

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O. Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

VOL. 24, No. 1

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY

JANUARY 17, 1958

EDITORIAL

The Superstitions Of "Modern Science"

"Modern science is fast becoming a cult. There is little that is Baconian about it, and few 'scientists' would not be shocked to read Bacon's own frank acknowledgment of their role (*Novum Organum*, Book 1. cxxii), 'my way of discovering sciences goes far to level men's wits, and leaves but little to individual excellence; because it performs everything by the surest rules and demonstrations . . .'. The 'Age of Science' is in large measure the Age of the ascendancy of such 'witless' agents, with whom it seems to be the objective of those who dangle the world's 'Ministries' of 'Education' like marionettes to populate the earth — a race of certified proletarians each able and willing to affect nothing of any consequence in life but his allotted task . . . which he understands only in the execution and not in the intention . . ."

—From *Elements of Social Credit*.

Immediately following the launching of two earth satellites by the Russians — assisted by German technicians and information supplied by Communist agents amongst scientists working on space projects in Western countries — there was a worldwide propaganda drive to try and persuade Western peoples that their only hope of survival was a more intensive worship of a new God: "Science". Nothing has demonstrated more clearly the nature of the fundamental disease destroying Western Civilization the growing insistence, that functionalism, instead of being a mere means to an end, is an end in itself. Numerous speakers at school speech nights late last year impressed upon their young listeners that a greater number of them should become scientists. There was little or no reference to the question of purpose, except in general references to "developing the country" and "maintaining the economy".

It is true that some of the advocates of more worship of "Science" claim that this is vitally necessary if the West is to "compete" with the Communists. But again there is no reference to the purpose of this "competition". In what way will the individual in any Western country benefit if a non-Communist country is the first to fire a rocket to the moon? It is only necessary to ponder on this and similar questions for a few moments to realise that the vast propaganda drive in favour of "Science" is designed to drive the individual into the complete ant-state where he would be completely dominated by functionalism. Materialism would be triumphant.

If the West continues to intensify the policies, which have already brought it to the brink of disaster, then

nothing can halt the progressive break up of Western Civilization. It is much more important that the causes of this break up should be dealt with than that the West should compete with the Communists in space projects. That this break up is far advanced is agreed by those who have given the matter any thought. There is disagreement only on the question of whether the break up can be halted or whether, as many of the historians claim, the collapse of Western Civilization is just as "inevitable" as the collapse of past civilizations. It is this issue which is a challenge to the Christian Church and to all those who accept the Christian viewpoint concerning the nature and destiny of man.

Other civilizations reached a comparatively high stage of material development, but they all eventually collapsed—primarily because of their failure to avoid policies, which centralised power. For the first time in man's long history, thanks to the genius of the late C. H. Douglas, it is possible to visualise policies, which if adopted, would avoid the "inevitable" collapse now threatening. These policies are rooted in reality and stem from the Christian conception of man not as raw material to be used by "modern science" in the pursuit of materialism, but as a person possessing both mind and spirit and capable of unlimited self-development if given the opportunity.

It is the responsibility of Social Crediters to lose no opportunity of pointing out that the superstitions of "Modern Science" are no substitute for a genuinely free society in which the individual is both independent and responsible. Only such a society can ultimately defeat the menace of Communism.

NEWS SECTION

Jewish Committee's Influence On American Supreme Court: Dr. John Slawson, Executive Vice-President of the American Jewish Committee, is quoted in the *American Jewish Yearbook, 1956*, as follows:

"Earlier, I said that the desegregation decision of the Supreme Court was one of the major events of the year affecting our work. This historic ruling is a significant high-water mark in America's battle against discrimination on racial, religious and ancestral grounds . . . a battle in which the American Jewish Committee has long been among those leading the assault.

"It was most fitting, therefore, that we were very closely involved with the Supreme Court decision. Not only were we active, along with other organizations, in the filing of an amicus brief, but also we contributed materially to the social theory upon which the desegregation decision was based. The ruling, you know, gave great weight to sociological and psychological factors. The Court took into account, along with purely legal considerations, the effect of segregation on personality, and concluded that separate facilities cannot be equal because of the psychological damage caused by segregation.

"Just a few days after the decision was announced, I received a communication from Melvin A. Glasser, the former Director of the Mid-Century White House Conference on Children and Youth. It was from this Conference that much of the social theory, which influenced the Court's decision emerged. The fact-finding studies from which this theory developed probably would not have been made were it not for the American Jewish Committee, and we were congratulated by Mr. Glasser on the important action that has stemmed from our activities. His concluding words have great meaning for us. He said, "It is not often that we can show a direct relationship between good research and desirable social action, but when we can it is certainly heartening."

It is also significant that the Communists have applauded the Supreme Court's attempt to force integration upon the American people.

Mr. Eugene Black Visits Egypt: In answer to a question concerning the visit of Mr. Eugene Black, president of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, asked in the Federal Parliament by Mr. Howson, Liberal M.P., on November 19, Mr. Casey replied:

I believe that Mr. Eugene Black was invited by the Government of Egypt to visit Cairo to discuss

with the Egyptian authorities the possibility of the International Bank acting in some way in connection with the, as yet, unresolved matter of compensation by Egypt as a result of the nationalization of the Suez Canal. I believe, also, that the visit was made at the instance of the Government of Egypt. I have no information concerning the result of Mr. Black's visit.

Perversion of Social Credit in New Zealand:

Not content with misinterpreting Social Credit as merely another party seeking to gain power, Mr. W. B. Owen and his colleagues in the New Zealand Social Credit Political League have also perverted Social Credit financial policy. During the recent elections in New Zealand they claimed "The Reserve Bank will be the sole issuing authority for new money . . . The trading banks will function as at present but will lend only from the existing money supply and will not be permitted to create new money".

The policy enunciated by Mr. Owen is the very opposite of Social Credit. It is a policy of monopoly. Douglas's views were crystallised in the following comment in *The Social Crediter* of December 31, 1949: "It has been proved to demonstration in the last few years that anything short of a comprehensive grasp of financial dynamics is far more dangerous than complete ignorance. Witness the deadly nonsense regarding the 'sole right of the State to issue Money'."

Social Credit financial proposals are designed to ensure that control of credit is decentralised amongst the individual members of society. The philosophy underlying these proposals is opposed to monopoly of any description, either private or State. If Mr. Owen and his supporters do not agree with either the philosophy or the financial proposals of Social Credit, they have no moral right to call themselves Social Crediters.

The Monopoly of Credit: "The Commonwealth Bank stands for monopoly, and the private banks are operating charters issued by the monopoly. It is a gigantic protective organisation. The more astute members of the monopoly no doubt believe that scarcity means high prices and high profits. So by keeping the supply of credit at a minimum, those who deal in bank credit can extract the maximum profit. That is why the private banks are so satisfied with the Coombs regime. They are all doing remarkably well. It is only the customers seeking to finance new business that continue to be squeezed, while in the case of older customers the heavy interest toll has been absorbed into the cost structure so the citizen pays in the long run."

—J. T. Lang, in *Century*, December 20.

THE NEW TIMES

A Note On President Sukarno: It is often claimed by people who should know better, that President Sukarno, of Indonesia, is a well-meaning idealist and not a Communist. The November issue of *New Times*, the official Communist magazine published in Moscow, contains a specially written article extolling the Bolshevik revolution of 1917 and identifying its precepts with Asian nationalism. The author is Dr. A. Sukarno, President of Indonesia.

Debt-Finance in the U.S.A.: The United States Census Bureau recently issued its first report on property valuations in the U.S.A. The Bureau set the figure at 280.4 billion dollars. This is only 6 billion dollars greater than the current national debt of the U.S.A., which is now 274.7 billion dollars. The total private debts of the American people together with the national debt reveal that the total indebtedness of the American people is far greater than the total current values of their properties.

The German "Miracle": When the 1939-45 war ended, Germany was virtually a wasteland. It looked as if Germany had "had it" for years, perhaps for generations. Now twelve years after the war the Phoenix has risen from the holocaust with the speed of a V-2. How has this miracle occurred? What forces have destroyed the victor and set the defeated on high?

The answer is simple. No miracle has in fact occurred.

The First World War was the watershed making the shift from the City of London, as the centre of international financial control, to Wall Street. Britain became a hopelessly crippled debtor nation and, subsequently, has been staggering along from one humiliating crisis to another. While Britain was suffering during the Hungry Thirties, International Finance was creating its Strong Man who was cast to bring a New Order to Europe, neutralise British influence and, if necessary, open Russia as a useful outlet for surplus production and an investment area.

But the Strong Man started playing the game his own way. He discovered that he could create his own credit. So Frankenstein turned on his own monster and blood, instead of dollars, became the most conspicuous feature in the European landscape. Britain took the brunt while Wall Street installed new batteries of cash registers. But in the end Germany was defeated and brought to a state of utter prostration.

THE NEW TIMES

In 1948 rehabilitation began. The currency was reformed and the dismantling programme (designed to make it impossible for Germany ever again to rise as a military power) was stopped.

Then things began to hum. As a result of the war and currency reform, Germany's internal debt was virtually wiped out. Industries started with a clean sheet, free from that crushing backlog of false bank debt contracted by British companies through generations and enormously inflated by the war.

To replace the buildings and plant destroyed by Allied bombing and land fighting, German industrialists were provided with dollar and sterling loans and with the latest and best equipment and technical assistance on a huge scale.

It would be stupid to deny the psychological factors involved. After defeat, starvation and degradation, the German people seized all advantages. They worked hard, sacrificed willingly, obeyed their masters with grateful alacrity, and displayed all the virtues of a desperate character given a second chance. But the principal factors in Western Germany's rebirth have been its relative *freedom from back debt and its unparalleled opportunities for industrial refitting.*

The re-creation of Germany by the Money Power is serving two purposes. First, Britain is being devitalised and forced to fight for economic survival with both hands tied behind its back. Secondly, the United States (alias the Money Power) has a powerful military-cum-financial headquarters in Europe.

Plans for the European Market - if we are mutton-headed enough to let them come to fruition—undoubtedly include a calculation that Germany (acting as the principal Wall Street satellite) will dominate the European scene.

— *The Sun* (England), November-December, 1957.

PAGE 3

Financial Robbery in the U.S.A.

It is pleasing to note that the influential *American Mercury*, under the courageous editor, Mr. Russell Maguire, is continuing to present the American people with some of the facts concerning the manipulation of the financial system by the international power groups. The following is a recent article by Mr. Maguire:

For 125 years—from 1789 to 1914—28 Presidents of the United States spent less than 23 billion dollars altogether.

In 1912, Bernard Baruch ushered Woodrow Wilson into the White House. William H. Taft, Wilson's predecessor, spent less than 3 billion dollars during his entire administration. Wilson, advised by Baruch, spent 47 billion dollars.

The citizens of these United States struggled to regain their sovereign authority during the administrations of Harding, Coolidge and Hoover. These three Presidents spent only a fraction of Woodrow Wilson's reckless, irresponsible, international spending.

President "Pearl Harbor" Roosevelt spent almost 400 billions of dollars. Harry Truman, the "Red Herring" pet of the International bankers, spent 191 billion dollars from 1946 to 1949. What "High Tax" Harry alone spent would have provided a \$5,000 house, free and clear of any mortgage, for you and 38,200,000 families in the U.S.

President Eisenhower promised to reduce spending. His West Point education was nullified by his "training" at Columbia University. As a result he currently prepared a budget of over 86 billions of dollars per year, the largest peacetime budget in the history of our country. The international bankers continue to "advise" him. Lenin predicted, "We will spend the United States into bankruptcy". Lenin's "plan" is being directed and followed.

How long will Americans play the role of "sucker" while their "invisible government" continues to tax their earnings—and to scatter what doesn't stick to their fingers to economic vultures throughout the world?

The annual interest alone on our national debt now equals seven and one half times the total debt before our Presidents had "international advisers". Unborn generations will stagger under our astronomical debts.

The master minds behind this diabolical plot create tax-exempt international organizations to carry out the "planned" conspiracy—the United Nations, the International Monetary Fund, the International Bank for Reconstruction, UNESCO, are just a few of them. The stooges for the International bankers in these organizations receive tax-exempt salaries. You, the hard working, tax-paying citizen, carry the burden of supporting them.

It is "planned" that Christian civilization will collapse under this programme. The big tax-exempt foundations are notorious and flagrant promoters of this campaign.

More than two thousand million (2 billion) American dollars have been given, directly and indirectly, to Israel. That amount could have paid for about 600,000 homes for our people costing \$3,500 apiece. The one billion dollars we gave to communist Tito could have paid for about 300,000 similar homes. Of course, these foolish gifts further increase your taxes and your debts.

Wishing will not alter this dishonest situation.
Wake up and do something!

Leading Banker On Communism: Mr. Marriner Eccles, prominent American banker and former chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, has provided further evidence that the international bankers are not fundamentally opposed to Communism. Mr. Eccles suggests that the Communists will change their attitude towards the non-Communist world if "leadership towards peace" comes from the U.S.A. Mr. Eccles calls for immediate recognition of Red China and American trade with the Communist world. No doubt Mr. Eccles and his fellow-bankers will be pleased to supply the necessary loans in order that the Communists may have no difficulties in purchasing Western production.

SUBSCRIPTION TO *THE NEW TIMES*

Yearly Rate: £2, post-free; 6 Months: £1, post-free.

Make all cheques, money orders or postal notes payable to New Times Ltd.

Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Office: 5th Floor. McEwan House, 343 Lt. Collins Street, Melbourne. Phone: MU 2834.

ARTICLE SECTION

THE UNITED STATES OF EUROPE AND THE WORLD PLOT

By MARY H. GRAY

As the Grand Plan for a United States of Europe nears fulfilment there is much activity of conferences in London, Paris, Rome and elsewhere and hurrying to and fro. There is also less humbug; the real objectives of the network of organisations that have sprung up since the war are no longer camouflaged. The cat had to come out of the bag sometime, but not before Britain, the main catch, was secured.

Great Britain, always elusive, is now all but committed to the European Free Trade Area by her Prime Minister and Foreign Secretary; once inside the gates of a "United Europe" there will be no returning.

The Free Trade Area is the lure; it is the honey on the flypaper from which we shall find it impossible to extricate ourselves. A nation can withdraw from an agreement: a treaty can be annulled: but once a people have discarded their nationhood and merged their identity in a cosmopolitan crowd, they are no longer a nation. And that is just what is planned for the British people.

Last September a booklet, *Designs for Europe* was issued by Political and Economic Planning (P.E.P.). It is the blueprint for an "Integrated Europe", all ready to put into effect when the various treaties are signed. This quasi-official body was conspicuous before the war for always knowing beforehand what was being planned for this country. It has its nose into everything and apparently gets funds without trouble.

Its astonishingly accurate outline of the Grand Design for Europe so far developed gives also a short history of the "European Idea" (towards integration) so all-knowing that one suspects P.E.P. to be, if not the originators at least the hatchery of the cunning plot to filch the independence of the sovereign nations.

Among organisations mentioned as constantly urging governments to take action to bring about

integration are M. Monnet's Action Committee for the United States of Europe, the European Movement (with its U.K. Council), the European Atlantic Group and our old antagonist, Federal Union.

The devastation and dislocation of the war, says P.E.P., created the need for co-operation between European and North American countries in the political, economic, military, social and cultural fields. (We recall how "America" co-operated for a start by cutting off Lend-Lease to Britain.)

There is now no secrecy about the aims of the multitudes of bodies created in Europe in the last twelve years; each and all of them are bound for the same goal though by different routes. P.E.P. makes no bones about it; in its own words, they are working for "European integration requiring a transfer of national sovereignty to a supra-national authority".

The first of this "bewildering array of institutions" was the Economic Commission for Europe, created in 1947. It was, as one might expect, the offspring of UNESCO; its purpose economic reconstruction in Europe, and it probably did do some work. But its emergence, followed by others, so soon after U.N.O. itself was established, suggests the underlying purpose of the United Nations, which is not to keep the peace of the world but to cover it with a web of organisations under its control, to promote internationalism and centralise power in the hands of a small junta.

What else is the meaning of the multiplicity of what an Australian M.P. once called "Alphabetical Monstrosities"? Some of them are direct offshoots of U.N.O.; others seem to be self-appointed—as the Council of Europe. Once established, they call upon the "free" nations for recognition and support. Later, they assume powers and functions that properly belong to the sovereign nations and constitute themselves advisers, directors - - even dictators - - of national policies. (Witness the impertinence of the Council of Europe in criticising British policy in Cyprus.)

The Organisation for European Economic Co-operation (O.E.E.C.) was formed in 1948, specifically at that time to distribute Marshall Aid through a joint European Recovery Programme. Owing to this, the U.S. and Canada became associate members and have remained so.

Western European Union, set up in 1948, grew from the Brussels Treaty Organisation. Its functions are wide and include military, but the latter have been taken over by N.A.T.O.

The Council of Europe, founded in 1949, requires an article to itself. The European Coal and Steel Community, designed to pool the coal and steel of France and Germany, was extended to include the other four countries that will, with the former, form the Common Market of the six. The E.C.S.C. is a "supra-national" organisation. (Supra-national, according to P.E.P., means not controlled by the member governments, but by an independent body to which member states cede certain of their sovereign powers, including the making of decisions.)

The Common Market of the six—France, West Germany, Italy, Holland, Belgium and Luxembourg, of which M. Spaak is the moving spirit, is that Customs Union which Britain, because of her Commonwealth and colonial ties, could not join: for while the six enrich themselves by selling to each other without tariffs, they exclude outsiders by imposing tariffs on outside goods.

Someone invented the Free Trade Area as the next best thing for Britain, whereby she could participate in the "advantages" of the Common Market while retaining freedom of trade with the Commonwealth and control of agricultural products. This is not yet conceded, as some of the other countries strongly object. Either Britain is all-in or all-out, they say, and all-in means that she will no longer be mistress in her own house.

The Common Market is but one aspect of the overall design for the United States of Europe, and those who are working for integration, says P.E.P., "are prepared to lose their separate national identities, to give up independent national policies and become 'Europeans'." Are Britons willing thus to commit national suicide?

The foregoing institutions, each with its own staff, Council and Assembly, have various ramifications. Some overlap, which provides an excuse for rationalisation, to combine them as far as possible with joint secretariats. Hence Mr. Selwyn Lloyd's "Grand Design" to create a single European Assembly, consisting of five commissions to deal with political,

economic, social and cultural, legal and administrative, and defence affairs. One Secretariat to serve all and—believe it or not—membership to be open to any country!

Mr. Ormsby Gore of the Foreign Office emphasised at Strasbourg that European Unity must be part of the wider Atlantic Community; so, if we go on like this, a United Europe will soon be worldwide!

But of course that *is* the idea. The integration of Europe—with goodness knows who else—is the first stage in the greater plan for a World Government. Centralise in small groups; then in larger groups; then join the groups together and you have One World! At the pace things are moving the plot is nearing its consummation, and our leaders, whether they know it or not, are going to deliver us right into the Enemy's hands.

One small but vital matter I have omitted to mention. (It is also tactfully omitted by P.E.P.) "There must", said M. Gaillard, when Finance Minister of France, "in the Common Market scheme be a unity of monetary policies. Otherwise the tariff reductions will be of little value." At that rate, once involved in a United Europe, what hope of monetary reform or any other reform in this country? We should have to ask permission of the ruling clique, and as International Finance would still rule the roost - - well!

The North Atlantic Treaty Organisation was formed in April 1949, as a Western political and military defensive alliance. Its membership included Canada and the United States as well as the European States. The supreme body is the Council which meets at international level two or three times a year, but it also has permanent representatives, assisted by national delegations.

The Chairman and Secretary-General have wide powers; may initiate policy and is responsible for carrying out the Council's policy. He also directs the Secretariat in Paris, about 600 strong. At present he is M. Spaak of Belgium, a man whose past conduct is not above reproach.

It may be recalled how, when the last war was ended, the Belgian King wished to return to his throne. The Belgian Parliament and a special plebiscite of the people declared overwhelmingly in favour of his return, but M. Spaak thought otherwise. He threatened the country with revolution if the King returned, so, rather than cause trouble to his people, the King abdicated.

This, then, is the man in command of N.A.T.O. and the motive power in the implementation of the

"United States of Europe". What can we expect from one who betrays his own people? It is a sign of the warped values of our time that Paul Henri Spaak was last June awarded the Charlemagne prize by the town of Aachen in West Germany—for his services to "European Unity"!

On that occasion he stated, "their aim should be to create an integrated Europe in the heart of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation"!

There is the declaration of "Common Purpose"; (we took for granted that every treaty had a common purpose); there is the "concept of Inter-dependence" and the "pooling of ideas"—generalisations as fluffy as a cirrus cloud unless brought down to earth.

About Inter-dependence the Editor of the *Glasgow Herald* enlightens us: "To be a genuinely constructive element in Western policy it must entail actual sacrifices of independence or sovereignty, both foreign and domestic".

M. Spaak obliges by explaining the pooling business: "We must pool our resources to achieve higher standards of living and increased military effectiveness."

"All NATO countries." asserts M. Spaak, "must also have a world policy to avoid such crises as occurred over Suez last year." He of course, drags in the Sputnicks to reinforce his argument. (Query: Was the advent of the Sputnicks neatly timed to happen before the big NATO Conference?)

But M. Spaak reached the top note of his address with this: — "Once we establish inter-dependence between all the partners of the Atlantic Alliance, there will be no problems, scientific, military, economic or political that we cannot solve." My, My! Let's have inter-dependence straight away!

Nevertheless, behind all this high-flown talk there is something quite sinister. Mr. Eisenhower is reported to have a *new policy* for NATO. It appears to be an inter-continental defence system, with exchange of troops between Europe and America. The U.S. will station intermediate-range ballistic missiles with a range of 1,500 miles in NATO countries, with the probability that some missiles with nuclear warheads will be given to those allies.

Mr. Dulles "wants to give them a sense of participation in their use" - but the allies would not decide when they were to be used. That momentous decision rests solely with the United States, who wishes to retain a veto over the use of nuclear weapons, says the "New York Herald Tribune".

THE NEW TIMES

Before Britain is pushed into the Free Trade Area there is likely to be a tussle. Chief stumbling blocks to her entry are the Government's "firm policy" on agriculture, horticulture and Imperial Preference. But the Prime Minister and his henchmen have shown such agility in leaping hurdles when the whip is cracked that I am doubtful whether they will hold out against Spaak and his coordinators.

The two main sections of opinion — the manufacturers and exporters on the one hand and "Labour" on the other, are not opposed on the issue. They are all for it, except a minority of the former — those likely to suffer - - and they are strongly opposed. The industrialists (some at least) see opportunities galore for expanding trade; "Labour" sees the glorious promise of "full employment"; neither sees the trap for the bait.

Yet most are agreed that Imperial Preference must be retained. We shall see. Britain's relations with the Commonwealth are not discussed in the P.E.P. pamphlet. Canada is spoken of, rather as paired with the U.S.A.; Australia and New Zealand are not mentioned. Probably P.E.P. has cast them in the role of satellites of a Greater America!

I mentioned Federal Union earlier as calling for European Integration. For the benefit of the uninformed, Federal Union is an ideological disease that came out in spots about 20 years ago simultaneously in various parts of the world. Its earnest desire is the subordination of the nations to a higher Authority and the surrender of their right to self-government in everything that matters.

It is, in fact, working for World Government, and in that aim it has the backing of 115 members of the House of Commons and a fair following of other soggy-brained sentimentalists who do not see that their demand is treasonable (perhaps some of them do). The United Nations Association has the same objective.

I have wandered somewhat from P.E.P., but in truth these movements and P.E.P. have a common origin. They are the fruits of Fabian Socialism, which in turn is linked with Marxism and internationalism.

P.E.P. claims to be an independent research body, *financed from private resources*. Founded in 1931, it is recognised as a *charitable trust* (!) for educational purposes, therefore PAYS NO TAXES and as a "scientific society" PAYS NO RATES!

The triumphal progress of the nation-destroyers bears out the boast of Dr. Arnold Toynbee (who

recently completed his much-vaunted World History) when, as Secretary of the Royal Institute of International Affairs at Copenhagen in 1931, proclaimed that "We" are working feverishly "but with all our might" to wrest their sovereignty from the nations.

There are two questions to which it is imperative we find the answers ere we are lost forever as a nation. (1) Who precisely are Arnold Toynbee's "We"? (2) What sort of paralysis has gripped our political

leaders — of whatever party — that their patriotism is of so poor a quality? Are they under some dire compulsion to barter our priceless heritage of tradition, culture and independence? And for what? A trade deal! Are they in a hypnotic trance, under some evil spell that constrains them?

There *is* an answer, and those who know it must work "with all their might" to spread the knowledge, for it reveals a cunningly laid plot.

ORDER FORM

New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O. Melbourne. Vic.

Send me..... copies of THE UNITED STATES OF EUROPE AND THE WORLD PLOT

Additional copies of this article: Single copy 9d.

.25 copies 12/-;	100 copies £2:
500 copies £8;	1000 copies £15

SUBSCRIPTION TO *THE NEW TIMES*

Yearly Rate: £2, post-free. Six months: £1, post-free.

The New Times is published fortnightly and provides an independent news service on national and international affairs.

It supports all policies rooted in the Christian philosophy of individual freedom and individual responsibility.

Every second issue it publishes a 4-page article. Order from New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., and Melbourne.

Printed by W. & J. Barr, 105-7 Brunswick Street, Fitzroy, N.6, for New Times Limited, McEwan House, Melbourne, on whose authority these articles **appear**.