

THE NEW TIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 26, No. 3

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY

12th February 1960

EDITORIAL

THE EDEN MEMOIRS AND DOLLAR DIPLOMACY

The major point to emerge from the Eden Memoirs is that which we have stressed on numerous occasions: British Governments have become increasingly subservient to Washington and New York. Sir Anthony Eden reveals himself as a Prime Minister forever concerned about the policy makers in America, and not strong enough to insist upon upholding genuine British interests and British sovereignty. Even when he did what we feel was the right thing concerning Nasser and the Suez crisis, he quickly reversed himself when the dollar diplomats made it clear to him that no British Government must dare to take independent action to protect its vital interests.

Although Sir Anthony Eden frankly reveals the decisive role of the American Administration in humbling the British Government on the Suez issue, referring to both the financial pressure and the threat of no oil from the Western Hemisphere, he writes like a naive schoolboy when he records how he was surprised by the contradiction between the private words of both Eisenhower and Dulles and the actions of their Administration. He reports Dulles as saying privately that he hoped the British action would bring Nasser down, but observes that American policy "had exactly the opposite effect." This was obviously another example of that famous instruction by the internationalist Arnold Toynbee concerning the "denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands."

It was Stalin who said that in the field of diplomacy words should not necessarily bear any relationship to reality. The real policy makers in the U.S.A. have applied this conception of diplomacy as successfully as Stalin did. While the U.S.A. is depicted as the great bulwark against Communism, the truth is that American policies have been primarily responsible for the expansion of Communism. We are convinced that the Eden Government was correct in its assessment of the situation in the Middle East at the time, an assessment shared by Arab leaders friendly to the West. Communist penetration was proceeding rapidly, taking advantage of the widespread Arab fear of Israel. But all this had no influence on the American Administration, which eventually forced the British and French to retreat and soon placed Nasser in the position where he could speak as a victor and not as a defeated man. The revolution in Iraq in 1958 and the increased Communist penetration of the Arab world stems directly from the policy of retreat forced upon the British Government. Just before he was murdered, the pro-

Western Arab leader in Iraq, Nuri es-Said, expressed his view of the American policy makers in very blunt language.

There is one other aspect of the policies of the American Administration at the time of the Suez crisis, which the Eden Memoirs show to be completely contrary to the claim concerning the anti-Communism of the American policy makers. The Hungarian revolution provided the Western Powers with their greatest opportunity of throwing the Communists completely on the defensive. In fact there is no doubt that a strong stand would have altered the course of history. But instead of seizing this opportunity, the United States representative in the United Nations was reluctant to agree to the British demand for a meeting of the Security Council on Hungary, claiming that this was an attempt by the British to divert attention from Suez. American policy was primarily concerned with defeating the British, not the Communists, who used the situation to bring fresh Russian troops into Hungary and regain control.

Sir Anthony Eden claims that the Communist threats at the time of Suez had no influence on his Government's actions, and that the Communists delayed their attempts to seize the leadership of the opposition to the British action until they were certain the violent American denunciation and opposition was genuine. "A more formidable threat than Marshall Bulganin's confronted us," writes the former British Prime Minister. That was the threat of American financial sanctions. It was dollar diplomacy, which drove the British from Suez, as it has driven them from so many other parts of the world. Sir Anthony Eden would have redeemed his long career of disastrous mistakes if he had decided at the time of Suez to make a firm stand in defence of British sovereignty. He would

(Continued on page 4)

THE REAL PURPOSE OF THE "ANTI-SEMITIC" CAMPAIGN

One of the most significant aspects of the worldwide "Anti-Semitic" campaign is the fact that not one of the "master minds" behind the "international conspiracy" has yet been discovered. It is true that the local Communists have made some more allegations against Mr. Eric Butler, but these are so absurd that they are not even worth recording.

A Mr. Stephen Dattner, described as a former denazification officer of British Army Intelligence, was one of the speakers at the Melbourne Town Hall meeting organised by the Communist-front organisation, the Jewish Council Against Fascism and Anti-Semitism and also made some fantastic allegations about Mr. Butler's "pornographic" writings. Mr. Dattner's open association with a Communist-front organisation was interesting, because this was the same man who attended the Eltham Council meeting in 1958 when an attempt was made to prevent the election of Mr. Butler as Shire President. It is to be hoped that Mr. Dattner's work as a British Intelligence Officer was based upon a stricter adherence to facts than were his remarks concerning Mr. Butler at the Melbourne Town Hall.

We learn from South Africa that the Chief Rabbi's rather hysterical remarks concerning "master minds" and "international conspiracies" looked rather foolish when a youth publicly apologised for having painted a swastika sign on a synagogue. He subsequently met the Rabbi and apologised in person. So much for the "master minds."

Then there was the press report concerning the "secret Hitler Youth" organisation with a membership of some 50,000. Although it is drilling for the day when it can exterminate the 30,000 Jews still remaining in Germany, and, according to the press, has a secret supply of arms in a small German village, apparently the West German security police are so hopeless that they cannot do anything about these "secret" activities. Such is the fantastic rubbish fed out by the world's daily press. But before passing on to a brief consideration of one of the real purposes of the current anti-"Anti-Semitic" campaign, we must record what surely must be regarded as the most ridiculous press report on the subject yet to appear in this country.

The Albury (N.S.W.) *Border Morning Mail* in its issue of January 21 solemnly informs its readers that a Jewish migrant was talking in German to another migrant in an Albury cafe when they noticed an Australian listening intently. Outside the cafe the Australian approached the migrants, said that he was interested to hear them speaking German and assumed that they would therefore be "Jew-haters". He then offered the Jew a job painting swastikas on buildings at night—£50 for

a fortnight!

It is not surprising that the Communist *Guardian* of February 4 featured the Albury report, which, according to the Communists, proves "the existence of an underground fascist organisation directing the anti-Semitic outrages in this State." The fact that the Melbourne dailies "killed" the Albury story is, according to *The Guardian*, further proof "that the fascist upsurge is being deliberately underplayed so as not to embarrass the Menzies Government by a public demand for stern action." The obvious truth is, of course, that even the daily press considered it just a little too far-fetched that an Australian who would easily be recognised in a centre the size of Albury, should suddenly hear two migrants he had never seen in his life before speaking in German — how fortunate he knew they were speaking German! —and immediately offer to pay £50 to have swastikas painted, around Albury. This story is a deliberate "plant" and is related to the campaign to try and drive a wedge between the West German Government and other Western Governments, and to have Western Governments take the "stern action" recommended by *The Guardian*, against all those who can be labelled "anti-Semitic" or "fascist" in outlook.

As we observed in our last issue, while Zionist leaders are dissociating themselves from the obvious pro-Communist activities of the Jewish Council against Fascism and Anti-Semitism, they are at the same time attacking the same people as the Communists and are asking basically for the same restrictive Government legislation. The Melbourne *Jewish News* of January 22 reports that

MAKE A NOTE OF BARBECUE DATE

Melbourne and near-Melbourne *New Times* supporters are requested to note the date of the first social event for 1960, a barbecue at the home of Mr. and Mrs. Norman White, "Allwoodley", Garden Road, Donvale, on Saturday, 13th February. Garden Road is off Springvale Road and there will be a sign at the corner. There will be a small charge only for entrance and those attending may arrive from 6 p.m. onwards.

a special legal committee will, after studying overseas legislation, "draft amendment to Victorian law". Judge Radke has expressed his willingness to be available for consultation and Mr. Baron Snider, M.L.A., "with whom close contact was maintained throughout, will co-operate with arrangements for submission to the Government of the Board's proposals." The significance of this is clear enough. As there is no evidence whatever that there is any danger of a revival of Nazism in Germany, which only came to power with the backing of International Finance, and no "international Nazi conspiracy", the current propaganda campaign is obviously an attempt to create a smokescreen behind which a very real conspiracy is being advanced: a conspiracy designed to try and make illegal any critical comment upon either Jewish philosophy or Jewish policies, and of course, to silence all those individuals who have consistently exposed the real powers behind international affairs.

Already there are heartening signs in many quarters that any attempt to impose restrictive legislation along the lines suggested will be strongly opposed by those who believe in upholding the traditional British conception of law and order. Those sections of the Jewish community who appreciate the protection the Jewish peoples have been given in British countries would be well advised to advise their fellows against pressing for the type of legislation suggested. Even if such legislation were introduced in the face of widespread opposition, which there surely will be, it would solve nothing as far as the personal relations with other people are concerned. People do not start loving one another because legislation states that, for example, no club or any other organisation shall practise a policy of discrimination against certain people.

This journal is not, of course, primarily concerned with the question of whether Jews are excluded from some clubs, or what their personal relations are with their fellow citizens. But we have no intention of submitting to the proposition that we have no moral or other right to discuss the philosophy of Judaism and its bearing upon world history, or to criticise the policies of a foreign country, Israel, merely because a number of people living in Australia attempt to whitewash these policies. Carried to its logical conclusion, this proposition would mean that criticism of Communist philosophy and Communist policies could be interpreted to mean that this was causing "hatred" of local Communists.

There is little doubt in our minds that the re-

strictive legislation now being proposed by both Communists and Zionists, is designed to try and prevent effective opposition to policies which will become clearer in the near future and which will have a decisive bearing upon world history. We therefore appeal to all supporters to realise the gravity of the position facing us in 1960, and to make every effort to ensure that we are not found wanting. The small, but well-equipped group "who know what to do and how to do it", can still turn threatened disaster into glorious victory.

NEWS SECTION

Production Bonuses Instead Of Wage Increases: The total Australian wage bill has been increased by over £ 120 million as the result of the last basic wage rise and the margins granted for skill. This increased wage bill must be financed primarily out of an expansion of credit. But as both private and public industry must attempt to recover the increased bill through higher prices, the increased wages merely provide the basis for still more wage increases in the future. The vicious circle could easily be broken by a national policy of financing Court-awarded instructions for increased purchasing power in the form of Production Bonuses, which would not increase wage costs, and as scheme of scientific price subsidies applied at the retail counter. This would provide a genuine and permanent increase in purchasing power, benefiting all sections of the community.

Australia's Financial Dictator: Dr. H. C. Coombs, Governor of the Reserve Bank, feels that the growing threat of inflation should be met by curbing the rate of new financial credit expansion. Consequently he has frozen more trading bank funds with the reserve bank, this time £17½ million. This action reduces the "liquidity" of the trading banks, thus compelling them to reduce the rate of credit expansion. We have yet to see any rational explanation of any principles, which govern the arbitrary actions of Dr. Coombs. It is astonishing that businessmen are prepared to accept without examination a financial dictatorship which governs every aspect of the economy. A start could be made towards introducing some sound principles into national finance by the annual publication of a genuine National Balance Sheet, showing total assets and total liabilities. We would then know something about true national profit and from there could proceed to consider the distribution of this profit on an equitable basis, credit facilities being automatically made available for this purpose.

BIG 1960 PROGRAMME NOW UNDER WAY

That dedicated and dynamic campaigner, Mr. Ron Dyason has, with the aid of his colleagues, already got under way a programme for 1960 which will meet the challenges of what promises to be a year of destiny. Details will be progressively announced as the campaign unfolds, but a broad outline of what is planned will be of interest to all supporters.

SOCIAL ACTIVITIES

Much greater attention will be given this year to social activities designed to bring supporters together more often, and to provide a greater amount of financial revenue. Mr. Kevin Hangar will be devoting much of his attention to planning social activities, the first of which will be the barbecue at Mr. Norman White's property in Donvale on Saturday (February 13) evening. All those prepared to assist in any way with social activities, or have any suggestions, should contact Mr. Hangar.

HOUSE MEETINGS

A great amount of valuable experience was gained through last year's series of house meetings and in our next issue we will be giving details of the first of the series for this year. Many new and valuable supporters were brought into the ranks through last year's house meetings. A competent team of speakers is available to deal with a wide range of subjects. We are sure that there must be more supporters in the Melbourne and metropolitan area who could make their homes available for small meetings. There is no need to fear small attendances, because the best results are obtained when numbers are not too large.

CHURCH GROUPS

Although the work done with Church groups last year produced encouraging results, it is felt certain that there is scope for much expansion in this field. Already requests for speakers are starting to come in. All groups will be circularised again and we do appeal to all Church members to support us fully in making contact with Church organisations. Some special personal contact work is also planned for this year, consolidating what was pioneered last year. The concentrated smear campaign launched against Mr. Eric Butler at the time of the Melbourne Anglican Synod last year, and continued since, has had the opposite effect desired by its promoters: While this campaign has, in the words of one prominent lay member of the Church of England, made "Eric Butler the most discussed man in the Church today", the result has been a growing desire to know what Mr. Butler is really attempting to do, and what he stands for. Support is gradually growing for the conception of Church and politics, which this journal has consistently outlined.

BIGGER PREMISES PLANNED

We had hoped to be able in this issue to report on the subject of new premises in Melbourne, but negotiations are still proceeding. However, it can be said that it is planned to have bigger premises, which will enable several ideas for expanding activities to be put into practice. A library and reading room for members is one idea being planned. In the meantime we are operating from offices loaned by a supporter. All communications should be addressed to

Box 1226L. while Mr. Butler may be contacted of an evening at Panton Hill 335.

LECTURES BY REV. NORMAN HILL

Supporters will be interested to know that the Rev. Norman Hill has been travelling abroad in recent months and has already provided us with some most penetrating comments on what he has observed. He is taking films and we are looking forward to the arranging of a series of film and lecture evenings when he returns to Australia towards the middle of the year.

GREATER FINANCIAL SUPPORT REQUIRED

In order to meet the challenges now looming, it is urgently necessary that a greater flow of financial support be provided. The self-assessment scheme has been the backbone of our financial support in recent years. Those who have participated in this scheme have made a magnificent contribution, enabling work of permanent value to be done. Mr. Dyason would like to hear immediately from all those contributing to the self-assessment scheme, and who have not yet renewed their pledges. Others who have not yet participated in this scheme, and who would like to do so, should write to Mr. Dyason immediately. Copies of the special Annual Report issued at the end of last year to all supporters of the self-assessment scheme, are available for any who may require them.

THE EDEN MEMOIRS AND DIPLOMACY

(Continued from page 1)

have rallied the British world everywhere; he would have had substantial support from a large section of the American people. But he lacked the courage to withstand the storm, gave way to an even greater disaster, Macmillan, and now presents his Memoirs, a pitiful record, which should make every Britisher worthy of the name determined to fight to restore British sovereignty against the joint campaign of the dollar diplomats and the Communists.

A. K. CHESTERTON TO VISIT AUSTRALIA

Mr. A. K. Chesterton, Editor of "Candour", the British Views-Letter, and founder of the League of Empire Loyalists, will be spending several weeks in Australia next month, when he returns from New Zealand. Mr. Chesterton recently spent two days in Melbourne on his way through to New Zealand from South Africa. The Victorian League of Rights is planning a Melbourne meeting for Mr. Chesterton, and possibly a country trip.