballot-Box Democracy, and when the Zionist State was set up by force of arms

Communist Penetration Into Australian Churches!

By V. L. Borin,
former
Czech Communist
Writer

FOREWORD BY
ERIC D. BUTLER.

Price 2/3, post free.

This important booklet should be introduced to all those Christians who have been deluded by the Communist "peace offensive". As a former Communist writer, Mr. Borin writes authoritatively upon his subject. He sees happening in Australia what he experienced in Europe. The Communist penetration of the Christian Churches in Australia has proceeded much further and much deeper than most people realise.

Mr. Borin deals in detail with what happened at Dr. Hromadka's meeting in Melbourne on September 16, 1954, when the audience insisted that Mr. Borin be permitted to reply to Dr. Hromadka. The full text of Mr. Borin's reply is published in this booklet.

Mr. Eric D. Butler writes a foreword in his capacity as Director of the Victorian League of Rights, which publishes the booklet. Mr. Butler points out that "Karl Marx specifically repudiated the democratic conception of man as a sovereign being, claiming that it was founded on 'the illusion, the dream and postulate of Christianity, namely, man has a sovereign soul'. Communism is only concerned with man as a member of 'the class', 'the mass', or 'the group'. All those who support any of the collectivist policies of the Communists are therefore assisting the Communist ideological assault upon men's minds."

This booklet should be placed in the hands of all Christian clergymen.

Order from The Victorian League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

in Palestine ("Labor" movements everywhere having agreed to support their Parliamentary opponents in embracing Zionism) we find this comment in "The Social Crediter" (April 24, 1948).

"Since 1935, Zealand has had a so-called Labor (i.e., Financier-Socialist) Government. When this Government came to power, largely by the support of Social Crediters given on the basis of promises, every one of which has been broken, the National Debt was £277,443,000. It is now £634,751,000, or practically that of Great Britain in 1941. The population of New Zealand, however, is 1,600,000 as compared with about 45,000,000 in Great Britain in 1914."

The N.Z. electorate then felt it was time

(Continued on page 9)

"New Times," December 17, 1954—Page 3.

The New Times

Established 1936.

Published every alternate Friday by New Times Limited McEwan House.
343 Little Collins Street. Melbourne. C.I.

Postal Address: Box 1226L. G.P.O. Melbourne. Telephone: MU 2834.

Subscription Rates: 40/- Yearly: 20/- Half-Yearly: 10/- Quarterly

VOL. 20.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1954.

No. 24.

More Dollar Bondage

Representatives for the World Bank now in Australia have kindly informed us that our "credit is good" and that they shall be pleased to consider another dollar loan for Australia. This means more dollar debt and increasing dollar bondage. Those who cannot see that Australia is a major target for dollar diplomacy, and that our Federal Governments are pawning our assets, are blind to the realities of present-day international politics. There is not the slightest doubt that when the Communist agent Harry Dexter White and his associates drafted the Bretton Woods financial agreements, they had in mind the creation of instruments, which could be used to penetrate the economies of the countries of the British Empire. But our politicians appear to be quite oblivious to this fact and hasten to increase our dollar indebtedness as rapidly as possible.

These same politicians and other advocates of increased dollar borrowing have apparently given no thought to the question of how we are going to repay our dollar debts. Under present financial rules operating in all countries, it is impossible for Australia to repay these loans. Dollar loans can only be repaid by Australia exporting goods to the U.S.A. But the U.S.A. is seeking to overcome its internal economic problems by exporting more goods than it imports, and therefore erects severe tariff barriers to limit imports from other countries. While present policies continue, it is certain that Australians are doomed to permanent dollar indebtedness. The impossibility of getting out of debt has already been graphically demonstrated by the announcement that Australia is to raise a new dollar loan on Wall Street in order to refund 25 million dollars borrowed from the World Bank during earlier loans. Cannot freedom-loving Australians see the threat to their independence when their Government has to borrow on Wall Street in order to repay previous loans?

It is not without significance that the vice-president of the World Bank, Mr. R. L. Garner, who is at present in Australia with a group of other World Bank officials, is reported as having said in Sydney "Australia is one of the few countries that could raise money in Wall Street". The international financial groups regard this country favourably. We do not believe that they are only interested in what the Socialists call profiteering, but that their policies are also designed to break up the British Empire economically. Is there any person in his right senses, or who is not a conscious traitor, who believes that increasing dollar loans are essential for the genuine progress and welfare of this country? Much of the talk we hear about development is inspired nonsense. For example, it is stated that the next 50 million dollar loans will, amongst other things, be used to finance agricultural development. This is most strange at a time when Australia is having increasing difficulty in disposing of present agricultural surpluses at profitable prices.

The fact of the matter is that the economic development of this country is being placed under the control of the dollar aggressors. These aggressors seek to share the whole world with the Communist aggressors. Freedom-loving Australians should resist all forms of aggression.

SOCIAL CREDIT AND "ANTI-SEMITISM"

A correspondent sends us a paragraph by Colin Jordan in "Free Britain" for October, which we quote: —

"SOCIAL CREDIT WITH A JEWISH ACCENT. There are many sincere nationalists and good friends of "Free Britain" among followers of the Social Credit doctrine. They would do well to keep a wary eye on certain of the personalities behind the recently formed 'Company of Free Men' (London), that is apparently an offshoot of the now defunct Social Credit Party, one of whose leading organisers informed me several years ago that it was a good idea to try and get Jews to back the movement.

"By its Constitution the new organisation freely admits Jews. It has found it possible to publish an expensive 28 page two-coloured illustrated journal, "The Sun", wherein one of its regular contributors, lurking behind the pseudonym of Crodon, Saxon God of Plenty, attacks anti-Semitism at length, rebuking Major Douglas, founder of the Social Credit doctrine, for this misdemeanor. Social Credit in the hands of the Jews would become merely another instrument for the realisation of their ancient ambition for world control over the Gentiles."

Apart from the fact that the Arabs have as much claim as the Jews to the appellation 'Semitic,' and 'anti-Semitism' is, therefore, almost invariably a misnomer, this paragraph seems to us double-edged. Doubtless this is quite unintentional on the part of the writer, and we should not refer if the point at issue were not fundamental. The suggestion that in the hands of somebody—never mind who—Social Credit could 'become' something—never mind what, is the point upon which we focus. A movement can, of course, be deflected from its objective, and nearly every political movement known to history has, in fact, been, to some extent at least deflected in this manner. There is nothing we can see to prevent a collectivity of enlightened Jews from embracing the policy of Social Credit, unless it is that they are not built that way. It is on record that, if they did behave in this way, our advice to them would be to proceed towards their objective in a spirit of lofty independence, for after all there is a sense in which virtue is its own reward. Another name for Social Credit is unexploitability; and surely whatever race a man may belong to, he cannot exploit unexploitability. The error of our friendly critic lies in his probably unconscious conviction that Social Credit is a scheme. It is not a scheme; it is a policy.

—"The Social Crediter" (England),
October 16.

POISONING THE WATER SUPPLIES OF VICTORIA

("Fluoridation")

Water is a prime essential to life. The human individual can only survive a very few days without it. Life in Australia is still in many places one long hard struggle to overcome the scarcity of water. Victoria is no exception, but we have in Victoria perhaps the best drinking water in the world. It is one of the greatest assets of our State. A threat to our water supplies is a threat to our very lives.

Down through the ages sinister forces have been at work to combat the Forces of Life. At a certain point in their efforts to break the will of the individual, to make him conform to the dictates of "Government", the Organised Powers of Evil have never hesitated to poison the water supplies of the population. Time and again, there arose the startled cry from the people: "They have poisoned our wells." At a given moment certain prominent "experts" connected with Official Health Services begin in every part of the Western world to advocate the grotesquely unscientific proposition that in order to preserve the teeth of the population, the drinking water must be medicated, the drug in question being sodium fluoride, a poisonous substance. Even if sodium fluoride were not a poison, the proposal to put a drug into the water supplies would be preposterously uneconomic, seeing that only a small fraction of a community's water supply is used for drinking. But sodium fluoride is a poison, and even the most orthodox members of the dental and medical professions will admit that different individuals react differently to the same drug. We need only point to the difficulty, not to say impossibility of determining how much or how little "fluoridated water" is "good" or needful for the preservation of the teeth of a given individual; we need only mention the facts that many people drink far more water (in various guises) than is "good" for them, that some—whose teeth may be very bad indeed—avoid water in its purest form like poison, to see that fluoridation belongs to the realm of what Shakespeare called "the high-fantastical". Besides being extravagant and grotesque, the proposal to fluoridate the water supplies of a given community is sinister in the extreme. This we shall proceed to demonstrate.

SODIUM FLUORIDE IS A POISON

In Ohio, U.S.A., a group of experts have done extensive research on the subject, and have issued the following warning:

"Fluoridation in drinking water is criminal insanity. Even in small quantities sodium fluoride is a deadly poison to which no effective anti-dote has been found. It

is a most efficient rat-killer. One part or more fluorine per million tends to make children's teeth pitted, stained yellow, brown or almost black."

Dr. Royal Lee, D.D.S., Director of the Lee Foundation for Nutritional Research, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, states:

"Fluorine is a cumulative poison... has the very definite objection that it accumulates in the bones, thereby making them brittle. There is no known anti-dote for this poison."

Dr. Joe D. Nichols of Atlanta, Texas President of State Medical Society, quoted in "The Wichita Falls Times," March 29, 1954, states:

"The simple facts are these:

(1) 'Sodium fluoride is a protoplasmic poison, it is a vitamin antagonist, an enzyme inhibitor.' This is a direct quote from a pharmacology manual by Sollman. The long-range effect on people with chronic kidney diseases, diabetes, pregnancy, and many other conditions, have not been disproved.

(2) The value of inorganic fluoridation is still questionable. The only proof to date is a batch of statistics, which have been carelessly compiled.

"The Texas Medical Association last year adopted a resolution asking all County Medical Societies not to endorse or condemn fluoridation until more proof is obtained as to its safety and value."

Raymond L. Girardet, D.D.S., F.A.C.P., wrote in 1950:

"Have the proponents of this scheme (fluoridation) studied the incidence of death from hardening of the arteries or heart muscle in those areas which have the proposed percentage of fluorine in the water?"

Mrs. Winifred M. Sykes, Vice-President of the British Housewives' League, writes to the Editor, of "The Medical Health Officer" (21/7/54):

"I quote from Geo. W. Heard, D.D.S., of Hereford, Texas: 'I still believe that fluorine does in a mild way retard caries, but I also believe that the damage it does is far greater than any good it may appear to accomplish. It even makes the teeth so brittle and crumbly that they can be treated only with difficulty, if at all.'"

Lord Douglas of Barloch, in a speech in the House of Lords, on January 27, 1954, quoted Professor D. G. Steyn, Professor of Pharmacology in the University of Pretoria, South Africa:

"Fluorine is a dangerous poison in that its chronic harmful effects may not be in evidence until thirty or forty years after consumption of minute quantities of this poison has begun. In addition to our previous knowledge of the harmful effect of fluorine in drinking water, it was recently established that small quantities of fluorine in drinking water suppress thyroid activity, and in our fluorine areas endemic goitre is of frequent occurrence."

Dr. T. Gordonoff, of Berne, Switzerland, maintains that fluorine in drinking water tends to aggravate thyroid conditions, and reported at the 19th International Physiological Congress held at Montreal that results of his experiments with rats had prompted him to recommend to the Swiss Government a go-slow policy with regard to fluoridation.

Dr. Alfred Taylor, of the Biochemical Institute of Texas, testified before a Congressional Committee:

"About two years ago experiments were set up in our laboratory to test the effect of sodium fluoride in the drinking water of mice on mammary (breast) cancer incidence . . . the results show no change in the incidence of cancer, but rather indicate a shorter life span in the mice receiving the fluoridated water."

Rev. L. F. Sheen, of St. Malachy's Church, Geneseo, Illinois:

"Dictionary definition: FLUORIDE: An element of the chlorine family isolated as a pungent, corrosive, pale greenish yellow gas. It combines with every element except oxygen." It is the most perfectly reactive element known. It decomposes all organic solvents with violence, and also decomposes water. That is why fluoridated water smells so foul. It is decomposed. The vapour of fluorine, even when highly diluted, is extremely injurious to the respiratory tract. The man who puts the stuff in the hopper of the fluoridator must wear a mask, rubber apron, rubber gloves and boots. It is far worse than arsenic to the body."

Mr. M. C. Goldman, in "Organic Gardening and Farming", writes:

" . . . Fluorine is classified as a minor, or trace element. Chemically it is defined as 'the most active non-metallic element a yellowish, poisonous, highly corrosive gas, which combines actively with most other elements.'"

"Conforming to the Law of California, the warning label on a can of Schrader's Argentine Ant Killer displays a skull-and-cross-bones over the word poison, and reads 'Contains sodium fluorides.'"

Mr. C. Leslie Thomson, B.Sc., writes on "Fluoridation and Tooth Health", in the "Kingston Chronicle", Edinburgh:

"Investigators . . . have pointed out that while an apparent advantage to the forming

teeth may exist, for people beyond adolescence, fluorine actually causes disintegration of the teeth, and is in other respects a serious menace to health."

"Science Newsletter" for March 15, 1952, tells of discoveries, which may seriously influence the health of expectant mothers and newborn babies in areas where water is fluoridated. The fact that fluorine concentrates in the placenta (the tissue envelope in which the unborn baby rests) was discovered by Drs. Dwight E. Gradner, Frank A. Smith, Harold C. Hodge, D. E. Overton, and Reuben Feltman, all eminent U.S. scientists.

"Dental Research", U.S.A., for August 1951, reports 'an almost triple number of cavities' following three years of artificial fluoridation in Kansas.

Dr. A. L. Miller, in the U.S. Congressional Record, March 25, 1952, revealed that a check of the vital statistics of Grand Rapids, Michigan, the only city of any size, which has had artificial fluoridation for more than four years, shows that the death rate from heart disease in 1944 was 585. Four years later, after Fluoridation had started, there were 1,059 deaths. Deaths from nephritis jumped by 50 percent. There was also an increase of 50 percent, over a period of four years in the deaths from inter-cranial lesions."

Mr. George Swendiman, former President of the American Dental Association, writes in "The Argument Against Fluoridating City Water":

"I maintain that the long-term effects of fluorine in varying amounts upon the bones and vital organs of the human body have not been ascertained. Our information about fluorides is partial and incidental. We do know for instance, that the fluorides are extremely corrosive and are used in etching glass. Fluorides also are used as a highly potent rat poison. Now these last uses may sound like splendid qualifications for a regular addition to our diet in the view of our dental association, but I may be pardoned for suspending judgment. I do not crave rat poison, even well diluted. How do I know that this poison will not have a cumulative effect? Suppose this diluted rat poison gradually ruins my kidneys, and thus sends me to my grave? Will it be any comfort to me, if my dental association says: 'He died with perfect teeth?'"

THE ROOT CAUSE OF DENTAL DECAY

Dr. Eugene H. Payne writes in "The Readers' Digest", December 1954 ("Islands of Immunity"):

"In some countries a number of communities are artificially fluoridating their water supply to prevent tooth-decay. In Brazil, in areas where fluorine in the water is absent, I found communities with sensationally good teeth. The incidence of dental caries was lower than any reported in the fluorine-using towns. We need to investigate the reason why."

The reason why has been fully and sufficiently investigated, and all we have to do is to use our common sense. The root cause of dental, and most other physical decay evident in the modern world, is directly traceable to modern feeding habits, and outstanding investigators like Dr. Price, eminent U.S. dental surgeon, have travelled all over the world in order to establish the cause between modern processed, refined and doctored foods and dental decay. They have proved their case up to the hilt. Wherever people live in natural surroundings upon fresh foods grown in their immediate vicinity, their teeth are in fine condition. Amongst communities who have been innocent of tooth-aches before they were introduced to the feeding habits of "civilised" man, we find tooth-decay setting in immediately after the consumption of refined processed foods has begun. It is interesting to note that the same powerful interests who control much of the food-refining and food-adulterating plant in the modern world, and who are so well and effectively represented in modern "governments", are also "interested" in the fluoridation of the world's water-supplies. Thus we learn that machinery for controlling the addition of fluorine to water is made by Messrs. Wallace and Tiernan, U.S.A., who are also the makers of "Agene" apparatus for "improving" flour. "Agene" is another effective rat-poison, and it is significant that the "Ageneised", i.e., drugged and poisoned "National" Loaf of Britain is government subsidised, while no support, either financially, or from the point of view of publicity, is given to the wholemeal loaf, the consumption of which is highly beneficial to health, including tooth-health.

Also "interested" in fluoridation is the International Aluminium Industry, which is closely connected with the Chemical and Armaments Trusts which, assisted by "National" politicians of all countries, succeed in keeping the world in the pretty turmoil in which we find it. (1)

We have quoted only a minute portion of the immense amount of available data proving that sodium fluoride is a poison. We have cited a few only of the innumerable experts concerned with the health of teeth and body who agree that the long-term effects of fluoridation are, at the moment, unforeseeable, and in all probability damaging to individual health. Having established that sodium fluoride is a poison we must now look into the question of how prominent professional men, doctors, dentists and public health officials, have been induced to advocate the poisoning of the public water supplies. Through what mechanism of mind-conditioning, by what process of "ideological motivation" have ordinarily respectable, kindly, well-educated men been induced to believe, or been forced to act as though they believed in a measure at one and the same time so unscientific and anti-social as Fluoridation? Part of the answer is to be found in the speech already quoted by Lord Louglas of Barloch:

(1) "Chemical Week", U.S.A., writes, July 7, 1951: "Only one percent, of the nation's water is now treated; thus the market potential has the fluoride chemical makers goggle-eyed . . . Standing to benefit from the boom are chemical companies and equipment firms. It all adds up to a nice piece of business on all sides."

"The passion to regulate the lives of others is deep-seated and hard to root out. It is most dangerous and most insidious when it arises not from motives of personal gain, but from the desire to inflict benefit on others. In this era, the greatest danger to human freedom is the specialist who has soaked himself deep in some research and wishes to impose upon others the pattern of conduct which he thinks is best."

We would modify this statement and say that the greatest danger to human freedom are the highly organised international politico—industrial power-groups in control of most of the world's press, including influential professional journals (i) groups powerful enough at a given moment to launch worldwide campaigns for this and that compulsory "health" measure. It was compulsory Pasteurisation of Milk yesterday. It is compulsory mass-medication via the water-tap today, and it may be compulsory X-Ray tomorrow. But whatever it is, whatever the immediate threat to health which justifies a further encroachment on individual rights, the compulsion-merchants always find plenty of men with an itch to mind other people's business, no lack of "scientific experts"—people who know more and more about less and less—to carry out the behests of the power-groups.

FLUORIDATION BACKED

by

Finance, Press and "Government"

We should have nothing to fear from the peculiar ideas and mental kinks of official "health" experts if these were not provided with a free forum in Press and Radio from which they can air the subtle and sinister schemes which have been insinuated into their gullible, or culpable, heads behind the closed doors and frosted windows of the professional and political brother-lodges.

Most of the world's wireless stations are closed to the opponents of Fluoridation. Most of the world's newspapers are wholeheartedly on the side of the water-poisoners. (Note recent articles in the Melbourne Press.)

Most of the world's politicians, we need hardly add, dance to the tunes of the same evil power-lusters. The late President Roosevelt is on record as saying that it is the job of a politician to yield to pres-

(1) Mr. Arthur B. MacWhinnie, D.M.D., writes in "Fluoridation is Compulsory Medication": "I found to my delight that there was another way of administering fluorides, less costly and in a purer form than the government (fluoridation of water-supplies) method, and one which would be voluntary. I rushed to report my findings to the dental profession. I received a great shock, for, in what I still considered a free America, the platform of the Seattle District Dental Society was denied me to speak against fluoridation . . . we heard speakers for fluoridation at every regular meeting from December 1951 through March 1952 . . . I then decided to write to my State journal. I have been a the publications committee for several years. Again I was stunned. My editorial, presenting arguments sides of the question, was removed at press time by the Committee for Fluoridation. If the profession is not qualified to discuss this question then who is

sure. Most of the pressure exerted on politicians and "governments" comes from behind the scenes, from what the Americans call the "minority-lobbies". But here and there politicians and "governments" have proved amenable to pressure from the other side, from outside, from the electorates.

"All power tends to corrupt, absolute power corrupts absolutely." The stronger, the more highly centralised a government, the greater the opportunity for corruption, the stronger the hold of the "minority-lobbies" over the politicians. Local governments are, potentially, the most democratic, whilst Central or Federal Governments are invariably tyrannical, or totalitarian. In matters of public health the individual Australian States still enjoy sovereign jurisdiction, but there are strong indications that pressure emanating from Federal sources is being brought to bear on the various State Health authorities, even in this matter of Fluoridation. The National Health and Medical Research Council which advises the Prime Minister, has come to the conclusion that "an optimal intake of fluorine is a factor in the prevention of dental caries," and, "having considered published reports for and against artificial fluoridation of public water supplies, recommends that, when the optimal intake is not obtained, from natural sources, Fluorine be added to the public water supply."

The National Health Council's report is suitably hedged by "conditions" but its policy is clear enough. "Although this Council can see no reason why the dental benefits (i.e.) of fluoridation of water should at this stage be denied to the Australian people, it is emphasised that concurrent research is essential in order to assess the results of treatment of the water, and to determine accurately the optimal concentration of Fluorine under Australian conditions."

It is kind of them, at any rate, that in working for mass-dosing of the Australian people, they are prepared to adjust the dose to local climatic conditions (1).

Bearing some of these facts in mind, let us now devote some attention to our local Fluoridators. It was on November 6, 1954, that the readers of "The Age" were informed, in the quiet, unspectacular manner in which such trivialities are generally reported, "Fluorine will be likely soon in Water". We learn that in July last year a special committee on fluoridation was established, headed by Dr. E. J. Crowe, the district health officer of the Department of Health, who submitted the original Fluoridation report to the Health Commission last year. He has therefore had ample time to examine the results of "concurrent" research" in the matter. Dr. Crowe has had the assistance on the Committee of representatives of the Government laboratories, the Board of Works, the Water Commission, the British Medical Association, and the Australian Dental Association.

Note this impressive array of official bodies, all in support of the water-poisoning programme. In Watford, England, the Public Health Officer not so long ago tried to reinforce the shattered morale of the pro-Fluoridationists after fifteen councillors had opposed the proposal of the Min-

ister of "Health" that the town be adopted as an experiment area. He advised his supporters in an official letter "to quote the impressive array of learned bodies which have now endorsed fluoridation" and try to "get your local Dental and Medical Associations to forward resolutions to your local councils (1)."

It would be interesting to know if the representatives of the "learned bodies" who assisted Dr. Crowe, in Victoria, have really ascertained the opinion of the people of Victoria, or even of their own rank and file in this matter. Do they subscribe to one of the "conditions" to which even the National Health Council feels any plan for fluoridation should be subject:

"a large proportion of the community should desire that Fluorine be added to the water supply, or, alternatively, a substantial proportion of the community does not oppose the addition of Fluorine to the water."

The fact of the matter is, to put it bluntly, that the Public Health officials and scientific experts concerned in this scheme in Victoria are, like their colleagues everywhere else, mainly propagandists, purveyors of a political scheme. Whether they are fully conscious agents of the International compulsion-merchants, or merely ignorant tools, remains to be seen. But whatsoever their motives for supporting and pressing for the mass-dosing of the people whose Public Servants they are, we shall not, and cannot afford to, spare their feelings. The mass medication they advocate is unethical, unconstitutional, and dangerous, and they are dangerous irresponsible individuals to advocate Fluoridation, and should be exposed as such. Our sole concern must be with their prospective victims, the people of Victoria.

FLUORIDATION A VEHICLE OF RED WARFARE

We have noted that Fluoridation was launched in the U.S.A. by the Public Health Service, whose head, Mr. Oscar Ewing, is a prominent advocate of Socialised Medicine. We recall his words to public health education leaders that "Public Health Officers cannot afford the professional modesty professed by physicians" and "that the subject of Propaganda need not be true." We learn from an American source that the British Mission which went to investigate Fluoridation in the U.S.A., carefully avoided meeting opponents to the scheme, and were, in fact, led up a scientific garden path, and were treated in the same way as conducted tours of scientific and sociological investigators in Soviet Russia. We remem-

(1) cf. "The Age," November 6: The committee will suggest the addition of fluorine proportions ranging from one part to a million parts of water downwards. The smaller additions would be made in hotter northern districts, where water consumption is higher."

(1) This was in the nature of emergency advice, for the Public Health Officer had to admit that he had been to reinforce the shattered with which our opponents have pursued their campaign. Their tactics and timing are Perfect." The British Housewives League is the chief opponent of the Fluoridation programme in England.

ber that many of the now middle-aged individuals holding key positions in the Governmental Departments of the U.S.A. openly supported "Communism" in their early years, when official Washington, under the Roosevelt Dynasty, was openly and boastfully "pink" in outlook. Their heroes were Marx, Lenin and Roosevelt. It was Lenin who advised his followers to "Get hold of the public health agencies and furnish the keystone to the Socialist State", and it was Ana Pauker, Communist leader of Roumania who said that "America would become Communist by the acquisition of the public utilities, and the poisoning of the water-supplies"(1) and it was Roosevelt who filled, or allowed the filling of, the governmental bureaux of Washington with innumerable Communist sympathisers. Some of these had consciences, and have seen the errors of their ways. Rena M. Vale, former Communist Party member, who was an active Communist for more than twenty years, has testified before U.S. Committees of Investigation, "fluoridation of drinking water is known in Communist circles as a vehicle of Red warfare."

The Reverend L. F. Sheen, who was instrumental in defeating the fluoridators in his hometown of Geneseo, Illinois, perceives the political origin of this "Health" measure. In an article which appeared in "The Geneseo Republic", on May 27, 1954, he wrote:

"Sodium Fluoride in water supply is used in Communist countries to keep people in subjection. It attacks the nervous system, producing a dullness of mind, and a weird sense of weariness. It has been used in insane asylums to keep violent patients quiet. It has been used in circuses to keep savage beasts tame. J. Edgar Hoover, chief of the F.B.I., in a Nationwide statement against possible sabotage, warned us of "POISONING THE WATER SUPPLIES". Adoption of fluoridation by any community could provide our enemies with a perfect weapon of attack. A turn of the valve, the city is at their mercy. "Fantastic? It is happening all over the world. The scheme of water Fluoridation was taken to England by the Russian Communist Kreminoff in 1934. Shortly thereafter, English (?) Socialists, who had followers in high places in this country, introduced the movement into the United States. In the early 1940's, the U.S. Public Health Service took over the movement and has sponsored it ever since. Particularly active was Oscar Ewing, formerly a lawyer for the producers of Fluoride, the Aluminium Manufacturers "

(1) See Princess Elena of Roumania: "I Live Again".

FORMER ADVOCATES OF FLORIDATION TURN INTO OPPONENTS

Fortunately for the Cause of Truth, it is not only former Communists who have seen the error of their ways, and are now assisting individuals and groups fighting the water-poisoners by openly admitting their

mistakes, and warning against the proposals they formerly advocated. As a former director of Public Health in Nebraska, Congressman Dr. Miller was instrumental in introducing into Congress, in 1951, a bill for adding fluorides to the water supplies of the District of Columbia, D.C. Having had time to study both sides of the arguments he has now publicly retracted:

"I can say to my colleagues quite frankly that until I had the advantage of hearing the experts in this question, I thought fluorine added to the water might be beneficial to every one. I was misled by the Public Health Service." And speaking in Congress (Congressional Record 24/3/52) Dr. Miller warned that "The mass-medication of fluorides is still in the experimental category, and there is certainly a need for additional scientific studies." Dr. Francis Arnold, U.S. National Institute of Health is quoted in the Congressional Record for March 24, 1952, to the effect that "the evaluation of the effects of fluorine in water has not been established, and must await until the experiments now in progress have been completed."

Another handsome retraction comes from another erstwhile Fluoridationist, Mr. Arthur B. MacWhinnie, D.M.D., of Seattle.

"It may be a co-incidence, but about the time the American Medical Association (aided by the dental profession) took a magnificent stand against Oscar Ewing and his Federal Security Agency and their plan to set up compulsory health insurance, the U.S. Public Health Service (also led by Ewing) suddenly concluded the fluoridation experimentation had gone far enough and was ready to be forced on the public, largely without their knowledge and consent . . . I, too, was swept off my feet at the first onslaught of fluoridation in my community. I spoke for its adoption in several large meetings. It was not long, however, before questions arose in my mind as they have in the minds of all thinking dentists. I reversed my position when I suddenly realised how easy it is for man to become totalitarian in his thinking: when he thinks he knows what is good and right for everyone; and attempts to force his decisions on others without their consent."

And still another public confession of error of judgment comes from Dr. Joe D. Nichols, of Atlanta, Texas, who is the newly elected President of the Tri-State Medical Society (statement under date of March 26, 1954, endorsed by Attorney M. Crowell, and quoted in "The Wichita Falls

Times", Wichita Falls, Texas, March 29, 1954). Dr. Nichols, like Mr. MacWhinnie, of Seattle, turned right round and courageously led the anti-fluoridation campaign, which successfully routed the water-poisoners when Tyler, Texas, stopped Fluoridation by vote of 3 to 1. Dr. Nichols stated:

"Four (4) years I was in favour of fluoridation in public drinking water, but that was before I knew very much about the subject. For the last four (4) years I have read everything that I could find in medical literature and elsewhere on the subject. I am now completely convinced that this constitutes one of the greatest dangers to American health today."

Other honest men were swept, like Mr. MacWhinnie, along by the onslaught of propaganda, which was as subtle as it was all pervading:

"They had almost everyone fooled, and most of it was done with dishonest statistics. Statistics are tricky things at the best, but when a government "expert" starts quoting figures, you'd better watch out."

These are the words of Dr. F. M. Exner, secretary, of the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons, and a leading opponent of Fluoridation of the water supplies of Seattle, where he lives.

Perhaps Dr. Crowe and his colleagues, having "made a careful study" of the subject and taken some eighteen months about it, will explain to us fully the reasons why the inhabitants of Seattle, Washington; in common with the citizens of Sacramento, Calif.; Iron Mountains, Mich.; decided to have nothing to do with Fluoridation; why the town council of Cincinnati, Ohio, in November, 1953, decided to call off the experiment after plant had been installed and fluoride purchased; why Akron, Ohio, which had begun fluoridation in January, 1953, stopped medicating the water-supplies in April of the following year; why Tyler, Texas, which began Fluoridation as early as 1951, decided to call a halt and repealed Fluoridation in April of this year; why La Crosse, Wis., did the same thing in the same month; and why San Diego followed their example in June last. And if Dr. Crowe would then turn his attention to our sister Dominion of Canada, he will have to explain how it is that our kinsmen there so far from considering Fluoridation as an unmixed blessing are in many places petitioning for its prevention in vast numbers, and have compelled the city councils of Regina and Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, to

postpone fluoridation. If Dr. Crowe and his sympathisers amongst the politicians are unable to find a reason for this widespread opposition to the proposal they advocate, perhaps we can help them. The answer is: Integrity. A few individuals of integrity have used their God-given individual initiative and exposed fluoridation for what it is: a crime against humanity.

"If it is true, as mountains of evidence prove, that fluoridated water is poisonous (should be, they put poison in it) then it will kill. By Divine and Civil law, to deliberately shorten life is murder. Scientists have indicated it may shorten life by 10 years. Wisconsin is the most fluoridated state in the Union, and has the most cancer . . . The 14th Amendment and the Bill of Rights protect against forced mass-medication."

—The Rev. L. F. Sheen, "Geneseo Republic," May 27, 1954.

"Hastings, Napier and Palmerston North, New Zealand, three towns in the fluoridation area, threw out their National M.P.'s, returning Labour candidates (in the November, 1954, elections) mainly because the former championed the Fluoridation proposals. They taught the M.P.'s a much-needed lesson . . . We maintain that no one, Minister of the Crown or state official, has authority to poison food or water without first informing and obtaining the consent of the consumer. We point out that criminal law was designed to protect the public from malefactors, ill-doers, in casu, poisoners of the water-supplies."

—A New Zealand correspondent, November 30, 1954.

"There are still a lot of citizens among us to whom personal liberties are important. Any governing body that can force you to drink sodium fluoride today against your will, can force you to do other unpleasant things tomorrow."

R. Wood, M.L.A., speaking in the Albertan Parliament.

" . . . Procedures of this kind are the antithesis of rational medical science. The drug is administered to everyone, whether with teeth or without, whether young or old, without regard to physical condition or susceptibility. It is, therefore, a reckless and unethical practice. All such practices are an invasion of the liberty of the subject which should not be tolerated in a free country."

—Lord Douglas of Barloch, House of Lords, January 27, 1954.

Copies of this material are obtainable from the Victorian Anti-Fluoridation Association, 25 Davis St., Kew, or from New Times Limited, 343 Little Collins St., Melbourne, at 6d. per copy.

Also available are reprints of the speech of Mr. Eric D. Butler, entitled "Compulsory Mass Medication Threatens Individual Rights" at 2d. per copy.

VICTORIAN ANTI-FLUORIDATION ASSOCIATION

25 Davis Street, Kew, Vic.

THE NEW ZEALAND ELECTIONS

(Continued from page 3)

to give "the other side" a chance: but Mr. Holland and his brother-politicians of "the local Freemasonry Racket" accepted the Welfare State-baby left them by the Labor Government, and nursed it with tenderness and enthusiasm:

"There has been no weakening of the Welfare State since the change of Government in 1949. It seems to have been completely accepted by both the main parties . . ."

—"The Herald", Melbourne, October 19, 1954.

On one important point the Nationalists proved more "Socialist" than any Labor Party in the British Empire. On August 20, 1950, "Reynolds News", London, wrote:

"If, when the Labor Government came to power, Mr. Attlee had created 500 Labor peers in order to ensure the success of a vote abolishing the House of Lords, what an attack the Tory Party would have launched. Yet that is exactly the tactics used by Mr. Holland, Tory Premier of New Zealand. Now a British Dominion has abolished the Upper House and is governed by a single Elected Chamber. It is an experiment we shall watch with interest."

Mr. Holland is, of course, no more a genuine Nationalist-Tory, that is a man who is determined to preserve and increase his country's cultural inheritance, than Mr. Bennett, Premier of British Columbia, is a genuine Social Creditor, or Sir Winston Churchill is a bona fide Conservative: fundamentally they are all "Whigs", professional dealers in abstractionist "double-speak", oath-bound to promote in secret the causes they pretend to attack in public.

Behind Holland, the destroyer of the Upper House of New Zealand, and Churchill, who, as Liberal front-bencher in 1911, worked for the emasculation of the House of Lords, and who bears a heavy responsibility for the wrecking of the British Trinitarian Constitution, we find the same occult policy-makers who for centuries have been at work undermining the foundations of our Gentile culture. A New Zealand political observer of long experience has sent us the following observations on the abolition of the Upper House: "This abolition was the culmination of a very long-term attack for which Socialists, later the Labor Party, acted as spearhead . . . the National Party added this abolition to its platform. When elected to office spurred on by the 'powers behind' there was no alternative but to give effect to the platform promises.

"The removal of the checks and balances inherent in the Upper House had been determined before the advent of the Labor Party to office, and as the upper-bracket supporters of both parties are the same, the fate of that institution was sealed.

"During the 14 years of Socialist administration there was a slow but continuous build-up of Labor support in the Upper House, and at the coming to power of the National Government there was actually a Labor majority and a Labor leader in the Upper House. The destruction of this institution when it did happen was not popular with the majority who comprised former

Labor members of Parliament spurned by the electors.

"Holland's Cabinet has at various times promised to replace the Upper House with something else; a Commission of Enquiry was set up, but no further action has been taken."

Our informant goes on to relate how one of the most effective forms of attack against the Upper House was Press cartoons wherein members were depicted as senile, old fellows dozing in their seats. There is an exact parallel to this in England where the members of the House of Lords for decades have been the favourite butt of the hack caricaturists of Fleet Street.

What is the underlying reason why the institution of a Second Chamber whose members are not entirely at the mercy of the gentlemen who pull the wires of Secret, Ballot-Box Democracy and the Associated Ideas — the Dogma — of Social Credit equally anathema to the official "news"-boys of the world's press? Why were there no cat-calls and so little comment in the same press when Mr. Holland abolished the N.Z. Upper House people outside New Zealand are aware of its liquidation? Why were the constitutional issues absent during the recent fight for political power?

Such concepts as heredity, hereditary rights (politically embodied in Second, Upper or Hereditary Houses) and the cultural inheritance (politically to be implemented via the Open Vote, and the National Dividend) are part of, have grown out of a widening awareness of the fundamental rules of the Universe.

It is a well-known fact that the level of Parliamentary Debate is much higher in the House of Lords than in "the other place". There is a detachment from political problems derived from the relative independence of the Lords from Party machines and other vested interests.

Social Crediters, also, aim to view current political events with detachment, from the point of view of continuity. They are in their political work, at all times, concerned with fundamentals. Elections, resulting in periodical changes in ostensible "government" leave continuing policies unaffected. While at certain times, and in certain places, the heightened political awareness of election times may help us to bring home to some people some of the "points" we are concerned to make, our real and fundamental work must be done in calmer times, between elections, just as our real creative individual work can only take place in an atmosphere of peace and harmony.

By entering the electoral lists, by attacking the enemy where he expects and wants to be attacked, we not only, in Mr. Hewlett Edward's telling phrase "smear Social Credit with Socialism" we identify (witness current press attacks in N.Z. and Australia) Social Credit with Party-ism, with a mechanism used by our Enemy, slowly and surely to wreck our cultural inheritance: can we not look about us, while time remains, in New Zealand, in Australia, in Great Britain and elsewhere, and see the monuments of two or three decades of Financier - Socialist, Labor - National,

Churchill-Atlee, Menzies-Evatt, Holland-Nash "Welfare-State" administrations? Is it not high time we paid the attention, which is their due, to the "considered opinions" of the founder of our movement,(1)

" . . . the individual voter must be made individually responsible, not collectively taxable, for his vote . . . there is a clear method by which to approach this end—the substitution of the open ballot for the secret franchise, and allocation of taxation according to the recorded voting programme which incurs a net loss. This would also imply a large measure of freedom to contract out of legislation of a functional character, with a consequent discouragement of the spate of so-called Laws which are little more than Works Orders."

And for a New Zealand, bereft of the stabilising influence of an Upper House, another passage from "Realistic Constitutionalism" would seem particularly applicable:

"Common Law is something which, if it changes at all, ought to change very slowly indeed, and the greatest difficulty should be placed in the path of an attack upon it, both by insisting on its supremacy over House of Commons enactment, and by making it subject only to something at least as arduous as an Amendment to the United States Constitution. It appears to me that a properly empowered and constituted House of Lords, Spiritual and Temporal, is the natural guardian of Common Law, as the Barons demonstrated at Runnymede."

(1) See "Realistic Constitutionalism" pp. 10, 11.

You MUST Have This Book!

"The International Jew"

(By Eric D. Butler)

This comprehensive commentary on "The Protocols" must be in the hands of every person who wants to understand the relationship of the "Jewish Problem" to the growing world crisis.

Price 3/3 (post free), from New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne

Are You An Organic Farmer or Gardener?

If so, the Editor of *Rural Review* would be pleased to hear from you at any time. All over Australia increasing numbers of people are applying organic farming and gardening methods. Readers who have any interesting information concerning the results they are achieving are requested to write in so that other readers can obtain the benefit of their experiences.

"New Times," December 17, 1954—Page 9.

THE CHURCHILL MYTH

(Continued from page 1)

Britain. It is not diminished when, kow-towing to the Money Power, Churchill adheres even more closely to Gatt — in return for its alleged short-term advantages — deliberately throwing away the cement of an integrated Empire economy which alone could safeguard the British nations from international dictatorship. Nothing, it seems, can diminish the myth. It grows with every defeat. When the debacle is complete it will tower in the skies, denying us the light of the sun. Nevertheless we of "Candour" are enjoined not to make any protest, or if we do — rash, intrusive fools that we are — our advisers would have us "wrap it up" so that no delicate sensibilities are injured. Great God, what a terrible world it has become for the few men and women who love their country enough to face ugly truths for its sake! They are looked upon as outcasts, if not as actual lunatics.

Let us penetrate the Churchill myth even further, to examine a reality, which one of his greatest friends, Mr. Bernard Baruch, in the course of a Birthday eulogy, has been unwise enough to reveal to the public gaze. After the First World War Baruch and Churchill were in Paris at the Peace Conference. Addressing himself to the greatest Englishman who ever lived, the greatest "American" who ever lived recalls this incident:

"One day we were walking through the Bois de Boulogne, talking of the problems which burdened a world exhausted by war and groping for peace. Suddenly you broke your brisk stride, paused and, lifting your walking stick, pointed to the East. Your voice rumbled ominously: 'Russia! Russia! That's where the weather is coming from!'"

Why did Mr. Baruch produce that anecdote? Beyond doubt it was to shed light on Churchill's prophetic soul. But consider it well! I beg my readers, especially those hostile to my theme, to give to it the full force of their minds. Churchill knew the illimitable menace of Russia. Yet when Nazi Germany was preparing to march eastwards — the entire build-up was for the Drang nach Osten — what he did was to constitute himself the leader of the war party, which worked ceaselessly, and in the end successfully, to divert the first terrific onslaught towards the West. The Germans might have become bogged down for whole decades in the dreary wind-swept Russian wastes, while the West re-armed to become the arbiters of Europe. Instead, although intuitively aware of whence came the weather, Churchill set out to destroy the German power which for a hundred years might have expanded its strength as a windbreak, and thus to a very considerable extent was he responsible for bringing forward the source of the spine-freezing weather to the River Elbe. Is it conceivable than any honest historian will be able to ignore Mr. Baruch's artless disclosure? Will it be possible for him not to ask whether a man who so grievously betrayed his own instincts should have been entrusted with the destinies of a great Em-

pire? That no shadow of self-criticism ever entered Churchill's own mind is clear enough. While the "weather" was being assembled in black storm clouds for its westward advance, he would send Baruch jubilant cables of just two words: "Oh Boy!"

"Oh Boy!" It was indeed a most practical instance of obedience to the injunction: "Never mind the weather!"

Some months ago a friend said to me: "Churchill probably gets so sick of the adulation heaped upon him that if ever 'Candour' comes his way he must devour it with zest." Having been told of how Stalin in private derided his own myth, I gave a light assent to such a possibility. "Perhaps," I said. That was before I saw a newsreel of Churchill passing through a mob of adorers on his way to a plane. The face terrified me. It was the face of a man so drunk with his own legend as to be no longer capable of proportion in his self-assessment.

That, I think, and not lack of sincerity, has been the trouble from the first. There is no reason to doubt that he was sincere enough when, twenty years ago, he wrote:

"There is a forgotten, nay almost forbidden word which means more to me than any other. That word is England. Once we flaunted it in the face of the whole world like a banner. It was a word of power. But today we are scarcely allowed to mention the name of our country. I want to revive the grand old name of Englishman."

Today he does not flaunt the word "England" or that other word "Britain", which describes the once marvellous partnership in world achievement of the men and women of these islands. In their place the word "Churchill" is flaunted. Can it be that he is so carried away by his fame that he now construes the words as one?

A harsh comment, it may be thought. But is it too harsh for one whose policies, more than those of any other man, has been the cause of the break-up of the British world? Is it too harsh for a leader who — in defiance of George Washington's dictum that nothing is more foolish than to expect real favours from one nation to another — openly commends to us, in place of our former sturdy Imperial self-reliance, the protection of the United States of America?

Perhaps, after all, the kindest birthday wishes that can be extended to Sir Winston Churchill are that he should enjoy many years of tranquil retirement, and that never for a second should that tranquility be disturbed by a glimpse into the realities of his own grim and irresponsible achievement.

**Douglas Social Credit
Movement of Victoria
ROOM 8, THE BLOCK,
Elizabeth Street, Melbourne.
Books, Pamphlets, Periodicals on
Social Credit Available. Send for
Lift. Enquiries invited.**

The Iron Curtain Over America

By Colonel John Beaty.

This book is a "must" for every student of international affairs. It is one of the most important books published since World War II, but, like similar books which have been appearing in America over the past few years, it has received no mention whatever in Australia by leftist book reviewers for the "Capitalist" press.

The author of "The Iron Curtain Over America" is not only an outstanding American scholar; his work with the American Military Intelligence Service during the last war enabled him to learn at first hand of the manner in which the Zionist-Communist conspiracy was being furthered under cover of war.

Colonel Beaty deals objectively with the history of the Jews, with particular reference to the fact that the ancestors of most Jews of today were Khazars, originally a people from Central Asia, and had never seen the Holy Land. He writes most penetratingly on the Jewish invasion of the U.S.A. and the subsequent Jewish impact on America's foreign and internal policies.

After outlining the treacherous, pro-Communist activities of Jews in the U.S.A., Colonel Beaty asks — and answers — the question: "Does the high ratio of appointed persons of Eastern European or contacts in United States strategic positions reflect the will of the U.S. people? If not, what controlling will does it reflect?"

"The Iron Curtain Over America" proves conclusively that not only was the second world war organised, but that it was deliberately prolonged, and only concluded when the controllers of the Zionist-Communist conspiracy had achieved their major objectives. Detailed evidence is provided of the manner in which the truth about this conspiracy has been kept from the American people.

Colonel Beaty's conclusion is that America, and other Western nations, can only survive by first defeating "the enemy within." He points out that this first step is essential before a more realistic foreign policy can be implemented, one which would obtain the friendship of all anti-Communist nations and which would seek to widen the gulf which already exists between the Moscow gangsters and their unhappy victims.

It is not surprising that Zionist organisations in the U.S.A. have been campaigning vigorously against Colonel Beaty's exposure and that pressure has been applied to the press to prevent any reference to the book. Even Church "leaders" have been used to smear Beaty. But his book continues to contribute to the general awakening in the U.S.A., an awakening which Australians unfortunately know little about.

Price 28/3 post free

Order now from New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

OUR CULTURAL INHERITANCE

"The inheritance of the English tongue is the greatest inheritance we have. People hesitate to believe this because the thing is not visibly and tangibly great, but even more because it does not stand outside ourselves. It is part of us. Yet it is true that the language bequeathed to us is, of all the things we have, the most essential for our posterity and for our own name. It is even more important than the English landscape. An idiom is primarily the expression of a community; but it is also formative of a community, and especially it is preservative of a community."

—Hillaire Belloc "On the Future of English" in "The Silence of the Sea and Other Essays", Cassell, London, 1941.

"Certainly it is heaven upon earth to have a man's mind move in charity, rest in providence and turn upon the poles of truth."

—Francis Bacon, "Truth", "Bacon Essays", Everyman's Library, London, 1936.

"Of great riches there is no real use, except it be in the distribution: the rest is but conceit."

—"Of Riches", *ibid.*

"Running through all history like the thread of Ariadne, it is possible to trace a continuous policy which I can only describe as a divorce between things themselves and the description of them. . . . At this very period at which we live, it is probable that one of the fundamental struggles which is taking place, and one on which the future of civilisation depends perhaps more than on any other, is the attempt to obtain an atonement, or as it has been pronounced, an at-one-ment, between reality and the description of it. It is the importance of that attempt which justifies the work that is being done by the Social Credit movement, which might be properly described as a movement for honesty in public life. It is elemental that no progress towards a sane world is possible while its malaise are subject persistent misdirection."

—C. H. Douglas, speaking at the New Age Dinner, March 18, 1933.

"To doctor an experiment, to adjust a conclusion, to report anything but the whole truth as one knows it alone in the night, brings ignominy and oblivion. There can be no secret processes, no patent medicines, and no private understandings. The calculations must be laid on the table, face up, for all the world to see. In this science is the most 'moral' of all man's disciplines."

—Stuart Chase, in "The Proper Study of Mankind."

Agriculture Leads Them All...

"At the head of all the sciences and arts, at the head of civilisation and progress, stands — not militarism, the science that kills; not commerce, the art that accumulates wealth — but Agriculture, the mother of all industry and the maintainer of human life."

—President Garfield (1880).

CREDIT RESTRICTIONS NEXT YEAR?

Several press reports suggest that the rate of credit expansion may be slowed down in the New Year. "Incipient" inflation is a menace once again—but didn't all the "experts" tell us that inflation had been "cured"? — And, in the jargon used by economists, there has been a "marked contraction" in the "over-all liquidity" of the banking system.

Australia's internal economy is still influenced considerably by the number of figures in books in London—"the London funds"—and because wool and other export prices have been lower, the number of figures has been reduced. The fact that Australia is still exporting more than she imports does not influence the "experts". We must strive to have as big a "favourable balance of trade" as possible; that is, send as much production as possible out of the country, import as little as possible, and in this way increase the local money supply while reducing the amount of production to be sold locally. This method of expanding credit is, of course, inflationary. But under present financial rules the only alternative to inflation is deflation. And if that is what the "experts" have decided upon, the present Federal Government will become as unpopular next year as it was when credit restrictions were applied in 1951 and 1952.

Dr. Evatt will no doubt be smiling in anticipation.

ORGANISATION

Up to a point organisation is a practical and useful way of getting what we want of material things, but of necessity it is an interference with the growth — the unfolding of parts — in the cycle of natural events. It does not exist in nature, in which however, there is tolerance for some degree of interference. The stultifying effect of too much organisation is to be seen everywhere. Organised industry essays the reduction of individuals to standard units, in another phase of the pursuit of an illusory 'equality.' It separates human beings from the living growing things upon which all life depends; while organised agriculture, in the development of 'dust bowl' aridity, threatens their existence. Organised social 'services' abolish, transfer and confuse natural responsibilities and freedom to the damage and destruction of integrity; with radical deterioration in quality. Organised war as a substitute for personal defence holds no better prospect of survival And for organised peace we have alphabetic bombs. In the last analysis the organisation of materialistic determinism (of physical truth without spiritual truth) denies life; seeks death, and discovers death.

— From an article by the late Hewlett Edwards, in "The Social Crediter," June 17, 1950.

Suicide of the Rich

"Anyone who can solve the mystery of why the rich, the respectable, the self-righteous tend so often to line up with the country's enemies may have found the due to the central malady of our time."

Eugene Lyons, in "Human Events" (U.S.A.), October 27.

HEALTH BOOKS

By Madame Mira Louise, well-known Adelaide Naturopath.

The Dawn of Consciousness .. 8/9
Nerves, Migraine and the Pituitary Gland.....6/3
The Book of Health (with chapters on Infant Feeding; the dangers of Immunisation; gland troubles, etc.)..... 5/3
What To Do For Functional Disorders (how to treat all the simple complaints)..... 5/3
The Better-Way Cookery and Diet Reform 4/9
Morning Sickness: It's Cause and Cure..... 2/3
Biochemistry For The People ..2/3
Alkalinity, The Basic Principle of Life..... 2/-
Build Yourself A Vital Body .. 2/-
The Menace of Cow's Milk1/6
The New Enslavement 1/6
Two Bogies Banished (Measles and Rheumatic Fever)..... 1/6
What Our Tonsils Mean To Us .. 9d.

NEW TIMES BOOK SERVICE,

BOX 1226L, MELBOURNE.

CODNER BROS.

Building Contractors

Wheatsheaf Rd.,
GLENROY

FX 9130

BE HAPPIER,
BE HEALTHIER 16/9

Hauser's latest book in which he reveals his knowledge of the great cycle of nature; the relationship of the natural forces — the Worlds of the Sun, the Earth, Air and Water—to healthy bodies and happy minds. Here is the most up to date advice on natural living, complete with diets, menus and recipes. Order from New Times Ltd., Box 1226, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"New Times," December 17, 1954—Page 11.

Questions for Sir Arthur Fadden

Sir Arthur Fadden is in the United States. We understand Sir Arthur to be seeking another loan, for development purposes, from the World Bank. We already owe the World Bank £98 millions.

It was inevitable of course that Sir Arthur should make a speech. In a Press report of Sir Arthur's speech to the Americans our Federal Treasurer makes a plea to U.S. Economic advisors to lower their tariff walls and admit more Australian goods into the U.S. and criticises them for selling agricultural products at low subsidised rates on the world markets.

Even a cursory glance at the ideas put out by Sir Arthur reveals him to be lacking in common sense.

In the first place why are we endeavouring to further pawn our assets to the World Bank "for developmental purposes" when we cannot sell what we already produce?

Second, what hope has Sir Arthur got of persuading the U.S. to lower tariff barriers to admit goods in competition with U.S. producers?

Third: Why does Sir Arthur complain about the selling of cheap subsidised food? Why does not Sir Arthur advocate that we follow the same policy, but with this difference—Subsidise the home consumer instead of the overseas? —H. Hotchkin in "The Gippsland News", October 7.

The Peaceful Co-Existence Myth

Viscount Listowel, Labor Member of the House of Lords and a member of the latest British Parliamentary delegation to Russia, has returned to England firmly convinced that Malenkov, the Soviet Premier, is genuinely desirous of peaceful co-existence between Communist and non-Communist countries. Viscount Listowel

thus joins the ranks of other gullible public men who believe what Communist leaders tell them.

Anyone with even a mere nodding acquaintance with Communist doctrines knows that permanent, peaceful co-existence is firmly rejected. One of the tenets of Communism is that it is "historically inevitable", and that the existence of "capitalist" societies alongside Communist societies is something unnatural. It suits Malenkov and associates to preach peaceful co-existence at present in order to lull the West into a sense of false security.

Peaceful co-existence was preached by the Communists during the thirties when Litvinof was active in the League of Nations. But this policy was put aside immediately it became clear that other tactics would be more successful. And it will be put aside again just whenever it suits the Communists.

International Financial Patronage

It is often difficult to know whether the political adolescents in nominal charge of America's destiny—and, it would seem, alas! also of ours—are as fantastically ingenuous as they appear. The United States invited tenders for the supply to India of 100 locomotives and 5,000 railway wagons. Britain submitted the lowest tenders for wagons and Japan the lowest tenders for the locomotives. American tenders were the highest of all. But did that put them out of the running? Of course not. There would be less cause for complaint against the blatant disregard of prices if Harold Stassen had not insulted the intelligence of the rest of the world by declaring that it was an act of pure altruism on the part of the United States to reserve for itself a large share of the orders. Stassen, whose job it is to distribute the

foreign "aid" which keeps the international financial racket prosperous, argued that because of the dependence of the Free World (sic) on a sound American economy he had decided to give half the locomotive orders to U.S. firms and the other half to Japan (an American financial colony), while the orders for wagons would be shared between the U.S., Japan, Britain and Europe. The tendering was thus a farce and the disposal of "aid" shown up for what it really is—the manipulation without political or economic scruple of the power of international financial patronage.

—"Candour" (England), September 17.

A.B.C. ON COMMUNISM .. by E. W. Fawkes.

An American publication, couched in simple terms, gives a good historical background to the Communist conspiracy.

SPOTLIGHT ON RED SPY RINGS

This is a very excellent booklet on Communist espionage activities in all parts of the world. Ties the recent Petrov disclosures to similar happenings in other parts of the world, including the Canadian Spy Trials, Klaus Fuchs and the Atom spies, the Alger Hiss case and Sorge, the Communist spy who was executed by the Japanese.

Comprehensive and revealing.
Price 1/3 posted.

SUBSCRIPTION FORM

To THE MANAGER,
NEW TIMES LTD.,
Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne

Please enrol me as a subscriber to "The New Times" from issue of.....
Quarter
for Half-Year
Year

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

Cheque
I enclose Money Order to the value of.....
Postal Note

SUBSCRIPTION RATES are:—40/- Yearly; 20/- Half-Yearly; 10/- Quarterly,
Post Free.

Page 12 "New Times", December 17, 1954

USE ENWITE specialities

TEXIT waterproofing compound.

SOLVIT paint remover. No difficult neutralization.

AQUALAC wood putty. For good class cabinet work.

BRYNAC. The enamel for resisting water, acids and alkalis.

FERROSOL Rust killing paint. In all colours.

RUSTEX. For removing rust from motor bodies and metal work.

THERMEX. Silver paint. Can be made red hot without discolouring or coming off.

Manufactured by:

ENWITE PTY. LTD.

84-86 Cromwell Street,
Collingwood, Vic.

PHONE: JA5967