

THE NEW TIMES

"Ye shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free"

Vol. 27, No. 12

Box 1226L, G.P.O., Melbourne. Phone MU 2834

June 16, 1961

COMMUNISM'S JEWISH AGENTS

Whether or not Jewish influence and control in Soviet Russia is as great as it was before the last world war may be legitimately debated. But it is blatant dishonesty and a manifestation of moral cowardice to ignore the fact that the Communist conspiracy against civilization has been ably served by a large number of Jewish espionage agents operating in the non-Communist countries.

The question of Communism's Jewish agents was commented upon in the Canadian Royal Commission's Report on Communist espionage, a Report that it is now almost impossible to procure. The overwhelming majority of those involved in the subversive activities revealed by the disclosures of the former Russian cipher clerk, Igor Gouzenko, were Jews. On page 82 of the Canadian Report the following observation appears:

"It is significant that a number of the documents from the Russian Embassy specifically note 'Jew' or 'Jewess' in entries on their relative Canadian agents or prospective agents, showing that the Russian Fifth Column leaders attached particular significance to this matter."

In view of the Canadian revelations, it would be natural for those charged with Western security to note carefully the fact that Jews were more readily attracted towards Communism than any other group of people. But there appears to be no evidence that the "significant" point made by the Canadian Report has ever been noted. Or, if it has, nothing effective has been done. This is undoubtedly the most significant aspect of this question.

When the Americans started to unearth some of the Communist conspiracy's espionage agents, the pattern of the Canadian revelations was repeated. The majority of those discovered were Jews, two of the most important being the Rosenbergs. In England there were Fuchs and Pontecorvo. As soon as Fuchs finished his term in prison, he left immediately for Communist Poland to join his father.

Any suggestion that Jews are no longer playing a decisive role in the Communist conspiracy, because of the alleged "anti-Semitism" in Russia, was shown to be completely untrue in the two British exposures this year. Five people were convicted of espionage in the Portland case, and three of these were Jews—Lonsdale and the two Krogers. Although it was impossible to disguise the fact that the Krogers were Jews, and at least one featured article in the Australian press admitted this fact, there was an almost complete black-out of the fact that Lonsdale was also a Jew.

Although the Portland case revealed a most serious breach of British military secrets, it was dwarfed by the shock of the George Blake case. On May 4 Blake was sentenced to 42 years' imprisonment after a trial, which could not be held in public because it concerned the betrayal of such vital national secrets. Blake held a key position in the British Foreign Office intelligence system for at least nine years. His activities undermined the British intelligence system in the whole of Western Europe and in the Middle East. The Australian who followed the Blake story through his daily paper could not help but gain the impression that George Blake, as his name implied, was of fine old British stock. We have not seen one reference to the fact that George Blake, like Fuchs, is a Jew and came to England as a refugee. George Blake was born Behar.

The shock of the Portland and Blake cases has forced the British Government to set up an investigation of British security. But it will be little short of miraculous if any questions are asked concerning the big proportion of Jews in Communist espionage. It is unbelievable that Blake was able to carry on as a top Communist agent with the British Foreign Office for so many years without protection. It is claimed by newspaper feature writers that Blake was brainwashed when taken a prisoner of war in Korea. But the manner in which Blake was treated by his captor when he allegedly attempted to escape, should have suggested to alert security personnel that there was something unusual about Blake.

Blake was operating as a top Communist agent with the Foreign Office at the same time as Maclean and Burgess. Burgess was definitely known to be a Communist long before he fled to Russia. But he was also protected. As he knew Lord Rothschild very well and shared a flat with him, it would be most appropriate for the present British Government investigation into security to ask Lord Rothschild did he never at any time have any suspicions about Burgess. And perhaps he could shed some light on his fellow Jew Blake. But such questions might get too close to the core of the problem. He would be a brave man who would raise, even in the most objective manner, the question of Jewish recruits to the Communist espionage systems.

(Continued on page 6)

GENOCIDE FOR THE WHITE RACES?

By D. WATTS

Part II

"What has the white man ever done for the Negroes?" The African Negroes have been taught to whine. That is the cry of an over-indulged child who has been led to believe that the adults should be his faithful servitors. A more pertinent question is, what did the Negroes do for themselves in all the thousands of years before the coming of the white man? They have been in the world just as long as other races. They had the same foundations on which to build. While the Europeans and Asians were civilising themselves, the Negroes made no effort and showed no desire or ability to lift themselves out of primitive conditions. It is not the white man's fault that they are what they are; it is their own. They have been trained by agitators who call themselves humanitarians to feel ill-used because the white people enjoy a higher standard of living than do the majority of Negroes; but the fine houses and conveniences, the good streets and cars, the schools and hotels and restaurants are not something that the whites took away from the Negroes, but something they, themselves, brought.

EVILS DISCREETLY IGNORED

Let us be kind and say that the Negroes are making a belated start and that probably in time they will equal the more civilised people. Yet one would still like to know why they are being incited to hate the whites that have shown some concern for their welfare. Why has a benevolent apartheid, even if the policy be disliked, been hysterically howled down by all the world while some far more inhumane Negro practices do not cause a ripple in world opinion? Why are there not in the Press headlined denunciations of the slavery that exists among Africans and some Middle Eastern peoples? Why are there not spectacular resolutions condemning an inflicted misery far, far greater than any caused by apartheid? Why is there not an attempt to organise a concerted effort to boycott those countries in which the evil exists? The whites tried slavery for a short while, but soon gave it up. Africans and some Asians have owned and traded slaves for centuries, and they resist all efforts to abolish the institution. These slavers are some of those who have had the face to condemn the comparatively mild practice of apartheid.

Why is there no worldwide expression of indignation about a custom that, among coloured people, never among whites, goes with slavery—that of castrating some of the males? Why are there no campaigns, demonstrations, resolutions and screeching against the blood-chilling inhumanity of female circumcision, so widespread among some Negro peoples? Beside some of the Negro customs, apartheid is as a mosquito to a funnel-web spider. Why the mob-hunt after the mosquito while the spider is left to use its venom? Humanitarianism cannot be the explanation.

HYPOCRISY CONCERNING SHARPEVILLE

Illuminating in all its starkness the present discrimination against whites is one of the most disgraceful exhibitions of this century—the furore over the Sharpeville shootings. If the shootings were necessary, it was bad. If they could have been avoided or there could have been fewer casualties, it was worse. But what causes one to be cynical about the flamboyant indignation is that the same thing—firing upon rioters—had occurred in other parts of the world before, and occurred again soon after, without producing a quiver in the tender hearts of the anti-whites.

When, some weeks after that episode, Congolese troops committed most hideous outrages upon law-abiding white men, women and children, what happened to the idealistic U.N. and a Galahad world Press that they remained so little moved? In the daily paper that occasionally fills this writer with contempt there were no screaming banner headlines nor shrieking leading articles denouncing the business. The news was kept on the inside pages until the first impact had died away; and when there was some editorial comment, how brief and mild the censure! The main theme was that the wicked Belgians were responsible for the cruelty and lawlessness of the Congolese. The European villains had not prepared their charges for the independence, which U.N. pressures compelled the Belgians prematurely to grant. They had followed an egalitarian policy of uniform development for the Congolese instead of creating an elite.

This is a lot of mendacious nonsense. According to Stuart Cloete in *African Giant*, published in 1956, the development of the country and the people in it, particularly the black people, was the paramount consideration. Though the Belgians did not think they could teach the black Africans to run before they could walk, there were no obstacles placed in the way of their advancement. They could reach the greatest heights if they were prepared to do so. "All the laws are to their benefit and protection."

Anyone must have known, unless he refused to know, what would happen when the Congolese were granted political independence. As soon as the hand of a civilised government was lifted, the Congolese reverted to type. It seems rather unjust to blame the Belgians for not making of the Negroes in a few years what they could not make of themselves in all the millennia during which they governed themselves.

TWO PICTURES

Compare the two pictures—that of the Sharpeville shootings and that of the Congolese atrocities. In the first instance there were men charged with the duty of maintaining law and order, faced with rioters. It must be

remembered that a Negro mob is not like a white mob, more accustomed to order than to violence and so reduced to order with comparative ease. In the Negro the savage sleeps lightly and is quickly aroused. Violence, to him, is not the final, desperate expression of unbearable exasperation, but a pleasurable excitement. Blood rites move him to ecstasy. "Blood. Blood. We want Blood," Negro women had chanted during riots that had occurred a short time before. At Sharpeville the Negro women were egging their men on. It is hard to blame the police for being unwilling to be the ones to provide the blood; though it seems that this was what the world expected them to do. Whether they acted precipitately or not, the position was that they were there to maintain or restore order and were menaced by a rioting mob, which, despite all the soft talk about a peaceful demonstration, was likely to become murderous at the flick of a finger.

In the other picture, the raping, torturing, lawless Congolese were officially representatives of law and order, and they acted, not against rioters, but against peaceful men and harmless women and children. There was not even the remote suggestion that the aggression was self-defensive. It was on a much larger scale than the Sharpeville shootings and blood curdling in its details.

We may ask why the lesser, more excusable attack has been played up and the far greater, wholly inexcusable attack has been played down. The promotion of an anti-white movement provides the answer. In the first case there were whites killing Negroes; but in the second it was only some whites that were killed or tortured by the more precious race. The howl about the Sharpeville affair becomes understandable. The whites are expendable. It is bad enough for them, as they do in South Africa and Algeria, to squirm away ignobly from the sacrificial knife; but for the sacrifice to assault the god!

THE SUBVERSIVE ROLE OF U.N.O.

If the disappearance of the white race be the aim, that would explain some other mystifying features of the world scene. It would explain, for instance, why the Secretary General of the U.N., who hardly spared a regretful word for the victims of his organisation's policy, foamed at the mouth because the Belgians dared to make an attempt to protect and succour their own. It was not as though the U.N., itself, was making an effort to afford any protection to Belgian civilians, or at that stage seemed to have any intention of doing so. The white population was, apparently, to be left to its horrible fate. Humanitarian, Mr. Hammarskjöld!

The accusation that the presence of the Belgians was inciting the Congolese to violence was ridiculous. The Congolese started their orgies without any provocation, and their action seems to have been directed mainly against civilians. When the U.N.O. did intervene, causing in the process as much friction as the presence of Belgian troops

did in even the best imaginations, it was not to stop Negroes from hurting whites, but to stop Negroes from hurting Negroes—to prevent civil war, not barbarity.

It is quite possible that to Mr. Hammarskjöld, living in the wordy atmosphere of the U.N., legalities have come to be far more important than real situations; but even so, it is generally recognised that though in an ordered community the individual does not usually take the law into his own hands, when the law cannot move quickly enough to succour, he may act in self-defence or to protect others threatened with violence. Why were the Belgians not to be granted this privilege? If it was not because they were intended to be destroyed, the explanation must be paltry.

The pattern of white scapegoats to be driven into the desert bearing the burden of others' sins is that of the propaganda in the communist and so-called liberal Press. Be it remarked that if the Congolese and others are not to be held responsible for their own actions, they certainly are not mature enough for responsible government.

THE PORTUGUESE ATTACKED

The fine time the Congolese had with the whites, and the sympathy they enjoyed, encouraged them to go farther afield in search of excitement. A few months after Congo's glorious Independence celebrations, there appeared, tucked away in a corner of an inside page of the morning paper previously referred to, a report that drug-crazed natives, incited by Congolese agitators, had killed or tortured hundreds in Portuguese Angola. A few days after, more space was given to the outside reaction. Was the horror deplored? Not at all. The proposal was to inquire into how the wicked Portuguese had been treating the poor, sadistic murderers. There was some indignation over the measures taken by the Portuguese to suppress the terrorist outbreaks, but none over the actions of the terrorists. How different from the Sharpeville reaction! But there is the present convention. If Negroes kill whites, the whites, instead of having the right to defend themselves, must bear the blame for the acts of their assassins.

Those who could remain indifferent to the ghastly fate of white men and women and tender children on the Congo, weep for the half-mad Lumumba who instigated the atrocities. They can even brush aside the horrors of the Mau Mau and nibble at the idea of restoring the fiendish Kenyatta to power. The Negro political parties of Kenya want him. That they do suggests that they are not fit to govern themselves. If the idea of making Kenyatta once more the leader of Kenya Negroes be even contemplated, why were there ever any Nuremberg trials? Were we hypocrites then, or are we now? No, we are sincere enough about it all when we persuade ourselves that crimes by coloured people against whites are not crimes against humanity; and we can do that if we accept it that the whites are scheduled to disappear from the human scene.

(To Be Continued)

Mr. Douglas Wilkie Interprets Khrushchev-Kennedy Meeting

The hours spent in private talk by Khrushchev and Kennedy in Vienna "were unique enough to turn the tide of history". We are indebted to foreign affairs "expert" of the Melbourne *Sun*, Mr. Douglas Wilkie for this priceless gem of information. Writing in his column on June 5, Mr. Wilkie tells his readers that Mr. Khrushchev and Mr. Kennedy "were able to come to grips with a realism unencumbered by wishful sentiment, or diluted by tired cynicism". It is true that "there have been other top-level meetings between world leaders," but "none of these talks went to the root of the East-West conflict". Although those who have made a close and objective study of President Kennedy's record have never been able to discover any evidence of an understanding of the root of the world conflict, Mr. Wilkie supplies the reason why he thinks that "in Kennedy the Soviet leader has met his match". Not only does President Kennedy inherit "a sense of mission from the Founding Fathers", but—note this carefully—"He has taken his degree at the London School of Economics". Well, there it is. President Kennedy is adequately equipped to deal with the Communist challenge because he studied under the subversive influence of men like the late Dr. Harold Laski. Laski was the man who said, after visiting Soviet Russia, that he and Stalin were merely following two separate roads towards the one objective.

Mr. Wilkie assures us that because President Kennedy "and his advisers comprehend the logic as well as the slogans of capitalist democracy", "They know the need for positive action and policies to counter the logic of Communism." Mr. Wilkie's statements are always of the greatest importance, not because Mr. Wilkie in himself is important, but because he can always be relied upon to reflect the latest line in the worldwide revolutionary movement. He has the right, or should we say, "left" contacts. He knows how far-reaching has been the effect of the London School of Economics. A significant clue to where Mr. Wilkie stands in the scheme of things was provided some time back when this columnist for Melbourne's biggest morning newspaper felt it necessary to intervene in a letter controversy in a Melbourne suburban newspaper. Mr. Wilkie wrote in great indignation because a correspondent had dared to doubt the story about six million Jews being murdered during the war. As we doubt whether even Mr. Wilkie believes this ridiculous story, although he has repeated it in *The Sun*, we can only presume that he was attempting to defend Jewish propaganda.

And this brings us back to his support for President Kennedy. Kennedy is surrounded by even more open Jewish influence than was Roosevelt, while his representative in the United Nations, Adlai Stevenson, represents the same influence. When first elected, President Kennedy said that he was not seeking any personal meeting with Khrushchev until there had been thorough diplomatic

preparation in advance. But suddenly the announcement was made that a personal meeting was to take place in Vienna. Who persuaded Mr. Kennedy to change his mind?

Out of the Vienna meeting has come the call for another full-scale Summit Conference. All the signs indicate that so far from being a match for Mr. Khrushchev, President Kennedy is being used by the men surrounding him to make one more treacherous deal with the gangsters of the Kremlin. But such a deal will, of course, be hailed by Mr. Wilkie as another sign of "realism".

ISRAEL USES THE BIG LIE TECHNIQUE

We have previously referred to the Eichmann "trial", pointing out that most of the so-called evidence would not be acceptable in a British court of law. The time is coming when it will be possible to prove beyond all argument that the story about the six million Jews is one of the most blatant propaganda lies of all time. But in this further brief comment on the Eichmann "trial" we draw attention to the fact that the prosecution's claim that 600,000 Jews died at Belsen, most of them in gas chambers, contradicts what Lord Russell, a Zionist supporter, and war-crimes prosecutor, says in his book, *Scourge of the Swastika*. Russell states "There were no gas chambers at Belsen."

In an excellent letter published in *The Mercury*, Hobart, of May 31, one of our subscribers draws attention to the above point. He also points out that the alleged 6,000,000 Jewish dead becomes 4,000,000 to 4,500,000 in *The Final Solution* by the Jewish authority, Gerald Reitlinger.

Mr. Ben Gurion, the Israeli Prime Minister, has stated that the Eichmann "trial" is for propaganda purposes. This is not the only reason for the "trial", as we will show later. But the Israelis' propaganda will eventually explode in their own faces. The big lie technique cannot be successfully sustained indefinitely.

Communism and Centralised Electricity

Communists were decisive in exploiting grievances concerning wages for the purpose of staging the second S.E.C. strike in Victoria. The shape of things to come is clear. The ex-Communist leader, Cecil Sharpley, said after his defection from the Communist Party that the general President of the Communist Party, Mr. Dixon, had stated:

"We must understand that the key to all Party plans, particularly in the event of war, is Communist control of the State Electricity Commission plant at Yallourn. From there we could turn out nearly every light in Melbourne and stop nearly every factory machine. Once we control the Unions and the Labour Council at Yallourn, we will hold real power—and we will use it."

The Communists now hold real power. All centralisation schemes assist the Communist conspiracy.

LEAGUE OF RIGHTS PLANS DISTRIBUTION OF 20,000 BOOKLETS

The League of Rights plans to place *The Red Pattern of World Conquest* into the hands of 20,000 prominent selected Australians. Already this booklet is having a big impact. The first edition has been exhausted and a second edition is now being published. A special Canadian edition is also being published.

League Councils in every State are now engaged in a mailing campaign, which will cover all Members of Parliament, State and Federal, the Judiciary, Church leaders, Police leaders and other prominent citizens. Selected members of the armed forces will receive copies from National Headquarters.

However, in order to get effective nationwide coverage, *New Times* readers are requested to purchase supplies for use in their own communities. We suggest that they concentrate upon schoolteachers, local Councillors, the clergy and all others in public positions of influence. Those who have their children at Church schools should ensure that the headmasters and teachers are provided with copies. Special attention should be given to history teachers.

With the publication of a second edition of *The Red Pattern* it has been possible to reduce unit costs and six copies can now be supplied for 12/- and twelve copies for £1. The retail price for single copies is being maintained at 5/- for the second edition. Will readers please note that the more copies that are bought, the bigger the price reductions which can be made. All profits from *The Red Pattern* will be used to publish and to distribute more copies. Every reader is requested to join in this special campaign. Order supplies of the booklet TODAY.

Available from The Heritage Bookshop 430 Bourke Street, Melbourne. Phone 67-2834.

The Credit Squeeze can be stopped

If the Menzies Government continues with its restrictive credit policies for much longer, the nation could pass under the control of the Labor-Socialists, dominated by the Communists, at the next Federal Elections. There is only one way to force the Cabinet and its advisers to ease their destructive policies: The voice of the electors must be strongly heard in the party room. Back bench Members don't count for much when they speak for themselves. But if they are armed with thousands of individual letters from their electors, the situation is dramatically changed. The electors must therefore take the initiative. How many readers have written a personal letter to their Federal Member about the situation? If you have not yet written, do so NOW. And then start to encourage your fellows to do likewise.

USE THIS "AMMUNITION"

The fight against the credit squeeze is a vital part of the national battle for survival against the international forces of revolution. The League of Rights has produced two pieces of special "ammunition" for use. Reprints, on coloured paper, of the leaflet on the credit squeeze, which appeared in the last issue of *New Times*, are now available. No charge is being made for these leaflets, but small donations to help cover printing costs and postage are always welcome. One country supporter has taken 1500 leaflets and several Melbourne supporters 500 each.

The special article on "The Credit Squeeze And The Drive Towards The World Communist State" has also been reprinted in brochure form. Single copies are 1/3, 12 copies 10/-, 25 copies 15/-, and 50 copies 26/-. This brochure should be used selectively.

Exports to the Communists

The fact that Australia had a "favourable balance of trade" for May has been widely acclaimed. Export earnings have increased. But a portion of these export figures relate to wheat sold to Red China. If the nation's internal economy, governed by credit policy, is to be increasingly geared to exports to Communist countries, then the Communists are being handed a powerful weapon, which they are going to use.

Canada is also increasing its trade with Communist countries, particularly China and Cuba. Canadian banks are openly supporting the trade, which is being justified on the basis that it helps overcome Canada's internal economic problems. This dangerous policy of exporting to an enemy is the outcome of the current "sane" financial and economic policies pursued by all non-Communist countries.

A Valuable Book on Africa

**"The Vision Splendid", by
C. E. Lucas Phillips**

This book, published in 1960, is most opportune. The author presents an authoritative and comprehensive picture of Central Africa and reveals the complete unreliability of the daily press to report honestly on African affairs. The book answers a large number of questions being asked at the present time. It contains a large number of excellent photos, maps, and four appendices. It is also indexed.

The best recommendation for this book is the fact that it has either been ignored or "written down" by the book reviewers. They found its irrefutable facts too unpalatable for their fixed and warped ideas.

Order from the Heritage Bookshop, 430 Bourke Street. Price 33/4, post-free.

UNGODLY POLITICS LEAD TO MARXISM

One of the foundation supporters of "The New Times", Mr. C. H. Allen, of Adelaide, handicapped by an illness some 12 years ago that left him almost without hearing, never lets up in his efforts to disseminate Social Credit truths. At 81 he is again stricken. Although confined to his bed and enduring considerable pain he has sent us the following which he is having distributed as a leaflet to clergymen. His father was a Methodist minister, as is also his brother, Rev. J. H. Allen, B.A.

A small group of godly people shipwrecked on a hitherto uninhabited island with little in the way of natural food would experience hardship. With no imminent alternative, each would feel a moral obligation to share the scarcity without complaint, and to cultivate good humour and thankfulness.

Scarcity experienced by many Australians today is not the will of God, but of human policy makers, economists, politicians, brainwashers. Men have been dis-employed because, say the policy makers, they have produced too much!

If so, there is no physical, logical, or moral reason why their access to commodities should be reduced. Yet reduced it is because Government ordered the destruction of claims to goods—bank credit. (Social Credit.)

In such circumstances, good humour and thanks giving is not to be expected from the victims. It is well known that many are returning to the Communist faith. Their "sin" is the result of ignorance and abuse of power in the realm of "Authority".

In the Parable of the Labourers in the Vineyard, Jesus instituted a practical facet of politics. "To Every Man His Penny", is the theme in Matthew 20:10. The lord of the vineyard managed it righteously.

If politics are to develop on righteous lines it is our responsibility to see that they are "born again". Our industrial system is the vineyard. God the giver of sun, rain, soil, minerals, is Lord of all.

Suggestions for the Social Credit Dividends are based on moral or Christian grounds, and our ability to produce them physically. Every man, woman, and child should have an income for the minimum of food, clothes and shelter.

Freed from limitations and waste imposed by misguided "Authority" through false financial and economic policies, our "vineyard" could provide ample for all Australians, and then enable freewill gifts to take the place of surreptitious Governmental confiscation of production in aid of Red China and other nations whose handicap of mis-rule has been even greater than ours.

COMMUNISM'S JEWISH AGENTS

(Continued from Page 1)

It is appropriate to recall that the late Senator McCarthy was smeared as an "anti-Semite", presumably because he had to question so many Jews associated with Communist activities. A survey in America showed that the overwhelming majority of Jews were opposed to McCarthy, even though he employed two Jews on his staff and was supported by a small group of Jewish anti-Communists. Over ninety percent, of American Jewish press bitterly attacked McCarthy. This fact is just as significant as the fact commented upon by the Canadian Report on espionage.

That great Christian writer and historian, Hilaire Belloc, in his book, *The Jews* (like so many important books, now out of print) observed that it is permissible to talk about every question under the sun—the Irish question, the Polish question or the German question—but not the Jewish question. Those who claim that there is no Jewish question might care to explain then why Jews provide the majority of Communist espionage agents. There must be a reason. Not only have the majority of espionage agents discovered been Jews, but when it is considered that the Jews are such a small proportion of the communities in which they live, the Jewish contribution to Communist espionage activities is seen to be of such significance that the survival of the non-Communist world depends upon the subject being honestly examined.

We are well aware that by drawing attention to this important matter we will be charged with "anti-Semitism" and with falsely claiming that Communism is a Jewish conspiracy. It will be pointed out that the majority of Jews are not Communists, that there are many Jews who are strongly anti-Communist and that after all, many Gentiles have also been found guilty of espionage on behalf of Communism. But these facts cannot obscure the truth that Jews provide the biggest percentage of Communist agents and the smallest percentage of anti-Communist leaders. And while we have an open mind on the question of present Jewish influence in Soviet Russia, we wonder whether Hitler, if he had visited the U.S.A. before the war, would have received the same comparatively passive reception from American Jewry that Khrushchev received last year.

The very fact that every effort is made to ignore the vital role played by Jews in the Communist espionage systems, is of itself striking evidence of the widespread belief that Jewish power is no myth. People who attempt to discuss national and international politics as if this Jewish power does not exist, are either very naive or they are very frightened. Unfortunately, fear appears to be the main reason for pretending that the George Blakes are not Jews. Fear must be replaced by open, honest and charitable discussions concerning the activities of all individuals and groups in the battle for civilization.