

# THE NEW TIMES

*"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"*

Vol. 26, No. 6

25th March 1960

## EDITORIAL

### LOOKING AHEAD TO THE SIXTIES

**We are indebted to "The Social Crediter" for the following clear outline of the basic features of the crisis confronting civilisation, as presented in an unpublished letter to the "Glasgow Herald" by H.M.M., one of the soundest of the pioneer writers on Social Credit:**

Sir,

In the Hogmanay issue of *The Glasgow Herald* your science correspondent forecasts some of the things he thinks science may have in store for us in the 'sixties, but however well-founded and desirable they may be, it doesn't follow that we shall ever see them. Why? Because, as things are, it is quite as probable that the 'sixties will see the end of the human race. No amount of summit conferences can possibly prevent that happening unless we first of all solve the problems that have made things "what they are."

Let me list some of these problems — ever-rising prices (inflation), falling markets (deflation), bankruptcies, unemployment, poverty in the midst of ever-increasing potential plenty, strikes, official and unofficial, revolutions, and world wars, cold and hot; with all their attendant miseries, not excluding the hydrogen bomb lurking in the background, ready at any moment to be dropped by some desperate or frightened government or dictator, exasperated beyond bearing by their problems, and blaming them on their foreign competitors - - all equally exasperated - - and with considerable justification; for world conditions today are a vast "free-for-all" struggle for trade, money, and existence, with every industrialised country a combatant, and all their rulers in process of becoming exasperated. Actually, the seeds of war exist and generate in every village and town where people have to compete and struggle with each other for jobs and money to keep them alive, however plentiful food and other necessities and luxuries may be.

All those problems are financial in origin, and can be cured, but only by financial changes — not by wars or strikes - - that is, by abandoning the fatal financial canons that govern everybody's life and living today, which give rise to exasperated, desperate and frightened governments and dictators.

The foremost of these canons is the universal belief, held firmly both by employers and em-

ployed, that all wealth is produced by labour - interpreted as present-day labour (a glaring error) — and, therefore, that all incomes must be worked for. That is impossible of attainment in mass-producing societies, and still more so in automation ones, with men becoming rapidly and increasingly redundant as they are displaced by machines. Machines create costs, but no incomes are distributed to anybody to offset these costs; hence the entire world's seething troubles.

At the same time, few people realise that, for the world to attempt disarming before it has solved these problems — as peace-loving people everywhere are strongly urging should be done — could be as deadly as the hydrogen bomb itself; for as it would throw all the world's armies and war-workers out of their jobs, it would create the biggest slump in history, which could easily wreck all governments and dictatorships, put an end to law and order, and send the world "rattling back into barbarism."

On reflection, extinction by hydrogen bomb seems preferable, as being more humane!

My text for this little sermon is a pregnant remark found in the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, that "the banker creates the means of payment out of nothing."

That is perfectly true, and inevitable - - somebody has to create it - - but there is no NECESSARY harm in the fact. On the contrary, the world's possible salvation depends largely upon it for it means that, since money and credit ("the means of payment") cost the banker nothing "to create, there is nothing to prevent him giving away free to the community if circumstances require it, as they most assuredly do today, if the debts he creates so willingly against us and all human societies — via hire purchase, for instance - are ever to be liquidated.

The harm it admittedly does at present resides in the fact that the banker regards the costless money and credit he creates as his own personal property, oblivious to the fact that he creates no

tangible real wealth of any kind to back up his loans.

Also, the fact that he only LENDS, and never GIVES AWAY the "means of payment" to anybody means that the debts he creates and imposes on the community every day, to enable it to carry on its business, can never really be liquidated, they can only GROW; and all the world's huge National Debts testify to the fact that they do grow.

Today's borrowings and debts can only be liquidated (nominally) by larger borrowings and debts tomorrow — larger because of the added interest — and all succeeding tomorrows must repeat the process.

It follows from these facts that every NEW credit the banker creates and lends is an act of inflation, which automatically dilutes and devalues everybody's money, raises prices, and increases taxation. Sooner or later the government, or the banks - - or both — taking fright at the debt-monster they have created or tolerated, decide that loans must be cut down, in a futile attempt to reduce debts, prices, and taxation; a proceeding that automatically creates a slump, with all its attendant evils - - dull trade, bankruptcies, unemployment, poverty, robberies, murders, and suicides.

Ever-rising prices create demands for higher wages; with strikes to reinforce the demands; a form of warfare that benefits nobody and may easily wreck the country — every country. Higher wages mean higher prices that the strikers themselves will have to pay; so, if they want a real remedy for their probably well-founded grievances, they would be well advised to make a demand for a share of some of the bankers' costless money, as a free gift that will appear nowhere as a cost in prices.

The manufacturer, to cope with his chief problem — sales — and so escape dull trade and bankruptcy, tries to cut down his costs, so that he can undersell his competitors. This he does by means of mass-production and automation. These give him a greater output, with a great saving in labour costs; but this saving, unfortunately, reduces the community's powers to buy his greater output. This again forces producers to seek out markets abroad, in the hope of acquiring money there -not imports — to make good the shortage at home, and enable him to pay off his debts to the banks. To get import goods in return for these exports finds the home population still without the money to buy them, and therefore solves no problems.

The need to sell abroad is imperative, as things are, if the economic system is to be kept from breaking down altogether. Hence, every industrial country, although up to the eyes in debt—national and other—and with mountainous taxation in

force, makes lavish loans to under-developed countries; not from motives of kindness or generosity, even if such motives are present, but chiefly to enable them to buy, and take off its hands, its surplus products — surplus only because the home population haven't enough money to buy them.

That means, in effect, that these industrialised countries are giving away large masses of their goods practically for nothing - - while keeping the recipient countries more or less permanently in debt to them — besides supplying them with capital goods, and so raising up future competitors against them in the process; and all merely because their financial book-keeping is not correctly geared to the true economic facts.

The struggle for, and capture of, foreign markets is another form of warfare—the cold war—which can be almost as deadly in its effect as the shooting wars it inspires; and it was the fundamental, animating, cause of our two world wars; and sets the stage for the coming third one.

The remedy? As the present financial system fails to keep the world's consumer purchasing power equal to its production costs, I suggest that every country should tote up its incomes, and also its total production costs, subtract the smaller sum (incomes) from the larger one (costs), and then instruct its bankers to create - - out of the traditional "nothing" — enough money to make good the shortage so revealed, and distribute it, in equal amounts, as a National Dividend, and a free gift, to every member of the community man, woman and child, whether working or not working.

That would enable every country to buy everything it produced or imported — while exporting goods of the same money value to balance its imports. This would put an end to the struggle for money, and should, and would, create a new peaceable world, whether it disarmed or didn't.

Strictly, all money and credit belongs, by right, to the community as a whole, as the creator - and therefore rightful owner - - of all real wealth produced, even if they are created by the banks; money and credit being merely a medium or mechanism for distributing that wealth where it is needed, a service it fails to perform satisfactorily at present.

In conclusion, I would suggest to your science correspondent that if scientists don't want to see

(Continued on page 4)

## A. K. CHESTERTON EXPOSES WALL STREET'S ROLE IN WAR AGAINST CIVILISATION

In a number of lectures to Australian audiences over the past three weeks, visiting English writer, Mr. A. K. Chesterton, has exposed Jewish International Finance, with its present headquarters in the U.S.A., as the force, which fostered International Communism in furtherance of the ultimate objective of World Government. The following is a report in "The Wimmera Mail-Times", of March 14, of Mr. Chesterton's address in Horsham:

An example of the kind of policy the British Government was required to pursue was seen when Sir David Eccles, as President of the Board of Trade, told a press conference in Rome in 1957 that they had been under great pressure from Australia and New Zealand to buy more primary produce. But they had been forced to resist this pressure in view of the possible requirements of the proposed European free trade area.

Mr. Chesterton continued: "By placing her own naval, military and air force services very largely under alien command, by foregoing the power of sovereign decision over the vast field of national interests, and by giving the British nations overseas a very raw economic deal, British politicians have been consistently guilty of fifth-columnism and virtual treason.

"It is against this policy of betrayal and surrender that the League of Empire Loyalists has raised the banner of revolt," he said.

The league believed that unless the British nations regained their unity and power, nothing could prevent mankind becoming enslaved by a world government. The establishment of a world government had been declared to be an official part of British policy.

Speaking on the race question, Mr. Chesterton warned Australians about the threat to the White Australia policy.

It now appears to be the aim of the New Zealand Government to foster "spiritual" as well as economic ties with Japan and to be preparing to condition the public mind in New Zealand for a policy of Asian immigration.

"I know the same kind of pressures have been brought to bear on Australian public opinion, although not as yet through Government agencies," said Mr. Chesterton.

"I beg you Wimmera people, I urge all good Australians not to act on an easy assumption that the White Australia policy will always remain sacrosanct.

"In as far as Australasia might move deeper into the American orbit, the drive towards race mixing will become extremely formidable, because American agencies, especially the big foundations, are financing inter-racialism and the breaking down of racial barriers all over the world.

"I am going to develop what to many people will be a startling theme - - that although the menace of the Soviet Union is not to be denied, the real threat to Western European civilisation comes from within the United States of America," Mr. Chesterton said.

Recalling that Sir Winston Churchill at the North Atlantic Charter meeting accused Franklin D. Roosevelt of trying to destroy the British Empire, Mr. Chesterton gave his audience instance after instance of how British overseas territories and spheres of influence had been abandoned in response to the pressure of Dollar Imperialism.

He told in detail the story of how the World Bank had initiated the drive to get the British dispossessed of their Persian oil interests.

He told how the same complex of interests around this Bank, which included the U.S. State Department, had used financial and economic blackmail to secure the British and French evacuation of Suez.

"I maintain this same complex of interests financed Makarios, who had been in New York for several months before starting his Cyprus terrorist campaign, with a view to further weakening the British position in the Eastern Mediterranean," he continued.

Mr. Chesterton also quoted the many statements made by Adlai Stevenson and Vice-President Nixon in which they had encouraged the Afghans, who for the most part lived in the early Iron Age, to rebel against British, French and Belgian leadership in the African continent.

Another aspect of this process was the refusal of the United States Government to allow United Kingdom to participate in the Anzus Treaty.

Yet another was the blackmail brought to bear on the British Government in 1945 to place her financial destiny in the hands of Wall Street and to subordinate her general economic policy to the requirements laid down in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

This agreement meant the virtual end of the system of Imperial Preferences, in that they cannot now be upgraded in terms of modern values without extending the most-favoured-nation treatment to all the other signatories.

(Continued on page 4)

**Lord Bruce And Inflation:** In a recent article from London, Trevor Smith maintained that Britain had halted inflation by adopting advice from Lord Bruce similar to that offered to Australians. The great difficulty in trying to encourage some intelligent discussion on the subject of inflation is the widespread use of a form of double-speak. What is being said at present has been said for years—mainly by the same people. High taxation and other restrictive financial policies have been imposed in Britain and this country in the past. But all that happened was a development of recession conditions with growing unemployment.

If the Macmillan Government had continued with its restrictive financial policies, it would have been routed at the last elections. But having temporarily halted the price level from rising by financial restrictions, the Macmillan Government then found it politically necessary to ease restrictions. An increased rate of new financial credit expansion was permitted, and widely publicised. The overall result was business expansion and a reduction in unemployment. But, as we have pointed out so often, attempts to make the economy work by progressive credit expansion under present financial rules, inevitably means an increase in the general price level. It was significant, therefore, that the official index of living costs in Britain started to move upwards again in December.

Lord Bruce's advice, now being strongly supported by the "experts", has been tried on several occasions since the present Government parties were elected in 1949 on a platform, which included

a promise "to put the shillings back into the pound". But the results are clear for all to see. However, in spite of the results, the "experts" press for the same old restrictive policies of higher taxation and more financial controls. More intense worship of one of the modern gods, "increased productivity", is urged without any definition of what type of increased production, and why, is required.

There is an old Chinese saying to the effect that it is futile to start running when one is on the wrong road. Hastening the policies, which have brought the Western nations to the present plight, can only help confirm Mr. Khrushchev in his view that "capitalism" possesses the seeds of its own destruction. There is no real problem preventing the re-orientation of present financial and economic policies towards saner objectives, thus depriving the Communists of the main weapon, which they are confident, will give them ultimate victory. But just as the makers of financial policy stubbornly refused any alteration to policies which enabled the last world war to be started, so today the same policy makers persist with policies leading direct to the Communisation of the whole world.

This does not mean, of course, that Lord Bruce and the economic "experts" endorsing his advice are all secret Communists. But it is clear that their advice reflects not only a totalitarian philosophy, but also the general materialism, which precludes otherwise sane people from seeing that the policies they are advocating are contrary to reality.

## LOOKING AHEAD TO THE SIXTIES

(Continued from page 1)

all the wonderful discoveries they and their predecessors have made throughout the centuries come to naught, they should withdraw their gaze for a time - - and the sooner the better — from the heavens and outer space, and come down to earth for a little to tackle the money problem, in their own and everybody else's interest. They have the mental equipment and training for doing what our economists and politicians have so far failed to do, and never seem likely to do. Lord Boothby said recently, in a B.B.C. "Any Questions" programme, that M.P.'s never get to think.

If they succeed - - as they should, if they remember that "what is physically possible is financially possible" - they will wipe out the stain that the Hydrogen Bomb has made on their record; and the 'sixties might become the greatest turning-point in the world's history -- from hell to heaven, in fact; and the way would be open for them to resume their scientific pursuits, and spend a pleasant holiday in their favourite spot - - exploring the hidden side of the moon!

## A. CHESTERTON EXPOSES WALL STREET'S ROLE

(Continued from page 3)

"The extent to which seemingly impregnable positions can be surrendered in a very brief time is to be found in Kenya, where three years ago the acceptance of a principle of black domination would have seemed fantastic.

"Yet the recent conference in London embraced precisely that acceptance. Much of the work of subversion is done underground and I say it is quite possible for Australians to wake up one morning and find that their basic White Australia policy has been surrendered.

"I wonder, indeed, whether it has not already been surrendered by the classification of Singapore citizens as British subjects for the purpose of eligibility to migrate to Australia."

Answering a question from a woman in the audience, Mr. Chesterton said: "There are no basic differences between the British people and the Americans. They are both victims of a most powerful and sinister force - - the money-power of Wall Street, New York."

## ARTICLE SECTION

### A ZIONIST FINANCIER'S PRO-COMMUNIST RECORD

Those who have uncritically accepted the carefully-propagated idea that the leadership and finance for the international Communist conspiracy are provided by the "dispossessed workers" are invariably shocked into violent expressions of disbelief when told that Communism has had the active support of some of the wealthiest men in the world. But the facts concerning the relationship between Big Finance and Communism are incontrovertible, as demonstrated in the following extracts from a documented article by John Benedict in the November 1959, issue of the "American Mercury".

The David K. Niles Fund honours probably the most despicable and sinister man who ever achieved a position of power in the U.S. Government. As administrative assistant to President Roosevelt, Niles specialised in "minority" relations. His contacts with top Soviet legal agents through the International Juridical Association, with other known espionage agents, were so extensive that the powerful protection afforded him by his Presidential contacts finally failed to protect him. Just before he was due to be subpoenaed by Senator McCarran, he left his job with President Truman "to go to Israel." He escaped exposure by this manoeuvre, but actually remained in this country until his death. Herbert Lehman is listed as a member of the sponsoring committee of the Fund set up to honour this protégé of Felix Frankfurter and intimate associate of Harry Hopkins. Other sponsors include Anna M. Rosenberg, Abba Eban, Philleo Nash (who took Niles' place and was exposed by Senator McCarthy, Maxwell Rabb (who took Nash's place under President Eisenhower, Walter Reuther, Jake Arvey (Adlai Stevenson's boss), and Herman Edelberg and Ben R. Epstein of the Anti-Defamation League.

The *Congressional Record* of April 2, 1943, shows that Rep. Fred Bradley (R.-Mich.) charged on the floor of the House that "it is the purpose of the Frankfurter-Niles-Laski-Landis group to regiment every man, woman and child in this country in one huge socialistic, Communistic, collectivist or Fascist State—and it is strongly hinted that we are destined to become but one unit in an international world of the same collectivist scheme. . . . The plan for the socialisation of America has been drafted."

Herbert Lehman is a director of the NAACP and of its Surprise Lake Camp; a Director of the Fund for the Republic; Honorary President of the American Friends of the Alliance Israelite Universelle (61 Broadway, New York 6); Vice-President of the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee and an officer of its Agricultural Corporation in Russia; a vice-chairman of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith; director of the radical Survey Associates; a trustee of the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton, New Jersey, along with John M. Hancock, Lehman Brothers partner; Honorary Vice-President of the American Jewish Committee; a member of the American Association for the UN; a member of the (Rothschild-planned) Council on Foreign Relations; a member of the National Conference of Christians and Jews; a member of the board of the 30 million dollar Palestine Economic Corporation and an officer of the Greater N.Y. United Jewish Appeal.

Lehman sponsored the "pro-Communist, pro-Soviet" Nation Associates Dinner forum on Arab-Israel Peace, May 25, 1952, and refused to withdraw when *Counter-attack*, May 16, 1952, exposed the affair; signed a message to Russia on the occasion of the 35th anniversary of the Bolshevik Revolution; testified for the appointment of Anna M. Rosenberg and said he had known her for 35 years; was a director of the Woodrow Wilson Foundation with Alger Hiss in 1947; is a trustee of leading radical Lillian Wald's Henry Street Settlement where he once "taught" three nights a week; and is a trustee of the Talmudic centre, Jewish Theological Seminary.

Lehman participated in a symposium held by the Marxist-Socialist League for Industrial Democracy in 1950, and received an award at that time from the LID; sponsored the Tenth Anniversary Committee of the Encampment for Citizenship in 1956, an organisation exposed by the American Legion's *Firing Line*, April 1, 1954, as having propagandised children with films scripted by Communist Party members; was a member of the vicious minority-aiding pro-Communist Council Against Intolerance in America from 1945 through 1954 (directed by the son of the identified Communist-collaborator, Rabbi Stephen Wise).

Lehman was one of the major opponents of the Mc-Carran-Walter Immigration Act and a proponent of legislation, which would have allowed entry into America of up to an additional two million aliens a year. The *Daily Worker*, October 1, 1953, page two, applauded Lehman's leadership in the "fight" to revise the Act, which—in Communist ACPFB Secretary Abner Green's words in his *Police State Terror*—sought "to discourage the entry of Jewish immigrants by assigning extremely low quotas to those countries from which there is large Jewish immigration."

In the Institute of Pacific Relations hearing, held by the Senate Internal Security Sub-committee in 1952, mention of Lehman is made several times: William Lockwood of the IPR wrote to espionage agent Lauchin Currie (page 3887) that "we ought to establish contacts with Governor Lehman's office . . . to see what further IPR work would be most useful for the purposes of Governor Lehman's programme." This reference is most interesting when it is remembered, "The IPR has been considered by the American Communist Party and by Soviet officials as an instrument of Communist policy, propaganda and military intelligence."

Herbert Lehman was an executive committee member of the Committee for the Marshall Plan to Aid European Recovery, a disguised Lend Lease operation to exhaust this country's resources in the interest of the International Bankers' New World Order. Other members were (see *New York Times*, November 17, 1947) Dean Acheson, Frank Altschul (Lehman's brother-in-law and board chairman of Lazard Freres), David Dubinsky and Alger Hiss.

The Office of Foreign Relief and Rehabilitation Operations (OFRRO) was the 1943 predecessor of the United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation Administration (UNRRA). Herbert Lehman was the director of both organizations 1943-46. The Commission on Government Security rendered its Report to Congress on June 23, 1957, and in that report (page 382) discussed David Weintraub, Studies and Reports Chief of OFRRO, who, in 1943, "acted as adviser to . . . Lehman, at the first council session of UNRRA." Weintraub became chief of supplies for UNRRA. The Commission pointed out that the Internal Security Sub-committee "charged Weintraub with being in a unique position in setting up the structure of Communist penetration of governmental agencies by . . . underground agents of the Communist Party. . . . A number of witnesses called before the sub-committee refused to acknowledge knowing David Weintraub on the contention that to do so might tend to incriminate them. His sister (Rose Alpher) refused to state whether she was a member of the Communist Party."

Harold Glasser, identified as a "Communist" and member of "the Soviet underground," was the U.S. Treasury's financial expert in the formation of UNRRA and in the subsequent whole life of that entity. In this capacity he was one of those "with a predominant voice" in determining what countries should receive UNRRA aid. The Soviet Union and the Communist-bloc of nations received most of UNRRA's aid.

Bernard L. Gladieux, Ford Foundation official, attacked by Senator George Malone and Congressman Carroll Reece for having certain associations while in Government service (Henry Wallace being one example), was Deputy General of UNRRA's Bureau of Areas under Mikhail Menshikov, a Soviet national. Philip C. Jessup, an important Communist-fronter and member of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, served as Assistant Chief of the UNRRA Secretariat.

Senator McCarthy in his "*McCarthyism—The Fight for America*" (pages 48-9) related how "UNRRA was turned into a tool for Soviet conquest and how *it was used to subjugate the people of Poland.*" No anti-Communist ever received a penny of UNRRA aid, and the director of the first UNRRA mission to Poland, despite strong protests by our Ambassador to Poland, Arthur Bliss Lane, was a Soviet official appointed by UNRRA Director General Herbert H. Lehman. The true story of UNRRA (an enterprise that rendered tremendous service to the Soviet Union and set

up plans for Israel) and of its Director General, who, as an international agent, owed allegiance only to those Jewish action agencies with which he was affiliated, has never been revealed to the public. The Lehman's marital interlocks with other families, a-la-Rothschild, are intensely interesting. Herbert Lehman married Edith Altschul, whose father, Charles, headed Rothschild's Lazard Freres and Co., specialists in gold shipments. Edith's brother, Frank, now heads the same firm. Frank's wife, Helen, is a daughter of Herbert Lehman's sister, Mrs. P. J. Goodhart (Hattie Lehman). Arthur Lehman, Herbert's deceased brother, a director of the very radical New School for Social Research in 1931, married Adele, daughter of Adolph Lewisoohn, whose investment firm of the same name held extensive gold, platinum, and copper holdings. Adele's daughter, Helen, married Benjamin J. Bittenwieser trustee of the New School for Social Research, Kuhn Loeb partner and host to Alger Hiss for several months after the latter's conviction for perjury. Another daughter of Adele's, Frances, married John L. Loeb, son of Carl M. Loeb, partner of Carl M. Loeb, Rhoades and Co., international bankers and brokers.

Irving, another of Herbert's brothers, married Sissie, daughter of Nathan Straus, president of New York's WMCA, who in turn named a son Irving Lehman in honour of his son-in-law. Nathan received a housing commissionership from Herbert Lehman in 1935. Helen (Mrs. Henry Morgenthau, Jr.), wife of the former Secretary of the Treasury, is the daughter of Herbert Lehman's deceased sister, Mrs. Morris Fatman.

These enormously powerful blood ties, however, did not satisfy the terrible ambition of the Lehman's. Irving Lehman was placed on the N.Y. State Supreme Court in 1908 by Tammany and remained in that Court for *thirty years*. He was also treasurer of the Talmudic Jewish Theological Seminary and succeeded his father as president of the wealthy Congregation Emanu-EL. When Herbert became Governor, Irving "asked Professor Felix Frankfurter who would be a good man to help the new Governor on legal matters and Frankfurter recommended Charles, Poletti," a 1928 Harvard Law graduate and close friend of Thomas W. Lament. Poletti, a board member of the American Civil Liberties Union, became Lehman's close associate.

Herbert Lehman first met FDR in 1913 when the first pilot project in revolutionary legislation took place in these United States. FDR was a member of the legislature in N.Y., which served as the springboard for this "baby" New Deal while Lehman served as a member of a Banking Commission operating during the same period. In 1917 Lehman became FDR's aide when FDR was Assistant Secretary of the Navy. Bernard Baruch then gave Lehman a position as the assistant director of Purchase, Storage and Supply for the War Department. During this same period Lehman, as treasurer of the Joint Distribution

Agency, distributed 75 million dollars to East European Jewish refugees. He was an officer of the Jewish Colonisation Association and of the American Joint Reconstruction Foundation. In 1919 he became associated with the Obshtshestwo Rasprostranenia Truda-Association for the Promotion of Industrial and Agricultural Work Among the Jews, organised in Russia in 1880. By 1939 ORT had 130 committees in 16 countries. A look at the supporters of ORT indicates its true purpose. Albert Einstein, an espionage agent-aiding Red, praised ORT. Paul Felix Warburg, Treasurer of the ORT Reconstruction Fund, was the son of Felix Moritz Warburg, of Kuhn Loeb, and Frieda, daughter of Jacob H. Schiff. Paul Felix's brother, Frederick Moritz, worked for Lehman Brothers from 1926-29. then became a partner in Kuhn Loeb. Before Frederick M. left Lehman's he helped Herbert Lehman organise the Lehman Corporation just prior to the crash of 1929, a very profitable move in spite of the depression. Lord Rothschild was President of ORT's Joint British Committee; Herbert Lehman is now Honorary President of the World ORT Union.

An almost completely disregarded fact of history—Lehman's role as the triggerman of the New Deal revolutionists was revealed in his 1936 *New Yorker* profile. "He left Albany on Thursday March 2, to attend President Roosevelt's inauguration, but he knew he would probably never get there. As soon as he reached New York he called the city's leading bankers to his apartment on Park Avenue for an evening conference on Friday. Most of what went on there is still a secret. It is known that Lehman let the bankers go home at midnight and then called them back again at 3 o'clock next morning, and that the holiday which closed all the banks in New York State was declared after the second conference. With Wall Street closed, the whole nation's financial system had to shut up shop. The Republicans have been hinting lately that both the state and the national bank holiday may have been political moves made deliberately to dramatise Roosevelt's entrance into the White House . . . Lehman has proof that the bankers themselves wanted the holiday. Before issuing his proclamation that morning, he *made* the bankers sign a paper saying that they did, and he still has it. (Emphasis supplied.)

"For three weeks the Governor worked in his New York apartment. Bills were sent to Albany and passed one morning at 1 o'clock, a few minutes after they were delivered to the Legislature, giving him dictatorial powers over the financial institutions in the state.

"He never individually exercised these powers, but his banking and insurance boards did for a year. He was prepared a week after the holiday to issue emergency currency, and he had a whole military plan worked out for bringing food to New York City in case transportation should break down."

THE NEW TIMES

Events such as those just described just do not *happen*—they are planned. The banking holiday provided an excuse for the famous 100 days, during which Congress rubber-stamped New Deal revolutionary bills which completely shifted the balance of power from business to government. Thus was the Revolution accomplished. Lehman's role was vital in all this. He was the triggerman, backed by the terrible power of the purse represented by Lehman Brothers, Kuhn Loeb, Lazard Freres and other financial groups on one side, and by the political cabal of conspiratorial planners surrounding President Roosevelt on the other.

Lehman's actions during the next decade as Governor of New York would take a book to describe. When a "bill requiring all teachers to take the oath to support the Constitution" was passed, "the Governor vetoed it." Nor was it finally passed until his objections were met. During this time Thomas Dewey started on *his* career, as an investigator for Lehman. Lehman signed a bill returning bookmakers openly to New York's racetracks. He was "individually responsible for adoption of what union leaders (1934) have called the greatest programme of labour legislation ever put through by a single legislature." He brought in a welfare system, unemployment insurance, an eight-point social security plan, various controls—the list is endless. No wonder Samuel Seabury considered "Lehman a weak-kneed tool of sinister men." Lehman appointed Anna M. Rosenberg a member of the New York State War Council and later supported her when she was under fire as a suspected Communist (identified under oath by Ralph De Sola).

The Lehmans never hesitated to openly participate in political campaigns. Wendell Willkie's partnership in Lehman Bros. has been mentioned. In the 1956 campaign of Anthony Akers in the Greenwich Village district in opposition to Frederic Coudert, Senator Lehman was a member of the committee of "Independent Citizens for Akers" along with Anna M. Rosenberg, Orin Lehman, and Mrs. Edward M. M. Warburg. Akers denied having the endorsement of the *Daily Worker* in this campaign. When Jake Javits became the Republican nominee for the Senate in 1956, Robert Lehman gave him a campaign donation and endorsement as did John M. Schiff. When President Eisenhower first ran for office in 1952 the *Chicago Daily Tribune*, May 15, 1952, page 4, reported that he was to be the convention guest of Edward J. Birmingham, who had directed the Chicago operations of Lehman Brothers from 1936-39 and had previously been a partner in Dillon Reed from 1921-32. At the same time, the paper reported, Senator Lehman was honorary chairman of the group promoting W. Averill Harriman for the Democratic Presidential nomination.

Herbert Lehman utilised the occasion of his receipt of an award from the Sidney Hillman Foundation on May 25, 1951, to deliver a bitter attack on General Douglas MacArthur *during wartime*.

PAGE 3

The *Chicago Tribune*, May 29, 1950, published the pictures of three men, Felix Frankfurter, Henry Morgenthau Jr., and Herbert Lehman, accompanied by a story which stated that "a person with highest state department connections identified these three figures as the secret government of the United States."

The *Freeman*, January 12, 1953, in an article, "The U.S. Had No Secrets," by Richard Stokes, revealed the following startling information: On March 11, 1944, Vlas A. Klensten was introduced to Major George Racey Jordan, Lend-Lease Expediter at Great Falls, Montana, as the delegate of "the great Jakov Ashberg, financial hero of the Soviet Union." Klensten had a specific appointment with a "high American statesman."

Klensten demanded air priority. Jordan demanded the name of the person Klensten was to meet. Klensten pleaded that his mission was "most confidential." Jordan suggested Morgenthau. Klensten answered "No, no, much above Morgenthau! Very big boss—great social change—coming soon in America!" Jordan forced Klensten to provide the name, which was "Herbert H. Lehman," then director general of UNRRA. Lehman did not "recall" having met Klensten. Jordan did arrange to fly Klensten out and made a note of the event. Colonel Anatoli N. Kotikov, Soviet resident at the Montana airbase, told Jordan that Ashberg was "connected with a Swiss bank prior to the Bolshevik revolution, and acted as European agent for various New York financiers." He also claimed that Ashberg "collected 20 million dollars in gold from Wall Street to back the Communist uprising against Kerensky's republic, and rode with Lenin in the sealed boxcar used by the German High Command to smuggle that arch-conspirator into Russia." Later Kotikov referred to the U.S. money plate transfer to the USSR as the "Klensten deal" which would certainly indicate Klensten was the brains behind this swindle of the American people. We wonder what happened to Klensten after the story broke that he had named "Herbert Lehman" as the "very big boss."

This, then, is the pro-Zionist record of the House of Lehman, whose most eminent representative can boast of espousing or associating with more pro-Communist causes than almost any other Governor in the United States.

#### USE ENWITE SPECIALITIES

TEXTIT waterproofing compound. SOLVIT paint remover. AQUAC wood putty. BRYNAC enamel for resisting water, acids and alkalis. FERROSOL, the rust killing paint. RUSTEX for removing rust from motor bodies and metal work. THERMEX, the silver paint.

**ENWITE PTY. LTD.**

**84-86 Cromwell Street, Collingwood,  
Vic. Phone: JA 5967.**

## NEWS SECTION

### **Federal Minister Mis-represents Social Credit:**

Our attention has been directed to a debate in the Commonwealth Parliament on November 26, of last year, in which the Minister for Trade, Mr., McEwan mis-represented Social Credit. Social Credit was also mentioned by Mr. Pollard, Labor, and by Dr. Cairns, Labor. After claiming that Mr. Pollard had said, "with a government behind you, you do not need money, that all you need is a guarantee by the government", Mr. McEwan went on to say, "I repeat that that is what Major Douglas said. It is just not sound business."

There was so much loose talk in the debate mentioned, the Exports Payment Insurance Corporation Bill, that it is difficult to know whether Mr. McEwan used the term "money" to describe all forms of purchasing power. But it is certain that he does not understand the basic principles of Social Credit. However, like so many politicians, that does not preclude him from making misleading references to Social Credit. And his linking it with Socialism comes strangely from a Minister whose policies are dominated by the theories of Socialist economists.

Social Credit financial proposals are not designed to do away with money, but to make monetary policy subservient to individual desires and economic realities.

**Dr. Cairns on Social Credit:** The reference to "Douglas Credit" by Dr. Cairns in the debate mentioned above is of more than passing interest; Dr. Cairns is a declared Socialist and has a long Pro-Communist record. He said "... let me say that for a great many years Douglas Credit was a far more advanced and beneficial financial theory than the conservative theory that prevailed in the early 1930's and caused 500,000 or 600,000 people to become unemployed. I do not look askance at Douglas Credit in a consideration of that kind . . ."

It is clear from the above that Dr. Cairns regards Social Credit as nothing more than a "financial theory" designed to provide "full employment". The persistent attempt to present Social Credit as merely a monetary reform scheme is one of the most dangerous perversions being used to pervert the true conception of Social Credit being the policy of a philosophy.