

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 27, No. 6

MARCH 24, 1961

EDITORIAL

AUSTRALIA NOW TO REPLACE SOUTH AFRICA IN THE DOCK?

Well may Australia's Prime Minister, Mr. Menzies have expressed himself as "deeply troubled" following Dr. Verwoerd's dignified announcement that he was forced to withdraw South Africa's application to remain a member of the British Commonwealth of Nations because of the insistence of Afro-Asian members that they had a right to interfere in the domestic policies of other members. Mr. Menzies must know that Australia will next be put into the dock and an attempt made to make this country plead guilty to a policy of "racialism." Already Nkrumah of Ghana has been reported as expressing the view that Australia's racial policies were nearly as bad as those of South Africa. We have been warned of what is to come.

In his statement withdrawing South Africa from the British Commonwealth, Dr. Verwoerd predicted that his step was "the beginning of the disintegration of the Commonwealth." We sincerely trust that Dr. Verwoerd's prediction is correct, because the continuation of the present association serves no purpose other than that of the rising worldwide revolution. The association is a mask for blatant hypocrisy when a cheap little political crook like Nkrumah, who has ruthlessly persecuted the Ashanti minority in Ghana, and who has thrown his political opponents into prison, can use it to talk about "racial persecution" in other members of the Commonwealth. That smug humbug Nehru waxes indignant about a Government, which has done far more in practical terms for the Bantu peoples than he has ever done for the tens of millions of untouchables in India. The Hindu caste system practises the most rigid social and physical segregation of its ninety or more castes. This segregation is practised, not by the white man upon the coloured man, but by the coloured man upon his fellow coloured man. And as for Mrs. Bandaranaike of Ceylon, who came to office with the support of the Communists, she should be the last to talk about segregation in South Africa. Her Government has legislated against the Tamil minority, who has been systematically persecuted ever since Ceylon obtained "independence", while religious persecution of the Christians is being vigorously pursued. In spite of verbal promises from the Government, on January 1 all religious schools in Ceylon were confiscated by the State without compensation. Christianity is to be destroyed completely.

These and similar facts give the lie to all the humbug concerning the alleged necessity of all members of the British Commonwealth adopting and obeying high moral principles. What moral principles were invoked when the Republic of Cyprus, under the Presidency of the infamous Archbishop Makarios, was readily admitted to the Commonwealth without any questions asked? It is only a short time ago that spokesmen for the present British Government stated that they had definite evidence that Archbishop Makarios was personally responsible for much of the murder and terror directed against the British and their womenfolk. But today, Makarios, unlike Verwoerd, is

welcomed by the apostles of two-faced morality. Makarios lost no time upon his arrival in England to demonstrate the depth of hypocrisy in these degenerate times. The promoter of cold-blooded murder said that apartheid was "repugnant and condemnable". All that is now required is that Jomo Kenyatta, the instigator of the terror and unprintable filth of the Mau Mau, should arrive at the next Commonwealth Conference as President of Kenya, and also join in the campaign of condemnation of "racialism" on moral grounds. As South Africa can no longer be criticised as a fellow-member of the Commonwealth, the campaign will have to be directed against the Europeans in Central Africa and, of course, against Australia.

The surrender of the British Empire was accomplished under the cry that the Empire "was not breaking up, but was growing up". But it is now clear that the forces of international subversion destroyed the Empire and the guidance of the British as a prelude to bringing into being a Commonwealth whose non-European members could be then used to destroy completely the European members. Karl Marx said that the British would never make their own revolution, and that foreigners would have to make it for them. And so, under the plea that there must be no racial discrimination, Mr. Macmillan allows a flood of West Indians into England to create a growing social problem, which is exploited in the interests of revolution. Like the Africans, the West Indians are of course merely pawns being used.

Dr. Verwoerd has made it clear that at least there is still one European political leader who is not prepared to yield to the forces of subversion and revolution, who is prepared to use a robust and manly language: "National pride and self-respect are attributes of any sovereign independent State." This is the type of language we would like to hear from our own political leaders as Australia's domestic policies come under attack. We desperately require men who will not be swayed by a spurious "world opinion", who refuse to heed the world's press as it helps the Communist revolution with talk about "the winds of change

(Continued on page 4)

THE "UNITED" NATIONS IS A COMMUNIST TRAP

The following is the text of an important new brochure being issued by the Australian League of Rights in its nation-wide campaign to show Australians that their sovereignty is being threatened by the creation of a World Police State through the "United" Nations:

The overwhelming majority of those idealists who think that the United Nations Organisation was created to avert world conflict and to advance "the Brotherhood of Man" forget that this Organisation was in fact brought into existence with the active co-operation of the Soviet leaders. The Communists readily accepted the idea of a World Organisation, and definite arrangements were made at the Yalta Conference to launch the new body. Considerable preliminary work had already been done. *Alger Hiss, the secret Communist agent who played a decisive role in all the promotional work associated with the United Nations, was the first Secretary-General and helped draft the Charter.* In the book he wrote attempting to whitewash himself after he came out of prison. Hiss stated that his work, which gave him the greatest pleasure to contemplate, was that connected with the creation of the United Nations.

Professor S. De Madariaga, the famous Spanish Liberal, has warned that "The United Nations Charter is in the main a translation of the Russian system into an international idiom and its adaptation to an international community . . . UNO bore upon its brow from the very beginning the mark of Moscow."

Immediately the United Nations and subsidiary organisations like UNESCO were created, known American Communists and fellow travellers flocked in to fill important posts in this new international bureaucracy.

According to a report of an American Judiciary Subcommittee Hiss secretly recommended nearly 500 people for U.N. employment. Many of these were employed and later were exposed as Communists. Numerous experts on Communism have testified that the Communists regard the United Nations as one of their major instruments for conquering the world. How can any organisation genuinely advance the cause of peace and justice when powerful members of that organisation are openly pledged to work for revolution and world tyranny? Let us briefly examine the history of this organisation and see how it has consistently been used to advance International Communism:

The first test came when the Political Zionists, with the active support of the Communists, first forced the British out of Palestine and then drove hundreds of thousands of Arabs from the country in which they had lived for thousands of years. The United Nations not only was unable, or unwilling to attempt to prevent this aggression, but when the Zionists proclaimed the new State of Israel, the Communist delegates, together with the American delegates, voted to recognise Israel. The United Nations not only endorsed aggression, but immediately strengthened anti-Western feeling amongst the Arabs, whom the Communists then proceeded to woo with dramatic success.

COMMUNISTS WON KOREAN WAR

When the Korean War broke out, there was the remarkable spectacle of the United Nations allegedly being the instrument through which Communist aggression was being fought. Communist representation continued in the United Nations right throughout the Korean War, while Communist influence in the Truman Administration ensured that General MacArthur was not permitted to win. The story of the Korean War would be unbelievable if it were not for the fact that it has been fully told in official Government hearings in the U.S.A. MacArthur was eventually removed from his position because he insisted on defeating the Chinese by striking at their bases. His subsequent revelations can be read by anyone sufficiently interested. General Van Fleet also wrote of his experiences as Commander of the U.S. Eighth Army in Korea, claiming that time and time again he was prevented from winning when he had the enemy on the point of collapse. If a strong stand had been taken in Korea, it is highly probable that the Chinese Communists would have collapsed, because at that stage they had not consolidated their grip on the Chinese people. The overwhelming majority of Chinese prisoners of war taken in the Korean War freely elected to go to Formosa rather than return to Communist China. After over four years of warfare, the final result in Korea was an armistice, which was in fact a tremendous propaganda victory for the Communists right throughout Asia. *The Communists were the real victors in Korea, because of their subversive methods in the U.S.A. and the United Nations.*

We should at this point mention that when the Charter of the United Nations was being explained to the American Senate by one of the framers, the Russian-born Leo Pasvolosky (claimed by some to have been another secret Communist) no reference was made concerning the military establishment of the new organisation. Molotov and Hiss had made a "deal" that the Head of the United Nations staff would always be a Communist! Up until the present time there have been six such heads, five Russians and one Yugoslav. During the period General MacArthur was in command of the United Nations forces in Korea, the Russians Sobelov and Zynchenko were heads of the U.N. Military Staff and therefore knew of instructions to MacArthur, which they undoubtedly passed immediately to the Communist commanders in North Korea.

1. *In The Cause of Peace* (p. 45), by Trygvie Lie, former Secretary-General of the United Nations.

THE HUNGARIAN UPRISING

It is true that when the Hungarian uprising of 1956 shook the Communist world, and threatened an internal collapse, the United Nations did pass a resolution condemning the aggression. But no real action was taken or even threatened. Eventually an excellent report was prepared, but primarily through the efforts of one man only, the Danish diplomat Bang-Jensen, who defeated attempts to include errors of fact, which would have enabled the Communists to destroy the report. A large number of Hungarians gave Bang-Jensen information on the understanding that he would never reveal their names. Immediately the report on the Hungarian uprising and its suppression by the Russians was published, the Communists set in motion a vicious smear campaign against Bang-Jensen. The next step was a demand that Bang-Jensen hand over to the United Nations the names of the Hungarians who had supplied information. This meant handing over the names to the Communists in the various Departments in the United Nations. Bang-Jensen refused to break his word, eventually burning the paper containing the names on the roof of the United Nations. Mr. Bang-Jensen was then removed from his position with the United Nations, without a trial, by the present Secretary-General, Mr. Hammarskjold, who talks a great deal about justice. Bang-Jensen tried to get redress, but the smear campaign against him was continued. Eventually his mind broke under the strain and he apparently committed suicide.

THE SUEZ DISASTER

The attitude taken towards the British over the Suez incident in 1956 was in striking contrast to that adopted on the Hungarian question. The Eden Government's attempt to return to Suez was a desperate attempt to prevent growing Communist influence through Nasser in Egypt, and to stabilise the Middle East. The British attempt was defeated, not by force of arms, but by the threats of financial and economic sanctions from the policy makers in the U.S.A., whose actions was a godsend to the Communists. The final result was to leave the Suez under Nasser's control. *Russian pilots have worked on the Suez ever since.* The defeat of the British on the Suez question was undoubtedly also responsible for the Iraqi revolution in the following year, 1957, when the pro-Western Government was wiped out and the Royal family murdered. This revolution was another major victory for the Communists who are now increasing their influence right throughout the Middle East. Their major objective is undoubtedly to deprive the Western European nations of vital oil supplies, thus producing a revolutionary situation.

NONSENSE ABOUT THE "NEW NATIONS"

The most dangerous development in the United Nations is the rapidly growing number of so-called new nations. Genuine self-government for peoples who are capable of governing themselves is a most desirable ideal, but premature independence under the pressure of "world opinion" and subversive influences exploiting the idealism of many Americans, is not only putting the clock of civilization back in Africa and creating the chaos so loved by the Communists it is providing representation in the United

Nations for new "nations" which provide increasing votes for the Communists. Even the much publicised "model democracy" in Ghana has become a corrupt dictatorship, with Dr. Nkrumah emerging as a willing stooge for the Communists. The full story of the tragedy of the Congo has yet to be told, but any suggestion that the United Nations has done anything to thwart Communist plans is contrary to the whole pattern of events since the Belgians, under pressure, left this vast African territory. Communist threats to withdraw from the United Nations were splendid diversionary tactics. The Communists are not going to withdraw from an organisation which is serving their ends, and in which they will soon have the numbers to increase the pressure on other countries to modify their internal policies. Communist agents amongst the United Nations officials who have prepared reports on New Guinea, have been responsible for the pressure on Australia to grant "independence" to peoples who as yet have no sense of nationhood and who in some areas are still eating one another. We should record here that the first Premier of the new "nation" of Gabon, formerly a French colony, is Leon M'ba, *who served four years in prison for eating his mother-in-law!*

If the United Nations is going to be stacked with people like this, and if the Western European nations are going to accept and act upon decisions of this international organisation, then clearly it is only a very short time before Communist domination will be complete. They will be able to command the numbers.

Those who think that the premature withdrawal of the European Powers from their colonial territories is assisting in any way to halt the Communist advance, should ponder the significance of that part of the Moscow Declaration late in 1960 by 81 Communist Parties which hails the retreat of Western Colonialism as *"a development ranking second in historic importance only to the formation of the World Socialist System."*

COMMUNIST TRAP

It is surely obvious now that, just as a number of people warned at the inception of the United Nations, this organisation is a Communist trap. Before the trap is sprung tight, the non-Communist nations of the West should withdraw from the United Nations and create their own alliances, based upon the genuine sovereignty of the nations concerned. The idea of international co-operation in many spheres of human activity is an excellent one, providing that it does not impinge upon the genuine independence of nations. But genuine co-operation is impossible with Communists because they only "co-operate" to destroy. This is a basic feature of Leninist teaching.

The Constitution of the Communist Party of the United States (1945) stated that "the course of peace and progress require the solidarity of all freedom-loving peoples *and the continued and ever closer co-operation with the United Nations*" (Italics supplied). In 1957, this reference to the United Nations was expanded to read, "and the strengthening of the United Nations as a universal instrument of peace". Nothing could be clearer concerning Communist intentions.

Australia Now to Replace South Africa?

(Continued from Page 1)

now blowing through the world', and "the whole trend of history." (*Vide* the Melbourne *Herald's* special subversive editorial, "South Africa Treks Alone", in its issue of March 16).

Dr. Verwoerd's courageous act, one which naturally "stunned" Nkrumah and his ilk because they are not used to dealing with European politicians who possess such strength of character, will not only help preserve European civilization in South Africa, but may, if it results in Australia next being placed in the dock because of its racial policy, lead to the European nations of the Commonwealth revolting against the proposed destruction and re-organising themselves in a new British structure centred around the British Crown. The Nkrumahs and Nehrus should be allowed to go their way and to demonstrate just how much their "independence" really means.

It would be ironic if Dr. Verwoerd, whose Republicanism we understand but do not approve, should by his act last week set in motion events which cause the European members of a once-great Empire to rediscover their souls before it is too late. We trust that Mr. Menzies' "deeply troubled" mind is now starting to come to grips with this matter and that he refuses to compromise with Australia's very being as a nation as the pressures of revolution are applied.

U.S.A. AND RUSSIA UNITE AGAIN

Once again the policy makers in the U.S.A. and Soviet Russia are in open agreement. They have always agreed that the "wicked colonial powers" should cease their "exploitation" in Africa. Having got the British and the French well on the run, and the Belgians out of the Congo, they are now giving increasing attention to the Portuguese. Last week in the Security Council at the "United" Nations, the Soviet and America were the only two major nations to vote for a resolution calling upon the Portuguese to permit an investigation of conditions in Angola and to start to adopt a programme of "progress." The stage is being set for another Congo, although Dr. Salazar, head of a small and poor country, continues to demonstrate that he is not prepared to throw Portuguese provinces into a revolutionary ferment and to set back the slow process of civilising primitive peoples. More Western politicians of the courage of Dr. Salazar are wanted in the testing times ahead.

"THE SECRET LIFE OF ERIC BUTLER"

The Story of Twenty Years of Character Assassination

This exposure of the persistent campaign to destroy Mr. Eric Butler reveals for the first time how the author was nearly interned as a result of Communist influence during the early war years. The truth about Dr. Evatt's Inquiry, and what Mr. Justice Dean said about Mr. Butler's work, is outlined.

Of considerable historical interest is the revelation of how Dr. Evatt first tried to woo Social Crediters in an attempt to defeat opposition to his campaign to destroy the Federal Constitution, and when this failed then played a prominent role in the campaign to smear Social Crediters.

In this book Mr. Butler answers in detail every charge and smear levelled against him in the widely distributed article, "The Secret Life of Eric Butler," and makes clear his views on a number of important issues, including the "Jewish Problem."

Order from New Times Ltd., Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Price 5/4, post-free.

INFLATION AND THE MORAL LAW

The Rev. Norman Hill's address on inflation at the 1960 Melbourne Anglican Synod has now been published in brochure form. It is important that this brochure be given the widest possible publicity, particularly in Church circles. Order from *New Times Ltd.*, Box 1226L, G.P.O. Melbourne. Price 5/-, post-free.

USE ENWITE SPECIALITIES

TEXTIT waterproofing compound. SOLVIT paint remover. AQUALAC wood putty. BRYNAC enamel for resisting water, acids and alkalis. FERROSOL, the rust killing paint. RUSTEX for removing rust from motor bodies and metal work. THERMEX, the silver paint.

ENWITE PTY. LTD.

84-86 Cromwell Street, Collingwood, Vic.

Phone: JA 5967.

CODNER BROS.

Builders and Joinery Manufacturers

Homes and Home Sites Available

WHEATSHEAF ROAD, GLENROY