

# THE NEW TIMES

Vol. 21, No. 1.

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 1955.

ONE SHILLING & NINEPENCE FORTNIGHTLY.

## THE MENACE OF THE "UNITED" NATIONS

### Mr. Calwell's Dangerous Proposal

#### A Recent Talk by Eric D. Butler over 3NE Wangaratta

I have no doubt that Mr. Arthur Calwell, Deputy Leader of the Australian Labor Party, is genuinely concerned about the military defence of Australia. He has in recent times made strong appeals for greater military defence of Australia's North. But his statement made last week, that the British Government should grant Malaya complete self-governing powers and that Malaya should then be defended by the United Nations, brands Mr. Calwell as a political adolescent. Every thinking Australian must be concerned about what is happening in Malaya, because if this part of Asia comes under Communist domination, the Communists will then be in a powerful position to intensify their conspiracy in Indonesia.

Now the suggestion that the granting of political independence to Malaya will help stem the Communist challenge is so obviously false that it is astonishing that any thinking person can support it. Those supporting this idea, which the Communists have helped foster over a long period, speak airily about the benefits which have flowed from the British granting independence to India and other countries. It is astonishing how many people believe that by giving simple, native peoples a political vote, these peoples then automatically become much stauncher allies in the struggle against Communism. The overwhelming majority of these people have no background of tradition essential for democratic government, and it is not surprising that the results of insisting that these people vote have been disastrous — and in many cases, fantastic. For example, one tribe in Africa, when required to vote for the first time, promptly ate the voting papers! The right to mark a piece of paper has not benefited the people of India. But it has benefited Mr. Nehru and his associates. And so far from being an ally against Communism, Mr. Nehru has demonstrated time and time again that he is a Communist appeaser. Since Burma was granted what is called self-government, there has been chaos and dozens of civil wars in that unfortunate country. Siam has a very powerful Communist movement, a fact that is governing the policies of the Government in this country. Coming much nearer to home, we

have only to look at what has happened in Indonesia to realise what dangerous rubbish Mr. Calwell is talking when he argues that Malaya will be better defended if its native peoples are given self-governing powers.

"United" Nations influences were partly responsible for the Dutch being driven out of Indonesia, with the result that the economy has been undermined, there is no political stability and the Communists are in the Government and are becoming more firmly entrenched every day. And, as part of the long-range offensive against this country, the very United Nations which Mr. Calwell suggests should defend Malaya — that is after the British have been forced out — is being used to help the controllers of Indonesia obtain a foothold in New Guinea. The importance of the campaign against Dutch New Guinea has been overlooked by many people and Australians should take heed of the recent warning by the famous American military correspondent, Hanson Baldwin, who points out that control of Dutch New Guinea would be a major strategical success for the Communists.

The issue of Dutch New Guinea could be a life or death one for this country, but the issue is being decided, not by Australia or by Holland, but by the "United" Nations, an organisation which the Communists played a leading role in creating and which they have been skilfully using to further their own policies. When the issue was

recently first debated in the "United" Nations, those favouring the Indonesian viewpoint carried the day with a substantial majority. It is significant that every Communist and pro-Communist Govern-

(Continued on page 11)

### OUR POLICY

1. The preservation of Australia's sovereignty as a part of the British Empire, and the exposure of all internal and external groups which attack that sovereignty.
2. The preservation and extension of genuine local government.
3. The preservation and strengthening of all Constitutional safeguards for the purpose of protecting fundamental individual rights.
4. The encouragement of all activities designed to bring Governments under more effective control by the electors.
5. The preservation and extension of genuine free, competitive enterprise and private ownership, and opposition to all Monopoly, whether it be "private" or State.
6. The support of a financial policy which will (a) permit free enterprise to make available to all individuals an increasing standard of living and greater leisure for cultural pursuits; (b) result in no further increase in the community's indebtedness and the sound business practice of gradually reducing existing debt.
7. Recognising that the basis of any sound economy is agriculture, the encouragement of agricultural policies which will ensure the preservation and building up of soil fertility by organic farming and gardening; and the prevention of soil erosion and the protection of forests and watersheds.

Now, when our land to ruin's brink is  
verging,  
In God's name, let us speak while there  
is time!  
Now, when the padlocks for our lips  
are forging,  
Silence is crime. WHITTIER.

# WHAT IS FREEDOM?

By John Mitchell in "Voice" (England) November 6

We suggest that all readers should carefully study the following article by Mr. John Mitchell, Director of the Christian Campaign for Freedom, in which some very good points are made, and reference made to the progress of a campaign, which is of tremendous importance:

If the Christian Campaign for Freedom has done nothing else, it has made plainer than it was, clearer and more intelligible, however deplorable, some features of the prevailing mental "climate". It has brought to light direct evidence, for example, that the Clergy (of several "denominations" at least) live, think and act with complete regard for the same mental "climate" as the laity, and not only the professedly Christian laity, but practically the whole of that section of the population which expresses what it deems to be its opinions at all. We hope that we may still do something to mitigate this effect.

Mr. Malcolm Muggeridge, the distinguished editor of "Punch", broadcasting from Toronto on August 11 last, said it had given him great satisfaction some eighteen months before to have the opportunity of trying to revive the nineteenth century reputation for untrammelled criticism of "an allegedly humorous weekly magazine." He said: "The result was electric. Angry letters poured in accusing me, among other things, of being a Fascist, a Communist, an atheist, a bigot, an Americanophile a republican, an anarchist, yes, and even a Bevanite. Even when the possible truth, or at any rate the plausibility, of a particular comment was admitted, it was condemned as being in the worst possible taste. . . ."

"Voice" is not even an "allegedly" humorous journal, but we felt sympathetic towards Mr. Muggeridge in his complaint, and still more sympathetic when he went on to say: "Do you see what I am getting at? The exercise of a free, independent judgment is so out of keeping with the contemporary climate that it requires some explanation. Like a tumour or cancerous growth, it can exist at all only as a result of some abnormal condition capable of a simple diagnosis. . . . In this passion for thinking in terms of categories, I detect the clearest and most ominous symptom of the subordination of the individual to the collectivity. A voluntary uniformity, no less than an imposed one, prepares the way for servitude." Mr. Muggeridge thought people were never enslaved unless they had become slaves already. They swam into the mouth of the Great Leviathan: he did not need to chase them.

Despite the great trouble we have taken continuously in these pages to emphasise that true freedom is a product of a practical binding-back of social policies to natural Law, and the practice of integrity and responsibility of action, a "voluntary uniformity" determines apparently that, even extending to the Bench of Bishops, what we say, the real nature of the questions we ask, simply does not "register". What does it mean that, after two thousand years of Christian teaching, a clergyman, one who has the care of souls, can say: "In this

question of freedom I am interested: but I am bewildered' ? What can one think of a Bishop who says, in the face of the New Testament itself; "If we all did what we liked, we should have anarchy"? We have not said we should all "do what we like". We have said that a State cannot continue to exist which is not bound back to Law. Does the "climate" of totalitarianism wash out the plain meaning of words?

In any case, we propose again and at length to answer the question: "What is freedom?"

Freedom may be hard to come by (there is an organised, consciously evil force in the world determined to destroy it), but it is, as the late C. H. Douglas said, "really a simple thing; it is the ability to choose or refuse one thing at a time." We are talking about what freedom is; not what people do with their freedom that is a separate thing. As the American evangelist, Billy Graham, has written:

"Freedom to choose or reject, freedom to obey God's commands or to go contrary to them, freedom to make himself happy or miserable. For it is not the mere possession of freedom that makes life satisfying—it is what we choose to do with our freedom, which determines whether or not we shall find peace with God."

The prerequisite of being able to do anything with freedom is to have it. It is with the obtaining and preserving of freedom that we are concerned here, for the simple reason that freedom for the individual person is disappearing and is threatened with extinction. Despite the protestation of one clergyman, who wrote to us that he experienced true freedom shut up in a Japanese prison cell, we assert that the basis of a Christian society is destroyed exactly in proportion as individual freedom is destroyed. Any other conception of Christianity than that it is bound up with an expansion of individuality is either pure delusion or conscious perversion. Again, quoting Douglas:

"The 'mass' is unsavable, just as a mob is insane ('without health'); the object of Anti-Christ is to keep mankind in ever larger mobs, thus defeating the object of Christ, to permit the emergence of self-governing, self-conscious individuals, exercising free will, and choosing good because it is good. The energising factor is attraction, inducement."\*

As the sacredness of human personality and the need for free expansion of individuality is one of the major premises of Christianity, no true Christian can say that the interests of the individual over the group are not paramount. This being so, the rights and wishes of minorities, whether they consist of two people or forty-nine percent, of the population, are every whit as important in principle as the rights and wishes of majorities. Any person who denies this or abandons it under

\*From "The Realistic Position of the Church of England", by C. H. Douglas.

pressure is denying or abandoning a Christian basis for society. This is an assertion and Christianity recognises the primary and formative nature of ideas, and that this is the primary idea, which should shape society; an idea, which in fact makes of society an organism and not an organisation.

But this, like all ideas, is useless if it is not practically applied by Christians. Are the clergy going to give, in default of the bishops, a practical authoritative lead in this? If not, our situation is indeed grave. And what are the practical implications of the acceptance of these ideas?

One of our clerical correspondents asks, "doesn't life in society depend entirely on a voluntary surrender of freedom by the individual?" Yes, of course it depends on a surrender of some measure of freedom. But people don't voluntarily associate in any form of society unless they expect or intend to gain an advantage by doing so, i.e., a greater freedom. They cannot voluntarily surrender a freedom unless in the first place they have freedom to contract in or out of the association, i.e., unless they

(Continued on page 3.)

## AMERICAN PUBLICATIONS AVAILABLE

By Robert H. Williams. Robert H. Williams, of California, is a noted lecturer and news analyst. During the war he organised and directed counter-intelligence activities in the U.S. Army Air Force and is well qualified to write about subversive movements in America.

### THE UNTOLD STORY OF STATE MEDICINE . . . 2/9

The inside story on socialised medicine, showing its use in the Communist conspiracy.

### FEPC AND THE MINORITY MACHINE . 2/9

The conquest of American cities by organised racial minorities.

### IS THERE STILL

### TIME?..... 3/3

The imminent dangers of an Atomic War. A practical thesis to defeat Communism and avert a military war.

### JEWS REPORTED PLANNING CONVERSION TO

### CHRISTIANITY..... 2/9

Shows how agents of political Jewry are infiltrating Christian churches to promote a racial movement and social revolution similar to that, which destroyed Rome.

Supplies of these publications are limited by exchange difficulties, so order now from:

NEW TIMES BOOK SERVICE,  
BOX 1226L, MELBOURNE.

# WHAT IS FREEDOM?

(Continued from page 2)

have freedom of association. As Mr. Mugeridge said at Toronto, habeas corpus may become itself habeas cadaver. When people contract in they accept responsibility to abide by the laws of the association. If they do not retain the power to contract out of the association they have not only lost a freedom—the freedom of association, the freedom to associate or dissociate themselves from an undertaking — they have lost the basic condition on which the power to have freedom rests. It is precisely this basic condition of freedom which people lose, voluntarily or involuntarily, by accepting any form of socialism, e.g., the Welfare State, and international socialism (World Government) through the surrender of the sovereignties and sanctions of single States to one world State. What we have witnessed this century and are still witnessing is a constant progression to this final, irretrievable state of loss of liberty—irretrievable, because all power to have freedom is surrendered and is lost. Freedom is not merely a matter of possessing rights; the possessor of rights needs also the power to exercise them. So that if freedom is something to be possessed by all and not merely by a few it involves inevitably the distribution of power, and not its concentration. "It is not improper to say that Christianity is inter alia a technique by which a man by control of his ideation, may gain such part of the world as in the nature of things appertains to him, and there is no injunction of which I am aware against that. But there is a warning. What shall it profit a man, if he gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?"\*\*

On the other hand Welfare Statism and socialism not only destroy freedom of association, either by destroying private ownership and enterprise or by controlling it, but also impose ideation on the individual by collective methods.

In the Christian conception the ideation proceeds from each individual, and is controlled by each individual through a knowledge and acceptance of Christian principles, the sole concession to Caesar being a minimum of social law and tribute such as is practically found necessary to protect individuals in society from the harmful acts of sinful persons or groups of sinful persons. All education is regarded necessarily as part of religion, and is ultra vires of the State. There is recognition of imminent sovereignty "The Kingdom of God is within you." Power is constrained, restricted and distributed.

In the Satanic conception, the ideation is imposed on the individual from without, and his obedience is constrained by a maximum of social law and tribute. Caesar claims all, and controls all, including information and education. The principle is extraneous sovereignty, the embodiment of which is in an "elect and chosen group", the bondsmen of the Father of Lies. Power is centralised. It is the policy of the religion of Judaism, and the policy is Communism and Socialism—it is the treatment of men as a collectivity. It is the policy, the

fulfilment of which we are rapidly approaching in this country.

We have the pathetic, farcical and tragic position today of a large, and certainly the most influential section of the Church, claiming to be trying to "save souls", whilst openly siding with the Satanic conception of society. If the Church and society are to be saved from this corruption and ultimate destruction, at least that part of the Church, which is now silent, has to come out into the open on the side of the Christian conception of society.

We had an approximation to real freedom for a large section of society in the conditions, which existed before the First World War. That section of society then had at its service a nearly perfect order system. It has been remarked that when Mr. Brown tendered his golden sovereign: "He set in motion the most marvellous train of self-acting psychological sanctions. Factories sprang to life, trains ran, and ships sailed, all concerned not merely to do his will, but to do it better than anyone else." "It should be self-evident that with the great extension in labour saving devices and machinery allied to the harnessing of solar energy, which has progressively taken place since then, if the power of the golden sovereign (i.e. purchasing power) had also progressively been distributed to the poor, whilst retaining the old order system, they too could progressively have enjoyed freedom with security. But then, as now, the Church remained silent. Unscrupulous Financial Interests were allowed to use the plight of the poverty-stricken industrial masses progressively to destroy that order system and institute the social welfare system in the place of extended freedom. The old order system, through increased taxation, was progressively used by Mr. Pink Geranium on behalf of Mr. Brown; then the order system itself was progressively destroyed, by the debasement of the currency, by the penalisation of private producers, by the institution of subsidies and indirect discriminatory taxation, by controls on producers and by the growth of Trades Union restrictive practices diluting the productivity of labour.

We now have something, which with equal accuracy can be called the Welfare State, the Managerial State or the Work State.

If, instead of aiding and abetting the official Full Employment policy, the Church had aided the community to see that the true object of production is consumption, and that the displacement of human labour by the machine could be a blessing in the provision of creative leisure, in the place of mass production, mass opinion and mass amusement, we could have had individual choice, craftsmanship and quality. May the bishops and clergy even now, at this late hour, say with Miss Dorothy Sayers: "If we conclude that creative mind is in fact the very grain of the universe . . . We shall have to ask ourselves whether the same pattern is not also exhibited in the spiritual structure of every man and woman. And, if it is, whether, by confining the average man and woman to uncreative activities and an uncreative outlook, we are not doing violence to the very structure of our being."

We come back, therefore, to our definition of what freedom is: "The ability to choose or refuse one thing at a time." This

postulates at least: (1) That the products (not the administration) of the production system are controlled by the consumer, which can only happen if there are independent producers competing to cater for his needs. These producers must be free of government interference with either their administrative arrangements or the pricing of their products.

(2) That the employee is able to ensure reasonable conditions of work by having the freedom to move from one employer to another, no monopolies, State or otherwise.

(3) A recognition that the proper object of technological advance and labour-saving machinery is to release men and women from labour. Full Employment as a policy is false. In its place there should be a steady pursuit of a policy of paid leisure, endowment, with an education system adapted to prepare people for creative leisure—an education system free from State interference.

(4) A recognition that the power derived from the monopoly of credit creation and the misuse of that power has been the chief source of the evils from which we suffer; that this power must be broken, together with the debt system which it has fostered, and made subservient to the needs of producers and consumers as exhibited in a national balance sheet, prepared by long established and well-tried principles practised by all business accountancy.

(5) A recognition that "The business of the Church in politics is to be the Authority of the Mills of God, which are of course, inter alia, Political Principles which can be checked like any other genuine Laws, by their observed operation over a sufficient period of time."

(6) A recognition that secret, anonymous

(Continued on page 12)

## HEALTH BOOKS

By Madame Mira Louise, well-known Adelaide Naturopath.  
 The Dawn of Consciousness.. 8/9  
 Nerves, Migraine and the Pituitary Gland.....6/3  
 The Book of Health (with chapters on Infant Feeding; the dangers of Immunisation; gland troubles, etc.) ..... 5/3  
 What To Do For Functional Disorders (how to treat all the simple complaints) 5/3  
 The Better-Way Cookery and Diet Reform..... 4/9  
 Morning Sickness: It's Cause and Cure .. 2/3  
 Biochemistry For The People. 2/3  
 Alkalinity, The Basic Principle of Life.....2/-  
 Build Yourself A Vital Body .. 2/-  
 The Menace of Cow's Milk .. 1/6  
 The New Enslavement..... 1/6  
 Two Bogies Banished (Measles and Rheumatic Fever)..... 1/6  
 What Our Tonsils Mean To Us .. 9d.

**NEW TIMES BOOK SERVICE,  
 BOX 1226L, MELBOURNE.**

\*\* "The Realistic Position of The Church of England" by C. H. Douglas.

# THE HISTORICAL BLACKOUT

## Suppressed Facts About Monetary History

### By Noel Stock

Alexander Del Mar, a respected American civil servant and one of the world's great monetary historians, was at the height of his fame in the eighteen nineties. A dense man-made fog descended over his name and his books about the year 1900 and has remained there ever since.

The 1899 edition of his work, "A History of Monetary Crimes" (published again recently in the Square Dollar Series by Kasper Horton of New York), shows in its opening pages why the Congregation of High Finance acted immediately to obliterate the name Del Mar. Here is the opening sentence: "The insidious crime of secretly or surreptitiously altering the monetary laws of a State—than which no more dastardly or fatal blow can be dealt at its liberties—is not a new one."

Del Mar shows that the gang, with headquarters in London, which was responsible for the depressions which racked the American colonies, was also responsible for the monetary laws which burdened the Republic almost from the time of its founding right through to the publication of his book in 1899.

The special significance of Del Mar is this: he was a scholar, historian and scientist of worldwide fame who wrote many books on monetary history and the history of precious metals. He cannot be labelled "crank" or "anti-Semite". Indeed, in March 1890, he even lectured to a special meeting of the London Chamber of Commerce to correct statements by Thorold Rogers!

Yet, Del Mar, pursuing facts, found himself always face to face with the same gang. He says: "Originally the financiers consisted of 215 monopolists under the title of the East India Company: now they comprise the entire world of money-changers and bankers. *This cosmopolitan band threatens the peace of mankind.*" (Italics mine.)

Not only did Del Mar wage war against their Gold Standard ("neither silver nor gold are indispensable for the purposes of money or commerce"), but long before the menace of communism he saw the dark shadow of world government. He saw that the monetary system in Britain and the United States was aimed at "the elevation of this sordid and cynical class to the ownership and government of the earth."

Del Mar was able to show that American political parties were already under the domination of the international bankers. He tells us "on the 13th of March, 1868, Baron James Rothschild, of Paris, wrote to Mr. Belmont (chairman of the Democratic Party) a letter—which concluded with warnings of ruin for those who might oppose the payment of bonds in coin, or who might advocate their liquidation in greenbacks".

Needless to say, the American Congress obeyed the banker in Paris. Del Mar points out that the Baron and others of his "sordid and cynical class", reaped a profit of 275-million dollars from this one crime—"perhaps the greatest reward which ever tempted men to conspire and betray."

It is easy to see why Del Mar's name had

to be removed quickly from the minds of men. About a year after the publication of "A History of Monetary Crimes" an evil fog descended over the name and books of Alexander Del Mar. Due to the constant hammering of men like the famous American poet Ezra Pound, and to the good work of publishers Kasper and Horton, Del Mar is now required reading in a number of major colleges and universities in America.

Another recent Kasper and Horton publication which needs wide circulation is Eustace Mullins' book on the United States Federal Reserve—a book which continues, from where Del Mar left off, the history of the international bankers' penetration of America. In Del Mar's heyday the headquarters of the gang was in London, the branch offices in Europe and the United States. However, the changeover began about 1903 when a young man, Paul Moritz Warburg, left his family banking house of M. M. Warburg in Hamburg and sailed to New York to join the, of Kuhn, Loeb Company. It is interesting to note that M. M. Warburg was a representative of the Rothschilds in Hamburg and Amsterdam.

Paul Warburg, according to Mullins, took no part in the humdrum of everyday banking, but concentrated like the good European liberal he was, upon the promotion of "monetary reform". In 1913 President Wilson (financed into the presidency by up-and-coming Bernard Baruch) put through Congress the Federal Reserve Act. This placed in the hands of the international bankers the control of all America's money and credit. The Federal Reserve Boards are out of the hands of Congress; their members are appointed by the Executive Government.

And so, after barely ten years residence in America, Paul Warburg had transferred the World's power centre from the Rothschilds in London to New York. Warburg was appointed first Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, just in time to finance the Allies in their war against Germany. When the war was over Paul presided over the Debt and Reparations meetings, thrashing out part of the "sordid" business with the German representative, his own brother, another member of the Warburg banking house!

Mullins, like Del Mar, is not just another "crank". He wrote his book on the Federal Reserve while employed in the United States Library of Congress. He is now economist with the American Petroleum Institute.

He demonstrates carefully how the infamous J. P. Morgan, so dear to the hearts of communists and socialists, was in the end hardly more than a tool of the Rothschilds and Warburgs. In 1901 Kuhn, Loeb

## WITNESS

By Whittaker Chambers

Price 27/6, post-free.

It was the evidence of ex-Communist secret agent, Whittaker Chambers, before the House Committee on Un-American Activities, which caused top Communist espionage agent in the U.S.A., Alger Hiss, to be indicted and convicted on a charge of perjury. Not only the American people but many people in other countries were startled by the disclosure that the official who advised President Roosevelt, helped draft the disastrous Yalta Agreement in 1945, and who was the first Secretary-General of the United Nations Organisation, was a Communist agent.

Before being finally convicted, Alger Hiss, aided by some of the most influential people in America, fought back against Chambers, who was subjected to a whispering campaign described by one prominent American writer as "one of the most repellent in modern history". Chambers replies to this campaign in one of the most important autobiographies of our times. Not only does this book deal exhaustively with the Hiss-Chambers battle before the Committee on Un-American Activities and the Courts; it is a moving human document which explains how Chambers first became a Communist, his work in the secret Communist apparatus, how he met Alger Hiss and worked with him, and how eventually he came to repudiate Communism.

Near the conclusion of his book, Chambers makes one of the most important observations yet made on the Hiss-Chambers case: "No feature of the Hiss case is more obvious, or more troubling as history, than the jagged fissure, which it did not so much open as reveal, between the plain men and women of the nation, and those who affected to act, think and speak for them. It was, not invariably, but in general, the 'best people' who were for Alger Hiss and who were prepared to go to almost any length to protect and defend him. It was the enlightened and the powerful, the clamorous proponents of the open-mind and the common man, who kept their minds shut in a pro-Hiss psychosis, of a kind which, in an individual patient, means the simple failure of the ability to distinguish between reality and unreality, and, in a nation, is a warning of the end."

"Witness" must be read and studied by those who want to know the truth about Alger Hiss and his part in the Communist conspiracy in the U.S.A. Every student of Communism and international affairs must have this work on his shelves. No one with an unbiassed mind can read it without realising that Hiss was undoubtedly guilty of the charges made against him.

## GEMS FROM DOUGLAS

Both sides may, on balance, be heavy losers in modern war, but there is always one winner, "functionalisation". War, then, is not necessarily between nations; it may be between Government and people.

—The Objectives of "Total" War.

The more I see of Governments, the lower is my opinion of them and I am confident that what the world wants at the present time is a great deal less government, and not a great deal more. Now I want to get a further perfectly simple idea into your minds. And that is that Governments are your property, and you are not the property of Governments. —Security, Institutional and Personal, 1937.

The aristocracy, which grew out of the medieval chivalry, may not have been flawless but a perusal of Magna Carta is sufficient to demonstrate that it was an effective barrier to the kind of racketeer we now elevate to power.

—"Coal, The Key" (P.E.P. Slogan), 1947.

... the basic wealth of a nation is its intangibles. It is faith, which moves mountains, and the decline of faith is perhaps the most noticeable change in the general population.

—The Great Betrayal.

Since the pedigree of a policy is derived from a philosophy, it may be helpful to recall two propositions which, if not comprehensive, are essential to any Social Credit philosophy:

The first is that it is essential that the group shall have no conscriptive power over the individual, i.e., the individual must have the power to contract out of any group. The second is that maximum decentralisation of initiative is in the interests of human welfare.

—Social Credit in Alberta (1948).

Just as a maniac is irresponsible, so an irresponsible voter is a political maniac, and would know it if he were not. Power without responsibility is the broad way, which leadeth to destruction and the World of Nightmare.

—Clear Your Minds.

It is hardly an exaggeration to say that 75 percent, of the ideas and inventions, to which mankind is indebted for such progress as has been so far achieved, can be directly or indirectly traced to persons who by some means were freed from the necessity of regular, and in the ordinary sense, economic employment, in spite of the fact that such persons have never been more than a small minority of the general population. Even where transcendent genius has been able to overcome the limitations of financial stringency, it is probable that the results achieved have been nothing like those, which would have enriched the world, had those barriers been non-existent.

—Social Credit.

## "INFLATIONARY FORCES"

In its editorial of November 25, the Melbourne "Age" deals with the "inflationary forces" which have "reappeared", inflation is inherent in present financial rules and all the talk in the world about greater efficiency and harder work will not alter this fact. When will the newspaper pundits face the truth of the situation instead of making themselves ridiculous?

The Melbourne "Age" editorial referred to stresses the urgent necessity for a reduction in labour costs. It instances how a motor vehicle firm, "after rearranging the method of one assembly operation, found that seven men could do what had previously occupied 20". Excellent. But if this could be repeated throughout the whole of the production system—and probably it could with labour-saving machinery—and approximately one-third of present employees were dispensed with, would this not result in a serious reduction in the volume of purchasing power distributed to buy what is being produced? And what happens to the one-third of the employees dismissed? It will be pointed out by the "full employment" advocates that these employees will be employed on further private and public capital expansion. But this expansion, financed under present financial rules, must automatically help increase prices. The capital costs incurred must be recovered through the price system.

While greater real efficiency in industry helps to prevent prices from rising, the insistence that the deficiency of purchasing power can only be overcome by credit expansion for capital production, makes progressive price rises as certain as sunrise. This has been demonstrated beyond all argument in the U.S.A.

## CREDIT POLICY

We are back again to the 1951 deflation and credit restriction policy. Dr. Coombs has issued another directive, although on this occasion the technique is not to formulate it in specific terms. Instead, the trading banks have been told that they must retain 25 percent, of their deposits on hand in liquid form. With the 25 percent deposited with the Central Bank, that means that their advances are reduced, and they are unable to meet legitimate needs both of industry and of private industry.....

So bank credit policy is again directed along selective lines. That means the weak are forced to the wall, while the strong become stronger. When a small firm is denied overdraft accommodation, it must reduce its output, and either stagger employment or dismiss men and women. That happened in 1951. It is happening again. It is the same thing happening again today, and the instrument is precisely the same—control of bank credit.

Ironically enough, this weapon is Socialised control of credit. It depends upon a banking monopoly. It is State control of private enterprise. It is not surprising to find the leaders of the bank officers' organisation protesting against this new outburst of Socialisation. But the real victims are not the bank officers. The people who will suffer in the long run will be those who must lose their jobs.

—J. T. Lang in "Century," October 29.

## Full Employment Policy

Sir, —A recent visit to Devonport led me to recall how in the 'nineties I served as "mate" to an elderly shipwright. Then I learned that a warship was simply built by the two of us, plus a labour squad with drillers, riveters and caulkers and with the use of simple machines.

Later in life my work for merchant ships helped me to realise that some 34 trades were engaged, and that to prevent "poaching" a large book of rules, needing a lifetime of study, was laid down as rigidly as the Iron Curtain. This "demarcation" meant to keep certain jobs for certain men, adds approximately 50 percent, to the cost of a ship. — From a letter by Sir Westcott Abel, in "The Daily Telegraph" (England).

## Communist Penetration Into Australian Churches! By V. L. Borin, former Czech Communist Writer

FOREWORD BY  
ERIC D. BUTLER.

Price 2/3, post-free.

This important booklet should be introduced to all those Christians who have been deluded by the Communist "peace offensive". As a former Communist writer, Mr. Borin writes authoritatively upon his subject. He sees happening in Australia what he experienced in Europe. The Communist penetration of the Christian Churches in Australia has proceeded much further and much deeper than most people realise.

Mr. Borin deals in detail with what happened at Dr. Hromadka's meeting in Melbourne on September 16, 1954, when the audience insisted that Mr. Borin be permitted to reply to Dr. Hromadka. The full text of Mr. Borin's reply is published in this booklet.

Mr. Eric D. Butler writes a foreword in his capacity as Director of the Victorian League of Rights, which publishes the booklet. Mr. Butler points out that "Karl Marx specifically repudiated the democratic conception of man as a sovereign being, claiming that it was founded on 'the illusion, the dream and postulate of Christianity, namely, man has a sovereign soul'. Communism is only concerned with man as a member of 'the class', 'the mass', or 'the group'. All those who support any of the collectivist policies of the Communists are therefore assisting the Communist ideological assault upon men's minds."

This booklet should be placed in the hands of all Christian clergymen.

Order from The Victorian League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"New Times," January 14, 1955—Page 5.

# The New Times

Established 1935.

Published every alternate Friday by New Times Limited, McEwan House,  
343 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, C.I.

Postal Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Telephone: MU 2834.

Subscription Rates: 40/- Yearly; 20/- Half Yearly; 10/- Quarterly.

VOL. 21.

FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 1955.

No. 1.

## THE ROAD AHEAD

*The road ahead, which we must travel in 1955, is a continuation of the road we have travelled for the past twenty years. Although the road has been rough and narrow, and there is every evidence that it will become much harder to follow for some time yet, experience and events confirm our view that there can be no deviation from this road if we are to reach our ultimate objective — a society in which every individual will be firmly established in his own God-given rights. We are well aware, of course, that some have dropped by the wayside over the years, either because they felt that the road was too difficult or that there was some short cut, which would save time and effort. Others have lost faith and resigned themselves cynically to make the best of what they consider a hopeless situation. But a small band of men and women of courage and integrity have steadfastly adhered to the course, which the founder of the Social Credit Movement, C. H. Douglas, insisted would have to be followed if success were to be obtained. This journal exists primarily to help such people of integrity in our community, and we renew the special appeal which we made late last year: that present readers make every endeavour to have "The New Times" introduced to those people in the community likely to be interested in the ideas for which it stands.*

*Douglas said that events would be mainly responsible for the introduction of policies, which will save us from complete tyranny. But it is essential that there be sufficient people with adequate knowledge and understanding to ensure that the right action is taken to deal with events. As we look back over the road we have followed so far, one thing stands out crystal clear: Douglas's advice has always been proved sound. The great tragedy has been that on far too many occasions his advice was not heeded until it was then too late. There are still many who call themselves Social Crediters but who refuse to see the wisdom of Douglas's advice. Many of these people think that the enemies of individual freedom can be defeated attempting to compete with the enemy in the manipulation of mere numbers. For our part we have become progressively convinced over the years that appropriate action by a small number of men and women of integrity will alone avail against the enemy of Christendom. The type of action we have in mind is that being taken by the directors of the Christian Campaign for Freedom, launched in England early last year. While this high-level activity, more concerned with quality than with quantity, cannot, in the nature of things, produce immediate results, it does eventually have a fundamental impact. There must be a time lag with such activity. Loyal supporters of this journal over the years have undoubtedly had an impact, which could well prove decisive before we reach the end of our road. Let us all endeavour to increase this impact during 1955.*

*There is much for all to do. It is probable that there will be major crises during the year. The "United" Nations Charter comes up for ratification and this should enable us to intensify the campaign against the threatened World Police State. Even the threat of war cannot avert the growing economic crisis as the international trade struggle becomes fiercer. Internally we will have to fight against various Communistic measures in order that we do not lose ground in the struggle to create the free society. Let us press forward afresh and ensure that the end of 1955 finds us much further along the road we must tread to reach salvation.*

## REV. NORMAN HILL TAKES FURTHER ACTION AGAINST WATER FLUORIDATION

In our issue of December 3, 1954, we printed the public statement issued by the Rev. Norman Hill, Vicar of St. Mark's, Fitzroy, Victoria, in which the proposal to fluoridate Melbourne's water supply was attacked, primarily on moral grounds. Mr. Hill has since had roneoed a further statement, which he has forwarded to selected Anglican Ministers in Melbourne, including the Archbishop of Melbourne. We will report on reactions, if any, to this move at a later date.

## Japan's Economic Problems

The Japanese Prime Minister, Mr. Yoshida, has been in the U.S.A. urging that the Washington policy makers do something to help the Japanese overcome their growing economic problems. But he has not been very successful. Since the end of the Pacific War Japan has become little more than an economic satellite of the U.S.A. Japanese industry was rebuilt and modernised by American dollars. The Korean War also helped the Japanese economy considerably. But now the Japanese are finding it increasingly difficult to export their manufactured goods in order to pay for the importing of necessary raw material and to provide "full employment". There is a grave threat of serious unemployment in Japan, which will be suitably exploited by the Communists. Unless Japan can sell to countries like Australia in order to obtain credits for imports, not only will the Communists in Japan be assisted, but there will be a great risk that Japan will accept the trade offers being made by China and Russia.

The major reason why Western countries will not take Japanese exports is that this would, under present financial rules, cause local unemployment. And all the "experts" are insistent that the rules cannot possibly be changed. But until they are changed it is certain that the Communists will go from victory to victory.

## PARTY POLITICS

That something more has got to be done than support one or other of the existing political parties is evident. The citizen, who wishes to preserve some measure of personal and national independence, and the means to retain it, has got to do something about it. To form a new party would probably be useless. A political party tends to work for the interests of the party rather than the interests of those who elected it. And it is opposed for the simple reason that it is a party and stands in the way of the acquirement of power by others. The issue ceases to be the interests of the people and becomes the interests of the party.

—"Eastbourne Social Crediter" (England), October 1954.

# GARDEN PARTY AND BARBECUE

ON SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 5

Melbourne "New Times" Readers and Friends  
Are Urged to Attend

In order to bring Melbourne supporters of "The New Times" together at a pleasant social gathering, and to help raise funds to further the ideas for which "The New Times" stands, the Chairman of New Times Ltd., Mr. W. J. Carruthers, has arranged for a garden party and barbecue at his home in Ringwood on Saturday, February 5. We urge all supporters to ensure that this social event is a big success.

Several years ago we published an article in our rural section, "Seeking Independence On Five Acres", in which we outlined Mr. Carruthers' development of five acres of light soil by organic methods. Today a neat new home is surrounded by spacious lawns, a beautiful selection of trees, while the high fertility of the soil is evident by a glance at the rich pastures and the vegetable garden. No more beautiful spot could be found for a garden party and a barbecue. Those attending may arrive from 3.30 p.m. onwards. Afternoon tea

will be served later. This will be an excellent opportunity for supporters and their friends to come together in an ideal atmosphere. Arrangements are being made for appropriate entertainment, which will continue by moonlight. We will publish further details, including directions for getting to Mr. Carruthers' place in our next issue. In the meantime we ask readers to make arrangements to attend with their friends. There will be an entrance charge of five shillings for each adult. There will be no charge for children.

## THE HISTORICAL BLACKOUT

(Continued from page 4)

and J. P. Morgan formed an alliance known as Northern Securities Company which was the consolidation of the Rothschild Empire in the U.S. Actually Morgan had been acting for N. M. Rothschild Company of London since 1869 when Morgan and Anthony Drexel journeyed to London to conclude an agreement which enabled the Rothschilds to operate in America under a less-known name.

Paul Warburg gradually gathered up the loose ends in America until a select little band of bankers controlled the stock market and Federal Reserve System. Apart from his interest in "monetary reform" Paul Warburg, operating through Kuhn, Loeb Company, became a partner or director in Western Union, Westinghouse, Wells Fargo, Union Pacific Railroad, American I.G. Chemical Company (I. G. Farben), Agfa Anso Corp., National Railways of Mexico, International Acceptance Bank (of which he was chairman of the board), Warburg Co. of Amsterdam, Westinghouse Acceptance Co. and numerous other banks, railways and corporations.

Mullins shows that years later during the Great Depression, the gang moved in and bought up all the cheap stock, consolidating further!

It is interesting to note that two other wicked "capitalists" dear to the Reds and Pinks, namely Rockefeller and the International Harvester Company, were both "connected" with that able agent of the Rothschilds, J. P. Morgan. In 1902 Morgan formed International Harvester, selling ten million dollars' worth of stock to the Rockefellers.

Mullins' book traces this vast conspiracy through the various wars and depressions

of this Century, right up to the founding of the International Monetary Fund, brain-child of communist spy Harry Dexter White. The first chairman of the Fund was international banker Eugene Meyer Jr. who is at present owner of the left-wing "Washington Post".

Mullins shows that a person cannot understand fully the present world tensions without knowledge of FINANCE, local and international. Facts, which might seem to contradict suddenly fall into place. Why do the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations give millions of dollars to institutions like the LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS? Why do Reds and Pinks support the "liberal" Democrat, Senator Herbert Lehmann, who is master of Lehmann Brothers, the world's biggest international bank? The Mullins book provides a valuable key to the MYSTERIES OF HIGH FINANCE and INTERNATIONAL POLITICS.

### SPOTLIGHT ON RED SPY RINGS

This is a very excellent booklet on Communist espionage activities in all parts of the world. Ties the recent Petrov disclosures to similar happenings in other parts of the world, including the Canadian Spy Trials, Klaus Fuchs and the Atom spies, the Alger Hiss case and Sorge, the Communist spy who was executed by the Japanese. Comprehensive and revealing. Price 1/3 posted.

## The Iron Curtain Over America

By Colonel John Beaty.

This book is a "must" for every student of international affairs. It is one of the most important books published since World War II, but, like similar books, which have been appearing in America over the past few years, it has received no mention whatever in Australia by leftist book reviewers for the "Capitalist" press.

The author of "The Iron Curtain Over America" is not only an outstanding American scholar; his work with the American Military Intelligence Service during the last war enabled him to learn at first hand of the manner in which the Zionist-Communist conspiracy was being furthered under cover of war.

Colonel Beaty deals objectively with the history of the Jews, with particular reference to the fact that the ancestors of most Jews of today were Khazars, originally a people from Central Asia, and had never seen the Holy Land. He writes most penetratingly on the Jewish invasion of the U.S.A. and the subsequent Jewish impact on America's foreign and internal policies.

After outlining the treacherous, pro-Communist activities of Jews in the U.S.A., Colonel Beaty asks—and answers—the question: "Does the high ratio of appointed persons of Eastern European or contacts in United States strategic positions reflect the will of the U.S. people? If not, what controlling will does it reflect?"

"The Iron Curtain Over America" proves conclusively that not only was the second world war organised, but that it was deliberately prolonged, and only concluded when the controllers of the Zionist-Communist conspiracy had achieved their major objectives. Detailed evidence is provided of the manner in which the truth about this conspiracy has been kept from the American people.

Colonel Beaty's conclusion is that America, and other Western nations, can only survive by first defeating "the enemy within." He points out that this first step is essential before a more realistic foreign policy can be implemented, one which would obtain the friendship of all anti-Communist nations and which would seek to widen the gulf which already exists between the Moscow gangsters and their unhappy victims.

It is not surprising that Zionist organisations in the U.S.A. have been campaigning vigorously against Colonel Beaty's exposure and that pressure has been applied to the press to prevent any reference to the book. Even Church "leaders" have been used to smear Beaty. But his book continues to contribute to the general awakening in the U.S.A., an awakening which Australians unfortunately know little about.

Price 28/3 post free

Order now from New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne.

"New Times," January 14, 1955—Page 7.



## WOMEN versus THE WATER-POISONERS

"I wish to recite for your consideration tonight those errors made by other groups of men, who have tampered with your food and drink, or have destroyed the delicate balance and harmony of nature, either in the soil or within the body itself. Nature makes no distinction in its penalty. It matters not whether your motives are sincere, or are activated by commercial greed (or the lust for power—Ed.). The penalty exacted, whether it be direct or insidious, is in proportion to the degree of the offence.

"In the practice of medicine expediency often demands the immediate treatment of symptoms, rather than the investigation and removal of causes. Unfortunately we have fallen into the rut of symptomatic treatment and expend too little energy in the determination of causes. We spend a life time building up a background of technical learning, assets and goodwill, but hardly a day in learning how to survive long enough to enjoy it."

—Raymond L. Girardot, D.D.F., F.A.C.D., in a speech to a meeting of the Detroit District Dental Society, March 2, 1950.

How much further, one wonders, can the world be taken along the road of ever more drastic interference with natural processes, with the fundamental laws of the universe, God's law— under guidance of experts controlled by politicians in the widest and most sinister sense of that word, before it will pay the penalty for its ignorance, its non-awareness of the deadly struggle being waged today between the Powers of Light and the Powers of Compulsion and Darkness? The world is you and me. What side are you on? Are you aware of the threat to your very life and the life of your children contained in this proposal to fluoridate the water supplies of our State? Have you joined our crusade for our fundamental right of access of a supply of an un-medicated and un-chemicalized water supply? Have you informed your M.L.A. and M.L.C. of your reactions to this unethical and unconstitutional and dangerous proposal? We have informed ours. The reply was that our salaried representative in Parliament "knew nothing about the problem you mention". There may be others like him, and it is obvious to us that the Fluoridationists are banking on the present wide-spread ignorance of the electorate and their representatives for putting over on us a scheme as dangerous to our health and well-being as any our worst enemy could devise. We have further taken the step of informing by wire and letter the Premier, Mr. Cain, and the Minister of Health, Mr. Barry, of our reasons for op-

Page 8—"New Times," January 14, 1955.

posing this measure, and we have received from the Premier the reply that "the views which you have expressed in this matter have been noted, and will receive consideration". But we know what value can be placed on ministerial statements and we know also something of the technique that must be employed to hold politicians to their word.

It is a significant fact that in many places of the world it is the women who have taken the lead in the fight against the water poisoners. From one of the prime movers against fluoridation in Western Canada we have had a letter containing this shrewd, may we say truly feminine observation: "At last I have tried to make the Research Council Chairman see something beyond just the chemical angle . . . I thank Lord Douglas of Barloch for this for it was his speech which impressed him . . . people are silly to be herd-minded and afraid, and M.L.A.'s have about as little spine as swaying willows." Some of them, perhaps most of them, feel, like the late President Roosevelt, that it is the job of a politician to yield to pressure, to be willows swaying in the wind that blows from on high.

It is possible that no individuals have put more pressure on politicians, put a greater "fear of the Lord" into them in this matter of water poisoning than Mrs. Elizabeth Patullo, of Kirriemuir, Scotland, and her courageous colleagues in the British, and Scottish, Housewives' Associations. It was as a direct result of the efforts made by Mrs Patullo in putting the facts about fluoridation to the Council members of

Liverpool Town Council that brought defeat to the Fluoridationists in that important British key city. In a letter she circularised on August 11, 1954, Mrs. Patullo warned all councillors that they would "be well advised, before they take the responsibility— and the responsibility is theirs, for after the Minister of Health has made his recommendations his responsibility ceases — to wait and accumulate further knowledge and advice."

Mrs. Patullo reminds us that this is not the first attempt to mass-medicate communities through the water tap. "You will recall that once there was an attempt to  
(Continued on page 9.)

## ORGANIC FARMING AND GARDENING BOOKS

Watch this list for the latest books.

"Ley Farming" ..... 26/-

By Sir George Stapleton and William Davies. Describes the ley as a means of restoring humus and fertility to the soil, and the best and most economic way of producing grass.

"Humus — and the Farmer" .....26/9

By Friend Sykes. The story of how a leading English farmer sold his property in the fertile Thames Valley and proved how soil worth only £4 per acre could be improved to give big yields. This practical writer answers convincingly the argument that organic farming can only be conducted on a small scale. Deals with large-scale compost making by suitable machinery.

"Organic Husbandry" .. 5/6

This book is a symposium of the works of the most advanced writers on organic farming, and provides a very useful introduction to the subject for the beginner, and provides new avenues of interest for those who are already well versed in organic principles and practice.

Order now from  
NEW TIMES LIMITED



## WOMEN versus THE WATER POISONERS

(Continued from page 8.)

put iodine in the water and that failed when it was proved, quite late in the proceedings, that it would definitely be harmful to certain members of the community. The iodisation project, like fluoridation today (and penicilliation tomorrow?—Ed.) had its ardent supporters and cocksure pronouncements. . . . Incidentally, does it not strike you as rather odd that our Food Standards Committee, acting on a report by its Metallic Contamination Sub-Committee, revised the 1947 Fluorine in Food Order, and laid down that whereas they had allowed a fluorine content of 300 p.p.m. in acid phosphates used in the manufacture of food and of baking powders and self-raising flour, that the limit shall now be 30 p.p.m. (quoting from the report of the Committee).

"In May, 1946, the Inter-Departmental Committee on Food Standards published a report on the question of prescribing limits to the fluorine content of acid phosphates used for food purposes. The Medical Research Council, who were consulted by the Committee, pointed out that the effects of fluorine are cumulative, and that fluorine absorbed in small quantities over a sufficient period of time can produce pathological changes in the kidneys and gross lesions of bone."

In another letter written to the Medical Officer of Health for Watford (W. Alcock, M.D., etc.) Mrs. Patullo writes that she is not impressed with the fact that a list of learned bodies could be quoted in favour of fluoridation, and points out that "strangely enough the British Medical Association is not included in such a list. I know that when the Royal College of Physicians were approached for a guarantee that fluoridation of water supplies would not injure the body now, or at a later date, they declined to do so."

We are further informed that Professor Stones, who led the British Mission on Fluoridation to the U.S.A., admitted, in an article in the "British Medical Journal", that cryolite workers may ingest a daily dose of 25-30 mgms of fluorine per day, and this "may lead to decreased mobility of the spine".

Finally we quote a letter Mrs. Patullo wrote to the Chairman of Watford Public Health Committee (Mr. L. C. Johnson) in which she contrasts the general ignorance of those who, like Dr. Nisbet, of Kilmarnock, and Dr. Greenwood Wilson, of Cardiff, recommended fluoridation without having undertaken any independent research into the matter whatever, with Dr. Dillon who, "at his own personal expense (there is never any Government support for investigations directly concerned with matters of real importance to the people's health—Ed.), has acquired the technique of fluorine analysis and furnished a laboratory for his experiments, again at his own expense" and who has had the temerity to suggest that if the Government withdrew "from circulation" the highly toxic agensised National Loaf which it subsidized, the dental decay rate in children could be reduced by at least as much as that now claimed for the fluoridation project. To suggest that "Governments" create problems which they then

set about to solve along pseudo scientific lines is, of course, the height of heresy. The introduction of State medicine in Great Britain has ensured that there shall be fewer and fewer Dr. Dillons to point to root causes, and more and more to toe the official line of suppressing symptoms, a line acceptable to State Health Services everywhere. Mrs. Patullo writes:

"I must remind the Minister of Health that it is a tradition of the medical profession not to administer any drug to man or beast the dose of which cannot be fixed or determined or controlled. In the course of our study we have found certain anomalies and misstatements. When attention has been drawn to these erroneous statements we have received nothing but evasion."

Once again, we must ask: What is the mechanism used by the "Stealthy Ones" to make the rank and file of professional organizations "toe the official line"? Who are "the Stealthy Ones"? They are connected, if not entirely identifiable, with the power groups who control the advertisements of the big daily newspapers. Dr. Royal Lee, writing on fluoridation in the "Milwaukee Sentinel", April 5, 1954, in an article inserted and paid for by himself, states:

"Among those who advocate the fluoridation programme are groups who have historically profited as a result of the adulteration of our staple foods through promotion of chemical preservatives that destroy important vitamin and mineral factors, and unquestionably result in promoting tooth decay. Those who have the duty to dispense information to the public are notoriously susceptible to boycott by powerful advertising syndicates."

Few people realize the extent to which fear rules the world. Fifty years of continuous wars or threat of wars, and increasing bureaucratization of every country in the world, has brought about a situation characterized by almost universal acceptance ("what can we do about it?) of conditions which our fathers and mothers would not have tolerated for five minutes. The crying need of the modern world is for individuals of integrity and fearlessness. We are still looking for the reason why professional men, doctors, dentists and lawyers, and others, can always be relied upon to lead the general scuttle to submit to further encroachments on our rights. A leading protagonist of the right to choose what kind of milk the people of Victoria should be allowed to drink, told an audience recently that in her fight against pasteurization she had been astounded by the way she had been let down by all the professional men who had promised to back her up. At the critical moment they asked to have themselves excused, following the example of the people in the Gospel story. The men, she said, who had promised to be behind her, were found to be so far behind as to be out of sight! In an open letter to readers, the Adelaide naturopath, Madame Mira Louise (in a recently published pamphlet: "Fluoridation the Poisoner") asks:

"Why are so many doctors and dentists (in the U.S.A.—Ed.) favouring fluorine. It seems to be a matter of association

control. Medical and dental colleges, as well as State health departments, receive large grants of money from the U.S. Public Health Service, which is promoting fluoridation. These money grants are given on the condition that the programmes of the U.S. Public Health Service are fostered. Furthermore, it is considered unethical for an individual doctor or dentist to publicly oppose a programme which his association has endorsed."

There it is: the fear of standing out, of being unorthodox, of separating yourself from your "gang" whether this be professional association, political party, social class or what have you. The herd, the mass, is always right. Madame Mira Louise quotes Dr. E. F. Exner, of Seattle:

"The dentists can be excused on two counts — they are not versed in medicine and they are being blackmailed. They are told that if they oppose fluoridation they will be accused of protecting a vested interest in tooth decay. Neither excuse applies to the doctors."

There is no reason for thinking that doctors, as a class, are any more immune from the blackmailing tactics of the "minority lobbies" than the dentists, and therefore no reason why we should not excuse the majority of them — forgive them for they know not what they do — as well as the dentists, or other professional men whose services are continuously invoked and needed for the further bureaucratization of our society. Our medicos suffer, moreover, from the severe handicap of a training in symptom suppression which, it would appear, makes them amenable to much of the political reason which consists in patching up the ravages caused in society by following and enforcing rules and regulations of an essentially anti-human nature, most of which tend to foster the mass mind dominated by mass fear which is the scourge of our age; yes, indeed let us forgive them but let us also stop looking to them for any guidance in vital and public (including health) matters. A profession which—with a few honourable exceptions — has not only failed to warn us, but even to protest against, the doctoring of our food supplies, the destruction of our milk via pasteurization — and now the poisoning of our water supplies can no longer be regarded as professing the truth of the matter in which it claims proficiency; in fact the collective moral debacle of the medical profession can only be explained by nothing but fear of blackmail. Miss Charlotte Wetrick, of Seattle, Washington, writes:

"We deplore the low level to which the medical profession fell under the Hitler regime. It did not come suddenly in Germany. It was a gradual process until the Government had the doctors in fear unless they did what the Government demanded. After a time they were adjusted to the idea (of being State doctors, or civil servants) and looked upon it as a patriotic duty to the country. Shall we let it happen here? There are many dentists in our city who are opposed to fluoridation but fear to speak out against it unless the citizenry decide to support them. Some did speak out

(Continued on page 10)

"New Times," January 14, 1955—Page 9.

# WOMEN versus THE WATER POISONERS

(Continued from page 9)

against it when the discussion first opened but not a word of opposition comes from them now."

Those who have studied the behind-the-scenes of International politics are well aware of the decisive part played by political refugees from Central and Eastern Europe, in the gradual subjection of individual doctors who control the state apparatus. It is no accident that the father of Mr. Bernard M. Baruch, the most powerful and most sinister figure of U.S. politics who has secretly advised every President since Wilson, came from East Prussia to the U.S.A. and soon advanced in the State Health Services. He can be said to have blazed a trail for a long trek of doctors from the same part of the world, mostly, like him, in favour of extending the power of the State over the individual all in the interest of "Public Health". We wonder how many of them shared the preference of Baruch Senior for occult politics. (He was a member of the Ku Klux Klan.) Note now the name of the Health Officer of the City of Long Beach, California: Dr. Irwin D. Litwack, who formally recommended his city council to fluoridate their water supplies in 1954. But Dr. Litwack reckoned without the individual initiative, the true Christian feelings which animated one of his prospective victims. Fanchon Battelle, of Long Beach, wrote to him (the letter is published in a pamphlet entitled "Fluoridation Unmasked", in November 1954:

"Fluoridation has been used in countries taken over by dictators to immobilize the people's will and ability to think. It has been used for this purpose in Russia . . . Fluorine is a known desensitizing agent . . . Fluorine produced nerve paralysis causing a slow up of brain and body function. The action of fluorides in paralysing nerve cells in the brain, referred to as chemical lobotomy, is similar to that produced by surgical lobotomy which is the severing of certain nerves in the front portion of the brain.

"Dr. Nicholas Nyarradi, former Minister of Finance in Hungary's post-war Government, an escapee to America, states that Comrade Zhhchovitsky, legal advisor of the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Trade in the United States, told him of the plan to poison the water reservoirs of this country. Dr. Zdenek V. Moudry, former advisor to the Czechoslovak Ministry of Public Health, another escapee to America, stated that the Russians conducted a mass biological warfare experiment on six villages near Prague by injecting poison into the water supplies, which resulted in numerous deaths. Dr. Moudry stated a Russian Colonel Unkrov ordered the human guinea pig experiment on the PRETEXT of stamping out illness."

But not all the political doctor-refugees from Central Europe play in with the planners of other peoples' lives. Dr. E. H.

Bronner, Research Chemist, Los Angeles, wrote in "The Catholic Mirror", Springfield, U.S.A., in January 1952:

"There is a sinister network of subversive agents, Godless 'intellectual' parasites, working in our country whose ramifications grow more extensive, more successful, and more alarming, each new year and whose true objective is to demoralize, paralyse and destroy our Great Republic — from within if they can, according to their plan — these same public health officials (who advocate fluoridation) will drink only fluoride-free bottled spring water in their own offices and homes — fluoridation of water supplies can be slow national suicide or quick national 'liquidation'. It is criminal insanity — treason!"

These are strong words, but consider, women, those of you who are the mothers, and those of you who are about to be mothers, these final statements:

"Pregnancy is another variable. The Department of Agriculture recommends that no fluorides be fed to brood sows. It is known that fluorides pile up in the placenta of pregnant women, but what they do to the unborn child is not known."

—Johnathan Foreman, M.O., "Fluorine on Tap", in "The Land", Spring, 1953.

Compare that with Madame Mira Louise's compilation "Fluoridation, the Poisoner", page 7.

"Dr. Carl Neuport, Wisconsin Health Officer, pioneer fluoridation, recently admitted that premature births are increasing in his State, where much of the water has been fluoridated at his instigation. Army doctors in Colorado Springs advise pregnant wives of Army personnel to drink non-fluorine bottled water.

"Dr. C. T. Betts, of Toledo, Ohio, states: 'Fluorine was classed with ergot many years ago as an abortion drug.'

"In Newburgh, New York, the facts are coming out now that the poor women are having dry-birth babies, so many in fact, that the doctors of the town are frantically examining everything to learn the truth. They found that these mothers had almost three times as much fluorine in the placenta as found in mothers where fluorine is not used. They admit that the placenta (after birth) was not able to prevent the poison from getting into the child, and that they do not know the amount it took to kill the child."

And consider then, in conclusion, what a specialist on Fluorine wrote to Mrs. Patullo, of the Scottish Housewives:

"I received recently a letter from a famous German hospital. An eight months' old child of a mother who was taking tablets containing small amounts of sodium fluoride during pregnancy, presumably to prevent dental decay, was brought into hospital suffering from what was diagnosed as tetany. Examination revealed the alternative possibility that the child may be suffering from damage to the brain caused by the fluorine which the mother took."

—B.J.

## Life from the Soil

By Col. H. F. White and  
Sir C. Stanton Hicks

(31/3, post free)

This is the first Australian book on organic farming and associated subjects. Written by two distinguished Australians, Col. H. F. White, well-known New England grazier, and Sir Stanton Hicks, Professor of Human Physiology and Pharmacology at the University of Adelaide, this book should be on the shelves of all Australian farmers and gardeners. In fact it should be read by all responsible Australians, because it deals with matters, which affect all individuals.

The book is in two sections: The first by Col. White deals with his own experiences as a practical farmer; the second by Sir Stanton Hicks is a comprehensive survey of all aspects of man's relationship to his environment. Col. White relates how, after finding that he was failing to maintain improved pastures in spite of increasing annual applications of superphosphate, he was introduced to the organic idea. He immediately switched to a system of ley farming and noticed an almost immediate improvement in his soil structure, his pastures and the health of his stock. Col. White's practical experience with organic farming methods under Australian conditions should be studied by every genuinely progressive farmer.

Sir Stanton Hicks is a recognised world authority on nutrition, and when he warns that there is a direct relationship between the increasing incidence of degenerative diseases and man's exploitive farming methods, every sensible person should take heed. As Sir Stanton points out, the subject of the quality of food concerns every individual, not only farmers.

In his chapter on Ecology, the author makes the penetrating observation that the "excessive uprooting of man from his true relation to his natural environment, focuses his attention to an increasing extent on a highly artificial feature of his ecology, namely sociology. This preoccupation finds expression in a much abused term, "standard of living", and since government is based upon numbers, urbanisation which follows industrialisation, concentrates political attention upon the towns."

Order from New Times Ltd., Box 1226L., G.P.O., Melbourne.

## THE MENACE OF THE UNITED NATIONS

(Continued from page 1)

ment voted in favour of Indonesia. Another important fact overlooked by most commentators is that the American Government refused to support Australia and abstained from voting.

There are various reasons for this, but probably the major one is that a large number of Americans uncritically accept all the propaganda they hear about the evils of "brutal colonialism". I hasten to add that there are many muddle-headed people in this country also who never lose an opportunity of claiming that the "exploitation" of native peoples is aiding the Communists. It is time there was a little more realism displayed in discussing this subject of colonialism and "exploitation". Most of those railing about the alleged exploitation are merely repeating Communist propaganda. In the U.S.A. the powerful international financial groups also join with the Communists on this subject, because, as their actions have demonstrated, they believe that once the British, Dutch or French can be forced out of their colonies, the way is clear for them to move in.

No one in his right senses would suggest that the British, French, Dutch and Belgians, the much-abused European colonial powers, have not made mistakes in their colonies. But the claim that the history of colonialism has been one long story of brutal exploitation of native peoples is blatantly false. Of course these European powers developed the oil and other resources of Persia, the Congo, Malaya, Indonesia and other countries. But surely it is not suggested that the native peoples were capable of this development on their own. And it is an indisputable fact that the native peoples benefited materially and otherwise from the efforts of the so-called exploiters.

At a time when agitators in the "United" Nations Organisation are conducting a worldwide campaign against "colonial exploitation", it is refreshing to find that one of the greatest authorities on the subject of colonial administration, Dr. Albert Schweitzer has recently stated in Oslo that it is untrue that "Colonial rule amounts only to exploitation". He said, "That is certainly not my experience of French rule in Africa. The underdeveloped peoples at present cannot get along without us, for we bring them culture, we can educate them to a better way of life and teach them how to grow their crops without overtaxing the earth." Dr. Schweitzer is typical of the great number of Europeans who have devoted their lives and their skills to helping native peoples. The suggestion that colonial rule has resulted only in benefits for the Europeans is a lie which should be nailed by every responsible person. This lie was used extensively to defeat the French in Indo-China. Even before the last world war had finished the Roosevelt Government was trying to prevent the French from regaining control in Indo-China. And there was a persistent campaign against the French, right up until the final disaster of the

Geneva Conference, which sought to undermine French prestige. Both the "United" Nations and the American State Department were aiding the Communists with their anti-French campaign.

The French were continually told that if only they had granted political independence, the Communist drive would have been stemmed. This was lying rubbish. Only the French were capable militarily of providing any effective opposition against the Communist drive, a fact that even Mr. Dulles, the American Secretary of State, has rather belatedly admitted. The long succession of Communist victories has only been made possible by treachery amongst the Western Powers. But Mr. Calwell now suggests that we make it possible for the traitors working against our sovereignty to continue their treachery.

The suggestion that Malaya should, like Korea, be made the plaything of the "United" Nations, should be strongly resisted by every patriotic Australian. The Korean War was a major victory for the Communists and it is futile to refuse to face this fact. It was not intended that a decisive military victory should be obtained, and the three major military leaders who controlled the "United" Nations forces in Korea have publicly testified that they were not allowed to win. General MacArthur was removed from his post because he insisted that there was "no substitute for victory" and that men should not be asked to die in a hopeless struggle.

General Van Fleet made it clear after he returned from Korea that it was influences within the "United" Nations, which prevented him on several occasions from defeating the Communists decisively. It is not really surprising that this treachery should take place, because the "United" Nations is a hotbed of Communist intrigue. All through the campaign in Korea the Russians were represented in the organisation, which was allegedly dealing with aggression they had promoted! Nothing could surely be more fantastic. And Mr. Calwell asks that we continue the treachery by asking the Russians and other Communist countries to help with the defence of Malaya!

There is grave concern in the U.S.A. that the "United" Nations Charter supersedes even the American Constitution. Only a few weeks ago the American Supreme Court was equally divided on "the issue of whether the United Nations Charter is binding as domestic law upon the States and all American citizens". In 1952 three Justices of the American Supreme Court ruled that although President Truman could not seize the American steel works under the American Constitution, it was possible for him to do so under the "United" Nations Charter. This Charter is due for revision next year and I sincerely hope that instead of following Mr. Calwell's suggestion of placing our future at the mercy of this Communist-dominated organisation, we take the opportunity of urging that Australia resign and thus give a lead to all other non-Communist countries to do likewise.

## Earp Thomas Municipal Composting in Sydney From A.N.Z. Bank, Quarterly Survey, 1954

A project, which employs bacterial inoculation in a modern plant to make fertiliser from garbage, is operated in the Sydney metropolitan area by the Canterbury municipality—one of the largest in New South Wales. This area has a population of 118,000 people, from which about 300 tons of garbage is collected weekly. The municipality faced the alternatives of tipping outside its area or incineration—both expensive. But a composting plant has now been erected at a cost of some £110,000, which will require a labour force of about ten to twelve. Costs will be offset by revenue from the sale of salvaged paper, rags, glass and metals, while the fertiliser is expected to realise some additional revenue, whereas disposal of refuse by controlled tipping used to cost about 15/- a ton.

In this scheme the garbage is tipped from the collecting trucks into a large concrete bin of 400 cubic yards capacity, over the floor of which move chains, which convey the material to an agitator. This breaks up wrapped parcels, etc., so that when the material is dropped on to a moving belt, fabrics may be hand-picked and paper is removed by exhaust fans to a paper-baling shed. A magnetic extractor removes all ferrous metals, while the non-ferrous metals, glass, rubber and other inorganic substances are picked off by hand.

A breaker next reduces such items as bread, fruit, etc., to a convenient size, before a spiral conveyor carries the refuse up to a second level of the plant. Here another conveyor belt enables the material to be fed into any one of six digesters. These digesters contain four levels, the compost spending a day at each level. As the charge is moved down each day, a fresh charge is placed in the top level. This is inoculated with bacteria, and during the four days in the digester decomposed into good compost.

The bacteria are cultivated continuously in kindling bins. From these a certain quantity of inoculated material is transferred to the digesters with each fresh charge. A thermometer in these kindling bins shows that the temperature reaches 130 deg. to 140 deg. F. in this material, and similar temperatures are reached in the digesters themselves. On leaving the digesters, the product is almost odourless. It is further dried, then milled to a grade required by distributors, who take delivery in bulk from a storage bin. The humus is used for market gardens, in growing fruit, flowers, lawns, etc., and is quite free from harmful components because any temperature in the drier, removing excess moisture, is sufficient to destroy any harmful bacteria. Difficulties will, no doubt, be encountered in extending this process in other areas, but one may expect that in a matter of such growing necessity, the technical problems will be overcome, as they are being overcome at Canterbury.

"New Times," January 14, 1955—Page 11.

# WHAT IS FREEDOM?

(Continued from page 3)

balloting by an ignorant, propagandised and irresponsible electorate is no basis on which to found responsible government and that in its place a system of responsible voting must be substituted.

(7) All property taxes, including estate duty, to be abolished; and any taxation that is necessary to be levied directly on income.

(8) All the professions and productive enterprises to be freed from Governmental control and interference.

Other measures are necessary, but the above will serve to indicate the direction in which we must go.

In our issue of October 9, we stated the Declaration which is enclosed with this issue in reverse in the form of eleven separate points: and we invited several thousand clergymen, if they disagreed with the Declaration, to put their signature against each point with which they disagreed. Not one of the clergy would deny the truth of even one of these points.

Point No. 10 of the Denial said:

A Christian is acting responsibly if he gives his electoral power to a politician, without binding him not to support any policy which destroys freedom to choose, other than specially provided for, e.g., Defence of the Realm.

Although none of the clergy would sign this point, several of them wrote to say that at the next election they would vote for what they considered the better of the parties, notwithstanding whether their policies produced evil results and foes of freedom.

Among these were some who expressed agreement with everything else in the Declaration except this question of exemplary action. In the words of one of them it constituted "the evasion of responsibility and the consent by agreement to the least desirable candidates in an election being given the mandate." These corres-

pondents have not taken account of the positive aspect of the exemplary action recommended. By giving support to "the lesser evil", but nevertheless evil policy, you are making yourself responsible for evil. By going to Hell at walking pace, instead of running, you are nonetheless going, and encouraging others, to go to Hell. It is the direction in which you are going which is all-important. By publicly withdrawing your support and at the same time giving an undertaking that you will return it when you have an absolute assurance that your support will only be used to form policy which goes in the right direction, you are not evading responsibility, but agreeing to be responsible only for a Christian policy. Thus do you provide sanctions for the politician who wants to rebel against evil policies? There is really much more significance to the action than that: To quote the Dean of St. Paul's: "It may be that the final testing question for the Church is this: Does it through its members so manifest Christ that the sign of Jona is there for all to see?" It is exemplary action in politics, which is required.

Point 3 said: It is not the responsibility of the Church to declare the truth in regard to policies, which affect the power of the individual to choose.

We have to ask, if the clergy are not prepared to make this denial, then what are they going to do about it?

### A.B.C. ON COMMUNISM .. !/- by E. W. Fawkes.

American publication, coached in simple terms, gives a good historical background to the Communist conspiracy.

# CODNER BROS.

## Building Contractors

Wheatsheaf Rd.  
GLENROY  
FX 9130

### COMPOST

#### For Garden Plot Or Thousand Acre Farm

By F. H. Billington, N.D.A., N.D.D.  
Here is the very book for the gardener who has had no previous introduction to the subject of compost making. It is a most comprehensive survey of the whole subject of organic farming and gardening. Price 9/1, post-free. Order from New Times Ltd. Box 1226L G.P.O. Melbourne

## SUBSCRIPTION FORM

To THE MANAGER,  
NEW TIMES LTD., Box 1226L,  
G.P.O., Melbourne

Please enrol me as a subscriber to "The New Times" from issue of.....

Quarter  
for Half-Year  
Year

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

Cheque

I enclose Money Order to the value of .....  
Postal Note

SUBSCRIPTION RATES are: —40/- Yearly; 20/- Half-Yearly; 10/- Quarterly,  
Post Free.

### USE ENWITE Specialities

TEXIT waterproofing compound.

SOLVIT paint remover. No difficult neutralization.

AQUALAC wood putty. For good class cabinet work.

BRYNAC. The enamel for resisting water, acids and alkalis.

FERROSOL Rust killing paint. In all colours.

RUSTEX. For removing rust from motor bodies and metal work.

THERMEX. Silver paint. Can be made red hot without discolouring or coming off.

Manufactured by:

## ENWITE PTY. LTD.

84-86 Cromwell Street,  
Collingwood, Vic.

PHONE: JA5967