

THE NEWTIMES

Registered at the G.P.O., Melbourne, for transmission by Post as a Newspaper.

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 26, Nos. 8 & 9

MELBOURNE, FRIDAY

22nd April, 1960

EDITORIAL

SOUTH AFRICA AND THE WORLD REVOLUTION

It is impossible to make any realistic assessment of the South African situation except in relationship to the whole revolutionary movement against Christian and Western Civilisation. Just as the rootless proletariat everywhere has been created and used to further class warfare, and to justify attacks upon profits, dividends and private property, so today we also see the primitive peoples of the world, many of them little better than savages, being used as another instrument to hasten the end of Western Christendom. The powerful international groups fostering and encouraging the world-wide revolution have no more genuine interest in the primitive peoples of the world than they have in the proletariat. Revolution everywhere is merely a means to an end, the establishment of a World Police State.

In his lectures on the French Revolution, the famous historian Lord Acton observed that "The appalling thing is not the tumult, but the design. Through all the fire and smoke we perceive the evidence of calculating organisation. The managers remain concealed or masked, but there is no doubt about their presence from the first." These very same words could be used to describe the present world situation, with the foundations of Western Civilisation crumbling everywhere. Unfortunately, few realise the significance of what is happening, because false idealism and flabby emotionalism of the most dangerous kind are being shrewdly played upon by inspired stories of "brutal" South African Europeans shooting and flogging "peace-loving natives" who have done no more than protest against the impositions of the white tyrants.

IMPOSSIBLE DEMANDS IRRESPONSIBLE DEMANDS

It matters not that all those being organised in various ways to demand "equality" and "justice" for the natives in South Africa know nothing whatever about the realities of the situation. They will quickly join in the shout that the South African Government should abandon the much-publicised pass system, but they haven't the first idea of the purpose and meaning of the pass system. They do not know that the pass system, however harshly it may have been administered on occasions, was largely the result of the fact that the natives have entered South Africa from other parts in such large numbers — apparently because they desperately desire to be "brutally oppressed" by the European South Africans! — that it is essential that they be controlled in their own interests.

The demand that the natives be given political equality with the Europeans is in fact a demand

that the Europeans abdicate in South Africa as they are being progressively forced to do right throughout Africa today. And the very fact that such large numbers of people, apparently reasonable and rational about many things, can be organised to make such a demand is frightening evidence of the depth and strength of the revolutionary programme.

THE FOLLY OF CHURCH LEADERS

One of the most distressing aspects of the world revolution against Christendom is the fact that leading churchmen everywhere are allowing themselves to be used by the forces of revolution. From the time of that great Christian missionary David Livingstone, who described Central Africa before the coming of the Europeans "a charnel house," Christian missionaries of all denominations have worked patiently in Africa along with the European colonising powers to bring law and order when none existed before, and to start the long process of civilising primitive and barbaric peoples. No honest person can dispute the truth about the present state of development of the African peoples towards civilisation. In spite of the efforts of the missionaries, the great majority of Africans are still under the influence of the witch-doctors. Major decisions are still based upon an examination of the entrails of animals!

The dishonest press reporting on South Africa has deliberately refrained from pointing out that the drastic action of the South African police has been directed against the native terrorists who are intimidating their fellow-natives in an endeavour to use them against the Government. One of the most revealing statements we have yet seen from a Church leader on South Africa was that of the Anglican Primate of Australia, who admitted that, if the White Australia policy were

(Continued on page 4)

NEWS SECTION

Mr. Calwell's Admissions: The remarks of the Federal Opposition Leader, Mr. Arthur Calwell, in his recent address at the Melbourne University, are of more than passing interest. Mr. Calwell is reported as having said that "Socialism was a term that could not be precisely defined." This admission confirms what we have said for years: Socialism is presented by the propagandists as meaning all things to all men. This enables a large number of sincere idealists to be used by those who believe fervently in the progressive centralisation of all power. Mr. Calwell also admitted this when he said that "Unless the Constitution were amended along the lines recommended recently by the Parliamentary Committee, the 'lopsided imbalance' of our economic development would continue . . ."

In practice all Federal Governments, irrespective of their labels, have furthered the centralisation of power. Any further alterations to the Federal Constitution would merely allow the centralisers to intensify their activities. Greater constitutional safeguards are urgently required to prevent Federal Governments from further undermining the powers of State Governments and the rights of the individual. Mr. Calwell's "democratic socialism" is merely a specially coined term to try and mask the proposal to extend centralised power. This does not mean, of course, that other terms do not mask the same proposal. Power is also being centralised under "Liberalism."

The Latrobe Election: Although all three political parties have expressed pleasure at the election in Latrobe, the Liberals because they held the seat and the two Labor parties because they both increased their support, the truth is that there was a severe censure of Government policies which incidentally helped the Labor parties. While the D.L.P. naturally wants to obtain the greatest possible propaganda value from its increased support, we can tell D.L.P. leaders now that they will not repeat the result in a general election. Large numbers of people who normally vote for the Government parties took the opportunity of censuring the Government without any risk of defeating it. And, rather than vote for Labor, they voted for the D.L.P. However, it is undoubtedly true that the political pendulum is swinging and that the Government could be in serious difficulties by election time next year. Inflation still continues to worry many people, and there is real danger that electors may become so opposed to a Government now openly admitting that inflation will inevitably continue, that they

will support any policy of Government controls which appears to offer any relief.

Federal Government Supports Socialists: Socialist propagandists must have been delighted with the statement by the Federal Government, following the Arbitration Commission's refusal to increase wages, that manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers now had "a glorious opportunity" to reduce prices. This is in line with similar recent statements by Government spokesmen who, following the lead of Dr. Coombs, Socialist "adviser," have suggested that producers and distributors can make an effective contribution towards defeating inflation by reducing prices out of profits. It is certainly true that examples of real profiteering could be given, but generally speaking competition has never been keener in the post-war era, and any attempted reduction in prices could only be at the expense of legitimate profits. The Socialists claim that profits are one of the basic causes of inflation, and now the Federal Government, by inference, is agreeing.

THIS IS THE CHALLENGE!

By D. J. Killen, M.P.

The latest League of Rights publication is an examination of the theory, strategy, tactics and propaganda of International Communism by one of the competent authorities in the Federal Parliament. Mr. D. J. Killen, Liberal Member for Moreton, Queensland. *This Is The Challenge!* is a Paper presented to the 1959 Social Credit Seminar in Melbourne. The League of Rights obtained permission to publish the Paper because it believes it makes a major contribution to an understanding of the nature of International Communism and the methods it is using to win world domination.

Mr. Killen shows how the Soviet propaganda machine works throughout the world and lists the numerous front organisations serving Communist objectives. *This Is The Challenge!* is an important booklet and one which we can strongly recommend.

Order from The Victorian League of Rights, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. Price 2/11, post free.

CODNER BROS.

Builders and Joinery Manufacturers
Homes and Home Sites Available

WHEATSHEAF ROAD, GLENROY

FLUORIDATION OR FREEDOM IN LAUNCESTON

Returning to Melbourne after spending several days in Launceston, Tasmania, on the fluoridation issue, the Director of the League of Rights, Mr. Eric Butler, said that it was clear that the totalitarian virus was deeply rooted in Launceston and that it was going to take vigorous action by freedom-loving citizens of this city to defeat the proposal to impose compulsory mass-medication upon the whole community.

In spite of widespread public opposition, as reflected by a wave of letters to the Launceston press opposing fluoridation (some were not published), Dr. Turnbull, former Tasmanian Minister for Health, now an Independent Member of the Tasmanian House of Assembly and an Alderman of the City of Launceston, was able with the aid of the Launceston Examiner to have the Council's previous decision to fluoridate re-endorsed on Monday, March 14. Six Aldermen, including the Mayor, took it on themselves to decide that everyone in Launceston should drink fluoridated water, while only three Aldermen stood firm in favour of the traditional principles of British freedom.

MEETING SUPPORTS MINORITY RIGHTS

Although it is true that there was not a big attendance at the Launceston public meeting on Monday, April 11, nevertheless it was representative. It was significant that, with the exception of the Mayor, Alderman White, no other Aldermen were present at the meeting to ask Mr. Butler any questions. Although Mr. Butler requested free and unemotional discussion in order that the truth might be established, the Mayor did not avail himself of the opportunity, but was reported to have said afterwards that he could have challenged some of Mr. Butler's statements. When asked why he did not do so he said that he did not feel it was the place for controversy. It was clear that he was afraid of a public discussion. And, although he was at one time in favour of a local referendum on fluoridation, he now feels that he is quite competent to decide that the ratepayers shall drink fluoridated water irrespective of what their views may be.

Although the reporter at the meeting took a full and correct report, as was discovered later, it was badly mutilated by the editorial staff of the Examiner and made no mention of the resolution carried with only three opponents. And these were hostile observers, probably representing the Tasmanian Department of Health. The resolution carried asked the Council to rescind the motion on fluoridation, and stated that, while no minority should dictate to a majority, neither should a majority deprive a minority of fluoridation, if it desired it, and that therefore the only democratic solution was for the Council to publicise the fact

that those parents in favour of fluoridation could obtain fluoride tablets.

DISHONEST STATEMENT

In a dishonest press statement a spokesman for the Department of Health criticised Mr. Butler, attributing statements to him he had never made. Undoubtedly the highlight of this statement was the observation that fluoridation was no more mass-medication than was the application of trace elements to the soil! It is this type of statement which clearly reveals the totalitarian philosophy of the promoters of fluoridation; the human being is regarded merely as a passive substance to be dosed with whatever drugs or substances some "expert" thinks he should have.

Although the press printed a statement by Mr. Butler in reply to the Department of Health, it left out the list of medical experts, scientists and numerous organisations in many countries either opposing fluoridation or refusing to accept the claims of the fluoridators. In spite of the facts placed before its editorial staff, the local paper continued editorially to advocate fluoridation. The endorsement by the World Health Organisation was apparently all that was required.

DR. TURNBULL'S SMEAR TACTICS

At the suggestion of Aldermen and others opposed to fluoridation, Mr. Butler agreed to have a personal discussion with any Aldermen desirous of meeting him. This discussion took place at the Town Hall. Now that he was in private, the Mayor apparently felt that it was quite safe to be controversial, and he raised questions he would not ask in front of the public. But it was Dr. Turnbull, the driving force behind the fluoridation drive, who attempted to dominate the discussion for the purpose of smearing Mr. Butler before he left, saying that he could not "waste any more time."

Dr. Turnbull asked Mr. Butler, amongst other "loaded" questions, were the facts about him as related in an article in a magazine called The Nation correct. Mr. Butler briefly said that the facts were not correct and in the course of a letter which he subsequently addressed to the Mayor and Aldermen, pointed out that he was in the position of a guest and he was not able to pursue a "shocking personal question raised by Alderman Turnbull, a question clearly designed to smear me and thus destroy my personal credit." After briefly outlining the history of The Nation article,

SOUTH AFRICA AND THE WORLD REVOLUTION

(Continued from page 1)

abandoned and large numbers of Asians permitted to enter Australia, it would mean the end of Christianity in this country. And yet every Church leader who joins the demand that the Europeans in South Africa, and other parts of the "black continent," share political power with the natives, is in fact demanding the end of what Christian influence has been exerted in that country.

DR. NKRUMAH AND CHRIST

The proof of what "independence" really means at this stage of native development has been strikingly provided in Ghana, the "black democracy," so often praised by the world's press and the internationalists. Before he obtained power, Dr. Nkrumah's supporters were assured that his spirit moved abroad at night in the form of a white cat, and that those voting for him would have free rides on the public buses for the rest of their lives. Having obtained power, he dealt with his opponents by throwing them into prison—others fled out of the country — and only recently proposed to have them whipped. We cannot recall Church leaders or the press denouncing Dr. Nkrumah, who appears to be one of the most important of the black stooges being used by the world revolutionaries. And now Nkrumah not only equates himself with Christ by the issuing of cards containing his photo along with Christ's; he purports to interpret Christ! If Christian leaders are prepared to accept this type of blasphemous activity as proof that the cause of Christianity will be advanced by yielding still further to the demands of the Nkrumahs of Africa, then it is clearly time for Christian realists everywhere to take serious stock of the situation. Case after case could be quoted of Africans who have been provided with a Western education, who allegedly had been civilised, and who have then demonstrated that the difference between them and the European is not merely the colour of their skin. The vile creature who directed Mau Mau activities, Jomo Kenyatta, was allegedly well educated and civilised. Every Christian who allows his grasp of reality of Truth, to be clouded by the type of emotionalism so prevalent at the moment is assisting the forces of the Anti-Christ to use him as a tool. The Truth shall make us free, we were told. But there is little Truth.

THE FINAL ACT IN THE WORLD DRAMA

The most fatal mistake that Europeans everywhere today can make is to feel that they can lend their support in resolutions of protest and other activities directed against the Europeans in

Africa, and not ultimately be forced to accept the responsibility of their actions. The situation could not be far ahead in time when the Nkrumahs will have sufficient representatives in the United Nations, one of the principal centres of the world revolutionaries, to write finish to Western Christendom. The stage is being set for what could be the final drama in the history of Western Christendom. But this is still a spiritual world and sufficient dedicated men and women who cannot be cowed by the comparative smallness of their numbers, who firmly believe that the spirit can always triumph over the material, can still prove a decisive force in the developing crisis. As this year of destiny progresses, and the forces of revolution everywhere grow in fury, we appeal to all supporters to ask themselves if they are doing everything in their power to ensure that the cause of Truth prevails in all spheres. When that happens unnatural conflicts between the races of the world will end. Stability and organic growth and development will make revolutionary activities impossible.

FLUORIDATION OR FREEDOM IN LAUNCESTON

(Continued from page 3.)

Mr. Butler went on: "A lot of money has been spent on this campaign of smearing me, and I think that Alderman Turnbull should explain his fellow Aldermen and to the public of Launceston why he should at this stage seize upon the same weapon as the Communists in an attempt not to discuss facts about fluoridation but to try to undermine my personal prestige. It would be interesting to know who supplied Alderman Turnbull with an article which was first published approximately six months ago."

REAL BATTLE TO START

Although the Launceston fluoridators are at present smugly satisfied that they have successfully defied all opposition, there is plenty of evidence that the real battle has not yet started. Mr. Butler found amongst all sections of the community strong opposition to the principle of compulsory medication. As yet there is comparatively little awareness of what the proposal really means. But this awareness is already growing and, providing there are a few with sufficient initiative, there is little doubt that Launceston's totalitarian Aldermen will yet realise (that they must answer politically for their own decision to make fluoridation a political issue. It is to be hoped that Launceston citizens follow the lead of the citizens of Andover, England, where Councillors supporting fluoridation were progressively voted out of the Council.