

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 27, No. 8

APRIL 21, 1961

EDITORIAL

THE TREACHERY OF THE PRESS

A journalist who knows most prominent Australian press commentators has recently expressed the opinion to us that most of these men are pathological cases. They are completely cynical about the traditional values upon which Western Civilization was built, and they are possessed of a bitter hatred of their own kind. The European is now always wrong, and the coloured peoples modern George Washingtons fighting for glorious independence against brutal exploiters. When South African policemen fired at natives in order to protect their own lives, the world's press rang with a distortion of what actually happened. But when black barbarians from the Congo recently crossed the frontier and massacred men, women and children in Portuguese Angola, this barely raised a ripple of interest in the world's press.

The editor who wrote that the granting of votes to New Guinea natives who could not read nor write was much more laudable than Dr. Verwoerd's policy of providing schools and hospitals for natives, was demonstrating the type of warped mentality so prevalent amongst many who help create "world opinion". But not only do so many of these press commentators and reporters suffer from warped minds; they consciously suppress and distort truth in order to further what can only be described as world revolution. And this policy of suppression and distortion clearly has the support of the controllers of the press. These controllers are traitors to their own Civilization. These are strong words, but let us now support them by irrefutable evidence that there is a deliberate policy of bearing false witness.

SUPPRESSED REPORT ON SHARPEVILLE

When the South African Judges hearing the treason trials recently found that the Government had not substantiated its charges, and ordered that all those involved be allowed to go free, the Australian press featured this news and published comments concerning the integrity of the South African Judiciary. The Judiciary was the only protection against the introduction of the Police State by the South African Government. And much more in similar strain. But when a senior and much respected member of this same South African Judiciary, Mr. Justice P. J. Wessels, brought down his report on the Sharpeville tragedy, the press ignored it. Why this suppression of a report concerning a subject which the press continues to feature? The answer is to be found by a study of the report itself. After an exhaustive investigation of all the evidence, Mr. Justice Wessels found that most of the allegations published by the world's press were not true. (Mr. Justice Wessels' report was published in the March issue of the League of Rights' *Intelligence Survey*.)

Further evidence of the treachery of the press is provided by a study of the vicious attacks upon the Australian Prime Minister, and the deliberate distortion of how South

Africa came to leave the British Commonwealth. The first example of the unreliability of the press reporting on the recent British Commonwealth Prime Ministers' Conference was provided when a story was featured that Mr. Menzies had now agreed that Red China should be recognised and admitted to the United Nations, and that the Prime Ministers' Conference had supported this viewpoint. This story was flatly denied by the Attorney General, Sir Garfield Barwick, at the time. The falsehood was probably inspired, as most of the Australian daily press strongly supports the recognition of Red China.

SOUTH AFRICA "PUSHED OUT"

Next came the dramatic news that South Africa was withdrawing its application for membership of the British Commonwealth as a Republic. Both Dr. Verwoerd and Mr. Menzies have given an account of what happened and there is no doubt that this account is an accurate one. South Africa was in fact "pushed out". Suggestions to the contrary are distortions. In his press statement in London on March 19, Mr. Menzies said, "I don't think he had any choice. I would have withdrawn his application in his place". In the course of this interview, the official report of which we have before us, Mr. Menzies developed the point quite clearly that, while he personally did not think that the South African policy of separate racial policy would be successful in the long run, he was not going to sit in judgment because of the principle of national sovereignty involved. Mr. Menzies has persistently maintained this viewpoint.

On his return to Australia, the Prime Minister was interviewed by the press at Sydney. Possibly because it has been slightly more moderate in its reporting than other papers, *The Age* (Melbourne), published a verbatim report of questions and answers. The significant portions of this interview were suppressed by every other daily paper in Australia. Mr. Menzies made it quite clear

(Continued on page 6)

THE DANGEROUS MYTH OF RACIAL EQUALITY

By D. WATTS

The psychological approach to the racial problem has been so crude, so mediaeval in its ignorance and fanaticism, that one cannot help wondering what sinister purpose it is serving among a presumably enlightened people. Of course the explanation may partly be that those taking it on themselves to regiment world opinion learnt their propaganda trade during the war years and suffer from the inadaptability of the second-rate. The attitude of "holy me and wicked thee" is useful enough when appealing exclusively to the "me's" in the hope of bolstering up their moral self-confidence and so strengthening their will-to-win: but when dealing with "thee's" as well as "me's" it simply serves to entrench the "thee's" in a sense of their own rightness. No man believes a thing unless he believes it is right. It is a weak man who surrenders his own honest convictions in order to be under the protection of conformists. The denouncer, if there be any sincerity in him, has only to think of what his own reactions would be were he subjected, with patronising moral arrogance, to the intentionally humiliating condemnation of his opposite, to know how little power denunciation has to convert.

"WORLD OPINION" OFTEN WRONG

A propagandist must surely have a poor argument if he must rely, as a number of present-day journalists do for any proof of his opponent's error on the fact that the latter is out of line with world opinion and is pursuing a lonely way. To be sure, the lonely way is the way of the criminal; but it is also the way of prophets and martyrs. World opinion has more often been wrong than right. Once it was opposed to science. Once it supported the burning of witches and those who refused to conform to official religious creeds. Once world opinion was that Might is Right and the splendid smasher of skulls a great hero. It could be wrong again.

Problems are not solved by slapping on arbitrarily determined solutions. Most unscientific has been the Galenian attempt to heal social and political hurts by administering to the patients doses of racial equality and political independence. There never should have been a racial problem in the first place. It was unnecessarily created by egalitarian propaganda and by leftism that is noxious because unadulterated.

The germ idea of racial equality was that a man should not be held inferior to another whose mental and moral equal he is, simply on account of a difference of race. That is fair and reasonable. Had thinking been allowed to develop along those lines, ideological infatuation would not be leading people to suppose that unjust equality is any better than unjust inequality. However, the sound first idea was seized upon by the egalitarians, and we were off.

Discarding all ideological fustian and examining the

idea in its nakedness, it can be seen that there is no implication of racial equality in it. There is advocated a relation between quality and status. That would eliminate the factor of race from consideration altogether. On the other hand, the doctrines of racial equality will not allow race to be ignored. It so fixes attention upon it that racial antagonisms are exacerbated almost beyond healing; and a fine job the propagandists are doing of scratching the wounds.

THE MYTH OF RACIAL EQUALITY

The thesis is that the whites are insulting oppressors, and the coloured peoples their much-injured victims so that the whites should learn to be tolerant of coloured peoples while the coloured peoples are justified in being intolerant of whites. Nothing that the whites have given the coloured peoples counts for anything; and evil behaviour on the part of the coloured, if responsibility for it cannot be laid upon some white scapegoat, is blandly ignored. Whatever that adds up to, it is neither racial tolerance nor racial equality.

In practice, racial equality is a chimera. If there be not white supremacy, there will be, not racial equality, but coloured supremacy. History and present human reality generally indicate that government by coloured peoples is more cruel and oppressive, more corrupt and intolerant, than is white government. So why do so many white people want it for the world?

One reason is that people desire to be right and good. Those who must depend for their idea of what is good and bad upon what they are told naturally believe it when it is preached that Asians are admirable people and Negroes pathetic, frustrated beings, while white men are insolent task-masters, stupid in their insensitiveness and pride. The unanalytical proselytes, so anxious to be on the side of the angels, become the champions of the adulated coloured races. The alienation of natural loyalty does not result in adherence to a more worthy object, but simply brings about a transference of loyalty from one racial group to another. As is to be expected, the doctrine of white, racial moral inferiority strengthens those who do not accept it in their bitter determination to resist subjugation by coloured peoples.

So devoted are the subverted in their new, induced allegiance that they are disloyal to their own culture. That conception of justice which demands a fair presentation of both sides of a case before judgment is passed, so carefully nourished by men of their own race, and so anxiously preserved by them in codes and practices, is something the modern ideologist is willing to fling over the fence for the sake of the coloured darlings of his romantic imagining. That comes of dreaming of ideals with one's head in a bucket of unsubstantiated assumptions.

(Continued on Page 3)

The Dangerous Myth of Racial Equality

(Continued from page 2)

In common thinking, idealism, political or other, seems to be associated with noble feelings rather than with profound thought. If we set ourselves to do it, we can feel noble about anything. We can feel noble about throwing helpless women and children upon the ruthlessness of raping, torturing savages. We need only to call the business a demonstration of racial equality or a celebration of political independence or some other noble-sounding thing, for our emotions to be able to assure our consciences that it is all done in the service of justice to backward races.

EQUALITY AND JUSTICE NOT IDENTICAL

Some propagandists, perhaps with but a dim idea of justice themselves, have actually managed to persuade quite intelligent men that justice and equality are identical. That is where the traditional idea of justice was lost. These equalitarian propagandists, these stand-ins for Astraea, can be seen, the bandage off and a heavy fist in one of the scales, bringing that latter down with a terrible thump on the side of their favourites. Justice, it appears, is something for proletarians, but not for the bourgeoisie: something for coloured, but not for white people.

The associating of equality and justice with race is silly: for neither has anything to do with it. Equality has to do with quality, and justice is in receiving what is due. Judging without fear or favour, it becomes apparent that it is just that those who sweat to plough and sow should enjoy the harvest: and that if they give out of their bounty, the recipients with any sense of justice would be grateful and not filled with spite because their own scanty sowing has rewarded them with but a scanty crop. Reference here is to primitive, not to civilised coloured people.

THE INTERNATIONAL CONCEPTION

Another reason for the idolising of coloured people, especially if they be horrid savages, is that the conception of democracy has been corrupted. "The People" has come to mean, not the people of the nation, but a particular class - - the lower classes for choice; but if none be really low, then the subordinate, employee classes. Government by the people is now understood to be government by the least cultured. That is called leftism.

The lowest type of individuals and the least cultured of the classes in a civilised community discover an affinity between themselves and the uncultured primitives. If the mentally, morally and economically inferior in a nation should govern, it is logical that national and racial inferiors should govern in the international sphere.

If there is not merely sentimental or egotistical identification with the backward people, but a genuine desire for their betterment and a true respect for our common humanity, then the right thing to do is to start off by encouraging them to have cultural, not political, aspira-

tions. In the history of civilisation, cultural development comes long before there is much political development. It cannot be the other way round. We have tied our international order into knots and imperilled what civilisation we have through trying to be cleverer than evolution.

SUBSCRIPTIONS HANDLED TO LOCAL AND OVERSEAS JOURNALS

New Times Ltd. is making arrangements to handle a number of local and overseas journals, which should be of interest, not only to our readers, but also to many outside our present circle of influence. For the present we draw attention to a comparatively new publication, *The Mankind Quarterly*. This excellent magazine deals with the facts of heredity, genetics, racial classifications, and the association of these with culture from prehistoric times to our own. A healthy antidote to the spurious science of UNESCO and similar organisations.

Specimen copies available on request. Subscription, 30/- per annum.

A Valuable Book on Africa

"The Vision Splendid", by C.

E. Lucas Phillips

This book, published in 1960 is most opportune. The author presents an authoritative and comprehensive picture of Central Africa and reveals the complete unreliability of the daily press to report honestly on African affairs. The book answers a large number of questions being asked at the present time. It contains a large number of excellent photos, maps, and four appendices. It is also indexed.

The best recommendation for this book is the fact that it has either been ignored or "written down" by the book reviewers. They found its irrefutable facts too unpalatable for their fixed and warped ideas.

Order from the Heritage Bookshop. 430 Bourke Street. Price 33/4, post-free.

THE EQUALITY DOGMA LEADS TO COMMUNISM

By D. Watts

In this brilliant essay the author exposes the equality dogma as one of the most dangerous of the twentieth-century myths, and one, which is paving the way for International Communism. This essay is a closely reasoned reply to the claim that "all men are equal" and a demonstration of the truth that the organisation of human beings into masses destroys the individual.

Order from The Heritage Bookshop, Box 1052J, G.P.O., Melbourne. Price 2/-, post-free.

The Treachery of the Press

(Continued from Page 1)

what he thought of the press. He trounced the questioner who brought up Sharpeville by asking him if he suggested that the South African Government had ordered natives to be shot. And he also reiterated that South Africa had been forced to leave the British Commonwealth because of pressure from the Afro-Asian nations. Mr. Menzies strongly inferred that the internal policies of some of these nations might also be criticised. If he had been in Dr. Verwoerd's shoes, he would have left the Prime Ministers' Conference much earlier than the South African Prime Minister. The press did not like this type of language, so they suppressed most of what Mr. Menzies said.

WHAT HAPPENED AT THE LONDON CONFERENCE

Mr. Menzies' statement in the Commonwealth Parliament last week could not, of course, be ignored. But sections of the press deliberately "played up" the Prime Minister's personal view that the South African racial policy would not be practical. Once again Mr. Menzies left no doubt that Dr. Verwoerd was prepared to accept the communiqué prepared by the Prime Ministers. After pointing out that he now thought that the position of South Africa had been settled, Mr. Menzies then said, "It was at this closing stage that several of the Prime Ministers disclosed a final line of attack. Two wanted the communiqué to conclude with the declaration that South Africa's policies were incompatible with the Commonwealth. Another agreed, and added that he reserved the right to move for expulsion, or to withdraw his own country. Another stated quite frankly that he would attack South Africa's policies and membership at every possible opportunity". Dr. Verwoerd then withdrew and later announced he would have to withdraw his application.

PRESS TREACHERY CAN BE DEFEATED

We are not impressed by the fact that both the Australian press and the Labor-Socialists are claiming that the British Prime Minister has given a different version of what happened, and that he has disagreed with the viewpoint of Mr. Menzies, not only concerning how South Africa left, but about the future of the British Commonwealth. Dr. Verwoerd has rightly described Harold MacMillan as an appeaser. And the revolutionaries applaud appeasers, even though they hold them in contempt. The appeasers are busy everywhere tacking their sails to suit the "winds of change". They are afraid of the press and its controllers. But the press is not all-powerful. Its treachery can be defeated if sufficient people are brought in touch with truth through journals like *The New Times* and the numerous books and booklets available. Once again we appeal to our present readers to make every endeavour to extend our influence. Those who know the truth and do not persistently proclaim it cannot rightly judge those who also know the truth, but who pervert or suppress it.

LEAGUE OF RIGHTS SUPPORTS PRIME MINISTER

The Australian League of Rights informed the Prime Minister, Mr. Menzies, upon his return to Australia, that it supported his stand in London on the South African question and trusted that he would not weaken on the issue of national sovereignties.

PREPARE NOW TO AVOID WINTER COLDS

Wise parents will now be taking steps to build up their children's resistance against winter colds and flu. Both vitamins A and C play a vital role in building resistance to infections. Most diets today are lacking in both these vitamins, while from now on there is less exposure to sunshine, thus making it desirable that vitamin D be taken in conjunction with vitamin A.

There is no need for fear concerning Asian flu attacks. The investment of a few shillings per week, which can easily be saved by cutting down on sugar and starch consumption, will help provide immunity, or lessen the severity of cold and flu attacks.

Vitamin C, 50 Milligrams	5/6 per 100
	24/- per 500
Vitamin C, 250 Milligrams	14/- per 100
	63/- per 500
Vitamin A and D. Each capsule contains 4.500 international units of A and 450 international units of D.....	8/6 per 100
	37/- per 500

Order from Dietary Health Products, 430 Bourke Street, Melbourne. P.O. Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Top quality health products at the lowest prices.

SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING CLASS

Once again we draw attention to the fact that the annual winter Social Credit training class under competent lecturers will shortly start. The date and place will probably be announced in our next issue. New Melbourne readers are specially invited to this course of eight weeks. Older readers are requested to make every endeavour to interest new contacts to come along. The course deals with the development of Social Credit from its earlier phases, when financial reform was of primary importance, to the current situation where politics, both national and international, are predominant.