

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 25, No. 4

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY

27th February 1959

EDITORIAL

DR. SUBANDRIO'S MISSION AND DOLLAR AGGRESSION

The daily press and the utterances of politicians have so successfully obscured the reality of international politics that the majority of Australians would reject as nonsense any suggestion that Dr. Subandrio's visit to Australia and New Zealand was related in any way to policy making in Washington, Wall Street and Moscow. However unpleasant Australians may find the fact, Dr. Subandrio and his colleagues have the backing of the dollar diplomats, as was made clear with the announcement of another large dollar loan to the Sukarno Government just prior to Dr. Subandrio's departure for Australia.

Dr. Subandrio's mission to Australia is part of the campaign to condition Australians to accept what has already been decided concerning the future of New Guinea and the whole of South-East Asia. However much he may deny it, Mr. Casey is not unaware of the fact that the policy makers in the U.S.A. are not prepared to back a strong Australian stand on New Guinea. It was the alliance between the dollar imperialists and the Communists, which ousted the Dutch from the chain of islands now termed Indonesia. The same alliance is operating today, working towards achieving Lenin's famous purpose of destroying the Western European powers by depriving them of control of their colonies. The alliance also furthers its common objectives by these colonies called "democracies" and then provided with votes in the United Nations in order that that institution may represent "world opinion."

The reality of the situation is of course, that the United Nations no more represents "world opinion" than does Dr. Subandrio represent the peoples who live in the various parts of Indonesia.

Dr. Subandrio may speak for the group headed by the pro-Communist Dr. Sukarno, but it is certain that he does not represent those in armed rebellion against Sukarno's Government. There is much talk at present about greater Australian-Indonesian "understanding." We would agree that there is urgent need for Australians to have a much greater understanding of the truth about Indonesia. They will not be helped by the utterances of Mr. Casey, who spoke in the Federal Parliament on February 18 about seeking "friendship with a democratic and truly independent Indonesia." There is no genuine democracy in Indonesia; in fact President Sukarno has openly

said that he does not think a "Western-type democracy" suitable for Indonesia.

In speaking about Indonesia as he has, Mr. Casey fosters the myth that Indonesia is a genuine national entity populated by a homogeneous people. The truth is the very opposite. There are vast differences in race, cultures, and languages, with many of the groups rejecting completely the central Government in Jakarta, which only exercises effective control over a very small area. Little or nothing has been heard in Australia of the brutal suppression of those groups who are prepared only to accept an Indonesian Federation with local control for the different groups. When these and many other facts about Indonesia are considered, the demand by the Djakarta Government for West New Guinea appears so fantastic that it is difficult to escape the conclusion that the Sukarno Government is pioneering, perhaps unconsciously, a drive which has as its ultimate objective the wresting of the whole of New Guinea from its present owners. The recent demand by United Nations investigators that the Australians set a specific target for the granting of self-government to the natives of New Guinea is obviously related to the general strategy of eliminating all European influences in this area.

The recent dollar loan to the Djakarta Government and the supply of a quantity of arms suitable for "security" purposes, means that the controllers of dollar policy are now openly backing Sukarno and are assisting him to crush all opposition, thus ensuring that control of one of the world's richest areas is effectively centralised.

(Continued on page 4)

NEWS SECTION

The "Probe" Of The "Soviet Mind": By the time these notes are in print, Mr. Harold Macmillan, British Prime Minister, will be engaged in a most remarkable experience. We are told in all seriousness that he is going to "probe" the "Soviet mind." When we read this we recalled a very penetrating observation made last year by the American Bar Association, which said that anyone who wanted to understand the real nature of Communism would learn more by a fifteen day study of the authoritative works of Communism in any public library than by a fifteen day conducted tour of some Communist country.

Mr. Khrushchev and his associates have left no doubt about their strict adherence to the doctrine of Marxism-Leninism. That doctrine states that the conquest of the whole world by Communism is inevitable. The authoritative works on Communism reveal how this is to be accomplished. Mr. Khrushchev put the matter frankly when he said, "We will bury you." All the policies of the Communist leaders are designed to hurry up the burial arrangements. There is therefore no need for Mr. Macmillan or any other Western politician to visit the Communists to "probe" their minds. Why, then, has Mr. Macmillan made his trip? Our view is that the "mind probing" is a cover for other purposes. One thing is certain: The question of trade between the Communist and non-Communist world will be discussed. And this leads to the possibility of loans for Soviet Russia, a subject which is very dear to the hearts of those international financial groups whose representatives are as much at home in the Communist world as they are in the "capitalist" world.

Mr. Mikoyan's Hosts In The U.S.A.: Mr. Mervin K. Hart's *Economic News Letter* often provides information concerning the American scene which is not generally known. Mr. Hart writes, of course, with a much deeper background of knowledge of the forces allied to Communism than most commentators. Mr. Hart supplies the following comment on the fact that the Communist leader, Mr. Mikoyan was not officially invited to America:

"And yet he was, of course, invited. Invited by the same sort of people, skulking within or behind the Government, that prompted the recognition of Soviet Russia in 1933; sent them \$11 billions of lend-lease after 1941 pulled back our troops 100 miles or so in 1945 so that the Soviets could take Berlin and enslave Eastern Europe; betrayed Chiang Kai-shek and set up Red China in the late forties; got us into the

Korean war and then made us lose it; betrayed all kinds of vital American secrets to Soviet agents. He was invited, all right . . .

"A group of leading bankers in New York entertained Mikoyan at a luncheon. When a bank stockholder later protested (as many of them did) he was told that a certain banker who was active in getting up the luncheon had 'for some time been prominently connected with the United States International Programme of Educational, Cultural, and Business Exchange,' and he had been officially requested to assist in getting up this affair. In Detroit the same forces were at work. A prominent industrialist was asked to take a leading part in entertaining Mikoyan there. In explaining afterwards why he had done it, he said that the visit to the United States and to Detroit was with the entire approval of President Eisenhower, and he added that the visit was in close relation to complex international problems with which our Government and we as citizens are deeply concerned."

It is a reasonable assumption that amongst these "complex international problems" is the one of how to grant the Communists the dollar credits they are seeking.

Hire Purchase In The United Kingdom: The following comment on hire purchase in the United Kingdom appears in the January issue of *Housewives Today*.

Since we wrote about hire purchase in October—we hope our readers remember—the Government with the help of the Banks has announced their intention of providing large amounts of credit for would-be owners to take over and modernise good old houses, which would then be comfortable homes. House ownership is a splendid arrangement; but many people are already committed to buying cars, furniture, and much else on hire purchase. Surely one conclusion alone can be drawn?

There are more goods for sale than the public has money to buy. There is a chronic shortage of purchasing power. If this were not true there would be no justification whatever for the action of the banks in giving consumers credit. Now this is not simply a post-war development, but has been true for many years, and explains exactly why there was so terrible a ruinous slump in the 1930's.

Both Conservative and Labour know perfectly well that another slump of that kind would ruin the country, to say nothing of the Party under whose responsibility it occurred. It would, of course, be the first step to Communist revolution.

In reverse, the first step away from a Communist revolution is to finance consumers, the fathers, the housewives, the children, so that the goods can be bought for cash, instead of piling up in the shops and causing the manufacturers to go bankrupt.

There is never a shortage of goods except during disastrous wars, when factories turned to war production, and the goods are shot at the enemy.

Only at such times can it possibly be maintained that inflation—we prefer the term rising prices—is due to shortage of goods. Present-day high prices are most certainly not due to shortages.

Falling prices would make it easier for people to pay cash down. This is the next task of the Government.

It is wrong for the public to be in debt to the banks or to the Government, except for very short periods, and for sums, which they know they can easily repay.

Growing Unrest In Japan: The Melbourne *Age's* correspondent in Tokyo reports that growing internal unrest in Japan is directly related to the acute problem of finding overseas markets for Japanese exports. Growing industrialisation in Southeast Asian countries has helped deprive Japan of her traditional markets. Communist China and India between them have also forced their way into these markets, the result being that the Japanese are attempting to compete in the American and other Western markets. But, as the *Age* correspondent points out: "as soon as Japanese manufacturers find some line they can successfully export to Western nations, they usually run up against strong opposition from domestic producers."

Time has now turned a complete circle and Japan is back where she was in the thirties, seeking overseas markets which are difficult to obtain because all Western countries are increasingly attempting to increase their exports while strictly internal limiting imports. Trade war stems from unrealistic economic and financial policies. The Communists are openly boasting

that they can turn the growing trade conflict between the non-Communist world to their own advantage. Even with a realistic internal economic policy, the Japanese must import certain raw materials. But they can only obtain those materials if they can export to obtain the necessary credits to purchase them. If Western nations will not modify their financial policies in order that necessary trade can take place with Japan, then Japan's internal problems can lead to another major nation passing into the Communist orbit.

Zionist Penetration Of The Middle East: A Cairo report states that the Arab leaders are making a concerted appeal to Moscow and Washington against the growing "tide of Jewish emigration from Eastern Europe to Israel." The very fact that the Arabs are appealing to both Moscow and Washington is confirmation of the close relationship between International Zionism and International Communism. Just as International Zionism and International Communism collaborated in the creation of Israel, now they are collaborating in rapidly expanding the population of Israel. The decision by the Communist leaders to allow—or encourage—large numbers of Jews to leave Eastern Europe is being used by the Zionists everywhere to urge Jewish communities in the Western world to supply increased finance to finance the Jewish exodus from Eastern Europe and to settle more migrants in Israel.

It is certain that amongst the Jews from Communist countries there will be many dedicated Communist agents eager upon arrival in Israel to help increase the already considerable Communist influence in the Zionist State. It is also certain that a rapid expansion of the Israeli population must intensify the demand for further Israeli expansion at the expense of the Arabs, who are becoming increasingly worried about the situation.

Another explosive situation is clearly being developed in the Middle East, the real objective still being to deprive the West of access to oil and to create a revolutionary situation. There are some indications that Nasser is at last beginning to realise that he has been merely the pawn in the sphere of international politics, where at times International Communism and International Zionism openly collaborate to further a common aim. But it is now too late for him to do other than he is told. Western policy makers still have a chance to avert complete disaster.

NEWS SECTION

Dr. Billy Graham's Mission: Regular readers of this journal will appreciate the fact that we have a special interest in the approach of the famous visiting American evangelist, Dr. Billy Graham, to the relationship of Christianity to the problems of the world. Dr. Graham has uttered some hopeful words, such as those in which he pointed out that the West requires a spiritual base for a successful struggle against Communism. But these words leave untouched the fundamental issue of incarnation. The word cannot be made flesh unless appropriate policies are implemented which make Christian values a reality. Dr. Graham leaves this matter untouched. It is true that Dr. Graham urges the individual to follow the Ten Commandments more closely. But on the subject of stealing he does not get beyond telling the worker to make sure that he does a fair day's work for his wages and that the employer does not dodge any of his income tax. A study of Dr. Graham's statements over the years reveals no mention of Caesar's robbery of the individual's credit and the warping of his personality by economic and financial policies rooted in a philosophy of materialism. In fact it would appear that Dr. Graham is selective in his examples of violations of the Ten Commandments, such as stealing, because he is on record as supporting the creation of the Zionist State of Israel, one of the most immoral and brutal acts of the post war years.

We also note his remarkable statement that "The more money a person has the more boredom a square inch there is. Money does not bring happiness and peace to the soul." No mention is made of the fact that the struggle to obtain sufficient money for the necessities of life forces the majority of people to submit to soul-destroying activities which prevent them from really spiritualising their lives through self-development. The anti-Christian adulation of money is deliberately fostered to prevent the individual from obtaining genuine freedom and independence.

If Christianity is to become a decisive force in the world again, as it was during the centuries when Western Civilization was being created, churchmen have got to relate their words to realities and to insist that the traditional Christian values are incarnated into the whole social structure. "Bringing people to Christ" are meaningless and blasphemous words unless those "brought to Christ" consciously endeavour to serve in all spheres of life the cause for which Christ said He came: that of Truth. Does Dr.

Graham think that Western political, economic, and financial systems reflect Truth? If our American visitor could deal with this question realistically he would be helping to make Christianity a real force in this country.

Dr. SUBANDRIO'S MISSION

(Continued from page 1)

Further dollar loans for development can then be safely poured in.

All this will be justified, of course, on the grounds that it is part of the necessary action to defeat Communism. Nothing has demonstrated the pathetic mental plight of the majority of Western peoples more than their ready acceptance of words, which so far from conveying a conception of reality, actually obscure it. What are we to make of Dr. Subandrio attempting to persuade Australians that they should support the demand for Dutch New Guinea on the basis that this will help Dr. Subandrio and his associates prevent Communism from engulfing Indonesia? This is surely deliberate double-speak. It is only a short time ago that President Sukarno, much to the delight of Moscow, said that Western capitalism was doomed and the victory of Socialism inevitable. Only recently the Chinese Nationalist Government complained of the anti-Chinese drive being conducted in Indonesia by Dr. Subandrio's Government. The Chinese in Indonesia are strongly anti-Communist. And surely Dr. Subandrio has not forgotten the recent visit of that much-publicised "National" Communist, Tito, who is also recipient of large supplies of dollars from the same groups supplying them to the Government in Djakarta. We are aware, of course, that Tito, like Dr. Subandrio, justifies his policies by claiming that he is resisting Moscow. But this is also part of the worldwide campaign of deception behind which the controllers of international finance move steadily forward towards their ultimate object of complete world domination.

Dr. Subandrio and his associates have nothing to teach Australians about how to survive as a genuinely free and independent people, proud of their British heritage and determined to defend it. And Australian foreign policy should consistently encourage the Dutch in West New Guinea and the Portuguese in Timor to resist all attempts to deprive them of their possessions in order that they may be taken over, not by the native peoples, but by the dollar aggressors.

ARTICLE SECTION.

"MENTAL HEALTH" AND THE BATTLE FOR THE MIND

The following article from "Economic Council Letter," U.S.A., sheds some urgently required light on the widely publicised "Mental Health" programmes of recent years:

In the ideological war being waged for the minds of free men, a technique for compelling conformity in an individual's political beliefs, social attitudes and personal tastes has been worked out by the social scientists and "human engineers" and is already in operation. It masquerades under the misnomer "Mental Health"—not "Mental Illness" which is a more demonstrable term. A significant number of its proponents have extreme radical records (records of subversive affiliations as defined in government reports), or have taken the Fifth Amendment rather than discuss their Communist Party membership.

This Marxist apparatus is now entrenched in every State of the Union with separate units in many countries and even municipalities (some 400 separate entities at this writing). It is backed by powerful influences in the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare and the latter's National Institute of Mental Health at Bethesda, Md. It is supplemented by an impressive array of national and international anthropologists, psychologists, psychiatrists and social planners in general, co-operating with a worldwide organization within which other dedicated Marxists are at work.

As with so many groups which have lent themselves in the past to propaganda activities of doubtful value or even of detriment to U.S. citizens, the mental health movement has drawn in dozens of big names in the advertising and business fields. By using such names, the mental health groups proceed to run State and national campaigns for financial support from the average unsuspecting contributor. With this money the mental health elite then proceed to press for the State and Federal legislation necessary for the accomplishment of their goals.

What indeed is the definition of "mental health"? Edith M. Stern, writing in *Mental Illness — A Guide for the Family* makes this admission: **"The legal definition of 'insanity' does not accord with modern medical conceptions of mental illness ... Scientifically there is no such thing as absolute mental health there are degrees of physical health and physical illness."**

Or as Henry A. Bowman says in *The Nature of Mental Health*: **"Mental Health is also a**

matter of degree. There is no hard and fast line between health and illness."

In other words, mental health is a moving target. As used today by professional propagandists, the definition is loosely designed so that they may extend their field to limitless operations. In fact one large, expensive brochure on *The Meaning of Mental Health* actually lists the aims of the organization, which publishes it as: "To improve mental health of the people including treatment, rehabilitation, prevention and education."

In establishing the norms for "mental health," what kind of persons are in key positions? The names appearing on letterheads and publications of some of the leading mental health organizations provide a clue. They range from persons involved in Communist Party activities to leaders in the United World Federalists and Americans for Democratic Action. For example, the letterhead of the National Mental Health Committee, 1129 Vermont Ave., N.W., Washington 5, D.C., carries a paragraph:

"Through a broad educational campaign, to create understanding and support of the 10-point Bill of Rights for the mentally ill adopted by the 1954 National Governors' Conference on Mental Health. To convince the American people to actively support federal, state and local preventive mental health programs emphasizing research, training and expanded clinic and community services designed to treat and cure mental illness in its early stages."

Obviously the intent is not to prevent mental health but to prevent mental illness. But behind the confusion in terms it may well be suspected is a powerful transmission belt by which dedicated Marxists are already feeding their propaganda to the Governors of all our States. As to Marxist influences at work, the letterhead lists the name of Dr. Julius Schreiber. Dr. Schreiber was questioned before the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee in 1954 during its investigation into Subversive Influences in Government to explain his role as Chief of the Army TI and E program (Troop Information and Education) during WW II. He refused to state whether he was a Communist and took the Fifth Amendment more than 10 times. However, he admitted he was born

in Gordok, Russia, and stated under oath that he had set up the Institute for Social Relations which was underwritten by the American Jewish Committee. An Examination of the material recommended in *Talk It Over*, published by his National Institute for Social Relations, revealed numerous Communists as the authors of this material.

Dr. Schreiber also said he was then head of the Washington Mental Health Association and hoped to affiliate with the National Association for Mental Health. He admitted he had given a talk on mental health and social environment at the Scientific and Cultural Conference for World Peace at the Waldorf-Astoria. This meeting was the subject of a detailed study by the House Committee on Un-American Activities, dated April 19, 1949.

Associated with Dr. Schreiber's Institute of Social Relations, according to the Washington *Sunday Star*, August 31, 1947, were Dr. George S. Stevenson, consultant to the National Association for Mental Health and the following who have one to twenty-eight citations in reports of the House Committee on Un-American Activities: Manchester Boddy, Evans F. Carlson, Dr. Everett R. Clinchy of the National Conference of Christians and Jews; Dr. Donald DuShane, Dr. Frank P. Graham, Dr. Modcai W. Johnson, Miss Katherine Lenroot, Philip Murray, Bishop G. Bromley Oxnam, Channing H. Tobias and Walter Wanger. Then there are Mrs. Max Ascoli, whose husband publishes the radical *Reporter* magazine Barry Bingham and John Gunther, of Americans for Democratic Action and Albert Deutsch, another sponsor of the Cultural Conference at the Waldorf.

To show how the web is interwoven among the various mental health groups, Dr. George S. Stevenson (on the letterhead of the National Mental Health Committee and on Schreiber's Institute of Social Relations) was treasurer of the World Federation for Mental Health and is consultant to the National Association for Mental Health, which includes on its 1957 revised reading list *Durham Decision—A Beacon in the Dark* by Dr. Schreiber.

The National Association for Mental Health also includes in its reading list such authors as Dr. Roma Gans, Ashley Montagu, Justine Wise Polier, Franz Alexander and Sidonie M. Gruenberg. Mrs.

Gruenberg was a founder and for 30 years head of the Child Study Association of America. She also helped found the Congress of American Women, which is on the Attorney General's list of subversive organizations and which is described as the leading Communist women's front in this country. In addition Mrs. Gruenberg was a sponsor of the Cultural Conference at the Waldorf described in the House study as a "super mobilization of the inveterate wheel horses and supporters of the Communist Party and its auxiliary organizations."

Then there is another publication of the National Association for Mental Health, *Mental Health and the World Today*, by the late Dr. Thomas A. C. Rennie, who came to this country at the age of seven from Scotland and seemed not to value our form of government too highly. He says in this pamphlet that "if our free enterprise system is to function adequately," we must have guaranteed employment. And he chides nations as being motivated by considerations of their sovereignty. He refers frequently and deferentially to Dr. Julius Schreiber and the latter's articles on the "interdependence of democracy and mental health." Specifically he quotes from Schreiber's "interesting series of pamphlets," the same *Talk It Over* articles which were the subject of the Senate investigation. Dr. Rennie also recommends Dr. Goodwin Watson, one of the three men dropped from government service by a special Act of Congress, which stopped the appropriations for their salaries.

The National Association for Mental Health recommends *The Mentally Ill* in introduction to the second edition by Dr. Robert H. Felix of the National Institute of Mental Health at Bethesda.

In the 1957 film list published by the National Association for Mental Health. *A Child Went Forth* is described as a poetic expression of a child's day. The information is not given that the musical score to this film was written by Hanns Eisler (brother of Gerhardt Eisler of Communist fame, who is now Minister of Information in the Communist government of East Germany) and that the film was produced by Brandon Films, which used to advertise in *The Daily Worker*. Another film recommended is *The High Wall*, produced by the Anti-Defamation League and narrated by Irving Pichel, who has eight citations in the 1948 Report of the California Legislature on subversive activities.

Plays on mental health themes are recommended by the National Association for Mental

Health in arrangement with the American Theatre Wing in which such prominent World Federalists as Oscar Hammerstein II and Russell Grouse are active.

If further proof of leftist orientation is necessary, there are the numerous pamphlets of the Public Affairs Committee, which are recommended by the National Association for Mental Health. Maxwell S. Stewart, editor of these pamphlets, has one of the longest records of subversive activities in government annals, in addition to his record revealed in the investigation of the Institute of Pacific Relations by the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee. This insensitivity to radical influence in mental health circles may be accounted for by the viewpoint expressed, for instance, by Dr. George S. Stevenson in his little booklet, *Education for Mental Health*. There he describes a person as mentally ill who is "suspicious of others and sees an enemy, perhaps a Communist, lurking behind every acquaintance, etc."

The most important publication in which the concealed purpose of the mental health movement is subtly outlined is *Mental Health and World Citizenship*, distributed by the National Association for Mental Health. This document says: "Principles of mental health cannot be successfully furthered in any society unless there is progressive acceptance of the concept of world citizenship." *The Saturday Evening Post* in an editorial dated January 11 1958 caught the implications of this statement. Under such an assumption, the *Post* said, skepticism on foreign aid, for example, is supposed by the sociological fringe on the psychiatric sector to suggest "basic insecurity." And the *Post* continued that until the One-Worlders take over, we might as well stay crazy.

What the *Post* failed to detect and what makes the affair less humorous is the fact that the statement was not made by a sociological fringe but was the considered opinion of the world's leading exponents of mental health, drafted for the international conference on mental health held in London in 1948. If accepted, this "norm for mental health" could establish international socialism.

The statement that mental health is based upon an acceptance of world citizenship was drafted by Dr. George S. Stevenson, Dr. Otto Klineberg, Dr. Margaret Mead and Lawrence K. Frank, among others. Additional confirmation of the orientation

of the mental health movement is found in the association of Dr. Mead and Lawrence K. Frank with Mark Zborowski whom Dr. Mead in fact placed in the Department of Anthropology at Columbia University. Dr. Zborowski's activities made headlines when Mrs. David Dallin testified about him before the Senate Internal Security Sub-committee during its probe of the Scope of Soviet Activity in the U.S. Mrs. Dallin identified Zborowski as an NKVD agent involved in the kidnapping of Trotsky's son. She mentioned seeing him at parties with Dr. Mead. In the spring of 1958, Zborowski was picked up by the FBI as a member of the Soviet espionage system in this country!

Zborowski himself testified that he was born in Russia; had worked at a veteran's hospital in this country on a "project on pain" under a grant by the U.S. Public Health Administration; and had previously worked for the American Jewish Committee and Yivo Scientific Institute. As late as July 1955, *Commentary*, a monthly magazine underwritten by the American Jewish Committee, ran an announcement of a symposium on Majority-Minority Interaction in America, which included an article by Zborowski.

At the top of the mental health pyramid in this country is the National Institute of Mental Health at Bethesda, Md. Its film list includes *Passion for Life*, distributed by Brandon Films, which has been widely recommended by the A.J.C. Its Reference Guide 6, revised as late as March, 1958, recommends *Mental Hygiene in Review* by Albert Deutsch; Reference Guide 2 recommends a Public Affairs pamphlet by Justine Wise Polier; and material of the NY State Department of Mental Hygiene whose deputy commissioner, Hyman Forstener was named in sworn testimony as a communist by Dr. Bella Dodd on December 31, 1956.

There are some weird practitioners of mental health, as items in the metropolitan press reveal: **The 33-year-old assistant to Dr. Rennie at Cornell-Medical Centre who robbed a summer home of antiques with which to furnish his apartment for his impending second marriage (and to whose defense Dr. Rennie came); the Vienna-born psychologist, 31 years of age, who was jailed for molesting young girls in Flushing, Queens; the psychiatrist in New Jersey whose wife claims he sat on her chest and then would run to barricade himself in his "shock" room; the head of the psychology department at Roosevelt University in Chicago who hanged himself; the N.Y. psychia-**

trist who left a million dollars to the woman with whom he lived and who was not his wife; and the more recent story of the doctor who went under two names because he had been confined in a mental institution himself and who was tripped up by a traffic violation. Surely such obviously unbalanced persons should not have a hand in determining the definition of "**mental health.**" And it is anyone's guess how many psychiatrists have managed to conceal their own symptoms.

Successful as the psychiatric elite have been in securing the passage of enabling legislation that has brought their goals almost within reach, yet a growing awareness and resistance on the part of the public may block the full accomplishment of the mental health movement. A proposed Act, which established the direction of the mental health movement, has been widely publicized. It was revised in September 1952, with introductory remarks by Dr. George S. Stevenson. The Act provided for quicker commitment procedures upon the application of a friend, relative, spouse, guardian, public welfare officer or a health officer—thus "avoiding undesirable publicity." The patient would not have to appear in court; no jury would be necessary and the court would not be bound by the rules of evidence. These provisions have aroused some intelligent opposition and criticism.

Subsequently the Alaska Mental Health Act has been enacted into law, providing that persons can be transported out of their home states and put in insane asylums in remote areas of the U.S. Only 35 members were present in the House when this bill was passed by voice vote; only 15 members of the Senate when again it passed by voice vote, June 7, 1956. It is now Public Law 830, 84th Congress, 2nd Session. Numerous states were then maneuvered into passing laws to "modernize" their mental health procedures. But the patriotic societies began to analyze the full meaning of this legislation and to urge caution all along the line. It now remains to be seen if the mental health movement can be checked, challenged and corrected.

Opposition to the widening power of the mental health elite is based on several factors. The definition of mental health is unsatisfactory so long as it has political implications. The techniques for handling mental cases are becoming brutal (shock treatment was introduced into the U.S. by Dr. Joseph Wortis, a pupil of Sigmund Freud's, and a documented Communist according

to *Counterattack*, April 8, 1955). Drug therapy is compulsive and an invasion of the person. Psychosurgery, such as lobotomies, can create permanent docility. Drug therapy and shock treatment have been used on political prisoners of Communist regimes in Europe and in Asia where they are already an accepted technique to be used on persons of "unreconstructed" attitudes. Charges of "mental instability" are increasingly being made in cases where the victim disagrees with a policy in which he becomes involved.

Thus Fletcher Bartholomew, an American citizen, was put under observation in a psychiatric ward in Germany after having revealed shocking conditions in Radio Free Europe, and was sent, practically as a prisoner, to the U.S. Not too long ago Povl Bang-Jensen, who refused to turn over to the UN confidential information given him by some Hungarian refugees in strict confidence, was accused of "psychotic conduct" by a UN investigating committee, and was dismissed.

"Mental Health" is an inaccurate label for what is really a weapon being skillfully used by Communist propagandists to bring about conformity to the Marxist ideology. The aim is unmistakable: non-conformists will be in actual peril of being adjudged insane!

Fantastic? Yes. But if the American people value their freedom of thought and expression, they will do well to regard as suspect every legislative proposal bearing the "M E N T A L HEALTH" label.

To resist this enveloping "mental health" threat is vital to American liberty. To delay invites disaster.

SUBSCRIPTION TO *THE NEW TIMES*

Yearly Rate: £2, post-free; 6 Months: £1, post-free.

Make all cheques, money orders or postal notes payable to New Times Ltd.

Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Office: 5th Floor, McEwan House, 343 Lt. Collins Street, Melbourne. Phone: MU 2834.