

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 25, No. 16

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY

14th August 1959

EDITORIAL

A NEW DEAL BETWEEN MOSCOW AND WALL STREET

The timing of the invitation to Khrushchev to visit the U.S.A., an invitation extended by the very President who had previously said that he would not consider meeting the Communist leader while the Communists maintained their threatening attitude concerning the Berlin question, leaves no doubt that the world is on the verge of a major deal between Moscow and Wall Street. And that deal will, like all previous such deals, be at the expense of what remains of the British Empire and of Western Christendom.

If it is in fact true that Mr. Macmillan's mission to Moscow did pave the way for the "miracle" the brain-washed peoples of the world are urged to applaud with thankfulness, this is merely further confirmation of the true role of the present British Prime Minister as a lackey of the Dollar Diplomats. So far from the financial groups of New York being opposed to Macmillan's visit to Moscow, or to one of the real objectives for this visit, the extension of British trade with the Communists, the financial policy-makers in the U.S.A. are furthering the idea that American businessmen should not allow the British to steal a march on them with exports to Soviet Russia - - and to Communist China — and that they should follow the British lead.

Ever since they brought Communism to power in Russia in 1917, the German-Jewish financial groups of New York have shown time and time again that they do, not fear Communism; they have successfully exploited it to drive the whole world along the road to complete tyranny. If they can overcome the resistance of a considerable section of American public opinion, there is no doubt that they will extend to Khrushchev and his fellow gangsters large dollar credits with which they can obtain American equipment and production. The question of dollar credits will be the major one discussed when Khrushchev visits the U.S.A., just as it was the real issue when Mikoyan, Khrushchev's Deputy, visited the U.S.A. and was wined and dined by Wall Street. There is no evidence whatever that the visit of Mikoyan removed any "misconceptions" he may have had about the U.S.A., and Mr. Nixon's story that the American President should invite Khrushchev in order to help remove his "misconceptions" reveals that either the American Vice-President is a very naive man or that he was playing his part to further an arrangement decided long before he left the U.S.A.

As one American correspondent who travelled to Russia with Mr. Nixon has subsequently revealed that Mr. Nixon does not in fact think that Mr. Khrushchev's "deeply ingrained" belief in the supremacy of Communism can be weakened, it is certain that the real motive for the American invitation to the Communist leader is not to try and convert him to accept the principles of free enterprise and private ownership.

It is not necessary to have any deep understanding of international affairs to see that the Berlin issue was deliberately used to intensify international tension in order that the "miracle" now presented would be accepted with a general sigh of relief, Khrushchev and those backing him—it would be interesting at this time to hear something about top Soviet bankers like Mr. Ashberg—have used the Berlin issue, as they have used so many other issues, merely as levers to further their real objectives. It must be admitted, of course, that there is not much need for real pressure to be applied to Western politicians who are prepared to spend weeks in futile debate while the real policy-makers of this world agree to grant the Communists one of their major objectives, a visit to America by their political leader. Having achieved its purpose, the Berlin Conference was concluded with as little fuss as possible in order that the next stage of the world drama could be presented.

The present situation reminds us of that critical period in 1918 when President Wilson of the United States issued his famous fourteen points for a peace settlement in Europe. These points were those of the New York financial groups which had brought Wilson to power, and which advanced the fourteen points as a step towards the creation of World Government. When these fourteen points were distributed in leaflet form

(Continued on page 3.)

NEWS SECTION

Soviet Official's Significant Observation: One of the most revealing points made by Sir Marcus Oliphant upon his recent arrival back from Soviet Russia was that a senior Russian official had told him that there was now very little difference in the economic and political structure of the West and Russia, except in the control of countries from the top (vide *The Sun*, Melbourne, of July 30).

Those not confused by the double-speak of our times will agree that the Russian official is correct. The basic feature of the Soviet system is the centralisation of power into a few hands. Anyone who cannot see that power is also being increasingly centralised in non-Communist countries has nothing of value to contribute to an understanding of the real problem confronting the peoples of the world: how to decentralise power back into the hands of individuals.

Anyone who believes that voting in the non-Communist world gives the individual any more control over his own affairs than does the voting system in Russia give the Russians any control over Mr. Khrushchev, might stop and ask himself just when his vote has reversed the policy of centralising power.

Dismembering The British Empire: C. H. Douglas once observed that if the British Empire was destroyed, it would be the first time in history that a great Empire passively acquiesced in its own destruction, destruction not imposed by force of arms, but by "dark forces." Recent developments in Singapore draw attention once again to "the general pattern of the dismembering of the British Empire. Although there was all the usual claptrap about "independence" during the preparations for the British retreat from an island which British skill and resources transformed from a swamp into a flourishing commercial city, every realist knew that the end result would be another victory for the "dark forces" driving the world towards tyranny. The heads have been counted and the new Prime Minister, Mr. Lee Kuan Yew has made it clear how the colonial "exploiters" are to be treated. The son of parents who become wealthy because of the British achievement in Singapore, Lee Kuan Yew was educated at Cambridge and, like the great majority of Asians educated at Western Universities, is a Socialist. He is also anti-European, as witnessed by his recent remark, "This is a straight fight between Us and the Whiteman.

Immediately following his electoral victory, Lee Kuan Yew, made it clear that as soon as possible the British will also be deprived of control of their defence base in Singapore. The Communists have applauded Lee Kuan Yew's victory, which they have every reason to believe must lead direct to another Communist tyranny, this one much closer to Australian shores. And yet there are some Australians still parroting double-talk about "independence" for Singapore being a further sign of the British Empire "growing up." Will we never learn that the British Empire, with all its faults, was one of the great barriers to world tyranny, and that the "dark forces" destroying it include both International Communism and International Finance?

FLUORIDATION REJECTED IN MELBOURNE

The campaign to fluoridate Melbourne's water supply received what could be a deathblow at last week's Quarterly Conference of the Metropolitan Section of the Victorian Municipal Association, when a motion urging fluoridation was overwhelmingly defeated. Cr. Eric Butler, Eltham Shire President, who has campaigned against fluoridation for several months in municipal circles, led the opposition at the Conference, stressing that Councillors had neither the authority nor the competency to advocate mass medication.

Cr. Butler's opposition has also been responsible for the newly formed Water Trust at Warandyte, of which he is a member, declining to go ahead with fluoridation.

It is probable that the advocates of fluoridation in Victoria will now concentrate on country centres and attempt to persuade the State Government to pass legislation to enable local Water Trusts to implement fluoridation schemes. We understand the Victorian Liberal Party is to re-discuss fluoridation shortly.

SUBSCRIPTION TO *THE NEW TIMES*

Yearly Rate: £2, post-free; 6 Months: £1, post-free.

Make all cheques, money orders or postal notes payable to New Times Ltd.

Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Office: 5th Floor, McEwan House, 343 Lt. Collins Street, Melbourne.
Phone: MU 2834.

Readers' Co-operation Urgently Required

Plans for the re-organisation of activities associated with this journal have been thrown into the melting pot by the news that we must obtain new premises after the end of September. Although there are many disadvantages in leaving premises occupied for the past 22 years, we believe that the situation can ultimately be used to better equip ourselves for the wider and more intense activities being planned. But the immediate co-operation of all supporters is required in order that we may re-establish ourselves with a minimum of trouble and expense.

We direct the close attention of readers to the book list enclosed with this issue. While not a complete list of the books being held—a complete list is being prepared—it contains those, which, for two major reasons, we would like to see in as many hands as possible. One of the most disturbing features of our declining civilisation has been the manner in which invaluable books have been allowed to go out of print. There is evidence that any copies available are bought for the purpose of deliberate destruction. Some have even been removed from public libraries. Some of the books we list contain factual information that should be in the hands of people who can use it when necessary. We would therefore like to see this type of book as widely distributed amongst supporters as possible.

The second major reason why we desire to remove immediately from our present premises as many books as possible is the desire to reduce as far as possible our problems of shifting our premises late in September. We also stress the point that if we can liquidate a considerable portion of our existing book stocks, we will have urgently required capital to help finance our plans for expansion.

Earnest consideration has been given to the fact that there are supporters who while keenly desirous of helping by obtaining certain books immediately, are not able to finance completely the desired purchases. We are therefore prepared to offer credit arrangements to bona fide supporters, but would stress that this credit cannot be long-term. However, we feel confident that by close co-operation we can use the present situation to the general advantage of the cause we are serving.

BOOK FOR "NEW TIMES" DINNER NOW

Bookings for the Annual Dinner, to be held on Friday September 18 may now be made. Supporters intending to be present are requested to co-operate by booking as early as possible, thus making organisational arrangements easier. It would also be appreciated if we knew how many are intending to attend the Social Credit Seminar on Saturday afternoon, September 19.

THE NEW TIMES

A NEW DEAL BETWEEN MOSCOW & WALL ST.

(Continued from page 1)

throughout Europe there was, in the words of one observer, "a shiver of joy," even in the trenches where the soldiers were fighting. ". . . The words so dictated fell upon Europe like manna from Heaven," wrote this observer. But, as he also commented, "Nobody, or few of us, knew that this manna was poisoned." And so it is today. The soothing words about "peace" and "peaceful co-existence" direct attention away from the ruthless pursuit for world power by those financial groups, which have used their political dupes time and time again to make a deal with Communism.

While the perils of the developing situation cannot be denied, every move by the world plotters towards their ultimate objective makes it clearer to an increasing number of people that there is conscious design behind the drive for world power. That is why, as C. H. Douglas pointed out, a small body of men who know what to do and how to do it can be decisive when a crisis develops to a certain point. The attempt to arrange another major deal between the partners of the Moscow-Wall Street alliance provides an opportunity to convince responsible people of the true nature of the conspiracy against Christendom.

NEW SERIES OF MELBOURNE HOUSE MEETINGS

The first series of Melbourne house meetings conducted by the Social Credit Action Group was so successful that a second series has been arranged. All Melbourne and metropolitan supporters are requested to note the following dates and places of meeting: —

September 1: Mr. Ron Dyason, Sylvester Ave., East Malvern. Phone UL 6898.

September 8: Mr. Leo Brown, 45 Springfield Rd., Box Hill. Phone WX8413.

September 15: Mr. L. Wilson, 12 Bolden St., Heidelberg. Phone 45-5941.

September 22: Mr. K. McLennan, Beale's Lane, Greensborough.

September 29: Mr. F. O. Tamas, 206 Auburn Rd., Hawthorn.

October 6: Mr. J. R. Johnstone, 45 Orchard Cres., North Mont Albert. Phone WX 9879.

Further meetings will be announced as they are arranged. All those who can attend are requested to use these informal gatherings to introduce selected people to Social Credit activities.

PAGE 3

The New "Scientists"

One of the most terrifying features of our "Brave New World" is the manner in which so-called scientists are prepared to use blatantly false propaganda to assist Governmental bodies to extend their powers over the individual. A classic case has been brought to our attention in which a prominent Australian advocate of fluoridation, Professor Noel Martin of the Sydney University, answers questions for a West Australian paper.

The *Weekend Mail*, a Perth newspaper, of June 6, 1959, introduces Professor Martin "as a recognised authority on the control of dental caries by means of fluoridation of water" and invites him to answer a number of questions. In answer to the question, "Will all people on the system get the same dose strength?" Professor Martin said: "It has been conclusively established by the New York School of Engineering that, despite claims to the contrary, there is practically no variation in the distribution of fluoride in water supplies. This is a favourable argument among the 'antis' but it has no basis in fact."

The truth is that the New York School of Engineering has never published any data whatever supporting Professor Martin's claims. Professor Martin obviously is merely parroting a news release by Dr. Hilleboe, the New York State Health Officer, an active advocate of fluoridation who has been thwarted by the opposition of the water engineers of New York City, who have published data showing that it is impossible to overcome variations in the amount of fluorine in the water at each individual tap. Some of the strongest opposition to fluoridation has come from water engineers. Examination of the files of the *Journal of the American Water Works Association* reveals the basis of the opposition. In the August 1953, issue of the *Journal* a census revealed the mounting evidence of the corrosive damage to water equipment. And there were many complaints that "the chemical flow is too free to permit accurate control."

Investigations in a number of American centres, some of them large, some of them small, have proved beyond all argument that there are tremendous variations in the dosage people would be obtaining from different taps. Graphs compiled by independent investigators have confirmed the water engineers' views. Sampling tests in Hastings, New Zealand, and in Yass, N.S.W., have also demonstrated considerable variability.

In answer to a question concerning the famous American Medical-Dental Ad Hoc Committee opposing fluoridation, Professor Martin made the remarkable reply that "When these claims were being investigated by the World Health Organisation, only two men appeared for these 1500 eminent people—and both were well-known cranks. There is just no documented proof of their claims of toxic elements in one part per million of fluoridated water, or of their other claims. I notice that they use as references for their claims many of the world's foremost scientists who are urging fluoridation."

Professor Martin's reply is "remarkable" because the claims of the Ad Hoc Committee have never even been investigated by the World Health Organisation, still less refuted. No representatives of the Ad Hoc Committee have ever appeared before the World Health Organisation. In fact, the eighteen members of the World Health Organisation Executive Board conducted no hearings at all on fluoridation, but a committee of six declared supporters of fluoridation presented a report, which was then authorised for publication.

Commenting on Professor Martin's complaint that opponents of fluoridation have used as references the work of known supporters of fluoridation, Dr. F. B. Exner, co-author with Dr. G. L. Waldbott of the book *The American Fluoridation Experiment*, writes in a letter: "As for using the writings of proponents in support of my claims, I do so consistently and deliberately. If I quote Dr. Clive McKay against Dean, the hearer can still choose which 'authority' to believe. But when Dean (a fluoridationist) contradicts himself, or misquotes his own data, or publishes data which condemns fluoridation, the proponents cannot very well challenge the source of my material."

Professor Martin's claim that, although there are nearly two thousand known places in the U.S.A. where water is naturally fluoridated, and that "some have 12 or 13 parts per million—with no adverse effects and with a markedly high standard of dental health," is simply propaganda without any scientific proof. Out of the total number of 1903 centres listed by the American Public Health Service as having natural fluoride in the water, only 282 of these, with a total population of 808,760, have fluoride within the range advocated by the fluoridators as the ideal for preventing tooth decay. *There are only four communities listed with more than 8 pmm. of fluoride, with a total population of less than 1500.*

These places all have badly fluorised teeth and data concerning teeth has been published for only one centre, Bruneau. And this was the result of an investigation in 1929. *There has been no investigation at any time of the general health of the people in these communities.* There has been only one study of general health in a high-fluoride centre that of Bartlett as compared with Cameron, a low-fluoride centre. This study showed that the death rate in Bartlett was, if the people over 65 in both centres were ignored, six times as high as the corresponding rate in Cameron. Opponents of fluoridation like Dr. Exner do not claim that the difference in death rate was due to fluoride, but to say, "that a study in which a six to one difference is casually dismissed as 'not significant' with no investigation or reason, is not a scientific study at all, but merely window-dressing for a propaganda campaign."

Professor Martin, so far from speaking as a scientist, emerges as a propagandist prepared to distort or ignore truth in order to advance a totalitarian policy.