

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 26, No. 20

23rd September 1960

EDITORIAL

COMMUNIST STRATEGY FOR CONQUERING AUSTRALIA

One of the most successful Communist tactics in furthering their drive for world conquest has been their subtle exploitation of large numbers of sincere idealists on such issues as race relationships. Prominent American Negro spokesmen have exposed the Communist influence behind the racial troubles in the U.S.A., while in Africa the Communists are playing a decisive role in what has now developed into open revolution.

The growing intensity of the Australian Communists' attack upon the White Australia policy is directly related to High Communist policy concerning the conquest of Australia, as made clear in the following report in *The Bulletin*, Sydney, of August 17, by Dr. W. G. Goddard, eminent authority on the Far East:

During a recent visit to the Far East, where I spend part of each year, I learned some things, which should interest my fellow-Aussies. Somewhere in South-east Asia (it is not wise to disclose locality) I contacted again a former official of the Peking Foreign Office. He had been trained in Moscow, had been on close terms with Mao Tse-tung and Liu Shao-chi, and for a period was an associate of Minister of Personnel, An Tzu-wen.

When Peking leased Yai-chow Bay in Hainan Island to Russia as a submarine-base, he was appointed liaison between the Chinese and Russians there. He never carried out the assignment, as he defected and left Communist China. I met him in 1958 and have since kept up our association.

During our latest contact in January this year he explained to me why Red China is so keen on entering U.N. Peking plans to use the U.N. to become leader of the Afro-Asian Group. If and when this has been achieved, Red China will attempt to use the U.N. to force Australia to open its door to Asian migration on the pretext that population-pressure in South-east Asia and China in particular can become a threat to peace. Objective is to migrate selected groups from Red China to North Australia as the spearhead of future aggression.

Red China plans to use the U.N. for its own sinister ends, very much as General Mark Clark pointed out in his radio interview, which was written-up in *The Bulletin* of April 27. Indeed, all that the General said was in line with what my Chinese friend, the former Peking official, told me. Right to the letter.

He also told me that the Indonesian plan to transfer

the population of the islands between Java and West New Guinea to areas throughout Java and settle selected groups of Reds in those islands was drawn-up in Peking during the time he was at the Foreign Office. That plan is now being carried out. Those islands, where many Aussies are buried, and whose people were our firm allies during the last war, are now virtually in the hands of the Reds. The former Christian population is being scattered, far and wide, many miles away.

One journal here in London reports that Red agents from those islands are now working among the Fak Fak natives in West New Guinea.

Australia needs to wake-up to the Red danger from the north, or we shall all lose our inheritance. Seato cannot save us, as it does not operate in the field in which the Chinese Reds are scoring their major victories. As for Anzus, it remains to be seen whether U.S.A. would honour its commitment if Moscow threatened to bomb U.S.A. cities if that country interfered with Red Chinese moves.

Dr. Goddard concludes by saying that "The one immediate weapon Australia can use is its vote at U.N. to keep Red China out." Unfortunately, however, it is only a matter of time before Red China is seated in the United Nations. The anti-colonial campaign and the premature granting of "independence" to peoples who have barely emerged from barbarism, means that the voting strength of the Afro-Asian bloc in the United Nations is being progressively increased. The Communists are confident from past experience that the Afro-Asian bloc will eventually provide the numbers to obtain recognition for Communist China.

It becomes clearer every day that the United Nations is a Communist instrument for furthering world conquest, and that the non-Communist nations should withdraw from it before it is too late. Unless Australia takes this action, she will shortly find the United Nations being used to exert pressure on her to modify the traditional policy of maintaining a completely homogeneous European population.

NEWS COMMENTARY

The Gluckman Case: In a telegram to the Prime Minister, Mr. Menzies, the Australian League of Rights strongly supported the Government's firm stand on the Gluckman case. The disturbing aspect of this case was the manner in which the Universities, the daily press, and the so-called liberals of the community, all swung into action against the Government as if directed from one source. This common action, and the specious and misleading arguments used, provides further evidence of how the forces of subversion work.

We do not pretend to know the specific reason why the Government decided not to allow Professor Gluckman to go to New Guinea, but we have every confidence that the Security Services supplied sufficiently good reason for the ban. In spite of the fact that it is claimed on Professor Gluckman's behalf that he became disillusioned about Communism with the brutal repression of the Hungarian revolt in 1956, there is no evidence that he has repudiated any of his views on racial questions, or has disassociated himself from various pro-Communist activities. His views on the Mau Mau terror in Kenya are typical of his attitude towards the "wicked colonials."

Professor Gluckman is a South African Jew and his wife, also a Communist until 1956, has been recently visiting Israel. The most dangerous of the arguments advanced by those attacking the Government is that Professor Gluckman is an "eminent" anthropologist and that Australia is doing herself a great harm in the eyes of the world by excluding such a scientist from New Guinea. We recall that Alger Hiss, top adviser to Roosevelt at the infamous Yalta Conference, and first Secretary-General of the United Nations, was an "eminent" American. Adequate security measures should apply to "eminent" people as well as to everyone else.

While agreeing that it is desirable that some machinery be established which would enable individuals to seek redress against what they consider unjust Security decisions—providing such machinery does not allow the effectiveness of the Security Services to be attacked and undermined—it is essential to bear in mind that it was not Mr. Hasluck who publicised the Security decision, but Professor Gluckman's supporters. Did those who sponsored the campaign against the Government know that their action was safe because of the Government's well-known policy of not exposing the Security Service to any public examination?

Dr. Coombs and Inflation: The recent statement by Dr. H. C. Coombs, Governor of the Reserve Bank of Australia, concerning the danger of continued price increases, suggests that Dr. Coombs, a Socialist, is engaged in a "softening-up" campaign for the imposition of fur-

their centralised financial controls. Dr. Coombs complains that, "Instead of resisting inflation, many sections of the community still accepted continued price increases as inevitable."

It is not surprising that many sections of the community regard further price increases as a certainty and are attracted towards various forms of speculation in an attempt to protect the declining value of their money. The very Government which Dr. Coombs has played a leading role in advising since 1949 has not only failed to stop prices rising; it has, through its spokesmen, admitted in recent years that it is following a policy of "controlled inflation." This policy has had the endorsement of Dr. Coombs.

If Dr. Coombs has any practical specific proposals which the individual, whoever he may be, can use to halt inflation, then he should bring them forward and not further his campaign which suggests that inflation is caused by the "wicked exploiters" in the community.

The Strange Story of Adolf Eichmann: Whatever is the real purpose of the worldwide publication of the articles which purport to reveal the story of Adolf Eichmann, the authors reveal what has been known to some for a long time: that numbers of Jews collaborated with the Nazis. It is known that many collaborated even in the concentration camps and helped liquidate their fellow-Jews. Although this matter has received some publicity in Israel, the full story of what could be a major sensation has never been told. Well-informed students of this question are asking whether the Eichmann case is designed to uncover and to remove all those who had first-hand experience of Jewish collaboration with the Nazis in some of the concentration camps.

If it is argued that some Jews collaborated in order to save their own lives, then the same defence must be accepted from Germans who acted under instructions from their senior officials. The true story of what happened in Europe during the war, including the much-glamorised activities of the underground movements, has yet to be told.

Communist Traitors and Sex Perversion: The revelation that at least one of the two Americans who recently defected to Soviet Russia was a homosexual again draws attention to the exploitation of sex perversion in the break up of Western Christendom.

Khrushchev Permitted to Visit Wall Street: It may be significant that the rigid travel ban on Khrushchev while

(Continued on page 4)

AMERICAN GENERAL ANSWERS LORD MONTGOMERY ON RED CHINA

Following Field Marshal Viscount Montgomery's visit to Red China, and the worldwide circulation of his articles outlining his impressions of Communism in China, the eminent American General, A. C. Wedemeyer, author of the famous report on China which was suppressed for years by the American Government, commented as follows:

Field Marshal Viscount Montgomery deservedly won the acclaim and gratitude of the British People and of the Allied nations for his outstanding achievements in World War II. I have been privileged to know the Field Marshal—not intimately, but sufficiently well to admire his intellectual integrity, exemplary leadership, and sound judgment in military tactics. However, his recent comments concerning Red China convince me that this fine old soldier should relax on his laurels within the soldiers' domain and avoid the pitfalls within the international arena.

I find it difficult to rationalise the fact that the loquacious Field Marshal is oblivious to the problems created by Communist China and their relation to the future status of the entire Far East, including Hong Kong-Kowloon. I am reminded of Katherine Mayo who, after a brief visit to India many years ago, became a self-styled expert concerning the diverse problems in "Mother India." Similarly, Lord Montgomery made a four-day visit to a country extending over 4,000,000 square miles, within whose borders live more than half a billion people, where he was exposed to the arch conspirators Mao Tse-tung and Chou En-lai—both sly and shameless, both particularly adept at distorting facts. He expressed the following provocative views concerning his visit:

"China is commonly supposed to be a nation of unhappy and depressed people, downtrodden and exploited by ruthless leaders and starved into submission, with fear abroad throughout the land. This may be true of the old China, but this is not true of the new China. Such ignorant comment about China does immense harm to the affairs of the Western world . . . too many people exploit falsehood as truth and imaginaries as realities."

The Field Marshal continued: "I saw in Communist China everywhere a happy, laughing people—cheerful, friendly, and seemingly contented with their lot."

Obviously he is unaware of ample evidence concerning the massive famines in China of recent date and the official police action required to crush ruthlessly the dissident elements rioting for food. Nor has he heard the testimony of Chinese refugees in Hong Kong and Macao, where over 300 are slipping across the borders daily to leave the land that Lord Montgomery describes so glowingly.

He expressed admiration for the Communist leaders Mao Tse-tung and Chou En-lai. During World War II, I had occasional contacts with these two men, as I had with others of both the Nationalist and Communist Parties. Of course, the Red leaders were charming and

disarming with the British Field Marshal. But there is irrefutable proof, which is available throughout the free world and therefore to Montgomery, that they are crafty, rapacious individuals who operate completely within the discipline of the Communist movement.

The American labor organisation AFL-CIO conducted a thorough research and analysis of conditions on mainland China. This revealed approximately 14,000,000 people have been killed by the Communist regime in the last decade: a Congressional committee estimated that 20,000,000 had been killed in the same period; and the Free Chinese Government on Taiwan estimated that upward of 40,000,000 have been murdered by the "benevolent" regime which so favorably impressed the British Field Marshal.

Naively Lord Montgomery accepted Mao Tse-tung's statement that there is widespread hatred of the United States throughout China and that this had its genesis at the time of the Marshall Mission in 1945. Mao Tse-tung expressed Chinese resentment of United States interference in the affairs of the nation. If the British Field Marshal were knowledgeable concerning the facts, he would have, as a respected representative of the Western world, pointed out that the Americans were in China by invitation of responsible officials of the recognised government. Then he might have properly asked if the Chinese Communist had been likewise invited into Tibet, Indo-China, Malaya, India and Korea—all recent victims of naked Chinese Communist aggression.

Suggesting that the free world has nothing whatever to fear from Red China, the British Field Marshal stated, "China has far too much on her own plate to want to expand outwardly, either physically or ideologically." Viewed realistically, China must expand in some direction, for her arable land is much too limited to provide for an ever-increasing population, already denied sufficient shelter, food, and sources of employment. Furthermore, every avowed Communist is pledged to spread the Marxist doctrine, by fair means or foul, and the Red Chinese are no exception.

Finally, our British friend suggested that Taiwan (Formosa) should be handed over to Red China. I wonder what his reaction would be to a suggestion, which I do not, of course, make seriously, that Hong Kong be handed over to Red China or that North Ireland be handed over to the Republic of Ireland?

To be subjected to Communist Chinese propaganda emanating from Peking, Moscow, and other Communist centres is a daily experience throughout the free world, but to have it dished up and articulated by a distinguished military leader of the West is indeed difficult to swallow.

NEWS COMMENTARY

(Continued from page 1)

he is in the U.S.A. does not preclude him from going to the Wall Street financial section. It is certainly significant that the U.N. Secretary-General, Mr. Dag Hammarskjöld, has warmly welcomed Khrushchev's visit to the United Nations. He said. "I welcome the visit by Mr. Khrushchev. I see in it a recognition of the key role of the U.N. organisation at the present juncture in world affairs."

The Communist leaders have always appreciated the "key role" of the U.N. in the struggle for the world.

American Policy Gave Cuba to Castro: The American Secretary of State, Mr. Herter, has naturally denied the charges by a Senate sub-committee which has recently issued a report blaming State Department officials and sections of the American press for helping Castro and the Communists to win power in Cuba. But the truth is that Castro was helped by powerful groups and individuals in the U.S.A. in a very similar manner to that which brought the Communists to power in China.

The questioning of Mr. Herter by members of the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee revealed that the American Secretary of State was ignorant of what some officials had done in the State Department, or he was attempting to "cover up" in the same way that one of his predecessors, Mr. Dean Acheson, Alger Hiss's friend did.

Amongst the American papers, which supported Castro, was *The New York Times*.

Another American Traitor: The late Senator McCarthy was smeared as a "witch hunter." Unfortunately, he was prevented from continuing his "witch hunting," otherwise he might have exposed Martin and Mitchell before they fled to Russia with valuable security information. The existence of another Communist "witch" has now been revealed. Senator Kenneth Keating, a member of the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee, has revealed how a former Boston University professor and war-time intelligence officer, Dr. Maurice H. Halperin, is now working for the Soviet Government, advising them on Latin-American affairs, on which he is an authority. In 1953 Halperin refused to tell Senate investigators whether he was ever a member of the Communist Party.

The True Purpose of Life: Dr. J. R. Darling's recent George Adlington Sym oration at the formal opening of the 1960 congress of the College of Surgeons in Adelaide

contained a number of profound observations concerning the purpose of life. Dr. Darling, who is the retiring head of Geelong Grammar School, said that examinations at school and sport have become ends in themselves.

Dr. Darling continued: "In the business world, making money, which should be secondary and merely evidence that the community's needs are being supplied successfully, is taken without question as the purpose of life. Whether we admit it or not in so many words, we have accepted as the only standard of success this materialistic conception. Boys go to school and university not to be educated, but to acquire qualifications with which to improve their social or economic position. This has a damnable influence on education; and we, the educators, have for too long chosen the easy course of submission."

We trust that Dr. Darling's fellow-educators, particularly those responsible for Church schools, start to meet the challenge of materialistic social, political, and economic policies which force educators to accept the policy of over-specialisation. If there is to be a re-birth of civilisation from the present developing collapse of Western civilisation, it is desperately necessary that the question concerning the true purpose of man and life be realistically faced. If as the Christian believes, the true purpose of life is self-development through exercise of free will, then it is clear that all systems must be made subservient to individual purpose. To claim, for example, that the primary objective of the economic system is to provide "full employment," elevates functionalism into an end in itself instead of a means to an end.

All policies, which restrict genuine freedom of choice, are an attack upon the Christian conception of man's purpose being self-development.

SUBSCRIPTION TO *THE NEW TIMES*

Yearly Rate: £2, post-free; 6 Months: £1, post-free.

Make all cheques, money orders or postal notes payable to New Times Ltd.

Address: Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Office:
430 Bourke Street, Melbourne, C.I. Phone: 67 - 2834

CODNER BROS.

Builders and Joinery Manufacturers
Homes and Home Sites Available
WHEATSHEAF ROAD, GLENROY